# G audin m agnet with impurity and its generalized K nizhnik-Zam olodchikov equation

A.Lim a-Santos and Wagner Utiel

Universidade Federal de Sao Carlos, Departamento de F sica Caixa Postal 676, CEP 13569-905 Sao Carlos, Brazil

#### A bstract

This work is concerned with the formulation of the boundary quantum inverse scattering method for the xxz G audin magnet coupled to boundary impurities with arbitrary exchange constants. The G audin magnet is diagonalized by taking a quasi-classical limit of the inhomogeneous lattice. U sing the method proposed by Babujian, the integral representation for the solution of the Knizhnik-Zam olodchikov equation is explictly constructed and its rational limit discussed.

PACS: 05 20.-y; 05.50.+q; 04 20 Jb

Keywords: Algebraic Bethe Ansatz, Open boundary conditions, Impurity problem

#### 1 Introduction

Integrable quantum eld theories with boundaries have been subject of intense study during the past decades. The great interest in such theories stems from the large number of potential applications in di erent areas in physics, including open strings, boundary conformal eld theory, dissipative quantum phenomena and impurity problems.

The Gaudin magnet has its origins in [1] as a quantum integrable model describing N spin $\frac{1}{2}$  particles with long-range interactions. The Gaudin type models have direct applications in condensed matter physics. They also have been used as a testing ground for ideas such as the functional Bethe ansatz (BA) and general procedure of separation of variables [2, 3, 4].

The model proposed by G audin was later generalized by several authors [5, 6, 7]. The spin-s XXY G audin model was solved in [8] by means of the o-shell algebraic BA.

The XYZ G audin model was constructed and solved in [3] and [9] by means of the algebraic BA method. The boundary XXY spin $-\frac{1}{2}$  G audin magnet was investigated by Hikami [10] and the G audin models based on the face-type elliptic quantum groups and boundary elliptic quantum group, as well as, the boundary XYZ G audin models were studied in [11] by means of the boundary algebraic BA method. In [12] the XXZ G audin model was solved with generic integrable boundaries specified by generic non-diagonal K-matrices.

The Knizhnik-Zam olodchikov (KZ) equations were rst proposed as a set of diemential equations satis ed by correlation functions of the Wess-Zum ino-Witten models [13]. The relations between the Gaudin magnets and the KZ equations has been studied in many papers [8, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. In [10], Hikamigave an integral representation for the solutions of the KZ equations by using the results of the boundary Gaudin model.

In addition, the quantum impurity problem, which has been extensively investigated with renormalizing group techniques [19] and conformal eld theory [20], is also very interesting in itself. Andrei and Johannesson [21] rst considered an impurity spin-sembedded in an integrable spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  XXX chain with periodic boundary conditions. Subsequently, Schlottmann et al [22] generalized it to the arbitrary spin chain. The standard approach to dealing with the impurity integrable problem is also the algebraic BA method. The Hamiltonian of the impurity integrable spin chain can be constructed from the inhomogeneous transfer matrix. The key point is to not some inhomogeneous vertex matrices, which satisfy the same Yang-Baxter relation of the homogeneous matrices, corresponding to impurity spins.

We note that Sklyanin [7], Mezincescu and Nepomechie [24] have used a constant number K matrix to construct their model, where the K matrix induces the boundary elds and boundary bound states [23, 25]. In [26, 27], Wang and coworkers rst introduced the operator K matrix to study the Kondo problem in one-dimensional strongly correlated electron systems. In a previous paper [28], the problem

of an open spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  Heisenberg chain coupled to two spin-s impurities sited at the ends has been studied. Following this idea, Shu Chen at al [29] have considered de XXZ chain coupled to impurity spins with dierent coupling constants on the boundary.

In this paper, we continue to study the XXZ chain in order to establish a link between the G audin m odel and the impurity problem. In the rst part we construct the eigenstate of the G audin m agnet with impurity by taking a quasi-classical limit of the transfer matrix for the inhomogeneous open spin chain. The H amiltonian is given as a solution of the classical Yang-Baxter equation. In the second part, the KZ equation is studied in the context of the impurity problem; the integral solution of the KZ equation is obtained in terms of the Bethe eigenstate of the Gaudin magnet with impurity.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the boundary algebraic Bethe ansatz is reviewed. The transfer matrix for su (2) spin $-\frac{1}{2}$  XXZ chain is construct in terms of the R-matrix and the operator K matrix. In section 3 we not the Hamiltonian of the Gaudin magnet with impurity by taking a quasiclassical limit of the double row transfer matrix with operator K matrices and subsequently its spectrum is obtained. In section 4 the o-shell Bethe ansatz of Babu jian is used to not the explicit integral solution of the KZ equation. The section 5 is reserved to a sum mary and discussion.

# 2 The quantum inverse scattering method

It is well know that in an integrable problem the quantum R-matrix satis es the Yang-Baxter equation (YBE):

$$R_{12} (u \ v) R_{13} (u) R_{23} (v) = R_{23} (v) R_{13} (u) R_{12} (u \ v)$$
 (2.1)

As usual,  $R_{ij}$  means the matrix on  $V^{(1)}$   $V^{(2)}$   $V^{(3)}$  acting on the ith and jth spaces and as an identity on the other spaces. The variables u and v are called the spectral parameters. As a solution of (2.1), we use the R-matrix for the six-vertex model dened as

$$R (u; ) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 & b(u; ) & c(u; ) & 0 & C \\ 0 & c(u; ) & b(u; ) & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2.2)

w here

$$b(u; ) = \frac{\sinh u}{\sinh (u + )}; \quad c(u; ) = \frac{\sinh}{\sinh (u + )}; \quad (2.3)$$

Note that in addition to the spectral parameter u, we have a deform ation parameter which parametrizes the anisotropy. Moreover, this solution has the following properties:

regularity : R (u = 0; ) = P 
$$\text{quasi classical condition} : R (u; = 0) = 1 \\ \text{unitarity} : R (u; )R (u; ) = 1$$

where P is the permutation operator: P j i  $\,$  j i = j i  $\,$  j i and  $t_i$  denotes the transposition of the ith space.

Let us de ne the m onodrom y m atrix T (u) for the inhom ogeneous N -sites spin chain, introducing the inhom ogeneity in the lattice through the parameter  $z_N$  2 C; by

$$T_0(u\dot{z}) = R_{0N}(u z_N)$$
  $q_2R(u z_2)R_{01}(u z_1) = \begin{cases} A(u\dot{z}) & B(u\dot{z}) \\ C(u\dot{z}) & D(u\dot{z}) \end{cases}$  (2.5)

Here the operator matrix elements act on the full Hilbert space  $V^{-N}$ . Due to the additive property of the spectral parameter, the YBE also holds for the inhomogeneous lattice and we have

$$R_{12} (u \ v) [T (u \dot{z}) \ T (v \dot{z})] = [T (v \dot{z}) \ T (u \dot{z})] R_{12} (u \ v)$$
 (2.6)

E quation (2.6) gives the fundam ental algebraic structure for the Q ISM and gives us the commutation relations between the operators A  $(u \not z)_{,C}$   $(u \not z)_{,C}$   $(u \not z)_{,C}$  and D  $(u \not z)_{,C}$ 

To construct the eigenstate of our system, we can use the reference state Di

$$\mathfrak{D}i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 1 & & \\ 0 & & & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2.8)

It is easy to check that this state is the eigenstate of the operators A  $(u\dot{z})$  and D  $(u\dot{z})$ , and annihilated by C  $(u\dot{z})$ :

A 
$$(u\,\dot{z})\,\dot{D}i = \dot{D}i;$$
 D  $(u\,\dot{z})\,\dot{D}i = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\sinh(u-z_k)}{\sinh(u-z_k+1)}\,\dot{D}i;$  C  $(u\,\dot{z})\,\dot{D}i = 0$  (2.9)

We note that the Bethe state, a sum of spin waves [30], can be generated by operators B (ujz) acting on the reference state 10:

In order to construct an integrable open chain with boundary impurities, it is necessary to introduce the rejection matrices K (u) and K<sup>+</sup> (u) which satisfy the following rejecting equations [7]:

$$R_{12}(u \quad v)K_1(u)R_{12}(u+v)K_2(v) = K_2(v)R_{12}(u+v)K_1(u)R_{12}(u\quad v)$$
 (2.10)

$$R_{12}$$
 (  $u + v$ ) $K_1^{+t_1}$  ( $u$ ) $R_{12}$  (  $u v 2$ ) $K_2^{+t_2}$  ( $v$ ) =  $K_2^{+t_2}$  ( $v$ ) $R_{12}$  (  $u v 2$ ) $K_1^{+t_1}$  ( $u$ ) $R_{12}$  (  $u + v$ ) (2.11)

The solution  $K_1 = K$  I and  $K_2 = I$  K are the simplest re-ection matrices which satisfies the re-ecting equations. The inhomogeneous transfer matrix t(u) is defined as

$$t(u\dot{z}) = Tr_0 (K_0^+ (u)T_0 (u\dot{z})K_0 (u)T_0^{-1} (u\dot{z}))$$
 (2.12)

and form s a one-param eter com m utative fam ily

$$[t(u\dot{z});t(v\dot{z})] = 0$$
 (2.13)

where the monodrom y matrix T(u, z) is given by (2.5) and by virtue of the unitarity property of our R-matrix (2.4), it follows the expression for the rejected monodrom y matrix

$$T_0^{-1}(u\dot{z}) = R_{01}(u + z_1)R_{02}(u + z_2)$$
  $_{0N}R(u + z_N) =$   $A(u\dot{z}) B(u\dot{z}) B(u\dot{z})$   $C(u\dot{z}) D(u\dot{z})$  (2.14)

It was proved in [29] that if obeys the Yang relation

$$R_{12}(u \quad v)_{1}(u)_{2}(v) = _{2}(u)_{1}(v)R_{12}(u \quad v)$$
 (2.15)

then

$$K(u) = (u + c)^{-1} (u + c)$$
 (2.16)

also obeys the re ecting equation (2.10) and c is a constant.

Therefore we can construct our re ection matrix as

$$K_0(u) = R_{0L}(u + c_L)R_{0L}^{-1}(u + c_L) = R_{0L}(u + c_L)R_{0L}(u - c_L)$$
 (2.17)

where  $c_L$  is a constant decided by the left boundary. This construction give us an operator K-matrix instead of a constant numerical matrix, where it is identified as impurity and is not a pure rejection.

In order to obtain the integrable Hamiltonian in the open chain we de ne

$$U_a(u\dot{z}) = K_0^+(u)M_0(u\dot{z})K_0(u)M_0^1(u\dot{z})$$
 (2.18)

where K  $_0^+$  (u) = I and K  $_0$  (u) is given by (2.17), and M  $_0$  (u;z); M  $_0^-$ 1 ( u;z) are de ned as

$$M_{0}(u\dot{z}) = R_{0R}(u + c_{R})T_{0}(u\dot{z}); \qquad M_{0}^{1}(u\dot{z}) = T_{0}^{1}(u\dot{z})R_{0R}(u c_{R})$$
 (2.19)

Here  $c_R$  is a constant decided by the right boundary. Now one can prove that U (u) satisfies the rejecting equation

$$R_{12}(u \quad v)U_1(u)R_{12}(u + v)U_2(v) = U_2(v)R_{12}(u + v)U_1(u)R_{12}(u \quad v);$$
 (2.20)

In order to derive the corresponding H am iltonian we  $\ \, rst \,\, recover$  the hom ogeneous case taking  $z_i = 0; i = 1; : N \,\,$  and the new transfer matrix

$$X (u) = Tr(K_0^+(u)M_0(u)K_0(u)M_0^1(u))$$
 (2.21)

The Ham iltonian can be obtained by

$$H = \frac{d}{du} \ln X (u)_{j_{1}=0}^{1} = \frac{{}^{1}X}{j}_{j+1}^{1} + \int_{j_{1}=1}^{1} + \cosh \left( \frac{z}{j} \right)_{j+1}^{z} + \cosh \left$$

This Ham iltonian describes, beside the bulk, the interaction of the particles with the impurities in the magnetic system. The contribution of the right and left impurities is explicitly in the form of the Ham iltonian.

In the following we will use the algebraic Bethe ansatz [7] to solve the spectrum of X (u) for the inhom ogeneous N -site spin chain.

The double row monodromy matrix can be written as

$$U_a (u \dot{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} A (u \dot{z}) & B (u \dot{z}) \\ C (u \dot{z}) & D (u \dot{z}) \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2.23)

Note that the operators A, B, C and D act on the Hilbert space V  $^{N}$ . The boundary Yang-Baxter relation (2.10) can be rewritten in terms of the operator matrix elements of  $U_a$  (u;z). For convenience we denote the operator

$$\hat{D}(u\dot{z}) = \sinh(2u + )D(u\dot{z}) \quad \sinh A(u\dot{z}):$$
 (2.24)

then we will have the following commutation relations

$$\mathbb{B}(u\dot{z}); \mathbb{B}(v\dot{z}) = 0$$
 (2.25)

$$A (u \not z) B (v \not z) = \frac{\sinh (u + v) \sinh (u \quad v \quad)}{\sinh (u + v + \sin h (u \quad v)} B (v \not z) A (u \not z)$$

$$+ \frac{\sinh (2v) \sinh (u \quad v)}{\sinh (2v + \sin h (u \quad v)} B (u \not z) A (v \not z)$$

$$= \frac{\sinh}{\sinh (u + v + \sin h (u \quad v)} B (u \not z) D (v \not z) \qquad (2.26)$$

$$\hat{D} (u \not z) B (v \not z) = \frac{\sinh (u \ v \ ) \sinh (u + v + 2 \ )}{\sinh (u \ v) \sinh (u + v + 1)} B (v \not z) \hat{D} (u \not z)$$

$$\frac{\sinh (2u + 2 \ ) \sinh (u \ v)}{\sinh (2v + 1) \sinh (u \ v)} B (u \not z) \hat{D} (v \not z)$$

$$+ \frac{\sinh \sinh (2v) \sinh (2u + 2 \ )}{\sinh (u + v + 1) \sinh (2v + 1)} B (u \not z) A (v \not z)$$

$$(2.27)$$

The transfer matrix X (u) can be expressed as

$$X (u\dot{z}) = A (u\dot{z}) + D (u\dot{z})$$

$$= \frac{\sinh (2u + ) + \sinh}{\sinh (2u + )} A (u\dot{z}) + \frac{1}{\sinh (2u + )} \hat{D} (u\dot{z}) \qquad (2.28)$$

Now we de ne a reference state by including the two boundary in purities sites

This reference state is an eigenstate of A (u'z) and D (u'z) and annihilated by C (u'z)

A 
$$(u\dot{z})\dot{D}i = \dot{D}i; \dot{D}\dot{u}\dot{z})\dot{D}i = \dot{d}\dot{u}\dot{z})\dot{D}i; \dot{C}\dot{u}\dot{z})\dot{D}i = 0$$
 (2.30)

w here

$$\hat{d}(u \, \dot{j}_{z}) = 2 \sinh(u) \cosh(u + 1) \frac{Y}{\sinh(u + c_{a}) \sinh(u - c_{a})} \frac{\sinh(u + c_{a}) \sinh(u - c_{a})}{\sinh(u + c_{a} + 1) \sinh(u - c_{a} + 1)}$$

$$\frac{Y}{\sinh(u - z_{k}) \sinh(u + z_{k})} \frac{\sinh(u - z_{k}) \sinh(u + z_{k})}{\sinh(u - z_{k} + 1) \sinh(u + z_{k} + 1)}$$

$$(2.31)$$

The eigenstate of X (u) with M spins down is given by the Bethe state

(fug) = 
$$\underset{a=1}{\overset{\Psi}{\text{B}}} \text{B} (u_a \dot{z}) \dot{D}i$$
 (2.32)

U sing the com m utation relations between operators A (u 支), B (u 支) and D (u 支), we obtain

$$X$$
 (u) (fug) = (u; fug;z) (fug) +  $F_a$  (fug) (2.33)

w here

$$(u; fug; z) = \frac{\sinh(2u + 1) + \sinh}{\sinh(2u + 1)} \frac{1}{\sinh(2u + 1)} \frac{\sinh(u + u_a) + \sinh(u + u_a)}{\sinh(u + u_a) \sinh(u + u_a + 1)} + \frac{\hat{d}(u; z)}{\sinh(2u + 1)} \frac{1}{\sinh(2u + 1)} \frac{\sinh(u + u_a + 1) \sinh(u + u_a + 2)}{\sinh(u + u_a) \sinh(u + u_a + 1)}$$

$$(2.34)$$

$$F_{a} = \begin{cases} 82 \\ 4 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{$$

and

$$^{a}$$
 (fug) = B ( $u$  $\dot{z}$ ) B ( $u$ <sub>b</sub> $\dot{z}$ )  $\dot{y}$ i (2.36)

The relation (2.33) shows that the Bethe state (fug) is an eigenstate of the transfer matrix X (u) under the condition  $F_a = 0$ , a = 1; ...; M, i.e.

$$\frac{Y^{i}}{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)} \frac{\sinh(u_{a} + z_{b} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} + z_{b})} \frac{\sinh(u_{a} + z_{b} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} + z_{b})} = \frac{\cosh^{2}(u_{a} + 1)}{\cosh^{2}u_{a}}$$

$$\frac{Y}{\sinh(u_{a} + c_{j}) \sinh(u_{a} + c_{j})} \frac{\sinh(u_{a} - c_{j})}{\sinh(u_{a} - c_{j})} \frac{Y^{i}}{\sinh(u_{a} - u_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} + u_{b} + 2)} \frac{\sinh(u_{a} + u_{b} + 2)}{\sinh(u_{a} + u_{b} + 2)} = \frac{\cosh^{2}(u_{a} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} - u_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} + u_{b} + 2)}$$

$$\frac{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)} = \frac{\cosh^{2}(u_{a} + 1)}{\cosh^{2}(u_{a} + 1)}$$

$$\frac{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} + z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)} = \frac{\cosh^{2}(u_{a} + 1)}{\cosh^{2}(u_{a} + 1)}$$

$$\frac{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)} = \frac{\cosh^{2}(u_{a} + 1)}{\cosh^{2}(u_{a} + 1)}$$

$$\frac{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)} = \frac{\cosh^{2}(u_{a} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)}$$

$$\frac{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)} = \frac{\cosh^{2}(u_{a} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)}$$

$$\frac{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)} = \frac{\cosh^{2}(u_{a} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)}$$

$$\frac{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1) \sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} - z_{b} + 1)} = \frac{\cosh^{2}(u_{a} + 1)}{\sinh(u_{a} -$$

This equation with  $z_k = 0$  corresponds to the Bethe ansatz equation for XXZ spin chain with impurities derived in [29].

## 3 The Gaudin magnet

We will show how the Gaudin magnet with impurity can be derived from the identity (2.33). The Gaudin magnet can be obtained by taking the quasi-classical limit! Of the transfer matrix for the inhomogeneous spin chain [1]. This fact indicates that the Hamiltonian is written in terms of the solution of the classical YBE.

Due to the quasi-classical condition, we have the power series expansion around the point = 0 for each term in (2.33,2.34).

$$X (u = z_{1}) = + {}^{2}H_{1} + o({}^{3}); (u = z_{1}) = + {}^{2}E_{1} + o({}^{3}); (3.1)$$

and from (2.35) we have

$$F_{a} = \frac{2 \sinh z_{j} \cosh v_{a}}{\sinh (z_{i} + v_{a}) \sinh (z_{i} + v_{a})} f_{a} + o(^{3})$$
(3.2)

where we have the Hamiltonian Hi:

$$H_{j} := \frac{X^{N}}{\sinh(z_{j} + z_{k})} \frac{1}{\sinh(z_{j} + z_{k})} \int_{j}^{+} \int_{k}^{+} + \int_{j}^{+} \int_{k}^{+} + \int_{k}^{+} \int_{k}^{+}$$

the energy E i:

$$E_{j} = \frac{1}{\sinh{(2z_{j})}} + \sum_{k=L;R}^{X} \left[ \coth{(z_{j} - c_{k})} + \coth{(z_{j} + c_{k})} \right]$$

$$X^{M} \left[ \coth{(z_{j} - v_{a})} + \coth{(z_{j} + v_{a})} \right]$$

$$= 1$$
(3.4)

and the unwanted factor  $f_a$ :

Note that we have used the notation  $(u = z_j)$  to mean the residue at  $u = z_j$ . The integrability of these Hamiltonians follow from their commutativity

$$[H_{j};H_{k}] = 0; \quad j = 1::::N$$
 (3.6)

which is obtained from the  $com\ m$  utativity of the transfer m atrix.

The Bethe states (2.32) and (2.36) have the following expansions

(v) = 
$$M + o(M+1)$$
;  $a(z_j) = M + o(M)$  (3.7)

with

$$= \sum_{a=1}^{M} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sinh(v_a - z_k)} + \frac{1}{\sinh(v_a + z_k)} \right)$$
(3.8)

and

$$a = \bigvee_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq i \ a}} (X^{N}) \frac{1}{\sinh(v_{b} + z_{k})} + \frac{1}{\sinh(v_{b} + z_{k})}$$
 (3.9)

where  $\mathbf{Z}_{\,\mathtt{a}}\,$  are the impurity contributions

$$Z_{a} = \frac{1}{\sinh(v_{a} - c_{L})} + \frac{1}{\sinh(v_{a} + c_{L})} - \frac{1}{\sinh(v_{a} - c_{L})} + \frac{1}{\sinh(v_{a} - c_{R})} + \frac{1}{\sinh(v_{a} + c_{R})} - \frac{1}{\sinh(v_{a} + c_{R})}$$
(3.10)

When we combine the terms proportional to  $^{M+1}$  in (2.33), we obtain the so-called o-shell Bethe ansatz equation

$$H_{j} = E_{j} + \sum_{a=1}^{M} \frac{2 \sinh z_{j} \cosh v_{a}}{\sinh (z_{j} + v_{a}) \sinh (z_{j} + v_{a})} f_{a} \qquad j = 1; ...; N$$
 (3.11)

This equation suggests the Bethe state (3.8) is an eigenstate of the G audin's H am iltonian H  $_{\rm j}$ , if the set of rapidities fv $_{\rm a}$ g satisfy  $f_{\rm a}$  = 0 (a = 1;:::;M), i.e. the Bethe equations:

We have derived the eigenstate and the energy of the  $X \times Z$ -type G audin m agnet coupled with impurity spin with dierent coupling constants  $c_L$  and  $c_R$  on the boundary.

### 4 The Knizhnik-Zam olodchikov equation

W e consider the K Z equation

$$O_{j} = 0; \quad j = 1; ...; N$$
 (4.1)

where the dierential operator O  $_{\rm j}$  is dened by use of G audin's H am iltonians H  $_{\rm j}$  (3.3):

$$O_{j} = \frac{\varrho}{\varrho z_{j}} \quad H_{j} \tag{4.2}$$

and is an arbitrary parameter. The integrable condition for a set of the KZ-type dierential operators  $0_1$ ,

$$[O_{j};O_{k}] = 0$$
 for  $j;k = 1;:N$  (4.3)

is satis ed by the condition

$$\frac{\text{@H }_{j}}{\text{@z}_{k}} = \frac{\text{@H }_{k}}{\text{@z}_{j}} \tag{4.4}$$

Following the idea of [8], we de ne the hypergeom etric function X (v'z) by a set of dierential equations

$$\frac{e^{(x)}(v_{z})}{e^{(x)}} = E_{j}X(v_{z}); j = 1; ...; N 
\frac{e^{(x)}(v_{z})}{e^{(x)}} = f_{a}X(v_{z}); a = 1; ...; M$$
(4.5)

The integrability of these di erential equations follows from the conditions

$$\frac{\partial E_{j}}{\partial z_{k}} = \frac{\partial E_{k}}{\partial z_{j}}; \qquad \frac{\partial E_{j}}{\partial v_{a}} = \frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial z_{j}}; \qquad \frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial v_{b}} = \frac{\partial f_{b}}{\partial v_{a}}; \qquad (4.6)$$

In fact, it is straightforward to solve the di erential equations (4.5); its solution is the function

One can introduce the wavefunction (z) in a integral form, which has a hypergeom etric kernel [31], as

$$(z) = \int_{C}^{A} dv_a X (v \dot{z}) (v \dot{z})$$

$$(4.8)$$

The integration path C is taken over a closed contour in the R iem ann surface such that the integrand resum es its initial value after  $v_a$  has described it. The integral function (z) is in fact a solution of the KZ equation (4.1).

To prove (4.1) we use the fact that the Bethe state (3.8) satis es

$$\frac{0}{0 \, z_{j}} = \frac{X^{M}}{\sin h^{2} \, (v_{a} \, z_{j})} = \frac{\cosh (v_{a} + z_{j})}{\sinh^{2} \, (v_{a} + z_{j})} = \frac{\cosh (v_{a} + z_{j})}{\sinh^{2} \, (v_{a} + z_{j})}$$
(4.9)

where  $_a$  is de ned in (3.9). One sees that the function  $_a$  does not depend on  $v_a$ . Then equality (4.1) can be veri ed as

$$\frac{\theta(z)}{\theta(z_{j})} = \int_{C}^{I} \frac{\theta(z_{j})}{\theta(z_{j})} + X \frac{\theta}{\theta(z_{j})} \int_{a}^{\Psi} dv_{a} = \int_{C}^{I} X E_{j} + X \frac{\theta}{\theta(z_{j})} \int_{a}^{\Psi} dv_{a}$$

$$= H_{j}(z) \int_{C}^{I} \frac{x^{M}}{\sinh(z_{j})} \int_{a}^{2 \sinh z_{j}} \frac{2 \sinh z_{j}}{\sinh(z_{j})} \int_{a}^{2 \sinh z_{j}} \frac{\theta(z_{j})}{\theta(z_{j})} \int_{a}^{2 \sinh z_{j}} \frac{\theta(z_{j})}{\theta(z_{j})} \int_{a}^{2 \sinh z_{j}} \frac{\theta(z_{j})}{\theta(z_{j})} \int_{b}^{2 \sinh z_{j}} \frac{\theta(z_{j})}{\theta(z_{j})} \int_{a}^{2 \sinh z_{$$

#### 5 Discussion

We have constructed and solved a Gaudin magnet with impurity. The integral representation of the solution for the correspondig KZ equation was obtained and its rational limit is here given by

$$(z) = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{W} \left[ (v_{a}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (v_{a}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{W} (z_{j})^{1-2} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{R}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) \right]^{1} = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (z_{j}^{2} - c_{L}^{2}) \right]^{1} =$$

where  $dv = {\begin{tabular}{c} $\emptyset$} dv_a$  .

Now, if we use our Bethe reference state (229) as a particular chiral primary eld of the defect conformal eld theory [32] based in the su (2) W ZW conformal eld theory, we conjecture that the integral representation (5.1) should be the candidate for the corresponding M point correlation function since it is a solution of the KZ equation 4.1. Here we note that the corresponding result without in purity was already obtained by Hikami [?].

In this paper we only considered the su(2) XXZ spin chain case. The generalization to the su(n) should be done from the view point of the G audin magnet with impurity [33]. A nother also interesting case to be considered is the elliptic XYZ G audin magnet with impurity.

A cknow ledgment: This work was supported in part by Fundacao de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de Sao Paulo-FAPESP-Brasil and by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento-CNPq-Brasil.

### R eferences

- [1] Gaudin M 1976, Le Journal de Physique, 37 1087
- [2] Sklyanin E K 1989, J. Sov. M ath. 47 2473
- [3] Sklyanin E K and Takebe T 1996, Phys. Lett. 219A 2473
- [4] Sklyanin E K 1999, Lett. M ath. Phys. 47 275
- [5] Jurco B 1989, J. M ath. Phys 30 1739
- [6] HikamiK, Kulish P P and W adatiM 1992, J. Soc. Japan 61 3071
- [7] Sklyanin E K 1988, J. Phys A: Math. Gen. 21 2375
- [8] Babujian H M 1993, J. Phys A: Math. Gen. 26 6981
- [9] Babu jian H M, Lim a-Santos A and Poghossian R H 1999, Int. J. M od. Phys. A 14 615

- [10] HikamiK 1995, J. Phys A: Math. Gen. 28 4997
- [11] Gould M D, Zhang Y-Z and Zhao S-Y 2002, Nucl. Phys. B 630 492
- [12] Yang W -L, Zhang Y -Z and Gould M D 2004, Nucl. Phys. B 698 503
- [13] Knizhnik V G and Zam olodchikov A B 1984, Nucl. Phys. B 247 83
- [14] Hasegawa, HikamiK and WadatiW 1994, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 63 2895
- [15] Feigin B, Frenkel E and Reshetikhin N 1994, Commun. Math. Phys. 166 27
- [16] Lim a-Santos A 1999, Nucl. Phys. B 543 (499
- [17] Lim a-Santos A and Utiel Wagner 2001, Nuclear Physics B 600 512
- [18] Kurak V and Lim a-Santos A 2004, Nuclear Physics B 701 497
- [19] Kane C L and Fisher M P A 1992, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 1220
- [20] A eck I 1990, Nucl. Phys. B 336 517
- [21] Andrein and Johannesson H 1984, Phys. Lett. 100A 108
- [22] Schlottm ann P 1991, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 3 6619
- [23] GhoshalS and Zam olodchikov A 1994, Int. J. M cd. Phys. A 9 3841
- [24] Mezincescu L and Nepomechie R I 1991, J. Phys A: Math. Gen. 24 L17
- [25] Fring A and Koberle R 1995, Int. J. M od. Phys. A 10 739
- [26] W ang Y and Voit J 1996, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 4934
- [27] W ang Y, DaiJ, Hu Z and Pu F-C 1997, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 1901
- [28] W ang Y 1997, Phys. Rev. B 56 14045
- [29] Chen S, W ang Y and Pu F-C 1998, J. Phys A: Math. Gen. 31 4619
- [30] Faddeev L D and Takhta an L A 1981, Phys. Lett. 85A 375
- [31] Schechtm an V V and Varchenko A N 1990, Lett. M ath. Phys. 20 279
- [32] deW olfe O and M ann N 2004, JHEP 0404 035 (hep-th/0401041)
- [33] Babu jian H M and FlumeR 1994, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 9 2029