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A bstract

C haplygin's equations describing the planar $m$ otion of a rigid body in an unbounded volume of an ideal uid involved in a circular ow around the body are considered. H am iltonian structures, new integrable cases, and partial solutions are revealed, and their stability is exam ined. T he problem s of non-integrability of the equations of m otion because of a chaotic behavior of the system are discussed.

## 1 Introduction and a review of the know $n$ results.

 S.A.C haplygin [ [17 that im pact an arbitrary rigid body involved in a parallelplane $m$ otion in an unbounded volum e of an ideal incom pressible uid. M ore speci c form ulations were m ula for the lifting force to the description ofheavy body falling in a uid. H ow ever, these form ulations yielded an unrealistic conclusion that the rotational and translationalm otions are independent, thus show ing that additional analysis is required. The necessary analysis was m ade by S.A.C haplygin .

C haplygin $m$ ade general assum ptions that the uid $m$ otion is vortex-free $w$ ith a zero velocity at the in nity, and the uid circulation around the body is constant. He observed that this form of equations holds true if the propeller propulsion does not change its direction w ith respect to the body (aircraft) and the drag equilibrates this propulsion at any $m$ om ent. A lthough this assum ption resulted in the conclusion that the aircraft w ill have a directionalstability, which is not tnue (the aircraft tra jectory $m$ ay be w inding), som e general conchusions that can be draw $n$ from this study allow a rem arkable $m$ echanical intenpretation. They are of both theoretical and practical interest even in the context ofm odem applied aerohydrom echanics.
S.A .C hapygin [3]-] considered the case of a circulation-free planar ow around a body and suggested a rem arkable form of equations, which unfortunately he has
not studied at all (equations with a sim ilar form describe the falling of a body of rotation in a uid under the sam e conditions). O riginally written in 1890 as his student essay, this work was published only in 1933 as part of Chaplygin's C ollected W orks. Independently and at about the sam e tim e D.N .G oryachev [i్ర-1] (1893) and V .A .Steklov [20010 (1894) obtained the sam e equations and described their sim plest qualitative properties. In particular, V .A .Steklov showed that, as the body falls, the am plitude of its oscillations w ith respect to the horizontal axis decreases while the frequency of these oscillations increases. He m ade this re$m$ ark in an addendum to his book [2]-1], in which the analysis of the asym ptotic behavior was $m$ ade $w$ th som $e m$ istakes. In essence, V .A .Steklov form ulated the problem of asym ptotic description of the behavior of a heavy rigid body during its fall. This problem was solved by V.V .K ozlov $\underline{-1}_{\underline{9}}^{[1}$, who showed that, under al m ost all initial conditions, the body tends to fall at a uniform acceleration with its wider side up and oscillates around the horizontal axis w ith an increasing frequency of the order of $t$ and a decreasing am plitude $w$ ith an order of $1=\frac{p}{\bar{t}}$. A sym p-
 The asym ptotic behavior of a body falling w thout initial im pact was studied in గ푸욱.

Thee ect of an abrupt ascent w as described and studied in [فَ-1.1. U nder conditions of vortex-free ow around the body, it is assum ed that, in the initialm om ent, the w ider side of the body is horizontal and the body has a horizontal velocity. In the
follow ing m om ents, the body starts m oving dow nward. H ow ever, if its apparent $m$ ass in the lateral direction is su ciently large, the body $w$ ill next abruptly $m$ ove upward w ith its narrow er side up and rise higher than its initialelevation.
$M$ ore generalequations describing a non-planar m otion of a heavy rigid body in an unbounded volum e of an ideal uid involved in a vortex-firee $m$ otion and resting

 are known to neglect the force of gravity. As was shown in rī has three $m$ utually orthogonal planes of sym $m$ etry, this body, when falling freely, asym ptotically tends to take the position $w$ hen its axis $w$ ith the $m$ axim um added $m$ ass is vertical. Such body can also rotate around this axis.

A particular case of existence of the $H$ essian integral for the general equations $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { ³ }\end{array}\right]$ is described in her]. In this case, the equations can be reduced to a simpler form analogous to the planar case. It is worth $m$ entioning that a planar $m$ otion of a rigid body in a resisting $m$ edium is considered in $[1 \bar{i} 1]$. Forvariousm odels ofhydrodynam ic foroes, som e num ericaland experim ental results concemed w ith the falling m otion of
 analysis of the $m$ otion of a body in a resisting $m$ edium was rst perform ed by M axwell in
$N$ ow let us retum to the problem of a planar circularm otion of a rigid body, which is the focus of this study. The equations ofm otion for this problem were suggested
 com pared w ith [ī]).
$C$ irculation $m$ akes the $m$ otion of the rigid body $m$ ore com plicated. In [1] $\overline{1}]$, cases of stationary $m$ otion are found and their stability is exam ined. An integrable case and certain classes of particular solutions analogous to Zhukovski's solutions [2] $2 \overline{2} r$ ' $12 \overline{3}=1]$ are proposed.

In this paper, we w ill propose the H am iltonian form of the general equations, and show new cases of integrability and classes of new particular solutions. W ew ill also show that in the general case, the equations $[\underline{\overline{4}}]$ are not integrable and their behavior is chaotic.

## 2 General equations of $m$ otion. Lagrangian and

## H am iltonian descriptions.

We choose a m oving coordinate system $\mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}$ which is xed to the body. The position of this system w ith respect to the xed fram e is characterized by the coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ) of its origin $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ and the rotation angle '. Let us assum e that ( ; ) are the $C$ artesian coordinates of the center of $m$ asses in the $m$ oving coordinates, I is the constant circulation around the body, is the uid density; $v_{1}, v_{2}$ are the projections of the linear velocity of the center $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ onto the m oving axes. Let us assum $e$ also that $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ are the pro jections of the extemal foroes onto the $m$ oving
axes, ! is the angular velocity of the body, and $M_{1}$ is the $m$ om entum of the extemal forces relative to the center ofm asses.

Explicit evaluation of the foroes and $m$ om enta associated $w$ ith the circulation ow around a body was used in [in to derive the follow ing equations, which are sim ilar to the K irchho equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} v_{1}=a_{1}!v_{2} \quad v_{2} \quad!\quad Q_{1} ; \\
& a_{2} \underline{v}_{2}=a_{2}!v_{1}+v_{1}+!Q_{2} ; \\
& b!=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2}
\end{array}\right) v_{1} v_{2}+\quad V_{1} \quad v_{2} \quad M_{1}+Q_{2} \quad Q_{1} ; \\
& \text { '_= ! ; } \\
& \underline{\mathrm{x}}=(; \mathrm{v})=\mathrm{v}_{1} \cos ^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{v}_{2} \sin ^{\prime} ; \\
& \underline{y}=(; \mathrm{v})=\mathrm{V}_{1} \sin ^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}_{2} \cos ^{\prime} ; \\
& \mathrm{where}=\left(\mathrm{cos}^{\prime} \quad \sin { }^{\prime}\right) \text {, }=\left(\sin ^{\prime} ; \mathrm{cos}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{v}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{1} ; \mathrm{v}_{2}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

In equations ( $\overline{1}$ ) $\mathrm{a}_{1} ; \mathrm{a}_{2} ; \mathrm{b}$ are added m asses and m om entum, $=\mathrm{I}, \quad=\mathrm{I}{ }_{1}$, $=I 1_{1}$. Param eters , , proportional to the circulation I, are associated $w$ ith
 case w ith circulation and asym m etry of the body $\in 0, \in 0$, these param eters cannot be elim inated by any choige of a coordinate system xed to the body.

Rem ark. Equations ( $(\overline{1})$ ) were proposed by S A .C haplygin in 1926 and som ew hat later (and presum ably independently) were derived by Lam b and G lowert in 1929 $[\underline{1}-\overline{1}]$. Unlike C haplygin $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\overline{1}} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$, the behavior of solutions of these equations was not
analyzed in these works.
It is worth $m$ entioning that equations sim ilar to ( $\overline{1} 1)$ describe the planar $m$ otion of a rigid body in an ideal uid with a uniform vorticity. The motion of a circular cylinder in a uid with a uniform ly distributed vorticity (for di erent boundary conditions) was analyzed by P rudem an and Taybr [1]i]. In this case, the equations of $m$ otion are reduced to a sim plest linear system. The general (even planar) m otion of an arbitrary rigid body is very com plicated and has not been analyzed yet.

If all forces are potential, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}=\frac{\varrho U}{\varrho x} \propto^{\prime}+\frac{\varrho U}{\varrho y} \sin ^{\prime} ; \\
& Q_{2}=\frac{\varrho U}{\varrho x} \sin ^{\prime}+\frac{\varrho U}{\varrho y} \cos ^{\prime} ;  \tag{2}\\
& M_{1} \quad Q_{2}+Q_{1}=\frac{\varrho U}{\varrho^{\prime}} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where the function $U=U\left(x ; y ;^{\prime}\right)$ is the potential. In this case, equations ( $\left.\overline{3}\right)$ have the integral of energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=T+U=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1} v_{1}^{2}+a_{2} v_{2}^{2}+b!^{2}\right)+U ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the kinetic energy $T$ of the ( $\backslash$ body + uid") system is wrilten in the diagonal form after translations and rotation of the $m$ oving coordinate system.

It can be show $n$ that the forces caused by circulation are generalized potential forces and the equations of $m$ otion (in the case of potential extemal foroes) can be
written in the Lagrangian form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \frac{@ L}{@ v_{1}}=!\frac{@ L}{@ v_{2}}+\frac{@ L}{@ x} 1+\frac{@ L}{@ y} 1 \\
& \frac{d}{d t} \frac{@ L}{@ v_{2}}=!\frac{@ L}{\varrho v_{1}}+\frac{@ L}{@ x} 2+\frac{@ L}{@ y} 2  \tag{4}\\
& \frac{d}{d t} \frac{@ L}{@!}=v_{2} \frac{@ L}{@ v_{1}} \quad v_{1} \frac{@ L}{@ v_{2}}+\frac{@ L}{@ r} \\
& \text { '_= ! ; } \underline{x}=(; v) ; \underline{y}=(; v) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where the Lagrangian function has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{x} \sin \prime \quad \mathrm{y} \cos ^{\prime}\right) \quad \overline{2}^{-\mathrm{v}_{1}+!} \quad\left(\mathrm{x} \cos ^{\prime}+\mathrm{y} \sin { }^{\prime}\right) \quad \overline{2}^{\mathrm{v}_{2}}+!: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R$ em ark. The Lagrangian function is chosen in a sym m etric calibration; recall that the sum $m$ ands that are linear $w$ ith respect to velocities are determ ined to a total di erential of an arbitrary function.

Equations ( $(\underset{-1}{\mathbf{4}})$ are Poincare equations on the group of $m$ otions of the plane E (2) 㳖]; using the Legendre transform ation we can represent them in the $H$ am iltonian form (P oincare\{C hetaev equations) w ith a H am iltonian containing term s linear in the $m$ om enta. It was found [īi] $]$, that the equations ofm otion for generalized potential system $s$ can be conveniently represented in the slightly m odi ed variables:

$$
p_{1}=\frac{\varrho T}{\varrho v_{1}}=a_{1} v_{1}, p_{2}=\frac{\varrho T}{\varrho v_{2}}=a_{2} v_{2}, M=\frac{\varrho T}{@!} b!:
$$

U sing the Legendre transform ation for new variables, we nd the $H$ am iltonian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=(p ; v) \quad L=\frac{1}{2} \frac{p_{1}^{2}}{a_{1}}+\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{a_{2}}+\frac{M^{2}}{b}+U\left(x ; y ;^{\prime}\right): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The obtained equations of $m$ otion have the form sim ilar to that of the Poincare\{ C hetaev equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}=p_{2} \frac{\varrho H}{\varrho M} \quad \frac{@ H}{@ x}=\frac{@ H}{@ y}=\frac{@ H}{\varrho p_{2}} \quad \frac{@ H}{@ M} ; \\
& p_{2}=p_{1} \frac{\varrho H}{\varrho M} \frac{\varrho H}{\varrho x} 2 \frac{@ H}{@ y} 2+\frac{@ H}{@ p_{1}} \quad \frac{\varrho H}{\varrho M} ;  \tag{7}\\
& \mathrm{M}-=\mathrm{p}_{1} \frac{\varrho H}{\varrho \mathrm{p}_{2}} \quad \mathrm{p}_{2} \frac{\varrho H}{\varrho \mathrm{p}_{1}} \quad \frac{\varrho H}{\varrho^{\prime}}+\frac{\varrho H}{\varrho \mathrm{p}_{1}} \quad \frac{\varrho H}{\varrho \mathrm{p}_{2}} ; \\
& { }^{\prime}=\frac{@ H}{\varrho M}, \underline{x}=\quad ; \frac{@ H}{\varrho p} \quad, \underline{y}=\quad ; \frac{@ H}{\varrho p}
\end{align*}
$$

and the Poisson bracket of these variables contains \additional circulation term s" (hygroscopic term s) :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{fM}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{p}_{2}+, \mathrm{fM} ; \mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{p}_{1} \quad, \mathrm{fp}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{~g}=\quad ; \\
\mathrm{fM} ; ;^{\prime} \mathrm{g}=1, \mathrm{fM} ; \mathrm{xg}=\mathrm{fM} ; \mathrm{yg}=0 ;  \tag{8}\\
\mathrm{fp}_{1} ; \mathrm{xg}=\quad{ }_{1}, \mathrm{fp}_{2} ; \mathrm{xg}=\quad{ }_{2}, \mathrm{fp}_{1} ; \mathrm{yg}=\quad{ }_{1}, \mathrm{fp}_{2} ; \mathrm{yg}=\quad{ }_{2}:
\end{array}
$$

The rank of this P oisson structure is equal to 6; therefore, the system ( $\underline{i}_{1}$ ) can be reduced to a canonical system w ith three degrees of freedom.

## $3 M$ otion in the gravity eld.

In this case, the potential energy of the system can be written in the form $U=$ $\left(y+\sin ^{\prime}+\infty s^{\prime}\right)$, and its $H$ am iltonian ${ }_{2}^{(1 / 7)}$ ) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}}+\frac{\mathrm{p}_{2}^{2}}{\mathrm{a}_{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{\mathrm{~b}}+\left(\mathrm{y}+\sin ^{\prime}+\cos ^{\prime}\right): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system $(\underline{1} \overline{1}),(\underset{-1}{9})$ adm its an autonom ous and a non-autonom ous integral, which correspond to the projections of the $m$ om entum on the xed axes Ox and Oy (see gure 1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{x}=p_{1} \cos ^{\prime} \quad p_{2} \sin \prime^{\prime}+y+\sin y^{\prime} \quad \cos ^{\prime}=q, \\
& p_{y}=p_{2} \sin ^{\prime}+p_{2} \cos ^{\prime} \quad x \quad \cos ^{\prime} \quad \sin { }^{\prime}=t+\varepsilon ;  \tag{10}\\
& c_{1}=\text { const, } c_{2}=\text { const; }
\end{align*}
$$

which are not comm utative $\mathrm{fp}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{g}=$.
To perform a reduction by one degree of freedom we express y from $p_{x}=c_{1}$ and substitute it into the H am iltonian $(\underset{-1}{\mathbf{9}})$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
H=\frac{1}{2} \frac{p_{1}^{2}}{a_{1}}+\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{M^{2}}{b} & +-\left(p_{1} \cos ^{\prime}+p_{2} \sin { }^{\prime}\right)+  \tag{11}\\
& +\quad-\sin ^{\prime}+\quad+-\cos ^{\prime}:
\end{align*}
$$

This reduced H am ittonian depends only on the variables $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{M}$ and ', the Poisson brackets of which, according to ( $(\bar{\theta})$, form a closed subalgebra w ith a rank of 4. Thus, we have a reduced system with two degrees of freedom, which can be w ritten in the canonical form (see below ). H ow ever, we will not use the canonical form, but algebrise the reduced system to even greater extent with the help of
dependent variables ${ }_{1}=\sin ^{\prime}, 2=\infty s^{\prime}:$

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\frac{1}{2} \frac{p_{1}^{2}}{a_{1}}+\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{a_{2}}+\frac{M^{2}}{b} \\
& \underline{p}=\frac{\varrho H}{\varrho M} J(p \quad s)  \tag{12}\\
& M-=((p \quad s) ; J)+(r ;) ; \\
&=\frac{\varrho H}{\varrho p} ; J \frac{@ H}{\varrho p} \\
&(r ; J) ; \\
& \varrho M \\
&=
\end{align*}
$$

where $p=\left(p_{1} ; p_{2}\right), \quad=\left({ }_{1} ;{ }_{2}\right), J=0 \quad 1 \quad ; s=(;), r=(;)$.
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The system has two obvious integrals | energy H and geom etric integral ${ }_{1}^{2}+$ ${ }_{2}^{2}=1$. Since the system is H am iltonian, for being integrable it requires one $m$ ore additional integral (although this system can be integrated by the Euler\{Jacobi $m$ ethod because the equations ( $(\overline{1} \overline{2})$ ) conserve the standard invariant $m$ easure).
$N$ ote that the system (1̄2̄) has an im portant $m$ echanicalm eaning, its form is as sim ple as that of the Euler\{P oisson equations, and analogous form ulations of problem sare quitem eaningfiulfor this system. The rst aspect relates to the integrability of the system $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2})$.

C anon ical variables. U sing the algorithm described in then, it is easy to construct canonical variables analogous to the A nduaye variables in the rigid body dynam ics. In conventional denotations, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
M=L, P_{1}=\frac{p}{2(G \quad L) \cos ^{\prime}}, P_{2} \quad{ }^{p} \overline{2(G \quad L)} \sin l_{;} \\
M+\frac{\left(p_{1} \quad\right)^{2}+\left(p_{2} \quad\right)^{2}}{2}=G,^{\prime}=g ;
\end{gathered}
$$

$w$ here $1, L$ and $g, G$ are two pairs of canonical con jugate variables ( $f l ; L g=f g ; G g=1$ ). T hese variables can be useful for construction of action-angle variables and for application ofm ethods of the H am iltonian theory of perturbations.

## 4 Integrable cases.

T he follow ing integrable cases are know $n$.

1. $=0$ (S.A.Chaplygin, $1926 \underline{[-\overline{4}])} \mid$ the absence of the gravity eld.

A dditional quadratic integral has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} \quad\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{p}_{2} \quad\right)^{2}+M ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the system (12-1) can be reduced, sim ilar to the classical E uler\{P oinsot case, to a system of three equations for variables $M, p_{1}$, and $p_{2}$. C haplygin in [部] noted that explicit integration involves som e very com plicated quadrature, which is expressed in elliptic functions under the condition $==0$.
2. $=\quad=0, a_{1}=a_{2}=1(V \cdot V \cdot K$ ozlov, 1993 [1] $\left.\overline{1}]\right) \mid$ a case of dynam ical sym m etry. This case is analogous to the Lagrange case, although the additional integral is quadratic $w$ ith respect to m om enta

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{1}{2} \frac{M^{2}}{b}+(r ;): \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider a new case of integrability.
3. Let us assume that $=,=$ (i.e. $s=J r$ ). Additionalquadratic integral has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{1}{2} M^{2} \quad b(M+(p ; J r))+b(r ;): \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

E xcept the casesm entioned above, this system doesn't have other (general) cases w ith an additional integral linear or quadratic $w$ th respect to phase variables. This sim ple statem ent can be proved by direct enum eration of variants w ith the use of the $m$ ethod of undeterm ined coe cients. The question of the existence of higher-order integrals is still an open question.

Let us consider a linear invariant relation analogous to the $H$ ess case for the Euler\{P oisson equations.
4. Let us suppose that $==0,=\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{b} \mathrm{a}_{2}{ }^{1} \mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{1}}$. In this case,

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\frac{1}{2} \frac{p_{1}^{2}}{a_{1}}+\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{a_{2}}+\frac{M^{2}}{b}-\left(\left(p_{1} \quad\right)_{2}+p_{2} 2^{2}\right) \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{b_{a_{2}}{ }^{1} a_{1}{ }^{1}}{ }_{2} ;  \tag{16}\\
& \mathrm{F}=\mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{q} \frac{\mathrm{~b}_{2}{ }^{1} \mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{1}}{}
\end{align*}
$$

and at the level $F=0$ we have $F=0$.
Rem ark. N ote that in the case with zero circulation ( $=0$ ), the reduction to the system (1]ī) is im possible since $-=1$. Nevertheless, integrals $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}=1, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ ${ }_{2}+t,{ }_{1} ;_{2}=$ const allow us to elim inate $p_{1}, p_{2}$ from the equations (rather than from the Ham iltonian) and obtain, sim ilar to Chaplygin [1] $]$
\pendulum "-type equation for ' :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prime=\frac{{ }^{2} t^{2}}{2 b}\left(a_{2}{ }^{1} \quad a_{1}{ }^{1}\right) \sin 2^{\prime}+\frac{t}{b} \quad\left(a_{2}{ }^{1} \quad a_{1}{ }^{1}\right)\left(c_{1} \cos 2^{\prime}+c_{2} \sin 2^{\prime}\right) \quad \frac{c o s^{\prime}}{a_{1}}+\frac{\sin ^{\prime}}{a_{2}} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{~b}}\left(\cos ^{\prime} \quad \cos ^{\prime}\right)+\frac{\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{a}_{2}{ }^{1}\right)}{2 \mathrm{~b}} \quad\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}^{2} \quad \mathrm{c}_{2}^{2}\right) \sin 2^{\prime} \quad 2 \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} \cos 2^{\prime} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{b}}\left(\cos ^{\prime} \quad \sin { }^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}} \frac{\sin ^{\prime}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}}+\frac{\cos ^{\prime}}{\mathrm{a}_{2}} \\
& \frac{2}{b} \frac{\cos ^{\prime}}{a_{1}} \frac{\sin ^{\prime}}{a_{2}} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$



## 5 C haplygin's case. B ifurcation analysis.

Let us consider the system $\underset{-1}{\mathbf{9}})$ at $=0,==0$. A s was noted above, equations for $M, p_{1}, p_{2}$ can be separated and have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=p_{2} M \quad \frac{p_{2}}{a_{2}}, p_{2}=\frac{p_{1} M}{b}+\frac{p_{1}}{a_{2}}, M-=\frac{1}{a_{2}} \quad \frac{1}{a_{1}} p_{1} p_{2}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The com $m$ on level of the rst integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}}+\frac{\mathrm{p}_{2}^{2}}{\mathrm{a}_{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{\mathrm{~b}}=\mathrm{h}=\text { const, } \mathrm{F}=\mathrm{M}+\frac{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{p}_{2}^{2}}{2}=\mathrm{c}=\text { const } \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is represented by closed curves form ed at the intersection of an ellipsoid and an elliptic paraboloid; these curves are analogous to centroid lines in the classicalE uler\{ Poinsot problem (gure 2). It is easy to nd and describe the particular solutions of (1] $\overline{1}$ ) which are analogous to perm anent rotations in the latter problem.

For the sake of de niteness, let us assum e that $\quad>0$ and $a_{1}>a_{2}$.
I. $\mathrm{p}_{1}=\mathrm{p}_{2}=0, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{c}=$ const, $\mathrm{h}=\frac{1}{2 b} \mathrm{c}^{2}$. In this case, the body rotates uniform ly $\left(^{\prime \prime}=\frac{c}{b}=\right.$ const) around the origin of the $m$ oving coordinate system $O_{1}$ (see gure 1), though this origin is xed (and in the general case does not coincide $w$ ith the center of $m$ ass). This solution is unstable when $\frac{b}{a_{1}}<c<\frac{b}{a_{2}}$ and stable otherw ise (the stability on the boundaries requires special analysis).

II, III. T here exists a pair of analogous solutions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=0, M=\frac{-b}{a_{j}} b \text { const, } p_{j}^{2}=2 \quad c \quad \frac{b}{a_{j}} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in one case, $i=1, j=2$, while in the other case, $i=2, j=1$. Each of these solutions exists under condition $c>\frac{b}{a_{j}}$, and the constants of the integrals for them are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=c-\quad b-\frac{2}{a_{j}} \quad: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of such $m$ otion, the solid body uniform ly rotates around the origin of a xed coordinate system, and the principal axes of the body alw ays pass through this xed center. T hebody is directed tow ard the center of rotation by its w ider side in one $m$ otion and narrow er side in the other. $T$ he solution $p_{2}=0, h=\frac{1}{a_{1}} C \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{a_{1}^{2}} b$ is alw ays stable while the solution $\mathrm{p}_{1}=0, \mathrm{~h}=\frac{-}{\mathrm{a}_{2}} \mathrm{C} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{\mathrm{a}_{2}^{2}} \mathrm{~b}$ is alw ays unstable. Thus, stable m otions are such that the body is directed tow ard the xed point by its narrow er side.

The bifurcation diagram is show $n$ in gure 3. The straight lines represent the particular solutions II and III; they are tangent to the parabola corresponding to solution I in the points where adm issible values of the integral c begin. The dom ain of possible $m$ otions on the bifiurcation diagram is hatched.

Thebifurcation diagram presents three di erent intervals of the values ofenergy $h$

$$
0 ; \frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{a}_{1}^{2}}, \frac{\mathrm{~b}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{a}_{1}^{2}} ; \frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{a}_{2}^{2}}, \frac{\mathrm{~b}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{a}_{2}^{2}} ; 1 \quad ;
$$

for which the pattems of the trajectories corresponding to di erent values of c are qualitatively sim ilar ( gure 2).

Let us consider explicit form ulas for bi-asym ptotic $m$ otions for solution $I$, which is unstable at $\frac{b}{a_{1}}<c<\frac{b}{a_{2}}$. These solutions are hom oclinic (see gure 2 b ) and have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& M=c \frac{2 B d_{1} d_{2}}{\cosh 2} \mathrm{q}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{d}_{2}^{2} ; \\
& p_{1}=\frac{8 \mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{~d}_{1}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{2}^{2} \cosh }{\cosh 2 \quad \mathrm{~d}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{d}_{2}^{2}}, \mathrm{p}_{2}=\overline{\frac{8 \mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{~d}_{2}^{2}}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{2}^{2} \sinh }{\cosh 2 \quad \mathrm{~d}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{d}_{2}^{2}} ;  \tag{22}\\
& \prime=\frac{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{~b}}\left(\mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{t}_{0}\right) \quad 2 \arctan \frac{1+\mathrm{d}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{2}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~d}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2}} \tanh \quad, \quad=\frac{\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2}}}{2 \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{t} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{1}^{2}=\frac{b_{1}}{B}, d_{2}^{2}=\frac{b_{2}}{B}, b_{1}=2\left(c \quad b_{1}{ }^{1}\right), b_{2}=2\left(\mathrm{ba}_{2}{ }^{1} \quad c\right), B=b_{1}+b_{2}$, and di erent signs correspond to the two di erent separatrices.

## 6 Perturbation of C haplygin's case. Splitting of separatrices.

Let us consider a \perturbed" Ham ittonian $(\underset{-1}{(9)}), \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{H}_{1}$ w ith regarded as a sm all param eter. H ere, $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is the H am iltonian of the integrable C haplygin problem, $\mathrm{H}_{1}=\mathrm{U}$ is the perturbation fiunction. O ne of the dynam ic e ects that prevent the existence ofan additionalanalytical integral for the perturbed system is the splitting of separatrices, which are coupled in the case of the unperturbed system. To the rst order of the theory of perturbations at sm all , the splitting of separatrices is determ ined by the value of the Poincare\{M elnikov integral [1]

$$
J={ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{fF}_{0} ; \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{gdt} ;
$$

calculated along asym ptotic solutions of the unperturbed problem. H ere $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ is an integral of the unperturbed system.

If the integral (as a function of the param eter on the separatrix) has a simple zero, then separatrioes split and transversally intersect. In the case of two degrees of freedom this $m$ akes the perturbed problem non-integrable tī
$W$ e take the integral ( $\overline{1} \overline{9}$ ) $) F=M^{2}+\frac{p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}}{2}$ as $F_{0}$.
O n hom oclinic asym ptotic solutions (2 $\overline{2} \overline{2})$, the integral $\overline{(2} \overline{3})$ can be explicitly eval-
uated using residues:

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(g_{0}\right) & =J_{Q}+J_{R} ; \\
J_{Q} & =2 \underset{2}{2} \frac{b-e^{\frac{s}{2}} \sinh \overline{2}}{\sinh S} \operatorname{Sin} g_{0} ;  \tag{24}\\
J_{R} & =4 \frac{b b^{\frac{s}{2}}}{B} \frac{\cosh \overline{2} \quad S}{\sinh S}\left(\cos g \quad \sin g_{0}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $S=2 c\left(b_{1} b_{2}\right)^{1=2}, \cos =d_{1}, \sin =d_{2}$.
The integral ( $\overline{2} \overline{4})$ has a simple zero, except for the special case of $B=0$. This allow s us to conclude that the perturbed system ( $\underline{(9}_{1}$ ) is non-integrable, except for
 were obtained. This condition is necessary but not su cient for the integrability.

The chaotic behavior of the system $\left.{ }_{-1}^{(9)}\right)$, associated w ith the splitting of separatrioes and non-integrability, is illustrated in gure 4, which represents a Poincare section of the phase ow of the system (12.) on the energy level of $H=$ const. The gure show s the behavior of the split separatrioes and the stochastic layer that form s near them. T he gure also presents the pro jection of the section at the energy level of $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{h}=$ const, which is determ ined by the relationship ${ }^{\prime}=\quad=$ const, on the $\mathrm{plane}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right)$. A s before, the param eter values are taken as follow $\mathrm{s}: \quad \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{b}=2$, $a_{1}=2 a_{2}=1, \quad=\quad=0$ and for the energy level at these param eter values we assume that $h=2$.

Let us discuss the issue of the possible fallofa body in the presence ofcirculation. Recall that the body $m$ oving in the absence of circulation asym ptotically tends to
fallw ith its wider side down
If $\quad 0$, the $m$ oving body will stay in a nite-w idth band parallel to the x axis. Indeed, according to ( $(\underline{1} \overline{0})$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.x=-t+\quad{ }^{1}\left(\left(p_{1}\right)\right) \sin ^{\prime}+\left(p_{2} \quad\right) \cos ^{\prime} \quad q\right) ; \\
& \left.y=\quad{ }^{1}\left(\left(p_{1} \quad\right) \cos ^{\prime} \quad\left(p_{2}\right)\right) \sin { }^{\prime} \quad q\right)  \tag{25}\\
& c_{1} ; c_{2}=\text { const, }
\end{align*}
$$

We assume that $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are lim ited and rew rite ( equality is clearly a lim ited function at $\quad 0$, therefore $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are also lim ited.

A ccording to $(\overline{2} 5 \overline{1})$, the body $m$ oves in the horizontal direction $w i t h a m e a n$ velocity of - . This result was obtained in [i] [15 for $==0$.
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Figure 1:


Figure 2: Trajectories of the system in C haplygin's case in the space of variables $p_{1}$, $\mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{M}$. The param eter values are: $=1, b=2, \mathrm{a}_{1}=1, \mathrm{a}_{2}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad==0$.

$F$ igure 3: B ifurcation diagram for Chaplygin's case at $a_{1}>a_{2}$. The dom ain of physically adm issible values of integrals c , h is show n in gray. U nstable perm anent rotations are show $n$ by dashed lines. Param eter vahues are: $=1 ; b=2 ; a_{1}=$ $1 ; a_{2}=\frac{1}{2}:$


d) $\mu=1$

e) $\mu=10$

Figure 4: Transition to chaos in the Chaplygin system with an increase in . The rst three gures show the trajectories and one pair of separatrioes, while, the separatrioes are not show $n$ in the latter two gures because the respective xed point becom es stable at given param eter values. (T he dom ain of variables where the m otion is im possible at the given param eter values is show n in gray. T he scale of the last gure di ers from that of the others.)

