## On the motion of a heavy rigid body in an ideal uid with circulation

A lexey V.Borisov, Ivan S.M am aev

Institute of C om puter Science, U dm urt State U niversity

1, U niversitetskaya str., 426034 Izhevsk, Russia

Phone/Fax: +7-3412-500295, E-m ail: borisov@ rod.ru, m am aev@ rod.ru

April 16, 2024

#### A bstract

Chaplygin's equations describing the planar motion of a rigid body in an unbounded volume of an ideal uid involved in a circular ow around the body are considered. Ham iltonian structures, new integrable cases, and partial solutions are revealed, and their stability is examined. The problem s of non-integrability of the equations of motion because of a chaotic behavior of the system are discussed.

#### 1 Introduction and a review of the known results.

S.A. Chaplygin [4] considered a general problem regarding the forces and momenta that in pact an arbitrary rigid body involved in a parallel-plane motion in an unbounded volume of an ideal incompressible uid. More speci c formulations were studied earlier by N.E. Zhukovski [22, 23], who considered the application of his formula for the lifting force to the description of heavy body falling in a uid. However, these formulations yielded an unrealistic conclusion that the rotational and translational motions are independent, thus showing that additional analysis is required. The necessary analysis was made by S.A. Chaplygin.

Chaplygin made general assumptions that the uid motion is vortex-free with a zero velocity at the in nity, and the uid circulation around the body is constant. He observed that this form of equations holds true if the propeller propulsion does not change its direction with respect to the body (aircraft) and the drag equilibrates this propulsion at any moment. A lthough this assumption resulted in the conclusion that the aircraft will have a directional stability, which is not true (the aircraft trajectory may be winding), some general conclusions that can be drawn from this study allow a remarkable mechanical interpretation. They are of both theoretical and practical interest even in the context of modern applied aerohydrom echanics.

S.A.Chapygin [3] considered the case of a circulation-free planar ow around a body and suggested a remarkable form of equations, which unfortunately he has

2

not studied at all (equations with a similar form describe the falling of a body of rotation in a uid under the same conditions). O riginally written in 1890 as his student essay, this work was published only in 1933 as part of Chaplygin's Collected Works. Independently and at about the same time D.N.Goryachev [8] (1893) and V.A.Steklov [20] (1894) obtained the same equations and described their simplest qualitative properties. In particular, V.A. Steklov showed that, as the body falls, the amplitude of its oscillations with respect to the horizontal axis decreases while the frequency of these oscillations increases. He made this remark in an addendum to his book [20], in which the analysis of the asymptotic behavior was made with some mistakes. In essence, V.A. Steklov formulated the problem of asymptotic description of the behavior of a heavy rigid body during its fall. This problem was solved by V.V.Kozbv [9], who showed that, under almost all initial conditions, the body tends to fall at a uniform acceleration with its wider side up and oscillates around the horizontal axis with an increasing frequency of the order of t and a decreasing am plitude with an order of  $1=^{p-t}$ . A sym ptotic motions with di erent numbers of half-turns were analyzed numerically in [5]. The asymptotic behavior of a body falling without initial impact was studied in [18].

The e ect of an abrupt ascent was described and studied in [6]. Under conditions of vortex-free ow around the body, it is assumed that, in the initial moment, the wider side of the body is horizontal and the body has a horizontal velocity. In the

following moments, the body starts moving downward. However, if its apparent mass in the lateral direction is su ciently large, the body will next abruptly move upward with its narrower side up and rise higher than its initial elevation.

M ore general equations describing a non-planar m otion of a heavy rigid body in an unbounded volum e of an ideal uid involved in a vortex-free m otion and resting at the in nity were also obtained in [3] (m ore compact form of these equations is presented in [10]). They generalize the well-known K irchho equations, which are known to neglect the force of gravity. As was shown in [10], if a rigid body has three m utually orthogonal planes of sym m etry, this body, when falling freely, asym ptotically tends to take the position when its axis with the m axim um added m ass is vertical. Such body can also rotate around this axis.

A particular case of existence of the H essian integral for the general equations [3] is described in [2]. In this case, the equations can be reduced to a simpler form analogous to the planar case. It is worth m entioning that a planar motion of a rigid body in a resisting medium is considered in [11]. For various models of hydrodynam ic forces, som e num erical and experimental results concerned with the falling motion of a heavy body in a uid are presented in the papers [1, 21, 7, 17, 15]. An elementary analysis of the motion of a body in a resisting medium was rst performed by M axwell in [16].

Now let us return to the problem of a planar circularm otion of a rigid body, which is the focus of this study. The equations of motion for this problem were suggested by S.A.Chaplygin in [4]. It was studied in [12] with some speci c assumptions (as compared with [4]).

C inculation m akes the m otion of the rigid body m one complicated. In [12], cases of stationary m otion are found and their stability is exam ined. An integrable case and certain classes of particular solutions analogous to Zhukovski's solutions [22, 23] are proposed.

In this paper, we will propose the Ham iltonian form of the general equations, and show new cases of integrability and classes of new particular solutions. We will also show that in the general case, the equations [4] are not integrable and their behavior is chaotic.

## 2 General equations of motion. Lagrangian and Hamiltonian descriptions.

We choose a moving coordinate system  $O_1x_1x_2$  which is xed to the body. The position of this system with respect to the xed frame is characterized by the coordinates (x;y) of its origin  $O_1$  and the rotation angle '. Let us assume that (;) are the Cartesian coordinates of the center of masses in the moving coordinates, I is the constant circulation around the body, is the uid density;  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  are the projections of the linear velocity of the center  $O_1$  onto the moving axes. Let us assume also that  $Q_1, Q_2$  are the projections of the external forces onto the moving

axes, ! is the angular velocity of the body, and M  $_1$  is the momentum of the external forces relative to the center of m asses.

Explicit evaluation of the forces and momenta associated with the circulation ow around a body was used in [4] to derive the following equations, which are similar to the Kirchho equations:

$$a_{1}v_{1} = a_{1}!v_{2} \quad v_{2} \quad ! \quad Q_{1};$$

$$a_{2}v_{2} = a_{2}!v_{1} + v_{1} + ! \quad Q_{2};$$

$$b_{-}! = (a_{1} \quad a_{2})v_{1}v_{2} + v_{1} \quad v_{2} \quad M_{1} + Q_{2} \quad Q_{1};$$

$$'_{-}! ;$$

$$\underline{x} = (;v) = v_{1}\cos' \quad v_{2}\sin';$$

$$\underline{y} = (;v) = v_{1}\sin' + v_{2}\cos';$$

$$(1)$$

where =  $(\cos' \sin')$ , =  $(\sin'; \cos')$ , v =  $(v_1; v_2)$ .

In equations (1)  $a_1;a_2;b$  are added m assess and m om entum, = I, = I<sub>1</sub>, = I<sub>1</sub>. Parameters , proportional to the circulation I, are associated with the asymmetry of the body, and their evaluation is described in [4]. In the general case with circulation and asymmetry of the body  $\in 0$ ,  $\in 0$ , these parameters cannot be eliminated by any choice of a coordinate system xed to the body.

R em ark. Equations (1) were proposed by S.A. Chaplygin in 1926 and som ewhat later (and presum ably independently) were derived by Lamb and G lowert in 1929 [14]. Unlike Chaplygin [4], the behavior of solutions of these equations was not analyzed in these works.

It is worth mentioning that equations sim ilar to (1) describe the planar motion of a rigid body in an ideal uid with a uniform vorticity. The motion of a circular cylinder in a uid with a uniform ly distributed vorticity (for di erent boundary conditions) was analyzed by Prudem an and Taylor [14]. In this case, the equations of motion are reduced to a simplest linear system. The general (even planar) motion of an arbitrary rigid body is very complicated and has not been analyzed yet.

If all forces are potential, we have

$$Q_{1} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \cos' + \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \sin';$$

$$Q_{2} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \sin' + \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \cos';$$

$$M_{1} \qquad Q_{2} + \qquad Q_{1} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial y};$$
(2)

where the function U = U(x;y;') is the potential. In this case, equations (3) have the integral of energy

$$H = T + U = \frac{1}{2} (a_1 v_1^2 + a_2 v_2^2 + b!^2) + U; \qquad (3)$$

where the kinetic energy T of the (\body + uid") system is written in the diagonal form after translations and rotation of the moving coordinate system.

It can be shown that the forces caused by circulation are generalized potential forces and the equations of motion (in the case of potential external forces) can be

written in the Lagrangian form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}} = ! \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{V}_{2}}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{X}}} _{1} + \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Y}}} _{1}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{V}_{2}}} = ! \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{X}}} _{2} + \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Y}}} _{2}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{I}}} = v_{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}} \quad v_{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{V}_{2}}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Y}}}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{I}}} = v_{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}} \quad v_{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{V}_{2}}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Y}}}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{I}}} = ! ; \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}) ; \mathbf{y} ; \mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}) ;$$

where the Lagrangian function has the form

$$L = T \quad U \quad (x \sin' \quad y \cos') \quad \frac{1}{2}v_1 + ! \quad (x \cos' + y \sin') \quad \frac{1}{2}v_2 + ! :$$
(5)

R em ark. The Lagrangian function is chosen in a symmetric calibration; recall that the summands that are linear with respect to velocities are determined to a total dimension of an arbitrary function.

Equations (4) are Poincare equations on the group of motions of the plane E (2) [2]; using the Legendre transform ation we can represent them in the H am iltonian form (Poincare{Chetaev equations) with a H am iltonian containing term s linear in the m om enta. It was found [11], that the equations of motion for generalized potential system s can be conveniently represented in the slightly modi ed variables:

$$p_1 = \frac{\Theta T}{\Theta v_1} = a_1 v_1, p_2 = \frac{\Theta T}{\Theta v_2} = a_2 v_2, M = \frac{\Theta T}{\Theta !} b!$$
:

Using the Legendre transform ation for new variables, we nd the Ham iltonian:

$$H = (p;v) \quad L = \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{p_1^2}{a_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{a_2} + \frac{M^2}{b} + U(x;y;'):$$
(6)

The obtained equations of motion have the form similar to that of the Poincare{ Chetaev equations:

$$p_{1} = p_{2} \frac{\theta H}{\theta M} \quad \frac{\theta H}{\theta x} \quad 1 \quad \frac{\theta H}{\theta y} \quad 1 \quad \frac{\theta H}{\theta p_{2}} \quad \frac{\theta H}{\theta M};$$

$$p_{2} = p_{1} \frac{\theta H}{\theta M} \quad \frac{\theta H}{\theta x} \quad 2 \quad \frac{\theta H}{\theta y} \quad 2 + \quad \frac{\theta H}{\theta p_{1}} \quad \frac{\theta H}{\theta M};$$

$$M_{-} = p_{1} \frac{\theta H}{\theta p_{2}} \quad p_{2} \frac{\theta H}{\theta p_{1}} \quad \frac{\theta H}{\theta '} + \quad \frac{\theta H}{\theta p_{1}} \quad \frac{\theta H}{\theta p_{2}};$$

$$'_{-} = \frac{\theta H}{\theta M}, x = \quad ; \frac{\theta H}{\theta p} \quad , y = \quad ; \frac{\theta H}{\theta p}$$

$$(7)$$

and the Poisson bracket of these variables contains \additional circulation term s" (hygroscopic term s):

$$fM_{1};p_{1}g = p_{2} + , fM;p_{2}g = p_{1} , fp_{1};p_{2}g = ;$$
$$fM;'g = 1, fM;xg = fM;yg = 0;$$
(8)

$$fp_1;xg = 1, fp_2;xg = 2, fp_1;yg = 1, fp_2;yg = 2$$

The rank of this Poisson structure is equal to 6; therefore, the system (7) can be reduced to a canonical system with three degrees of freedom.

### 3 M otion in the gravity eld.

In this case, the potential energy of the system can be written in the form  $U = (y + \sin' + \cos')$ , and its Ham iltonian (7) has the form

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_1^2}{a_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{a_2} + \frac{M^2}{b} + (y + \sin' + \cos'):$$
(9)

The system (7), (9) adm its an autonom ous and a non-autonom ous integral, which correspond to the projections of the momentum on the xed axes  $0 \times and 0 \times (see gure 1)$ :

$$p_{x} = p_{1} \cos' \quad p_{2} \sin' + y + \sin' \quad \cos' = q,$$

$$p_{y} = p_{2} \sin' + p_{2} \cos' \quad x \quad \cos' \quad \sin' = t + q;$$

$$c_{1} = \operatorname{const}, c_{2} = \operatorname{const};$$

$$(10)$$

which are not commutative  $fp_x; p_yg =$ .

To perform a reduction by one degree of freedom we express y from  $p_x = c_1$  and substitute it into the Ham iltonian (9):

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_1^2}{a_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{a^2} + \frac{M^2}{b} + -(p_1 \cos' + p_2 \sin') +$$

$$+ -\sin' + + -\cos' :$$
(11)

This reduced H am iltonian depends only on the variables  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ , M and ', the Poisson brackets of which, according to (8), form a closed subalgebra with a rank of 4. Thus, we have a reduced system with two degrees of freedom, which can be written in the canonical form (see below). However, we will not use the canonical form, but algebrise the reduced system to even greater extent with the help of

dependent variables  $_1 = \sin \prime$  ,  $_2 = \cos \prime$  :

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_1^2}{a_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{a_2} + \frac{M^2}{b} - ((p \ s); J) + (r;);$$

$$p = \frac{\theta}{\theta} J (p \ s) J \frac{\theta}{\theta};$$

$$M = p \ s; J \frac{\theta}{\theta} (r; J);$$

$$- \frac{\theta}{\theta} J ;$$
(12)

where  $p = (p_1; p_2)$ ,  $= (_1; _2)$ ,  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & & \\ & & \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ; s = (; ), r = (; ).

The system has two obvious integrals | energy H and geometric integral  $_{1}^{2}$  +  $_{2}^{2}$  = 1. Since the system is H am iltonian, for being integrable it requires one m ore additional integral (although this system can be integrated by the Euler{Jacobi m ethod because the equations (12) conserve the standard invariant m easure).

Note that the system (12) has an important mechanical meaning, its form is as simple as that of the Euler{Poisson equations, and analogous formulations of problems are quitemeaningful for this system. The rst aspect relates to the integrability of the system (12).

C anonical variables. U sing the algorithm described in [2], it is easy to construct canonical variables analogous to the Anduaye variables in the rigid body dynamics. In conventional denotations, we have

$$M = L, p_1 = \frac{p}{2} (G L) \cos', p_2 = \frac{p}{2} (G L) \sin l;$$
$$M + \frac{(p_1)^2 + (p_2)^2}{2} = G, ' = g;$$

where l, L and g, G are two pairs of canonical conjugate variables (fl;Lg = fg;Gg = 1). These variables can be useful for construction of action-angle variables and for application of methods of the H am iltonian theory of perturbations.

#### 4 Integrable cases.

The following integrable cases are known.

1. = 0 (S.A.Chaplygin, 1926 [4]) the absence of the gravity eld.

Additional quadratic integral has the form

$$F = \frac{1}{2} (p_1)^2 + (p_2)^2 + M;$$
 (13)

and the system (12) can be reduced, sim ilar to the classical Euler{Poinsot case, to a system of three equations for variables M,  $p_1$ , and  $p_2$ . Chaplygin in [4] noted that explicit integration involves some very complicated quadrature, which is expressed in elliptic functions under the condition = = 0.

2. = = 0,  $a_1 = a_2 = 1$  (V.V.Kozlov, 1993 [12]) | a case of dynam ical symmetry. This case is analogous to the Lagrange case, although the additional integral is quadratic with respect to momenta

$$F = \frac{1M^2}{2b} + (r; ):$$
 (14)

Let us consider a new case of integrability.

3. Let us assume that = , = (i.e. s = Jr). Additional quadratic integral has the form

$$F = \frac{1}{2}M^{2} \quad b(M + (p;Jr)) + b(r; ):$$
 (15)

Except the cases mentioned above, this system doesn't have other (general) cases with an additional integral linear or quadratic with respect to phase variables. This simple statement can be proved by direct enumeration of variants with the use of the method of undetermined coeccients. The question of the existence of higher-order integrals is still an open question.

Let us consider a linear invariant relation analogous to the Hess case for the Euler(Poisson equations.

4. Let us suppose that 
$$= = 0$$
,  $= \frac{q}{b} \frac{1}{a_2^1} + \frac{1}{a_1^1}$ . In this case,  

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_1^2}{a_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{a_2} + \frac{M^2}{b} - (p_1)_2 + p_2 + p_$$

and at the level F = 0 we have F = 0.

R em ark. Note that in the case with zero circulation ( = 0), the reduction to the system (12) is impossible since - = 1. Nevertheless, integrals  $p_x = _1$ ,  $p_y = _2 + t$ , \_1; \_2 = const allow us to eliminate  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  from the equations (rather than from the Hamiltonian) and obtain, similar to Chaplygin [3], the non-autonomous \pendulum "-type equation for ':

$$\mathbf{'} = \frac{{}^{2}t^{2}}{2b} (a_{2}{}^{1} a_{1}{}^{1}) \sin 2\mathbf{'} + \frac{t}{b} (a_{2}{}^{1} a_{1}{}^{1}) (c_{1} \cos 2\mathbf{'} + c_{2} \sin 2\mathbf{'}) \frac{\cos \mathbf{'}}{a_{1}} + \frac{\sin \mathbf{'}}{a_{2}}$$
$$\frac{-}{b} (\cos \mathbf{'} \cos \mathbf{'}) + \frac{(a_{1}{}^{1} a_{2}{}^{1})}{2b} (c_{1}^{2} c_{2}^{2}) \sin 2\mathbf{'} 2c_{1}c_{2} \cos 2\mathbf{'}$$
$$\frac{-}{b} (\cos \mathbf{'} \sin \mathbf{'}) + \frac{-1}{b} \frac{\sin \mathbf{'}}{a_{1}} + \frac{\cos \mathbf{'}}{a_{2}}$$
$$\frac{-2}{b} \frac{\cos \mathbf{'}}{a_{1}} \frac{\sin \mathbf{'}}{a_{2}}$$
(17)

which in particular cases was exam ined in [9, 5, 6].

### 5 Chaplygin's case. B ifurcation analysis.

Let us consider the system (9) at = 0, = 0. A swas noted above, equations for M ,  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  can be separated and have the form

$$\underline{p}_1 = p_2 M$$
  $\frac{p_2}{a_2}, \underline{p}_2 = \frac{p_1 M}{b} + \frac{p_1}{a_2}, M = \frac{1}{a_2} - \frac{1}{a_1} - p_1 p_2$ : (18)

The common level of the rst integrals

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{p_1^2}{a_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{a_2} + \frac{M^2}{b} = h = const, F = M + \frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2}{2} = c = const$$
(19)

is represented by closed curves form ed at the intersection of an ellipsoid and an elliptic paraboloid; these curves are analogous to centroid lines in the classical Euler{ Poinsot problem (gure 2). It is easy to nd and describe the particular solutions of (18) which are analogous to permanent rotations in the latter problem. For the sake of de niteness, let us assume that > 0 and  $a_1 > a_2$ .

I.  $p_1 = p_2 = 0$ , M = c = const,  $h = \frac{1}{2b}c^2$ . In this case, the body rotates uniform by ('\_=  $\frac{c}{b} = const$ ) around the origin of the moving coordinate system  $O_1$ (see gure 1), though this origin is xed (and in the general case does not coincide with the center of mass). This solution is unstable when  $\frac{b}{a_1} < c < \frac{b}{a_2}$  and stable otherwise (the stability on the boundaries requires special analysis).

II, III. There exists a pair of analogous solutions of the form

$$p_{i} = 0, M = \frac{b}{a_{j}} = const, p_{j}^{2} = 2 c \frac{b}{a_{j}};$$
 (20)

where in one case, i = 1, j = 2, while in the other case, i = 2, j = 1. Each of these solutions exists under condition  $c > \frac{b}{a_j}$ , and the constants of the integrals for them are related by

$$h = c_{a_j} \qquad b_{a_j^2}^2 : \tag{21}$$

In the case of such motion, the solid body uniform ly rotates around the origin of a xed coordinate system, and the principal axes of the body always pass through this xed center. The body is directed toward the center of rotation by its wider side in one motion and narrower side in the other. The solution  $p_2 = 0$ ,  $h = \frac{1}{a_1}c$   $\frac{1}{2}\frac{2}{a_1^2}b$ is always stable while the solution  $p_1 = 0$ ,  $h = \frac{1}{a_2}c$   $\frac{1}{2}\frac{2}{a_2^2}b$  is always unstable. Thus, stable motions are such that the body is directed toward the xed point by its narrower side. The bifurcation diagram is shown in gure 3. The straight lines represent the particular solutions II and III; they are tangent to the parabola corresponding to solution I in the points where admissible values of the integral c begin. The dom ain of possible motions on the bifurcation diagram is hatched.

The bifurcation diagram presents three di erent intervals of the values of energy h

$$0; \frac{b^2}{2a_1^2}$$
 ,  $\frac{b^2}{2a_1^2}; \frac{b^2}{2a_2^2}$  ,  $\frac{b^2}{2a_2^2}; 1$  ;

for which the patterns of the trajectories corresponding to di erent values of c are qualitatively sim ilar (gure 2).

Let us consider explicit form ulas for bi-asym ptotic motions for solution I, which is unstable at  $\frac{b}{a_1} < c < \frac{b}{a_2}$ . These solutions are hom oclinic (see gure 2b) and have the form

$$M = c \int_{s} \frac{\frac{2B d_{1} d_{2}}{\cosh 2} d_{1}^{2} + d_{2}^{2}}{\frac{1}{\cosh 2} d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2} \cosh 2};$$

$$p_{1} = \int_{s} \frac{\frac{8 B}{d_{1}^{2}} \frac{d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2} \cosh 2}{\cosh 2} d_{1}^{2} + d_{2}^{2}}{\cosh 2};$$

$$p_{2} = \int_{s} \frac{8 B}{d_{2}^{2}} \frac{d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2} \sinh 2}{\cosh 2} d_{1}^{2} + d_{2}^{2};$$

$$p_{3} = \int_{s} \frac{1}{d_{1}^{2}} \frac{d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2}}{\cosh 2} d_{1}^{2} + d_{2}^{2};$$

$$p_{4} = \int_{s} \frac{1}{d_{1}^{2}} \frac{d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2}}{\cosh 2} d_{1}^{2} + d_{2}^{2};$$

$$p_{4} = \int_{s} \frac{1}{d_{1}^{2}} \frac{d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2}}{\cosh 2} d_{1}^{2} + d_{2}^{2};$$

$$p_{4} = \int_{s} \frac{1}{d_{1}^{2}} \frac{d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2}}{d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2}} t = \int_{s} \frac{1}{d_{1}^{2}} \frac{d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2}}{d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2}} d_{1}^{2} d_{1}^{2} d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2};$$

$$p_{4} = \int_{s} \frac{1}{d_{1}^{2}} \frac{d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2}}{d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2}} t = \int_{s} \frac{1}{d_{1}^{2}} \frac{d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2}}{d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2}} d_{1}^{2} d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2} d_{1}^{2} d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2} d_{1}^{2} d_{1}^{2}$$

where  $d_1^2 = \frac{b_1}{B}$ ,  $d_2^2 = \frac{b_2}{B}$ ,  $b_1 = 2$  (c  $ba_1^{-1}$ ),  $b_2 = 2$  ( $ba_2^{-1}$  c),  $B = b_1 + b_2$ , and di event signs correspond to the two di event separatrices.

# 6 Perturbation of Chaplygin's case. Splitting of separatrices.

Let us consider a \perturbed" H am iltonian (9),  $H = H_0 + H_1$  with regarded as a sm all parameter. Here,  $H_0$  is the H am iltonian of the integrable C haplygin problem,  $H_1 = U$  is the perturbation function. One of the dynamic elects that prevent the existence of an additional analytical integral for the perturbed system is the splitting of separatrices, which are coupled in the case of the unperturbed system. To the rst order of the theory of perturbations at sm all , the splitting of separatrices is determined by the value of the Poincare (M el'nikov integral [13]

$$J = \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} fF_{0}; H_{1}gdt; \qquad (23)$$

calculated along asymptotic solutions of the unperturbed problem . Here  ${\tt F}_0$  is an integral of the unperturbed system .

If the integral (as a function of the parameter on the separatrix) has a simple zero, then separatrices split and transversally intersect. In the case of two degrees of freedom this makes the perturbed problem non-integrable [13].

W e take the integral (19) F = M  $^2$  +  $\frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2}{2}$  as F $_0$ .

On hom oclinic asymptotic solutions (22), the integral (23) can be explicitly eval-

uated using residues:

$$J(g_{0}) = J_{Q} + J_{R};$$

$$J_{Q} = 2 \frac{p - \frac{1}{2} b e^{\frac{s}{2}} \sinh \frac{1}{2} S}{p - \frac{1}{B} \sinh S} \sin g_{0};$$

$$J_{R} = 4 \frac{b e^{\frac{s}{2}} \cosh \frac{1}{2} S}{B \sinh S} (\cos g_{0} \sin g_{0});$$
(24)

where  $S = 2c(b_1b_2)^{1=2}$ ,  $\cos = d_1$ ,  $\sin = d_2$ .

The integral (24) has a simple zero, except for the special case of B = 0. This allows us to conclude that the perturbed system (9) is non-integrable, except for the case of  $a_1 = a_2$ . Note that it is under this condition that the integrals (14), (15) were obtained. This condition is necessary but not su cient for the integrability.

The chaotic behavior of the system (9), associated with the splitting of separatrices and non-integrability, is illustrated in gure 4, which represents a Poincare section of the phase ow of the system (12) on the energy level of H = const. The gure shows the behavior of the split separatrices and the stochastic layer that form s near them. The gure also presents the projection of the section at the energy level of H = h = const, which is determined by the relationship ' = const, on the plane  $(p_1; p_2)$ . As before, the parameter values are taken as follows: = 1, b = 2, $a_1 = 2a_2 = 1, = 0$  and for the energy level at these parameter values we assume that h = 2.

Let us discuss the issue of the possible fallof a body in the presence of circulation. Recall that the body moving in the absence of circulation asymptotically tends to fallwith its wider side down [9].

If  $\notin$  0, the moving body will stay in a nite-width band parallel to the x axis. Indeed, according to (10), we have

$$x = -t + {}^{1} ((p_{1} ) \sin' + (p_{2} ) \cos' q);$$

$$y = {}^{1} ((p_{1} ) \cos' (p_{2} ) \sin' q)$$

$$c_{1}; c_{2} = const,$$

$$(25)$$

We assume that  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  are limited and rewrite (11). The right-hand part of this equality is clearly a limited function at  $\mathbf{6}$  0, therefore  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  are also limited.

According to (25), the body moves in the horizontal direction with a mean velocity of -. This result was obtained in [15] for = = 0.

A cknow ledgem ent W e thank S.M.Ram odanov for useful discussions. This work was supported by the program \State Support for Leading Scientic Schools" (136,2003.1), the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (04-05-64367), the U.S. Civilian Research and Development Foundation (RU-M 1-2583-M O-O4) and the IN - TAS (04-80-7297).

#### References

[1] Belm onte A., Eisenberg H., Moses E. From utter to tum ble: inertial drag and Froude sim ilarity in falling paper, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1998, Vol.81, p. 345{348.

- [2] Borisov A.V., Mamaev I.S. Rigid body dynamics. | Izhevsk: SPC \Regular and chaotic dynamics", 2001, 384 pp.
- [3] Chaplygin S.A. On heavy body falling in an incompressible uid // Complete Works, Leningrad: Izd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1933, Vol.1, p. 133{150.
- [4] Chaplygin S.A.On the e ect of a plane-parallelair ow on a cylindrical wing moving in it // Complete W orks, Leningrad: Izd. A kad. N auk SSSR, 1933, Vol.3, p. 3{64.
- [5] Deryabin M.V.On asymptotics of the solution of Chaplygin equation, Reg. & Chaot. Dyn., 1998, Vol.3, No. 1, p. 93{97.
- [6] Deryabin M.V., Kozlov V.V.On the e ect of abruptly rising of a heavy solid body in a uid, Izv.RAN, Mekh.tv.tela, 2002, No.1, p. 68{74.
- [7] Feild S.B., K laus M., Moore M.G., Nori F. Chaotic dynamics of falling disks, Nature, 1997, Vol.388, p. 252 {254.
- [8] Goryachev D.N.On the motion of a heavy rigid body in a uid, Izv. Imper. Ob-va, Mosk. Imper. Univ., 1893, Vol. 78, No. 2, p. 59{61.
- [9] Kozbv V.V.On a heavy rigid body falling in an ideal uid, Izv.Akad.Nauk SSSR, Mekh.tv.tela, 1989, No. 5, p. 10{17.

- [10] Kozlov V.V.On the stability of equilibrium positions in a non-stationary force eld, PMM, 1991, Vol.55, No. 1, p. 12{19.
- [11] Kozlov V.V.On the problem of a heavy rigid body falling in a resistant medium, Vest.MGU, ser.mat.mekh., 1990, No.1, p. 79{86.
- [12] Kozlov V.V.On a heavy cylindrical body falling in a uid, Izv.RAN, Mekh. tv.tela, 1993, No. 4, p. 113{117.
- [13] Kozlov V.V. Symmetry, Topology and Resonances in Hamiltonian Mechanics. | Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [14] Lamb H. Hydrodynamics. | OG IZ, Gostekhizdat., 1947. Translated from eng.: Lamb H. Hydrodynamics, Ed. 6th. | N.Y. Dover Publ., 1945.
- [15] Mahadevan L., Ryu N.S., Sam uelA.D.T. Tum bling cards, Phys. Fluids, 1999, Vol. 11, p. 1{3.
- [16] MaxwellJ.C.On a particular case of the descent of a heavy body in a resisting medium, Camb.Dublin Math.J., 1853, Vol. 9, p. 115{118.
- [17] Pesavento U., W ang Z.J. Falling Paper: Navier{Stokes solutions, model of uid forces, and center of mass elevation, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2004, Vol. 93, No. 14, p. 144{501.

- [18] Ramodanov S.M. A symptotic solutions of the Chaplygin equations, Vestn. MGU, Ser.mat.mekh., 1995, No. 3, p. 93{97.
- [19] Ramodanov S.M. The e ect of circulation on the fall of a heavy rigid body, Vestn.MGU, Ser.mat.mekh., 1996, No. 5, p. 19{24.
- [20] Steklov V.A.On the motion of a rigid body in a uid. | Kharkov, 1893, 234 pp.
- [21] Tanabe Y., Kaneko K. Behavior of a falling paper, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1994, Vol.73, No. 10, p. 1372 (1377.
- [22] Zhukovski N.E. On light elongated bodies that fall in the air while rotating around the longitudinalaxis: I // Complete W orks, M oscow {Leningrad: G lav. red.aviats.lit., Vol.5, 1937.p.72{80.
- [23] Zhukovski N.E. On birds' hovering // Complete W orks, M oscow-Leningrad: G lav. red. aviats. lit., 1973, Vol.5, p. 7{35.



Figure 1:



Figure 2: Trajectories of the system in Chaplygin's case in the space of variables  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ , M. The parameter values are: = 1, b = 2,  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $a_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ , = = 0.



Figure 3: Bifurcation diagram for Chaplygin's case at  $a_1 > a_2$ . The domain of physically admissible values of integrals c, h is shown in gray. Unstable permanent rotations are shown by dashed lines. Parameter values are: = 1; b = 2;  $a_1 = 1$ ;  $a_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ :



Figure 4: Transition to chaos in the Chaplygin system with an increase in . The rst three gures show the trajectories and one pair of separatrices, while, the separatrices are not shown in the latter two gures because the respective xed point becomes stable at given parameter values. (The domain of variables where the motion is in possible at the given parameter values is shown in gray. The scale of the last gure di ers from that of the others.)