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#### Abstract

. W e present a fam ily of birational transform ations in $C P_{2}$ depending on two, or three, param eters which does not, generically, preserve m erom orphic two-form s. W ith the introduction of the ontit of the critical set (vanishing condition of the Jacobian), also called \post-critical set", we get som e new structures, some "non-analytic" two-form which reduce to $m$ erom onphic twoform $s$ for particular subvarieties in the param eter space. On these subvarieties, the iterates of the critical set have a polynom ial grow th in the degrees of the param eters, while one has an exponential grow th out of these subspaces. The analysis of our birational transform ation in C $P_{2}$ is rst carried out using D illerFavre criterion in order to nd the com plexity reduction of the mapping. The integrable cases are found. The identi cation between the com plexity grow th and the topological entropy is, one $m$ ore tim e, veri ed. W e perform plots of the post-critical set, as well as calculations of Lyapunov exponents for $m$ any orbits, con m ing that generically no $m$ erom orphic two-form can be preserved for this $m$ apping. These birational transform ations in C $P_{2}$, which, generically, do not preserve any merom orphic two-form, are extrem ely sim ilar to other birational transform ations we previously studied, which do preserve m erom orphic tw o-form s. $W$ e note that these two sets of birational transform ations exhibit totally sim ilar results as far as topological com plexity is concemed, but drastically di erent results as far as a $m$ ore $\backslash$ probabilistic" approach ofdynam icalsystem $s$ is concemed (Lyapunov exponents). W ith these exam ples we see that the existence of a preserved $m$ erom onphic two-form explains $m$ ost of the (num erical) discrepancy betw een the topological and probabilistic approach of dynam ical system s.
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1. Introduction: Topological versus probabilistic $m$ ethods in discrete dynam ical system s

T w o di erent approaches exist for studying discrete dynam icalsystem s and evaluating the com plexity of a dynam ical system : a topological approach and a probabilistic approach. A topologicalapproach will, for instance, calculate the topologicalentropy, the grow th rate of the A mold complexity, or the grow th rate of the successive degrees when iterating a rational, or birational, transform ation. T his, quite algebraic, topological approach is universal: one counts integers (like some set of points, number of xed points for the topological entropy, num ber of intersection points for the A mold com plexity, or like the degrees of successive polynom ials occurring in the iteration of rational or birational transform ations). This universality is a straight consequence of the fact that integer counting rem ains invariant under any (reasonable) reparam etrization of the dynam ical system. $N$ ot surprisingly this (algebraic) topological approach can be rephrased, or $m$ athem atically revisited (at least [1] in $C P_{2}$, and even [2] in $C P_{n}$ ), in the fram ew ork [1] of a $H^{1 ; 1}$ cohom ology of curves in com plex pro jective spaces ( $C P_{2}, C P_{1} C P_{1}$ ). In this topologicalapproach, the dynam ical system $s$ are seen as dynam ical system $s$ of com plex variables and, in fact, com plex projective spaces.

The probabilistic (ergodic) approach, probably dom inant in the study of dynam ical system $s$, is less universal, and am ounts to describing generic onbits, introducing som e (often quite abstract) positive invariant m easures, and other related concepts like the $m$ etric entropy (integral over a $m$ easure of Lyapunov exponents in a Pesin's form ula (3). R oughly speaking, we m ight say that a phenom enological approach consisting in the plot of as $m$ any real orbits as possible (phase portraits), or in the calculation of as $m$ any Lyapunov exponents as possible, in order to get som e hint of the \generic" situation, also belongs to that probabilistic approach. In this probabilistic approach the dynam ical system s are traditionally seen as dynam ical system s of real variables, dom inated by real functional analysis (sym bolic dynam ics, G evrey analyticity, ...), and di erential geom etry [4] (di eom orphism s, ...).

The fact that these two approaches, the \hard" one and the \soft" one, may provide (disturbingly) di erent descriptions of dynam ical system $s$ is known by some $m$ athem aticians, but is hardly $m$ entioned in $m$ ost of the graduate textbooks on discrete dynam ical system $s$, which, for heuristic reasons, try to avoid this question, im plicitly prom oting, in its $m$ ost extrem e form, the idea that $m$ ost of the dynam ical systems would be, up to strange attractors, hyperbolic (or weakly hyperbolic) system $s$, the \paradigm " of dynam ical system s being the linearisable determ in istic chaos of A nosov system s [5, 6]. Of course, for such linearisable system $s$, these two approaches are equivalent. A long this line one should recall J-C . Yoccoz explainingy that the dynam ical features that we are able to understand fall into two classes, hyperbolic dynam ics and quasiperiodic dynam ics: \it may well happen, especially in the conservative case, that a system exhibits both hypenbolic and quasiperiodic features ... we seek to extend these concepts, keeping a reasonable understanding of the dynam ics, in order to account for asm any system saswe can. The big question is then: A re these concepts su cient to understand most system $s^{\prime \prime}$ ?

The description of conservative cases (typically area-preserving $m$ aps, and, $m$ ore y In his ow $n$ address at the Intemational Congress of $M$ athem aticians in Zurich in 1994, or (in French) in (7].
generally, m appings preserving two-form $s$, or $p$-form $s$ ) is clearly the di cult one, and the one for which the distance between the two approaches, the \hard" one and the \soft" one, is maxim um (in contrast w th hyperbolic system $s$ and, of course, linearisable A nosov system s). It is not outrageous to say that dynam ical system s which are not hyperbolic (or weakly hyperbolic), or integrable (or quasiperiodic), but conservative, preserving $m$ erom onphic two-form $s$ (or p-form s), are poorly understood, few tools, theorem S , and results being available.

In general for realistic reversibleyy $m$ appings (which are far from being hyperbolic, or weakly hyperbolic, but closer to conservative system s), the equivalence of these tw o descriptions of drastically di erent $m$ athem atical nature is far from being clear.

This possible discrepancy between these two approadnes (topological versus ergodic), is well illustrated by the analysis of $m$ any discrete dynam ical system $s$ we have perform ed [10, 11, 12, 13, 14], corresponding to titerations of (an extrem ely large class of) birational transform ations. These $m$ appings have non-zero (degreegrow th [14] or A mold grow th rate [12]) com plexity, or topological entropy [13], how ever, their orbits alw ays look like (transcendental) curvesz totally sim ilar to the curves one would get w ith an integrable m apping, and system atic calculations of the Lyapunov exponents of these orbits give zero, or negative (for attracting xed points), values. To a great extent, the regularity of these orbits, and, m ore generally, the regularity of the w hole phase portrait, seem s to be related to the existence of preserved m erom orphic tw o-form s (resp. p-form s) for these birational transform ations [11, 12]. Could it be possible that (when being iterated) a birational transform ation could have a non-zero topological entropy and, in the sam etim e, zero (or very sm all) m etric (probabilistic) entropy, the previous \alm ost-integrability" being a consequence of preserved $m$ erom orphic tw o-form $s$ (resp. p-form s) ?

The existence of a preserved $m$ erom onphic tw o-form corresponds to a quite strong (alm ost algebraic) structure. Naitvely, one can im agine that a discrete dynam ical system with a preserved $m$ erom onphic two-form should be \less involved" than a discrete dynam ical system w ithout such di erential structure. Should the existence of such exact di erential structure be related to the \hard" topological, and algebraic, approach of discrete dynam ical system s (hidden $K$ ahlerian structuresx for birational transform ations, ...), or should it be related to the \soft" probabilistic (ergodic) approach (possible relation betw een \com plex" and \real" invariant m easures ...)? The answer to the previous question will be fundam ental to $\backslash l l$ the gap" between the two approaches or, at least, better understand the discrepancies betw een these tw o descriptions ofbirationaldynam icalsystem s. To answ er this question, one would like to nd two sets of birational transform ations as sim ilar as possible, but such that one set preserves a $m$ erom orphic tw o-form, and the other set does not preserve a merom onphic two-form, in order to com pare the topological and probabilistic approaches on these tw o sets.

A long this line, one should note that we found quite system atically, and surprisingly, preserved $m$ erom orphic tw o-form $s$ (resp. p-form s) for an extrem ely large set of birational transform ations in $\mathrm{CP}_{2}$, and in $C P_{n}, n>2$. Sim ilar results were
yyBy reversible we m ean, atly, invertible: the inverse map is well-de ned, the num ber of pre-im age of a generic point being unique. $N$ ote that the word \reversible" is also used by som e authors [8, (9] to say that the inverse m ap $\mathrm{K}^{1}$ is conjugate to the m ap itself K . $z \mathrm{~T}$ his is the reason why we called these $m$ appings $\backslash \mathrm{A} \mathrm{m}$ ost integrable" in (10).
x O nem ay recall som e exact algebraic (in their essence) results w hich are obtained in som e K alherian fram ew ork [15, 16] (for instance, one inherits, im m ediately, a particular cohom ology and strong di erential structures [4]).
also found by other groupsk for extrem ely large sets of birational transform ations in $C P_{2}$. C ould it be possible that all birational transform ations in C $P_{2}$ preserve\{ a $m$ erom onphic two-form ? W e rst need to nd a rst (and as simple as possible) exam ple ofbirational transform ation in $C P_{2}$ for which one can show, or at least get convinced of, a \no-go" result like the non existence of a m erom onphic tw o-form (even very involved ...).

The paper is organized as follow s: we w ill rst recallvarious \com plexity" results on a rst set ofbirationaltransform ations in $C P_{2}$, preserving $m$ erom onphic tw o-form $s$, and we w ill also recall som e results [1] ofD iller and Favre on the topological approach of the com plexity of these $m$ appings. W e will, then, introduce a slightly modi ed set of birational transform ations in C $P_{2}$ for which we will perform sim ilar topological approach calculations. T hese calculations will provide, for this second set, subcases where $m$ erom orphic tw o-form s are actually preserved. T his topological approach w ill yield us to introduce a fundam ental tool, the onbit of the critical sety, which w ill give som e strong num erical, and graphical, evidence that a m erom onphic two-form does not exist generically for this second set, outside the previous subcases. This nonexistence of a m erom onphic two-form will be con $m$ ed by a large set of Lyapunov exponents calculations, clearly exhibiting non-zero positive Lyapunov exponents for this second set. We will, thus, be able to conclude on the im pact of the existence of a merom orphic two-form on the (apparent num erical) discrepancy betw een the topological and probabilistic (ergodic) approaches of discrete dynam ical system s.

## 2. Two-form $s$ versus invariant $m$ easures

Let us rst recall the birationaltransform ation $k$ in $C P_{2}$ we have extensively studied from a topological (alm ost algebraic) view point, and, also, from a m easure theory (alm ost probabilistic) view point [11]. It is a one param eter transform ation ( 2 C , or 2 R) and it reads [3, 18]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)!k(x ; y)=\left(x^{0} ; y^{0}\right)=y \frac{x+}{x 1} ; x+1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was found [18] that $k$, the $C P_{2}$ birational transform ation [1), preservesz a $m$ erom orphic tw o-form [12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{d x \quad d y}{(x ; y)}=\frac{d x \quad d y}{y d x+1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he tw o-form (2) should not be called a $\backslash m$ easure" since the denom inator $y \quad x+1$ can be negative. The preservation of this two-form corresponds to the follow ing identity betw een the covariant $(x ; y)=y \quad x+1$ and the Jacobian of transform ation $k$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x ; y)=\frac{\left(x^{0} ; y^{0}\right)}{(x ; y)}=\frac{(k(x ; y))}{(x ; y)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

k J.D iller, (private com m unication).
\{ At rst sight, such a strange result would present som e sim ilarity w ith the, still quite $m$ ysterious, \Jacobian con jecture" of the Sm ale's problem s 17].
y A lso called, by some mathem aticians, post critical set, or, in short, \PC". Note that the general fram ew ork we consider here corresponds to birational transform ations having a non-em pty indeterm inacy set, which is the natural fram ew ork when one considers birational transform ations : the $m$ athem atician reader should forget all the theorem $s$ he know $s$ on holom orph ic transform ations (toric $m$ onom ial transform ations, etc.)
z B irationalm apping (1) is a particular case of a two-param eter dependent [18] birationalm apping $k$; , which can also be seen to preserve a $m$ erom onph ic tw o-form [19].

The preservation of this two-form means that this birational mapping can be transform ed, using a (non rational) change of variables, into an area-preserving m apping (see page 1475 of [12], or page 391 in [11]). As far as a \down-to-earth" visualization of the (real) orbits, and, $m$ ore generally, of the phase portraits, is concemed, one sees that this $k$-invariant two-form (2) can actually be \seen" on the phase portrait : near the straight line y $x+1=0$, corresponding to the vanishing of the denom inator of (2) , the points of the phase portrait look like a \spray" of points \sprayed" near a wall corresponding to this straight line (see for instance Figure 2 right, and $F$ igures 3, 4, 6 and 7 in (12]).

This birationalm apping w as shown [13, 18] to have a non-zero topologicalentropy and a degree grow th com plexity (or grow th rate of the A mold com plexity) associated $w$ ith a quadratic num ber (golden num ber), corresponding to the polynom ial $1 \quad t \quad t^{2}$. H ow ever, the extensive Lyapunov exponents calcu lationsw e perform ed, system atically, gave zero values for all the (num erous) orbits we considered (see Figure 3 right, or $F$ igures $5,8,10,21$, and pages 403 to 419 of [11]). The orbits of this $m$ apping look very $\mathrm{m} u \mathrm{u}^{2}$ like curves and, thus, it is not suprising to get zero Lyapunov exponents (see paragraphs 4 and 5 in [11]). This Lyapunov exponent view point, as well as the dow $n-$ to-earth visualization of the orbits, suggests that them apping is \alm ost an integrable $m$ apping" , in contradiction $w$ ith the topologicalview point. R ecalling, just for heuristic reasons, som eP esin's like form ulax, considering the entropy as the integralover \som e" invariant $m$ easure $d$ Lyap of the Lyapunov exponents, it would be natural to ask where the non zero positive Lyapunov exponents are hidden? W here is this apparently \evanescent" invariant m easure of non zero positive Lyapunov exponents? It certainly does not correspond to any $m$ easure describing the previously $m$ entioned \spray" of points (which could be related to the merom onphic two-form (2) ). For invertible m appings like birationalm appings, the know $n$ way [20] ofbuidding invariant $m$ easures as successive pre-im agesk of (alm ost) any point, sim ply does not work. Bedford and D iller [21] show ed how to build such invariant m easure $d$ Lyap corresponding to nonzero positive Lyapunov exponents, for the (invertible) birational transform ation (1). $T$ heir $m$ ethod am ounts to considering two arbitrary curves\{ 1 and 2 (instead of an arbitrary point), iterate 1 w ith k and 2 w ith $\mathrm{k}^{1}$, and consider the lim it set obtained as the intersection of these tw o di erent iterated curves: the invariant $m$ easure em erges as a wedge product $+\wedge$. Such a wedge product construction is actually perform ed in detail in [21] on $m$ apping [1]. T he invariant $m$ easure built that way, can be seen to correspond to an extrem ely slim C antor set, which is drastically di erent from the $m$ erom onphic two-form (2), or, $m$ ore generally, from any invariant $m$ easure one could im agine being associated w ith the previously $m$ entioned spray of points.

It is also worth recalling that Bedford and D iller were also able 21] on this very exam ple, but only for < 0 (where only saddle points occur), to build som e sym bolic dynam ics coding, yielding a 22 m atrix that actually identi es with som e induced
$x$ Such a birationalm apping is not a hyperbolic system, and the various other birational exam ples we have studied are not even quasi-hyperbolic. Pesin's form ula [3] (see also pages 299 and 400 in [11]) is certainly not valid here. W e just recall it for heuristic reasons, just as an analogy.
k N ote that, for such non invertible cases, w efound no contradiction betw een the topological approach and the probabilistic (invariant $m$ easure) approach : for a non-invertible deform ation of we clearly found non zero positive Lyapunov exponents for $m$ ost of the orbits (see paragraph 8 and $F$ igures 27 and 28 in [11]).
\{ T hey m ight even be identical.
pulback $f$ on the cohom ology groupy $H^{2}\left(P^{1} P^{1}\right)$, thus lling, for $<0$, the gap between a real analysis approach of dynam ical system $s$ and an algebraic projective com plex analysis of dynam ical system sz.

This provides a rst answer to the discrepancy betw een the topological and probabilistic approach for such birationaltransform ations (1) (at leastx for < 0): as far as com puter experim ents are concemed, the regions w here the chaos [23, [24, [25, 26] (Sm ale's horseshoe, hom oclinic tangles, ...) is hidden, is concentrated in extrem ely narrow regions.

## 3. A rst fam ily of $N$ oetherian $m$ appings

W e have introduced in [27] a sim ple fam ily of birational transform ations in C $P_{n}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=2 ; 3$; ) generated by the simple product of the $H$ adam ard inverse and (involutive) collineations. T hese birationaltransform ations, we called $N$ oetherian [27] $m$ appingsk, present rem ankable results for the grow th-com plexity, and the topological entropy, in particular rem arkable com plexity reductions for som e speci c values of the param eters\{ of the $m$ apping. These com plexity reductions correspond to a criterion, introduced by D iller and Favre [1], based on the com parison between the orbit of the critical set, or even the exceptional bocus, and the indeterm inacy locus (see below (32)). These $m$ appings have sim ilar properties com pared to the ones given for (1), nam ely a topological entropy, or a degree grow th rate, associated with algebraic num bers, sim ilar phase portraits, and the existence of preserved merom orphic twoform sfor the transform ations in $C P_{2}$, or, in $C P_{n}$, preserved $m$ erom orphic $n$-form $s$, together with n 3 algebraic invariants. In the follow ing we will restrict ourselves to birational transform ations in $C P_{2}$ : som e of the results, we will display in the next sections, generalize, $m$ utatis $m$ utandis, to birational transform ations in $C P_{n}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=3 ; 4$; ) and som e do not.

### 3.1. The $m$ apping

Let us recall [27] the construction of the birational $m$ apping $K$ product of a collineation C and of a non-linear involution, the H adam ard inverse, H , acting on $C P_{2}$. W e consider the standard quadratic hom ogeneous transform ation, $H$, de ned as follow s on the three hom ogeneous variables ( $\mathrm{t} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { x }} \mathrm{x} \boldsymbol{y}$ ) associated w ith $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ :

H : (t;x;y) ! (xy; ty; tx)
Y See the cohom ological approach of D iller and Favre in [1], to get the grow th rate com plexity.
$\mathrm{z} M$ ore recently they have been able to generalize, very nicely [22], all these results to the birational
m appings k ; , depending on tw o param eters [13, 18]. M apping (1) is obtained from k ; by setting
$=0$. This mapping [13, 18], k ; , can also be seen to preserve a m erom orphic two-form. P aper [22] provides explicit exam ples of a 55 m atrix (linear m ap of the P icard group), and a 4 $m$ atrix, encoding the sym bolic dynam ics, such that their characteristic polynom ial both contain a factor associated w ith the polynom ial 1 t $2 t^{2} t^{3}$, corresponding to the (topological) com plexities of our birational fam ily analyzed in [18].
$x$ For $>0$, the situation is far from being so clear.
k In reference to N oether's theorem of decom position of birational transform ations into products of quadratic transform ations, like the H adam ard inverse, and collineations [27].
$\{T$ he param eters correspond to the entries of the collineation $m$ atrix.

We also introduce the following $3 \quad 3 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix, acting on the three hom ogeneous variables ( $\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ):

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}=4 \begin{array}{llllll}
2 & \mathrm{a} & 1 & \mathrm{~b} & \mathrm{c} & 3  \tag{5}\\
\mathrm{a} & \mathrm{~b} & 1 & c^{c} & 5
\end{array}
$$

and the associated collineation $C$ which reads, in term $s$ of the two inhom ogeneous variables $u=x=t$ and $v=y=t$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
(u ; v) \quad\left(\quad u^{0} ; v^{0}\right) & =  \tag{6}\\
& =\frac{a+(b \quad 1) u+c v}{(a \quad 1)+b u+c v} ; \frac{a+b u+(c \quad 1) v}{(a \quad 1)+b u+c v}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he birational $m$ apping $K=C \quad H$, reads, in term $s$ of the two inhom ogeneous variables $u=x=t$ and $v=y=t$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{K}:(u ; v) \quad & \left(u^{0} ; v^{0}\right)= \\
= & \frac{a u v+(b \quad 1) v+c u}{(a \quad 1) u v+b v+c u} ; \frac{a u v+b v+(c \quad 1) u}{(a \quad 1) u v+b v+c u}
\end{aligned}
$$

This birationalm apping (7) conform allyx preserves a tw o-form. A ctually, if one considers the product $(u ; v)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}u & 1)(v & 1\end{array}\right)(u \quad v)$, a straightforw ard calculation show s that $J(u ; v)$, the Jacobian of 7), is actually equal to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u ; v)=\frac{\left(u^{0} ; v^{0}\right)}{(u ; v)}=\frac{u v}{((a \quad 1) u v+c u+b v)^{3}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=a+b+c \quad 1$ and where $\left(u^{0} ; v^{0}\right)$ is the im age of (u;v) by the birational transform ation (7), or equivalently

For $=1$ (i.e. $\operatorname{det}\left(M_{C}\right)=1$ ), the $m$ atrix $M_{c}$, as well as its associated collineation $C$, are involutions, and the tw o-form (9) is exactly preserved.
3.2. D illerFavre criterion: com plexity reduction from the analysis of the onbit of the exceptional locus

W e recall, in this section, the D illerFavre m ethod [1], in order to describe the singularities of the $m$ apping, and deduce com plexity reductions of the $m$ apping. In particular we give, for mapping (7), the equivalent of Lem ma 9.1 and Lem mag 92 of [l].

We assume, here, that condition $c=2 \quad a \quad b$ is satis ed (i.e. $=1$ ). The Jacobian $J(u ; v)$ vanishes on $u=0$, on $v=0$, and becom es in nite when $v=c u=\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & 1\end{array}\right) u+b\right)$.

U sing the sam e term inology as in [1], one can show that the exceptional locusy of K is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(K)=\quad(u=0) ;(v=0) ; \quad v=\frac{c u}{(a \quad 1) u+b} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

x This $m$ eans that the two-form is preserved up to a constant.
$y$ C orresponding to the critical set $J(u ; v)=0$, together $w$ ith condition $J(u ; v)=1$.
and the indeterm inacy locus [1] of $K$ is given by:

$$
I(K)=(0 ; 0) ; \frac{b}{(\mathrm{~b} \quad 1)} ; 1 ; 1 ; \frac{\mathrm{c}}{(\mathrm{c} 1)}
$$

A ctually, for $(u ; v)=(0 ; 0)$, the $u$ and $v$ com ponents of $K$ are, both, of the form $0=0$, for $(u ; v)=(b=(b 1) ; 1)$, the $v$-com ponent of $K$ is of the form $0=0$, and, for $(u ; v)=(1 ; c=(c \quad 1))$, the $u-c o m$ ponent of $K$ is of the form $0=0$.

A s far as the three vanishing conditions (10) of the Jacobian, or its inverse, are concemed, it is easy to see that their successive im ages by K give respectively, when condition $=1$ is satis ed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (u ; 0) \quad!\quad 1 ; \frac{c \quad 1}{c} \quad!\quad 1 ; \frac{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{c} 1)}{\mathrm{nc}(\mathrm{n} \mathrm{1} 1)} \\
& \left(u ; \frac{c u}{(a \quad 1) u+b}\right)!1 ; 1 \quad \text { ! }
\end{aligned}
$$

D o note that the iterates of $E(K)$ for $n=1$ converge towards $(1 ; 1)$ the xed point of order one of $m$ apping $K$.

O ne has sim ilar results [27] for the successive im ages by $K^{1}$ of its exceptional locus.

At rst sight it m ay look rem arkable that the im age by K of curves (like the three vanishing conditions (10) of the Jacobian, or its inverse) actually blow down into points. $T$ his is, in fact, a natural featurez ofbirational transform ations (even in C $P_{n}$ ). Such a phenom enon of blow dow $n$ can only occur for transform ations having a non em pty indeterm inacy set: for instance, it cannot occur with holom orphic transform ations.

O ne rem arks that all these $n$-th iterates (by $K$ or $K^{1}$ ) belong (for $n \quad 2$ ) to the three $K$-invariant lines, nam ely $u=1, v=1$, or $u=v$.
$D$ iller and Favre statem ent is that the $m$ apping $K$ is analytically stable [1] if, and only if, $K^{n}(E(K)) Z I(K) \quad\left(\right.$ respectively $\left.K^{(n)}\left(E\left(^{( }{ }^{1}\right)\right) Z I\left(K^{1}\right)\right)$ for all $n \quad 1$. In other w ords the com plexity reduction, which breaks the analytically stable character of the $m$ apping, will correspond to situations where som e points of the orbit of the exceptional locus ( $K^{n}(E(K))$ ) encounter the indeterm inacy locus I (K). H aving an explicit description of these orbits (see [11) ) for this birational transform ation, one can easily deduce the com plexity reduction situations associated with param eters a, $b$, or $c$, being of the form $(N \quad 1)=N$, where $N$ is any positive integer. For instance, when $a=(M \quad 1)=M \quad(M$ positive integer $)$ and $b$ generic, one gets a com plexity reduction. The com plexity 27] being associated w ith polynom ialk

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=12 t+t^{M+1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$z$ If one considers the set of points where the Jacobian van ishes, also called critical set, and assum e that som e part of th is critical set is not blow $n$ down into a point, then the birationalm apping would not be (locally) bijective. Such points would have, at least, two preim ages in contradiction with the birational character of the transform ation. This sketched proof rem ains valid for a birational transform ation in $C P_{n}$ for $n \quad 3$.
k The degree generating function [12, 18] is a rational expression $w$ ith polynom ial (12) in its denom inator.
and，sim ilarly，when $a=\mathbb{M} \quad 1)=M$ and $b=\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=N \quad(M$ and $N$ positive integens），the com plexity is associated［27］w th polynom ial：

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{M ; N}=1 \quad 2 t+t^{M+1}+t^{N+1} \quad t^{M+N} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

3．3．Beyond the involutive condition：$=a+b+c \quad 1 \not 1$
Let us show that the iterates of the exceptional locus have also explicit expressions when $C$ is no longer involutive（nam ely $(1)$ ．The iterates of $E(K)$ becom e：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.(0 ; v)!\quad \frac{b \quad 1}{b} ; 1 \quad!\quad n \text { 保 }\right) \\
& (u ; 0) \quad!\quad 1 ; \frac{c \quad 1}{c} \quad!\quad \text { (A) } V \\
& u ; \frac{c u}{(a \quad 1) u+b} \quad!\quad 1 ; 1 \quad!\quad X_{n} ; X_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

with：

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{n}(a ; b ; c)=\frac{(b 1)\left((a+b+c \quad 1)^{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1\right)}{(b 1)(a+b+c \quad 1)^{\mathrm{n}}+(a+c \quad 1)}  \tag{14}\\
& V_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c})=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{b}) ; \quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c})=1=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} 1} \quad(\mathrm{~b} ; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{c})
\end{align*}
$$

N ow，the iterates of $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{K})$ in the $\mathrm{n}=1$ lim 斗，depend on the value of $=$ $a+b+c \quad 1$ and read：

$$
\begin{align*}
& j j<1 \quad U_{n}!\frac{1 \mathrm{~b}}{a+c 1} ; V_{n}!\frac{1 c}{a+b 1} ; X_{n}!\frac{b+c \quad 1}{1 a} \\
& j \text { j> } 1 \quad U_{n}!1 ; V_{n} \text { ! } 1 ; X_{n} \text { ! } 1 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

The above lim its are precisely the xed point（s）of order one ofm apping $K$ which read：

$$
1 ; 1 ; \quad 1 ; \frac{1 \mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} 1} ; \quad \frac{1 \mathrm{~b}}{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{c} 1} ; 1 ; \quad \frac{\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c} 1}{1 \mathrm{a}} ; \frac{\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c} 1}{1 \mathrm{a}}
$$

A gain，one rem arksthat all these $n$－th iterates（by $K$ or $K^{1}$ ）belong（for $n \quad$ 2） to the three $K$－invariant lines $u=1, v=1$ ，or $u=v$ ，allow ing a m erom orphic two－form like（9）to be（conform ally）preserved．

For $=1$ ，the four xed points of order one collapse to a only one．For 1， the iterates of the exceptional locus converge to one，orm ore than one，xed point（s） of order one．

## 4．A second fam ily of $N$ oetherian $m$ appings

Let us，now，introduce another set of birational transform ations in $C P_{2}$ ，built in a totally sim ilar way as the $N$ oetherian $m$ appings［27］of the previous section，nam ely as product of a collineation $C$ and the previous quadratic transform ation H（H adam ard inverse（4））．O ur only slight m odi cation is that the 33 m atrix $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ，associated w ith this collineation，is now the transpose ofm atrix $M_{c}$ considered in［27］and previously given in（5）．It is straightforw ard to rem ark that $=a+b+c 1=1$ is，again，the condition for collineation $C$ to be an involution（ $\operatorname{det}\left(M_{C}\right)=1$ ）．In that involutive case it is also straightforw ard to see that $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{N}}$ ，and $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{N}}$ ，are con jugated ： $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{N}}=$ $\mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}^{1} \quad \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{H}^{1} \quad \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H} \quad$ KK H ．Thustransform ations $K$ and $K^{1}$ have necessarily the sam e com plexity．M ost of the results we w ill display
in the follow ing, will be restricted (for heuristic reasons) to this involutive condition
$=a+b+c \quad 1=1$, but it is im portant to keep in $m$ ind that $m$ any of these results can be generalized to the non-involutive case 1 .
$T$ hem apping $K=C \quad H$, in term sofinhom ogeneous variables ( $u=x=t, v=y=t$ ), reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{K}:(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v}) \quad!  \tag{16}\\
& \quad \frac{\mathrm{buv}+(\mathrm{b} \quad 1) v+b u}{(\mathrm{a} 1) u v+a(u+v)} ; \frac{c u v+c v+(c \quad 1) u}{(a \quad 1) u v+a(u+v)}
\end{align*}
$$

W hen written in a hom ogeneous way, it is clear, since the three hom ogeneous variables, as well as the three param eters $(a ; b ; c)$, are on the same footing, that transform ation $K=C \quad H$ must exhibit a symmetry $w$ ith respect to the group of perm utations of the three (hom ogeneous) variables. The sym $m$ etry, induced by this group of perm utations of the three hom ogeneous variables, leads to equivalence betw een $m$ appings $w$ th di erent couple of param eters $a$ and $b$ (w th $c=2 \quad a \quad b)$. The change ( $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$ ) ! ( $\mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{a}$ ) combined with $(u ; v)!(1=u ; v=u)$, and the change $(a ; b)!(a ; 2 \quad a \quad b)$ combined $w$ th $(u ; v)!(v ; u)$, leave the $m$ apping $K$ unchanged. De ning the two involutions

$$
P:(a ; b) \quad!\quad(a ; 2 \quad a \quad b) ; \quad T:(a ; b) \quad!\quad(b ; a) \quad \text { (17) }
$$

the param eter plane ( $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$ ) is composed of six equivalent regions reached by ve transform ations of one region. The ve regions are reached from (e.g.) the region $1 \quad a=2 \quad b \quad a$ by the action ofx $P, T, P \quad T, T \quad P$ and $P \quad T \quad P$. It m eans that the $m$ appings built $w$ ith one of the $m$ atrioes $M_{C}, P \quad M_{c}, T \quad M_{C}, P \quad T \quad M, T \quad P \quad M$, P T P cMare equivalent. A s a consequence, if (a;b) gives the complexity , so do $P(a ; b), T(a ; b), P \quad T(a ; b), T \quad P(a ; b), P \quad T \quad P(a ; b)$ for the corresponding $m$ apping. The xed points of the involutions $P, T$ and $P \quad T \quad P$ lie, respectively, on three lines:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=1 \quad a=2 ; \quad b=a ; \quad b=2 \quad 2 a \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

These three lines present interesting properties as $w$ ill be seen in the follow ing. The xed point of $P$, or $T \quad P$, correspond to a point $a=b=2=3$ in the ( $a ; b$ ) param eter plane (we w ill see below that this corresponds to an integrable mapping). As far as sym $m$ etries in the ( $a ; b$ ) param eter plane are concemed, another codim ension-one subvariety pops out, nam ely the quadric

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}(a ; b)=a^{2}+b^{2}+a b \quad 2(a+b)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is invariant under the ve transform ations $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{P}$. $H$ aving a genus 0 , curve (19) has a rational param etrization.

Condition $C_{0}(a ; b)=0$ occurs as a condition for $K$ to be an order two transform ation not in the whole (u; v) plane, but on some singled-out curve (see the algebraic curve (34) below ). N ote that, an algebraic curve such that $K^{2}$ (u; v) = ( $u$; $v$ ) is necessarily a covariant curve for $K$.
4.1. D illerFavre com plexity reduction analysis on the new $N$ oetherian $m$ appings

In order to perform a complexity reduction analysis on (16), sim ilar to the one displayed in section (32), based on the DillerFavre criterion, let us calculate the Jacobian of $K$, the birational transform ation (16) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u ; v)=\frac{(a+b+c \quad 1) u v}{((a \quad 1) u v+a(u+v))^{3}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{x} N$ ote that $\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{T}$ (or $\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{P}$ ) is an order three sym $m$ etry.

D enoting $J^{(1)}$ the Jacobian of $K^{1}$, one easily veri es that (as it should):

$$
J\left(K^{1}(u ; v)\right) \quad f^{1)}(u ; v)=J(u ; v) \quad f^{1)}(K(u ; v))=+1
$$

The nite set of points of indeterm inacy of the $m$ apping, $I(K)$, and the nite set of exceptional points of the $m$ apping (critical set $J=0$, together $w$ ith condition $\mathrm{J}=1 \mathrm{l}$, $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{K})$, read:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(K)=f I_{1} ; I_{2} ; I_{3} g=(0 ; 0) ;\left(\frac{a}{b} ; \frac{a}{1 a b}\right) ;\left(\frac{a}{b 1} ; \frac{a}{2 a}\right) \\
& E(K)=\mathrm{XV}_{1} ; \mathrm{V}_{2} ; \mathrm{V}_{3} g=(\mathrm{u}=0) ;(\mathrm{v}=0) ;\left(\mathrm{u}=\frac{\mathrm{av}}{\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{a} 1)+\mathrm{a}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us focus on the rst iterates ofone of the three vanishing conditions of the Jacobian $\mathrm{V}_{2}$, nam ely v = 0:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(u_{1} ; v_{1}\right)=\frac{b}{a} ; \frac{1 a b}{a} ; \\
& \left(u_{2} ; v_{2}\right)=\frac{(b \quad 1)}{(a \quad 1)} \frac{\left(C_{2}^{22}+b\right)}{\left(C_{2}^{22}+a\right)} ; \frac{(1 \quad a \quad b}{(a \quad 1)} \frac{\left(C_{2}^{22} a \quad b\right.}{\left(C_{2}^{22}+a\right)} ; \\
& \left(u_{3} ; v_{3}\right)= \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression $C_{2}^{22}$ is given in (22) below. D o note that, in contrast with the situation encountered in the previous section (see (11), (14)), the degree grow th of (the num erator or denom inator of) these successive expressions in the param eters a and $b$ is, now, actually exponential, and, thus, one does not expect closed form $s$ for the successive iterates $\left(u_{N}, v_{N}\right)$. We will denote the degree grow th rate (com plexity) associated w ith the exponential degree grow th ${ }^{N}$ of these $u_{N}$ 's and $v_{N}$ 's (in the ( a ; b) param eters). $T$ his degree grow th rate (in the param eters $a$ and b) of the iterates of the vanishing conditions of the Jacobian depends on the values of $a$ and $b$. In the previous section (see (11), (14)) this degree grow th rate was $=1$ for generic values of the param eters.

Before perform ing any calculation, let us rem ark that, due to the previously $m$ entioned perm utation sym $m$ etry, the nine \D illerFavre conditions" $K^{N}(\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{K})) 2$ I (K ) for com plexity reduction, are related

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
K\left(V_{1}\right) 2 I_{1}() & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{~K}(\mathbb{Z}) 2 I_{1} ; & \mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{1}\right) 2 I_{2}() & \mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2}\right) 2 I_{3} ; \\
\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2}\right) 2 I_{2}() & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{~K}(\mathbb{Y}) 2 I_{3} ; & \mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{3}\right) 2 I_{3}() & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{~K}(\mathbb{Y}) 2 I_{2}
\end{array}
$$

The $m$ ethod in [1] am ounts to solving $K^{N}\left(V_{i}\right) 2 I_{j}$. O ne obtains, for $m$ apping (16), algebraic curves in the ( $a ; b$ )-plane, $w$ ith som e singled-out ( $a ; b$ ) points. These algebraic curves appear, at even orders, as common polynom ials (god) in the com ponents of $K^{N}\left(V_{1}\right) 2 I_{3}$, or $K^{N}\left(V_{2}\right) 2 I_{2}$ or $K^{N}\left(V_{3}\right) 2 I_{1}$. Let us call these algebraic curves associated $w$ th conditions $K^{N}\left(V_{i}\right) 2 I_{j}$, respectively $C_{N}^{13}, C_{N}^{22}$ and $C_{N}^{31}\left(\mathbb{N}\right.$ being even). For instance $C_{2}^{22}$ corresponds to $K^{N}\left(V_{2}\right) 2 I_{2}$, that is $\left(u_{2} ; v_{2}\right)=\left(a=b ; a=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & a & b\end{array}\right)\right.$, which reads $\left(a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\right)(a+b)=0$. These algebraic curves are ( $a ; b$ )-subvarieties of com plexity grow th, for (16), low er than the generic one $(=2)$, and they are related by $P \quad C^{33}=C_{N}^{22}$ and $T \quad C_{N}^{3}=C_{N}^{31}$. They are polynom ials in a;b of degrees $2,6,12,26,48,98$, (for $N=2 ; 4 ; 6 ; 8 ; 10 ; 12$; Since they are calculated from the $u_{N}$ ' $s$ and $v_{N}$ ' $s$ (21) which are rationalexpressions in (a; b) w ith corresponding polynom ials of degree grow ing exponentially like ${ }^{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\mathrm{N}}$, it is not surprising to see the degree of these successive ( $a ; b$ ) polynom ials grow ing exponentially, but w th a lower rate (see A ppendix A).


F igure 1. Polynom ials $C_{N}$ in the ( $a ; b$ ) param eter plane (upper right comer).
$N$ ote that the singularities of these algebraic curves (from a purely algebraic geom etry view point: localbranches, ...) correspond to points ( $a$; b), in the param eters space, forwhich the birationaltransform ation $K$ has actually lower com plexities (see A ppendix A). N ote that the singularities of the curves $C_{N}$ 's contain those of the curves of low er N. A detailed analysis of this set of curves, their m utual intersections, and the relation betw een these intersections, and singled-out (singular) points of the curves, and the associated further reduction of com plexity, will not be perform ed here.
$T$ he polynom ials $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}^{22}$ appearing in this com plexity reduction analysis, are, of course, sym $m$ etric in a and b. Those of the rst orders read:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{2}^{22}= & \left(a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\right) \quad(a+b)  \tag{22}\\
C_{4}^{22}= & \left(a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\right)^{3} \quad(a+b)\left(a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\right)\left(4 a^{2}+7 a b+4 b^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(7 a^{4}+26 a^{3} b+36 a^{2} b^{2}+26 a b^{3}+7 b^{4}\right) \\
& (a+b)\left(6 a^{2}+11 a b+6 b^{2}\right)+\left(2 a^{2}+3 a b+2 b^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

These polynom ials $C_{N}^{i j}(i j=13 ; 22 ; 31)$ have been obtained up to $N=12$. Some of their algebraic geom etry properties (singularities, genus, ...) are sum $m$ arized in A ppendix A.

Let us display these various algebraic curves $C_{N}^{i j}$ in the ( $a ;$ b)-param eter plane. O ne sees, on F igure 1 (upper right comer), that this accum ulation of curves looks, a little bit, like a (discrete) \foliation" of the ( a ; b)-plane in curves sim ilar to a linear pencil of algebraic curves [28], the \base points" of this linear pencil being, in fact, singular points of these $C_{N}$ 's (see A ppendix A) of low er com plexity and som etim es,
(a; b) points forwhich the $m$ apping becom es integrable.
On these algebraic curves $C_{N}^{i j}=0(\mathbb{N}=2 ; 4 ; 6 ; \quad)$, the complexity is given by the inverse of the sm allest root of:

$$
\begin{equation*}
12 t+t^{N+2}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

As N increases, the com plexity reads $=1: 8392 ; 1: 9659 ; 1: 9919 ; \quad$ O ne recovers a fam ily ofcom plexities (depending on N ) already seen for the N oetherian m appings [27] of the previous section and, even, for them apping (1) for $=1=\mathrm{N}$ (see [18). A ctually, one nds a shift of +1 betw een (12) and (23).

In contrast $w$ th the situation encountered $w$ ith the $N$ oetherian $m$ appings of the previous section (32) (see also [27]), the com plexity reduction conditions are now involved fam ilies of polynom ials (exponential degree grow th in the ( $a ;$ b) param eters i.e. > 1), instead of the previous extrem ely sim ple, and separated conditions 27] in the $a, b, c$ variables $(a=(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=N, \ldots)$.

Recalling the com plexity reduction schem e described in section (32) form apping 77), we saw further complexity reductions on the intersections of two complexity reduction conditions $a=(M \quad 1)=M$ and $b=\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=N \quad(M$ and $N$ positive integers) and $\mathrm{c}=2 \mathrm{a} \mathrm{b}$, nam ely fam ilies of com plexities depending on the two integers $N$ and $M$ associated [27] w ith polynom ials 1 2t+ $t^{M+1}+t^{N+1} \quad t^{M+N}$.

By analogy, it is naturalto see ifa sim ilar com plexity reduction schem e also occurs for $m$ apping (16), by calculating the degree grow th com plexity when the param eters a , and b , are restricted to the intersection of two conditions $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{ij}}=0$. A ctually, we have considered the intersection of $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{31}=0$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}^{22}=0$, that we w ill denote sym bolically $C_{2}^{31} \backslash \mathrm{C}_{4}^{22}$, as well as the intersection $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{13} \backslash \mathrm{C}_{4}^{31}$. W e obtained the follow ing generating function in agreem ent w ith the successive degrees (up to $t^{9}$ ) in the corresponding iteration:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{C}_{2}^{13} \backslash \mathrm{C}_{4}^{31}} & =1+2 t+4 t^{2}+7 t^{3}+13 t^{4}+24 t^{5}+43 t^{6}+77 t^{7} \\
& +138 t^{8}+247 t^{9}+\frac{1 t^{3}}{12 t+t^{4}+t^{6} t^{8}} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

$K$ eeping in $m$ ind the shift of +1 between (12) and (23), one $m$ ight expect a form ula like (13) for an intersection $C_{M}^{13} \backslash C_{N}^{31}$ (or $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{M}}^{31} \backslash \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}^{22}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{M ; N}=1 \quad 2 t+t^{M+2}+t^{N+2} \quad t^{M+N+2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is actually the case $w$ ith the previous exam ple (24]) where one has $M=2$ and $\mathrm{N}=4$. A nother exam ple, also in agreem ent w ith 250, corresponds to the intersection $\mathrm{C}_{4}^{31} \backslash \mathrm{C}_{6}^{13}$ for which one gets a rational degree generating function w ith denom inator $12 t+t^{6}+t^{8} \quad t^{12}$.

N ote that such form ula seem s to rem ain valid even when $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{N}$. For instance, for $\mathrm{C}_{4}^{13} \backslash \mathrm{C}_{4}^{31}$ the denom inator of the generating function reads $1 \quad 2 t+2 t^{6} \quad t^{10}$, and for $C_{6}^{13} \backslash C_{6}^{31}$ the denom inator reads $1 \quad 2 t+2 t^{8} \quad t^{14}$, in agreem ent $w$ ith (25) for $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{N}=6$.

O ne sees that one has exactly the sam e com plexity reduction schem e, and the sam e fam ily of com plexity, as the one depicted in Section 3.2) for 27.

H ow ever, one does see a di erence w th the intersection of three conditions. For $m$ apping (7), we saw [27] that the intersection of three conditions $a=(\mathbb{N} 1)=\mathrm{N}$, $\mathrm{b}=(\mathrm{M} \quad 1)=\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{c}=2(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{P}=(\mathrm{P}+1)$, yields system atically integrable $m$ appings. Here the $(a ; b)$ points corresponding to intersection of three conditions
$C_{N}^{\text {ij }}=0$ when they exist, $m$ ay still yield an exponential grow th of the calculations of low er com plexity:

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{C_{6}^{13}} \backslash C_{6}^{31} \backslash C_{8}^{22}=1+2 t+4 t^{2}+8 t^{3}+14 t^{4}+24 t^{5}+40 t^{6}+66 t^{7} \\
+108 t^{8}+\quad \frac{1+t^{3}}{\left(1 \text { t) }\left(1 \quad t t^{2}\right)\right.}=\frac{1+t^{3}}{12 t+t^{3}} \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

We have a sim ilar result for the intersection of the three curves $C_{2}^{31} \backslash C_{6}^{31} \backslash C_{10}^{31}$ w ith a denom inator reading $12 t+t^{4}$.

O ne should rem ark, in contrast w ith $m$ ost of the degree grow th rate calculations we have perform ed for so $m$ any birationaltransform ations [14], that one can hardly nd rationalvalues for the tw o param etens a and b, lying on the various $C_{N}^{i j}$ 'swe have just considered, (and of course it is even harder for intersections of such algebraic curves), such that one would deal w ith iterations of birational transform ations w ith integer coe cients, and factorization of polynom ials with integer coe cients. Such (a;b) points on $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\text {ij }}$ algebraic curves or intersections of such curves, are algebraic num bers. $T$ he degrees of the successive iterates should correspond to factorizations perform ed in som e eld extension corresponding to these algebraic num bers and curves. In practioe, results and series like the ones displayed above (231) , ..., 26) ), cannot be obtained this way. To achieve these factorizations, we have introduced a \oating" factorization $m$ ethod that is described in A ppendix B.

### 4.2. Degree grow th com plexity versus topological entropy

The topological entropy is related to the grow th rate of the num ber of xed points of $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{N}}$ (see [12]). The counting of the num ber of prim itive cycles of order N , for the generic case $[4 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 6 ; 9 ; 18 ; 30 ; \quad]$ gives a rationaldynam ical zeta funct:dr8][

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=\frac{1}{(1 \quad 2 t)(1 \quad t)^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is related to the hom ogeneous degree generating function $G(K)$ by the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t}{g} \frac{d}{d t} g=2 G(K)(t)+\frac{2 t}{1 t}=\frac{2 t}{12 t}+\frac{2 t}{1 t} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Restricted to the curve of com plexity reduction $C_{2}^{22}(a ; b)=0$, the prim itive xed points becom e $[4 ; 1 ; 2 ; 2 ; 4 ; 5 ; 10 ; 15 ; 26 ; 42 ; \quad]$ giving the rationaldynam icalzeta function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=\frac{1}{\left(1 \quad 2 t+t^{4}\right)(1 \quad t)^{2}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, note that this dynam ical zeta function is related to the hom ogeneous degree generating function $G(K)$ (corresponding to $\left.C_{2}^{22}(a ; b)=0\right)$, by the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{t} \frac{d}{d t}=2 G(K)(t)+\frac{2 t}{1 t}=\frac{2 t(1) 2)^{3}}{1} 2 t+t^{4}+\frac{2 t}{1} t \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e thus see, w th these two exam ples (and sim ilarly to the results obtained for the birational transform ations [12, 18] as well as the $N$ oetherian $m$ appings [27]), an identi cation between the grow th rate of the num ber of xed points of $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{N}}$, and the grow th rate of the degree of the iteration (previously studied (16) ), or equivalently, the grow th rate of the A mold com plexity.

Relations (28) and (30) are in agreem ent with a Lefschetz form ulak:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{N}=d_{N}(K)+d_{N}\left(K^{1}\right)+1+1 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{N}$ denotes the num ber of xed points of $K$ or $K^{1}$, $d_{N}(K)$ denotes the degree of $K^{N}$, $d_{N}\left(K^{1}\right)$ the degree $K{ }^{N}$. This form ula (31) $m$ eans that the num ber of xed points is the sum offour \dynam icaldegrees [30]" $0+1+2+3$. Dynam icaldegree 0 is always equal to +1 , 3 is the topological degree (num ber of preim ages: 3 is equalto +1 for a birationalm apping), 1 is the rst dynam icaldegree (corresponding to $d_{N}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ ) and 2 is the second dynam ical degree (corresponding to $d_{N}\left(K^{1}\right)$ ).

Rem ark 1: M ost of the physicists will certainly take for granted that the degree grow th rate corresponding to the iteration of $K$ and its inverse $K^{1}$ identify: $d_{N}(K)^{\prime}\left(K^{N}\right)^{N} ; d_{N}\left(K^{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(K^{1}\right)^{N}$, with $\left(K^{\prime}\right)=\left(K^{1}\right)$. This is actually the case for all the birational transform ations we have studied [18]. In the speci c exam ples of this paper, this is, in the involutive case $=a+b+c 1=1$, a straight consequence of the fact that $K$ and $K^{1}$ are con jugated. M ore generally, th is fact can be proved for all birational transform ations in $C P_{2}$, but certainly not for birational transform ations in $C P_{n}, n \quad 3$ (for instance birational transform ations generated by products of $m$ ore than two involutions, or $\backslash N$ oetherian" $m$ appings products of m any collineations and H adam ard involutions [27], such that K and $\mathrm{K}^{1}$ are not conjugated). A ppendix C provides a sim ple exam ple ofbi-polynom ialtransform ation in $C P_{3}$ such that $(K)\left(K^{1}\right)$.

Rem ark 2: The very de nition of the dynam icalzeta function on $C_{0}(a ; b)=0$ is a bit subtle, and problem atic, since the num ber of xed points for $K^{2}$ (and thus $K^{2 N}$ ) is actually in nite (one has a whole curve (34) of xed points of order two). A pparently, in that case where an in nite num ber of xed points oforder tw o exist, one does not seem, beyond these cycles oforder tw 0 , to have prim itive cycles ofeven order. Introducing the dynam ical zeta function as usual, from an in nite $W$ eil product [18] on the cycles, and taking into account just the odd cycles, one obtains ( $m$ ore details are given in A ppendix D ) that this zeta function veri es a sim ple functionalequation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{2}\right)=\frac{(1-2 t)}{(1+2 t)}\left(1+t^{2}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

show ing that, the complexity is still the generic $=2$ but, this time, w ith an expression which is not a rational function, but som e \transcendental"expression. In order to have a Lefschetz form ula 31) rem aining valid, in such highly singled-out cases for dynam ical zeta functions, one needs to m odify the de nition of the dynam ical zeta function so that it is no longer deduced from an in nite $W$ eil product [18] form ula on the cycles. To be m ore speci $c$, this $m$ ust be perform ed using the so-called [31] \Intersection Theory" which is a (quite involved) theory introduced to cope with isolated points, as well as non-isolated points (curves ...), introducing som e w ell-suited (and subtle) concepts like the notion of multiplicity. All the associated counting of intersection numbers $w i l l$, then, correspond to counting of nite integers (replacing the counting of cycles ...). This is far beyond the scope of this very paper.
k The Lefschetz form ula is well de ned in the holom orphic fram ew ork (see page 419 in 29]), but is $\mathrm{m} u c h \mathrm{~m}$ ore problem atic in the non-holom orphic case of birational transform ations for which indeterm inacy points take place: in very sim ple words one could say that, in the Lefschetz form ula, som e xed points are \destroyed" by the indeterm inacy points. A good reference is [30].

## 5. P reserved $m$ erom orphic tw o-form $s$ in particular subspaces (a;b)

In Appendix E, we show that the degree grow th (in the $(a ; b)$ param eters) for the tterates of the three curves of the critical set (resp. exceptional locus) when the param eters are restricted to $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}=2 \quad 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}=1 \quad 2 \mathrm{a}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=0$, is polynom ial $(=1)$. The iterates are found in closed expressions. Let us show that, in these cases, the $m$ apping $K$ preserves sim ple $m$ erom orphic tw o-form $s$.

On the three lines $b=a, b=2 \quad 2 a$, and $b=1 \quad a=2$, one nds three preserved m erom orphic two-form $s$ reading respectively:


The second, and third, tw o-form sare obtained from the rst one in 33) by respectively $(u ; v)!(v ; u)$ for $b=22 a$, and by (u;v) ! (u=v;1=v) with a! $1 \quad a=2$, for $b=1 \quad a=2$. For the quadratic condition $C_{0}(a ; b)=0$, the $m$ apping preserves the follow ing tw o-form, up to a $m$ inus sign:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u d v}{(u ; v)}=\frac{d u^{0} d f}{\left(u^{0} ; v^{0}\right)} ; \quad \text { where : } \\
& (u ; v)=(b \quad a)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+3 a b\right)\left(1+u^{2}\right) v \\
& (2 b+a)\left(a^{2} \quad b^{2} \quad a b\right)\left(1+v^{2}\right) u  \tag{34}\\
& (b+2 a)\left(a^{2} \quad b^{2}+a b\right)\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)+2(b \quad a)(2 a+b)(a+2 b) u v
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that $(u ; v)=0$ is an elliptic curve.
C onsidering the 25 points ( $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$ ), listed in A ppendix F , for which the m apping is integrable, one can see that they all belong to the codim ension-one subvarieties of the $(a ; b)$ plane, where preserved $m$ erom onphic tw o-form $s$ are found, i.e. the curve $C_{0}(a ; b)=0$ and/or the lines $b=a, b=2 \quad 2 a, b=1 \quad a=2$ (see $F$ igure 1, low er left comer).

Furtherm ore, when these codim ension-one subvarieties intersect, the deduced ( $a$; b) points correspond to integrability of the $m$ apping. The algebraic invariants corresponding to these integrability cases, can easily be deduced from the fact that, at the intersection of tw o curves am ong $C_{0}(a ; b)=0$, and the lines $b=a, b=2 \quad 2 a$, $b=1 \quad a=2$, one necessarily has two simple two-form s preserved (up to a sign). $P$ erform ing the ratio oftw o such tw o-form s one im $m$ ediately gets algebraic invariants of the integrablem apping. See A ppendix $F$ for exam ples of algebraic invariants deduced, for integrable points ( $a ; b$ ), from ratio of tw o preserved two-form $s$.

Rem ark: O ne may have the feeling that the exact results on preserved $m$ erom orphic tw o-form $s$, or in the previous sections on com plexity reduction for (16), are consequences of the fact that we restricted ourselves to $=a+b+c \quad 1=1$, the condition for collineation $C$ to be involutive (yielding $K$ and $K^{1}$ to be conjugate). $T$ his is not the case. $W$ e give in A ppendix $G m$ iscellaneous exam ples of exact results valid when this involutive condition on $C$ is not veri ed ( $=a+b+c 1$ 1).

It is tem pting, after such an accum ulation of preserved two-form s , to see the previous results (33), (34) as a restriction to these codim ension-one subvarieties in the
( $a$; b)-plane, of a general (conform ally preserved) $m$ erom onphic tw o-form valid in the whole $(a ; b)$-plane. In view of the expressions of the two-form $s$ for the three lines on one side, and the expression associated w ith the elliptic curve (34) on the other side, one could expect, at rst sight, this m erom onphic tw o-form to be quite involved. U sing a "brute force" $m$ ethod we have tried to seek, system atically, for $m$ erom onphic tw $0-$ forms $d(u ; v)=d u \quad d v=(u ; v)$, with an algebraic (polynom ial) covariant (u;v) in the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u ; v)=X_{i=0}^{X_{1} X^{n_{2}}} C_{i j} u^{i} v^{j}: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The existence of such a polynom ial covariant curve is ruled out up to $n_{1}=n_{2}=18$. Form al calculations seem hopeless here, in particular if the nal result is a non existence of such an algebraic covariant of (16) for generic $a ; b$. O ne needs to develop another approach that $m$ ight be also valid to prove a $\backslash n o$ go" result like the non existence of an algebraic covariant (u; v), and beyond, the non existence of a \transcendental" covariant (u; v), corresponding to som e analytic but not algebraic curve\{.
6. Orbit of the critical set: algebraic curves versus chaotic sets

W hen a preserved (resp. conform ally preserved) m erom onphic tw o-form du dv= (u; v) exists, one has the follow ing fiundam ental relation (3) betw een the algebraic expression ( $u ; v$ ) and the Jacobian of transform ation $K$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v}))=\quad \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v}) \quad(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v}) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a constant. W hen $=+1$ the two-form is preserved. W hen there exists an integer $M$, such that ${ }^{M}=1$, the transform ation $K^{M}$, instead of $K$, preserves a two-form. W hen $\xi+1$ (for any $M, M \notin+1$ ), it is just conform ally preserved. Let us restrict the previous fundam ental relation (36) to a point (u; v) such that the Jacobian of transform ation $K$ vanishes, $J(u ; v)=0$. The fiundam ental relation (36) necessarily yields for such a point:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(K(u ; v))=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

For birational transform ations, the im ages of the curves $J(u ; v)=0$ are not curves but blow down into set of points. For m apping (16), the vanishing condition $J(u ; v)=0$ splits into three curves $u=0, v=0$ and $u=a v=(v(a \quad 1)+a)$. The im age of these three curves blow down into three points ( $\left.u^{(1)} ; \mathrm{v}^{(1)}\right)$, ( $\mathrm{u}^{(2)} ; \mathrm{v}^{(2)}$ ) and $\left(u^{(3)} ; v^{(3)}\right)$. Being covariant, ( $u ; v$ ) not only vanishes at these points (i.e.
$\left(u^{(i)} ; v^{(i)}\right)=0$ for $\left.i=1 ; 2 ; 3\right)$, but also on their orbits:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{N}\left(u^{(i)} ; v^{(i)}\right)=0 ; \quad N=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; i=1 ; 2 ; 3 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can thus construct a (generically) in nite set ofpoints on (u; v) = 0, as orbits of such \singled-out" points ( $\mathrm{u}^{(\mathrm{i})} ; \mathrm{v}^{(\mathrm{i})}$ ) and visualize them, whatever (the accum ulation of) this set of points is (algebraic curves, transcendentalanalyticalcurves, chaotic set of points, ...).
\{ A long this line, one should recall the occurrence of a transcendental invariant for a birational m apping given by the ratio of products of sim ple Gamm a functions, providing an exam ple of \transcendental" integrability (see equation (31), paragraph 7 of [27], or equation (20), paragraph (8.3) in [32], or equation (3.3) in (33]).


Figure 2 . O nbit of the critical set for $(a ; b)=(0 ; 1: 9)$

Before visualizing som e orbits, let us underline that (38) m eans that the iterates of the critical set, also called post-critical set, actually cancel (u; v). These iterates are known in closed form $s$ for some subspaces. For instance, on the line $b=a$, the iterates are given in A ppendix E in term s ofC hebyshev polynom ials. A these iterates
$u_{\mathrm{N}}^{(\mathrm{i})} ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{N}}^{(\mathrm{i})} \quad$, w ith closed expressions, one has $u_{\mathrm{N}}^{(\mathrm{i})} ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{N}}^{(\mathrm{i})}=0$.
The m erom orphic two-form $s$ found in Section (5) (see (33)), actually correspond to situations such that the post-critical set (resp. the orbit of the exceptional locus) has $=1$, closed expressions being available to describe all these points (C hebyshev polynom ials, ...). The generic exponential grow th (in the param eters) of the $u_{N}^{(i)} ; v_{N}^{(i)}$ (nam ely 2 ), certainly exchudes (even very involved) algebraic expressions (35) for ( $u$; $v$ ), but it $m$ ay not exclude transcendental analytical curves (like the transcendental curves (31) in paragraph 7 of [27], or the transcendental curves (20) in [32], which are orbits of a birational transform ation exhibiting som e \transcendental" integrability [27, 33].)


F igure 3 . O nbit of the critical set for $(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=(2 ;: 7)$

### 6.1. V isualization of post-critical sets

Let us visualize a few post-critical sets. In the cases where a m erom onphic two-form is actually preserved (see (33)), one easily veri es that the orbit of ( $u^{(1)}$; $v^{(1)}$ ) = ( $b=a ;(1 \quad a \quad b)=a)$, actually yields the (whole) covariant condition (u; v) $=0$ corresponding to the divisor of a m erom onphic two-form when such a m erom onphic two-form has been found. O f course if one perform siterations of other points (even very close) than the singled out points as ( $b=a$; ( $\left.\begin{array}{lll}1 & a & b\end{array}\right)=a$ ), one w ill not get such algebraic covariant curve $(u ; v)=0$, but $m$ ore involved orbits. In contrast for param eters ( $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$ ) for which no m erom orphic two-form was found, we see a drastically di erent situation, show $n$ in $F$ igure 2, corresponding to the orbit of $\left(b=a ;\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & a \\ b\end{array}\right)=a\right)$ (im age by transform ation $K$ of one of the vanishing conditions for the Jacobian) for $(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=(0 ; 1: 9)$.
$T$ his post-critical set ( $F$ igure (2) looks very m uch like a set of curves, a \foliation"
 $(a ; b)=(2 ;: 7) . W$ ith these two onbits it is quite clear that this set of points cannot be a sim ple algebraic curve (u; v) $=0$.

At this step the \true" nature of this set of points is alm ost a \m etaphysical"

$F$ igure 4. The orbit of the critical set in the \com pact" variables $u_{c}$ and $v_{C}$, for (a;b) = ( $2 ;$ :72)
question: is it a transcendental analytical curve in nitely winding, is it a chaotic fractal-like set ... ? In particular when one takes a larger fram e for plotting the orbit, the set of points becom es m ore fuzzy, and it becom es more and more di cult, to see if these points are organized in curves, like Figure 2 which suggests an (in nite ...) accum ulation of curves. $W$ e have encountered $m$ any tim es such a situation (see paragraph 5.1 and $F$ igures 13 and 14 in [11]). A w ay to cope w ith the fuzzy appearance of the orbit when the points go to in nity, is to perform a change of variables (see paragraph 5 in [11]): ( $u ; v)$ ! $(1=u ; 1=v)$. A gain one has the im pression to see som e kind of \foliation of curves" for the previously fuzzy points, but the points that were seen in Figure 2 as organized like a \foliation" of curves, have now (in some kind of \push-pull gam e") become fuzzy sets. O ne way to avoid this \push-pull" problem, and thus, \see the global picture" am ounts to perform ing our plots in the variables $u_{c}=u=\left(1+u+u^{2}\right)$ and $v_{c}=v=\left(1+v+v^{2}\right)$. These variables are such that any orbit of real points $w$ ill be in the box $[1 ; 1=3] \quad[1 ; 1=3]$. This trick \com pacti es"autom atically our orbits.

Let us give two examples of such \compactied" im ages of two orbits of ( $b=a$; (1 $\quad \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{b}$ )=a) (im age by transform ation $K$ of one of the vanishing conditions

$F$ igure 5. The onbit of the critical set in the \com pact" variables $u_{c}$ and $v_{c}$, for (a;b) = ( 2 ; :999999999)
for the Jacobian). For $(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=(2 ;: 72)$ one gets F igure 4, and for $(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=$ ( 2; :999999999) one gets F igure 5 .

In the situation where preserved $m$ erom onphic two-form $s$ exist, one sees that, even a very $s m$ all deviation from the ( $b=a ;(1 a c b)=a$ ) point (associated $w$ ith the post-critical set), yields orbits that look quite di erent from the algebraic covariant curve $(u ; v)=0$. In contrast $w$ ith this situation, we see, in the previous cases where no preserved $m$ erom onphic two-form $s$ exist, that a slight $m$ odi cation of the ( $\left.b=a ;\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & a & b\end{array}\right)=a\right)$ point (associated $w$ ith the post-critical set) yields onbits which are extrem ely sim ilar to the post-critical set off igures (3) or (4), or (5). These orbits are \sim ilar", but not converging towards this post critical set. They are, roughly speaking, \parallel" to this post criticalset. T herefore the orbit of the criticalset $m$ ay be seen as a chaotic set, but it is a non attracting chaotic set in contrast with the well-know $n$ strange attractors of $H$ enon bi-polynom ialm appings 34, 35].
6.2. From preserved $m$ erom orphic two-form $s$ and post-critical sets back to xed points

Denoting $\left(u^{0} ; v^{0}\right),\left(u^{\infty} ; v^{\infty}\right), \ldots,\left(u^{(n)} ; v^{(n)}\right)$, the im ages of a point (u; v), by transform ations $K, K^{2}, \ldots, K^{n}$, the preservation of a two-form yields ( $\left.J \mathbb{K}^{n}\right](u ; v)$
being the Jacobian of $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d u d v}{(u ; v)}=\frac{d u^{0} d V}{\left(u^{0} ; v^{0}\right)}=\frac{d u^{(n)} d\left(v^{n}\right)}{\left(u^{(n)} ; v^{(n)}\right)} \\
& \left.J \mathbb{K}^{n}\right](u ; v)=\frac{\left(u^{(n)} ; v^{(n)}\right)}{(u ; v)}=\frac{\left(K^{n}(u ; v)\right)}{(u ; v)} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

From the previous relation, it is tem pting to deduce (a little bit too quickly ...) that the Jacobian of $K^{n}$ is equal to +1 when evaluated at the xed point ( $u_{f} ; v_{f}$ ) of $K^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.J \mathbb{K}^{n}\right]\left(u_{f} ; v_{f}\right)=\frac{\left(K^{n}\left(u_{f} ; v_{f}\right)\right)}{\left(u_{f} ; v_{f}\right)}=+1 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We actually found such strong results for (1), and for many other birational transform ations (w hen, for instance, we evaluated precisely the num ber ofn-cycles, to get the dynam ical zeta function [18]), for which a m erom orphic tw o-form was actually preserved. In fact, even when a m erom orphic tw o-form is preserved, relation (40) (nam ely the Jacobian of $K^{n}$ evaluated at a xed point of $K^{n}$, is equal to +1 ), $m$ ay be ruled out when the xed points of $K^{n}$ correspond to divisors of the two-form. If ( $u ; v$ ), corresponding to a preserved $m$ erom orphic tw o-form, is a rational expression $(u ; v)=P(u ; v)=Q(u ; v)(P(u ; v)$ and $Q(u ; v)$ are polynom ials), the Jacobian of $K^{n}$, evaluated at a xed point ( $u_{f} ; v_{f}$ ) of $K^{n}$, can actually be di erent from +1 , if $P\left(u_{f} ; v_{f}\right)=0$, or $Q\left(u_{f} ; v_{f}\right)=0$. Such \non-standard" xed points of $K^{n}$ are such that $\left(u_{f} ; v_{f}\right)=0$ (resp. $\left(u_{f} ; v_{f}\right)=1$ ), and of course, since ( $\left.u ; v\right)$ is typically a covariant of $K$ (see (36) ), such that (u; v), evaluated at all their successive im ages by $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{N}}$ (for any N integer), vanishes (resp. is in nite):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.J \mathbb{K}^{\mathrm{n}}\right]\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \not+1 ; \quad\left(\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)\right)=0 \quad \text { (resp. } 1\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Perform ing orbits of such \non-standard" xed points could thus be seen as an altemative way of visualization of (u;v) (whatever its \nature" is: polynom ial, rational expression, analytic expression, ... ), this altemative way being extrem ely sim ilar to the one previously described, associated w th the visualization of postcritical sets. Finding by form al calculations a very large accum ulation of such \nonstandard" xed points is not su cient to prove the non-existence of $m$ erom orphic tw o-form s: one needs to be sure that this accum ulation of points cannot be localized on som e unknown highly involved algebraic curve. It is well known that proving \no-go" theorem s is often much harder than proving theorem s that sim ply require to exhibit a structure. H ow ever, as far as this di culty to prove a non-existence is concemed, it can be seen as highly positive and e ective, as far as sim ple \down-toearth" visualization $m$ ethods are concemed. In contrast $w$ th the unique post-critical set, we can consider orbits of a large (in nite) num ber of such \non-standard" xed points of $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{n}}$. The relation between the post-critical set and such \non-standard" sets, is a very interesting one that w ill be studied elsew here.

Let us just consider the birational transform ation (16) for $(a ; b)=(1=5 ; 1=2)$ (where no $m$ erom onphic tw o-form has been found). The prim itive xed points (cycles) and the value of the Jacobian of $K^{n}$ at the corresponding xed points, that we will denote $J$, are given \{ in Table 1.
\{ In these tables $j J j=1 \mathrm{~m}$ eans that, at the xed point of $K^{n}$, the value of $J$ is com plex and lying on the unit circle. Sim ilarly, $J \& 1 \mathrm{~m}$ eans that $J$ is real, $j 丁 j \not 1$ that $J$ is not real.

| n | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 18 | 30 |
| $\mathrm{~J}=1$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{j} \boldsymbol{j}=1$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~J}^{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{j} 丁 \mathrm{j} 1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 |

Table 1: Counting of prim itive cycles for $a=1=5 ; b=1=2$. $J$ denotes $\left.J \mathbb{K}^{n}\right]\left(u_{f} ; v_{f}\right)$.

The num ber of cycles are in agreem ent with the $W$ eil product expansion of the known (see (27)) exact expression of the dynam ical zeta function:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (t)=\frac{1}{(1 \quad 2 t)(1 \quad t)^{2}}=\frac{1}{(1 \quad t)^{4}\left(1 \quad t^{2}\right)\left(1 \quad t^{3}\right)^{2}\left(1 \quad t^{4}\right)^{3}} \\
& \frac{1}{\left(1 \quad t^{5}\right)^{6}\left(1 \quad t^{6}\right)^{9}\left(1 \quad t^{7}\right)^{18}\left(1 \quad t^{8}\right)^{30}} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

To som e extent, the situations where $J=1$, or where $J$ is an $N$ th root of unity, can be \recycled" into a $J=1$ situation, replacing $K^{n}$ by $K^{2 n}$ or $K^{N n}$. H owever, we see on Table 1, the beginning of a \proliferation" of \non-standard"points that cannot be reduced to $J=1$ or $J^{N}=1$, strongly suggesting the non-existence of a m erom onphic two-form. These enum erations have to be com pared $w$ th the ones corresponding to $(a ; b)=(1=5 ; 1=5)$, for which a $m$ erom onphic two-form is actually preserved. We still have the sam e sequence $4 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 6 ; 9 ; 18 ; 30$; of $n$-cycles, associated to the sam e dynam ical zeta function (42), how ever (except for the xed points of order one), all the xed points of order $n \quad 2$ are such that $J=1 \mathrm{y}$.

A long this line, let us considerm apping $K$ on curve $C_{2}^{22}(a ; b)=0$, where, despite the com plexity reduction, no $m$ erom orphic tw o-form has been found. T he calculations are perform ed for the (generic) values $a=12=13 ; b=3=13$ (the num ber of non generic $(a ; b)$ on $C_{2}^{22}(a ; b)$ is nite) and are given in Table 2.

| n | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 26 | 42 |
| $\mathrm{~J}=1$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{j} \boldsymbol{j}=1$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{~J} \mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 14 |
| $\mathrm{jJ} \operatorname{j} 1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 20 |

Table 2: Counting of prim itive cycles for $(a ; b)$ such that $C_{2}^{22}(a ; b)=0 . J$ denotes $\left.J \mathbb{K}^{n}\right]\left(u_{f} ; V_{f}\right)$.

The num ber of $n$-cycles are of course, in agreem ent $w$ ith the $w$ eil product decom position of the exact dynam ical zeta function [29). W e note the same proliferation of \non-standard" xed points of order $n$, in agreem ent w th the nonexistence of a preserved $m$ erom orphic two-form. This last result con ms what we saw severaltim es, nam ely the disconnection betw een the existence (or non-existence)

[^0]of a preserved $m$ erom orphic two-form and (topological) com plexity reduction for a m apping.

### 6.3. P ulHback of the critical set : \ante-critical sets" versus post-critical sets

As far as visualization $m$ ethods are concemed physicists, \fortunately", perform iterations w ithout being conscious of the potentialdangers: birationaltransform ations have singularities and they $m$ ay proliferatez when perform ing iterations. M ore precisely, the critical set (vanishing conditions of the Jacobian) is a set of curves, whose im ages, by transform ation $K$, yield points and not curves (blow-down). In contrast, the im ages by transform ation $K^{1}$ (resp. $K^{N}$ ) of these curves of the critical set (denoted in the follow ing CS) give curves: we do not have any blow -down w ith $K^{1}$ (resp. $K^{N}$ ). This in nite set of curves obtained by iterating the critical set by $K^{1}$, is such that, for som e nite integer $N$, the im age of these curves by $K^{N}$ will blow down into points, after a nite num ber $N$ of iterations. Let us call this set, for obvious reasons, \ante-critical set". T his \ante-critical set" is clearly a \dangerously singular" set of points for the iteration of K . It is also a quite interesting set from the singularity analysis view point [1]. In particular, am ong these \dangerous points" associated with the in nite set of curves ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}=K^{\mathrm{N}}$ (CS), som e are singledout ( $m$ ore $\backslash \operatorname{singular"~...):~the~points~corresponding~to~intersections~of~two~(or~m~ore)~}$ such curves N 's. These singled-out points can, in fact, be obtained by som e sim ple \duality" sym $m$ etries from the points of the post-criticalset. Such \ante-criticalsets", and their associated consequences on the birationaltransform ations K , clearly require som efurther analysis that $w$ ill be perform ed elsew here.
7. Lyapunov exponents and non-existence of m erom orph ic two-form $s$

The previous sim ple visualization approach can be con med by some Lyapunov exponents analysis. Let us consider orbits of a given initial point (for instance $(u ; v)=(2 ; 3))$ under the iteration ofbirationaltransform ation (16) for param eter a xed (for instance $a=1=2$ ), and for di erent values of the second param eter $b$, and let us calculate the corresponding Lyapunov exponent. O ne thus gets the Lyapunov exponent (of what we can call a \generic" orbit) as a function of param eter b. This sim ple analysis is an easy dow n-to-earth way to detect drastic com plexity reductions, the com plexity being not the topological com plexity (like the topological entropy or the grow th rate com plexity) but a less universal (m ore probabilistic) com plexity (like the $m$ etric entropy).
$F$ igures (6), (7) show, quite clearly, non-zero and positive Lyapunov exponents, such results being apparently valid, not only for the Lyapunov exponent corresponding to our singled-out orbit, the post-critical set (see Figure (7)), but, also, for every orbit in the (u; v)-plane (see Figure (6). W ith this scanning in the b param eter we encounter severaltim es the singled-out cases where preserved $m$ erom onphic tw o-form s exist $\left(a=b, C_{0}(a ; b)=0, \ldots\right.$, see (33) ), and we see that these speci c points are singled-out on $F$ igure (6). If instead of perform ing the orbit of an arbitrary point $((u ; v)=(2 ; 3))$ one calculates the Lyapunov exponent corresponding to the postcritical set one nds sim ilar results w ith a quite high volatility (a value of b where the Lyapunov is a $\backslash$ local" $m$ axim um is quite close to a value where the Lyapunov is alm ost zero).
z A re our num erical iterations well-de ned in som e \clean" Zariskispace, could ask $m$ athem aticians?


Figure 6. Lyapunov exponents as a function of param eter $b$, for $a=1=2$, the in itial point being $(u ; v)=(2 ; 3)$.

In order to better understand this volatility, we have perform ed speci c Lyapunov exponents calculations restricted to the singled-out cases where preserved $m$ erom orphic two-form sexist ( $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=0$, ..., see (33) ). In such cases we recover the situation we had [12] w ith birationalm apping [1], nam ely the Lyapunov exponents are zero (or negative on the attractive xed points) for all the orbitswe have calculated (the positive non-zero Lyapunov being possibly on som e \evanescent" slim C antor set [21, 22], see section (2), that w e have not been able to visualize num erically) and the orbits alw ays look like curves. It is clear that com puter experim ents like these, can hardly detect the slim and subtle Cantor sets corresponding to (wedge product) invariant m easure described [21, 22] by D iller and B edford in such situations, associated $w$ ith the narrow regions where non-zero positive Lyapunov could be found: within such (extensive) com puter experim ents we nd, \cum grano salis", that the Lyapunov exponents are \generically" (as far as com puter calculations are concemed ...) zero.
$W$ ith this subtlety in $m$ ind, our com puter experim ents show clearly non-zero positive Lyapunov exponents when there is no preserved $m$ erom orphic two-form and a total extinction of these Lyapunov exponents when such preserved m erom onphic tw o-form s take place.

The occurrence of non zero positive Lyapunov exponents for hypenbolic system $s$,


Figure 7. Lyapunov exponents as a function of param eter $b$, for $a=1=2$, the in itial point being the im age of the critical set.
or dynam ical system s w ith strange attractors is well-know n : this is not the situation we describe here.

## 8. C onclusion

The birational transform ations in $C P_{2}$, introduced in section (4), which generically do not preserve any $m$ erom onphic tw o-form, are extrem ely sim ilar to other birational transform ations w e previously studied [27], which do preservem erom orphic tw o-form s. W e note that these two sets of birational transform ations exhibit totally sim ilarx results as far as topological com plexity is concemed (degree grow th com plexity, A mold com plexity and topological entropy), but drastically di erent num erical results as far as a m ore \probabilistic" (ergodic) approach of dynam ical system $s$ is concemed $x$ In fact identical results: one gets the sam efam ily of polynom ials controlling the com plexity (see 23) or 25) and com pare with (27]).
(Lyapunov exponents). W th these exam ples we see that the existence, or nonexistence, of a preserved $m$ erom orphic two-form explains most of the (disturbing) apparent discrepancy, we saw, num erically, betw een the topological and probabilistic approaches of such dynam ical system s.
$T$ he situation is as follow s . W hen these birational m appings preserve a m ero$m$ onphic tw o-form (conservative reversible case) the (prelim inary) results ofD iller and Bedford [21, 22] on $m$ apping (1]) give a strong indication (at least in the region of the param eter < 0) that the regionswhere the chaos is concentrated, nam ely where the Lyapunov exponents are non-zero and positive, are quite evanescent, corresponding to an extrem ely slim Cantor set associated $w$ ith an invariant $m$ easure given by some wedge product. This nice situation from a di erential view point (existence of a preserved tw o-form ), is the unpleasant one from the com puter experim ents view point: it is extrem ely hard to see the \chaos" (hom oclinic tangles, Sm ale's horseshoe, ...) from the analysis (visualization of the orbits, Lyapunov exponents calculations, ...) of even very large sets of real orbits.

On the contrary, when the birationalm appings do not preserve a m erom orphic tw o-form, the regions where the Lyapunov exponents are non-zero, and positive, can, then, clearly be seen on com puter experim ents.

In conclusion, the existence, or non-existence, of preserved merom onphic twoform $s$ has (curiously) no im pact on the topological com plexity of the $m$ appings, but drastic consequences on the num erical appreciation of the \probabilistic" (ergodic) com plexity.

The introduction of the post-criticalset, nam ely the orbit of the points obtained by the blow -dow $n$ of the curves corresponding to the vanishing conditions of the Jacobian of the birational transform ation, thus em erges as a fundam ental concept, and tool (of topological and algebraic nature) to understand the probabilistic (and especially num erical) subtleties of the dynam ics of such reversible [8, 9] m appings.
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9. A ppendix A: A lgebraic geom etry: singularities of curves as candidates for com plexity reduction

The conditions of reduced com plexity give the points ( $a ; b$ ) that belong to the algebraic curves $C_{N}$. These algebraic curves are such that one has a reduced com plexity for generic point ( $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$ ) on the curve. H ow ever, singularities of these algebraic curves (from a purely algebraic geom etry view point: local branches, ...) can actually be seen to correspond to points ( a ; b) in the param eter plane yielding lower com plexities for the birational transform ation K .

On each curve $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}$, the spectrum of com plexity at the singularities is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
12 t+t^{p+2}=0 ; \quad p=0 ; 1 ; \quad ; N=2 \quad 2 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

For exam ple, a generic point on the curve $\mathrm{C}_{8}^{22}$, has the com plexity grow th $=1: 9980$. $T$ he singularities of this curve are non generic points and have com plexity grow th
, $1 ; 1: 6180 ; 1: 8392$ given by (43) for $N=8 . T$ he next curve $C_{10}^{22}$ with $\quad 1: 9995$, w ill inherit the last three values and adds (since p goes now to 3) , 1:9275. N ote that for a given curve $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}$, the largest value of complexity grow th reached by its singularities is given by $1 \quad 2 t+t^{\mathrm{N}=2}$.

Let us give the generating functions of the degrees $d_{N}$, and genus $g_{N}$, of the successive $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=0$ algebraic curves. Let us also introduce the generating fiunction for $S_{N}$, the num ber of singu larities of the algebraic curves $C_{N}$ :

$$
d_{C}(t)=x_{n=1}^{X^{1}} d_{2 n} \quad t^{n} ; \quad g_{C}(t)=X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} g_{2 n} \quad t^{n} ; \quad S_{C}(t)=X_{n=1}^{X^{A}} S_{2 n} \quad t^{n}
$$

$T$ hey read respectively (for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}^{22}$ up to $\mathrm{N}=12$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{c}(t)=2 t^{2}+6 t^{4}+12 t^{6}+26 t^{8}+48 t^{10}+98 t^{12}+ \\
& g_{c}(t)=0 t^{2}+5 t^{4}+20 t^{6}+73 t^{8}+182 t^{10}+491 t^{12}+ \\
& s_{C}(t)=0 t^{2}+5 t^{4}+15 t^{6}+31 t^{8}+53 t^{10}+113 t^{12}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ he degrees $d_{N}$, the genus $g_{N}$, and the number of singularities $S_{N}$ clearly grow exponentially like ${ }^{2 n}$ w th $<2 . W$ e have no reason to believe that these three generating functions $d_{C}(t), g_{C}(t)$ and $s_{C}(t)$, could be rationalexpressions. Sim ilarly, their corresponding coe cients grow th rates, , have no reason, at rst sight, to be algebraic num bers.

A singularity of an algebraic curve is characterized by the coordinates of the singularities in hom ogeneous variables, the multiplicity $m$, the delta invariant and the num ber of localbranches $r$. In generalm $\quad r$ and $m(m \quad 1)=2$. The equality holds for all the singular points of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}$, how ever, as N increases, som e points do not satisfy the equality. These points are $(a=0 ; b=1),(a=1 ; b=0),(a=1 ; b=1)$ and $(a=0 ; b=0),(a=0 ; b=2),(a=2 ; b=0)$.
10. A ppendix B:Com puting com plexity grow th of points know $n$ in their oating form $s$

Let us show how to com pute the com plexity grow th of generic (algebraic) points on algebraic curves, and how to com pute the com plexity grow th of points know $n$ in their oating form $s$.

To com pute the com plexity grow th for the param eters (a;b) belonging to a whole curve, e.g. $C(a ; b)=0$, we $x v$ (for easy iteration), and we titerate up to order $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{W}$ e elim inate b betw een the num erator of $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{X}$ and the curve $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=0$. $W$ e can obtain factorizable polynom ials $\mathrm{P}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~B} \quad$ O ne counts the degree of $u$ in the polynom ials depending on $X$, and discards the polynom ials $P_{i}$ that contain only $u$. Let us show how this works. O ne considers the curve $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{22}$ given in (22) and com putes the complexity for the param eters a and b such that $C_{2}^{22}(a ; b)=0$. Let us $x v$, and elim inate b. betw een $u_{N} \quad X$ and $C_{2}^{22}(a ; b)$ ( $u_{N}$ is the $N$ th iterated, one $m$ ay take $v_{N}$ instead). O ne gets for the rst four iterations $P\left(X^{2} ; u^{2}\right), P\left(X^{2} ; u^{4}\right), P\left(X^{2} ; u^{8}\right)$ and $P\left(u^{2}\right) P\left(X^{2} ; u^{14}\right)$, where $P\left(u^{n}\right), P\left(X^{n} ; u^{p}\right)$ denote polynom ials in $X$ and $u$ w ith the show $n$ degrees. At step 4, a polynom ialin $u$ factorizes, which $m$ eans that the sequence of degrees in this case is $[1 ; 2 ; 4 ; 8 ; 14 ; \quad]$ instead of the generic $[1 ; 2 ; 4 ; 8 ; 16$; $]$.

The degrees of the curves grow as the iteration proceeds, we may need, then, to com pute the grow th com plexity for points in the (a;b)-plane only known in their oating form. W e introduce a oat num erical $m$ ethod that deals $w$ ith these points
obtained as roots of polynom ials of degree greater than ve. Them ethod starts $w$ ith the param eters in their oating form s. The iteration proceeds to order N , where one solves the num erator, and the denom inator, of the variable (say) $u_{N} . W$ e take aw ay the com $m$ on roots and so on. The com putation is controlled by the num ber of digits used. The com putation $w$ ith the oat num eric $m$ ethod is carried out on the hom ogeneous variables. Let us show how the m ethod works. The param eters a and b are xed, and known, as oating num bers (w ith the desired num ber of digits). The iteration proceeds as (in the hom ogeneous variables ( $x ; y ; t$ ), where we may $x$ the starting values of $y$ and $t$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x!x_{1}=P_{1}^{x}(x)!\quad x_{2}=P_{2}^{x}(x)! \\
& y! \\
& y_{1}=P_{1}^{y}(x)!\quad y_{2}=P_{2}^{y}(x)! \\
& t!\quad t_{1}=P_{1}^{t}(x)!\quad t_{2}=P_{2}^{t}(x)!
\end{aligned}
$$

At each step, solving in oat each expression, am ounts to writing:

The comm on (up to the xed accuracy) term $S\left(x x_{j}\right)$ betw een $P_{i}^{x}(x), P_{i}^{y}(x)$ and $P_{i}^{t}(x)$ are taken aw ay and the degree of, e.g., $P_{i}^{x}(x)$ is counted according to this reduction.
11. A ppendix C : D egree grow th com plexity and the \arrow of tim e"

Let us consider (after V. G uedj and N. Sibony [36, 37]) the follow ing bi-polynom ial transform ation:

$$
K(x ; y ; z)=z ; y \quad z^{d} ; x+y^{2} \quad 2 y z^{d}
$$

Its inverse reads:

$$
K^{1}(x ; y ; z)=z y^{2}+x^{2 d} ; y+x^{d} ; x
$$

W ritten in the hom ogeneous variables $u ; v ; w ; t$, transform ation $K$, and its inverse, becom e:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K(u ; v ; w ; t)=w t^{d} ; v t^{d} \quad t w^{d} ; u t^{d}+v^{2} t^{d 1} \quad 2 v w^{d} ; t^{d+1} \\
& K^{1}(u ; v ; w ; t)= \\
& \quad w^{2 d 1} t^{2} v^{2 d 2}+u^{2 d} ; t^{d}\left(v t^{d 1}+u^{d}\right) ; u t^{2 d 1} 2 v w^{d} ; t^{2 d}
\end{aligned}
$$

$F$ ixing $d=1$, for heuristic reason, the successive degrees of $K^{n}(u ; v ; w ; t)$ read

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{u}=\operatorname{deg}_{v}=\operatorname{deg}_{w}=\operatorname{deg}_{t}=[2 ; 3 ; 5 ; 8 ; 13 ; 21 ; 34 ; 55 ; \quad]
$$

giving the degree generating function

$$
G(K)(t)=\frac{t \quad(t+2)}{1 t^{2}}
$$

while the successive degrees of $\left(K^{1}\right)^{n}(u ; v ; w ; t)$ read

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{u}=\operatorname{deg}_{v}=\operatorname{deg}_{w}=\operatorname{deg}_{t}=[2 ; 4 ; 8 ; 16 ; 32 ; 64 ; \quad]
$$

and give the degree generating function:

$$
G\left(K^{1}\right)(t)=\frac{2 t}{12 t}
$$

T ransform ation $K$ has clearly a golden num ber com plexity di erent, and sm aller, than the complexity $=2$ of its inverse.
12. A ppendix D:A transcendental zeta function ?

In this appendix, we consider the dynam ical zeta function for the param eters ( $a ; b$ ) on $C_{0}(a ; b)=0$. This is a bit subtle since the num ber of xed points for $K^{2}$ (and thus $K^{2 N}$ ) is in nite (a whole curve (34) is a curve of xed points of order two). A pparently one does not seem to have even prim itive cycles (exœept the in nite num ber of twocycles). Introducing the zeta functions as usualby the in nite $W$ eilproduct [18] on the cycles, avoiding the tw o-cycles and taking into account just the odd prim itive cycles one could w rite:

$$
1=(t)=(1 \quad t)^{4}\left(1 \quad t^{3}\right)^{2}\left(1 \quad t^{5}\right)^{6}\left(1 \quad t^{7}\right)^{18}\left(1 \quad t^{9}\right)^{56}\left(1 \quad t^{11}\right)^{186}
$$

Recalling the \generic" expression 27), this expression ( $t$ ) is such that ( $t)_{g}(\mathrm{t})=(\mathrm{t})_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{t})$, and veri es the follow ing functional relation

$$
(t)=\frac{1+t}{1} \quad \frac{1+2 t}{12 t} \quad\left\{=2 \quad\left\langle()^{1=2}\right.\right.
$$

yielding an in nite product expression for $(t)$ :

$$
(t)=\frac{1+t}{1}_{i=0}^{Y^{Z}}{\frac{\left(1+2 t^{2^{i}}\right)\left(1+t^{2^{i+1}}\right)}{\left(12 t^{2^{i}}\right)\left(1 t^{2^{i+1}}\right)}}_{1=2^{i+1}}
$$

For $n$ as upper lim it of the above in nite product, the expansion is valid up to $t^{2^{n+1}}{ }^{1}$. The ratio of the coe cients of (for example) $t^{1023} w$ th $t^{1022}$ gives ' 1:9989099, in agreem ent w ith a complexity $=2$, but w ith a dynam ical zeta function that is not a rational expression, but som e \transcendental" expression.

O fcourse one can alw ays im agine that the \true" dynam icalzeta function requires the calculation of all the $\backslash m$ ultiplicities" of Fulton's intersection theory [31], and that this very zeta function is actually rational ...
13. A ppendix $E: T$ he $m$ apping on the lines $b=a$ and $C_{0}(a ; b)=0$

A long the line $b=a$ (and sim ilarly on its equivalents obtained by the actions of $P$ and $T$ ), the grow th of the degrees of the param eter a in the iterates of the vanishing conditions of the Jacobian is polynom ial $(=1)$. O ne, then, expects the iterates to be given in closed form S . T his is indeed the case as can be seen below. The iterates $K^{n}\left(V_{1}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K^{n}\left(V_{1}\right)=u_{n} ; v_{n} \quad w \text { th }: \quad 1=\frac{3 a^{2} 4 a+2}{2(2 a \quad 1)} \\
& \left.\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{2(2 \mathrm{a}}{} 10\right) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\left({ }_{1}\right)+\mathrm{a}(5 \mathrm{a} \quad 4) \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right) 2(2 \mathrm{a}-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ here $T_{n} ; U_{n}$ are Chebyshev polynom ials of order $n$ of, respectively, rst and second kind.

W e have very sim ilar results for the terates $K^{n}\left(V_{3}\right)$. The terates $K^{n}\left(V_{2}\right)$ are quite sim ple and read:

$$
K^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2}\right)=1 ; \frac{2\left(2 \mathrm{a} \frac{1}{}\right) \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} 1}(2)}{2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}(2)(5 a 4) \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} 1}\left(2_{2}\right)} ; \quad 2=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 \mathrm{a} & 4)=2
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $(a ; b)$ param eters such that $C_{0}(a ; b)=0$, the iterates of the vanishing conditions of the Jacobian are also given in closed form sand the grow th of the degrees of the param eters is polynom ial $(=1)$.

N ote that one nds sim ilar results along the line $b=a$ (and sim ilarly on its equivalents obtained by the actions of $P$ and $T$ ) the grow th of the degrees of the param eter $a$ in the iterates of the vanishing conditions of the Jacobian is also polynom ial $(=1)$ for $K^{n}\left(V_{2}\right)$. H ow ever, it is non-polynom ialfor $K{ }^{n}\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $K^{n}\left(V_{3}\right)$ ( $<\quad 2$ ). The iterates $K^{n}\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $K^{n}\left(V_{3}\right)$ are not given as closed expressions. Those $K^{n}\left(V_{2}\right)$ are given by:

$$
K^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2}\right)=1 ; \frac{2 \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} 1}()}{2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}()+a U_{\mathrm{n} 1}()} ; \quad \quad=a=2
$$

$K^{n}\left(V_{2}\right) 2 I_{2}$ gives the points where the curves $C_{N}^{i j}$ are tangent to the line $b=a$.

## 14. A ppendix F: C ases of integrability

$T$ he points ( $a ; b$ ) for which the $m$ apping $K$ de ned in (16) is integrable are show $n$ in Figure 1 (lower left comer). These points are lying on the lines (solid lines) $b=a$, $\mathrm{b}=2 \quad 2 \mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{b}=1 \quad \mathrm{a}=2$, and on the curve $\mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=0$ (ellipse). The dashed lines in $F$ igure 1 (low er left comer) are $b=a, b=2$ and $a=2$.
$O n$ the lines $b=a$ and $b=2 \quad 2 a$, the integrable cases are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}=0 ; \frac{1}{3} ; 1 \frac{1}{P_{-}} ; \frac{2}{3} ; 1 ; \quad \frac{4}{3} ; 1+\mathrm{P}_{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

On line $b=1 \quad a=2$, the integrable cases, obtained by applying $T \quad P$, are given by (2 $2 a ; a)$ from (44). The point $(a=2=3 ; b=2=3)$ is com $m$ on to three lines and corresponds to a $m$ atrix of the stochastic form (5) and the \antistochastic" form (transpose) in the sam etim e.

From these 19 points $(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})$, the follow ing six are also on the curve $\mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=0$

$$
(2=3 ; 4=3) ; \quad(4=3 ; 2=3) ; \quad(4=3 ; 4=3) ; \quad(0 ; 0) ; \quad(0 ; 2) ; \quad(2 ; 0)
$$

$T$ he curve $C_{0}(a ; b)=0$ has six other integrable cases:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1 \quad \mathrm{P}_{\overline{5}}}{2} ; 1 ; \quad \frac{1 \mathrm{P}_{\overline{5}}}{2} ; \frac{1 \mathrm{P}_{\overline{5}}}{2} ; \quad 1 ; \frac{1 \mathrm{P}_{\overline{5}}}{2} ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne has a total of 25 values of (a;b) for which the $m$ apping $K$ is integrable.
$T$ he integrable points com $m$ on to $C 0(a ; b)=0$ and the lines $b=a, b=2 \quad 2 a$, and $b=1 \quad a=2$, can be understood from the existence of the two preserved two-form $s$. Let us consider, for instance, the point $(a ; b)=(0 ; 2)$ intersection of $C_{0}(a ; b)=0$ and $\mathrm{b}=2 \quad 2 \mathrm{a}$. Transform ation K for $(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=(0 ; 2)$ preserves two two-form s respectively associated $w$ ith $b=22 a$ in (33), and $C_{0}(a ; b)=0$ (see 34b), nam ely:

$$
\left.\frac{d u \quad d v}{(1} \quad \mathrm{v}\right) \quad((\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{Z})+2 \mathrm{u}(1+\mathrm{v})) ; \quad \begin{gathered}
\mathrm{du} d v \\
((v+\mathcal{Z})+2 u(1+v))
\end{gathered}
$$

corresponding to the fact that $K$ has (up to a sign) Inv $=(1+v)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & v\end{array}\right)$, as an invariant. $T$ his is indeed the case since:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{2}(u ; v)=\frac{\left(4+7 v+4 v^{2}\right) u+2 v(1+v)}{2(1+v) u+v} ; v \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e have sim ilar results for the two other integrable points $(a ; b)=(0 ; 0)$ and $(a ; b)=(2 ; 0)$. They also correspond to $K^{2}$ being a hom ographic transform ation
$((a ; b)=(0 ; 0)$ preserves the $u$ coordinate, and $(a ; b)=(2 ; 0)$ preserves the ratio $u=v) . N$ ote that for the point $(a ; b)=(1 ; 1)$, aswellas $(1 ; 0)$ and $(0 ; 1)$, them apping $K$ is of order six, $K^{6}=$ identity.
$T$ he $m$ apping $K$, for the integrable point $(a ; b)=(4=3 ; 2=3)$ preserves tw $\circ$ two-form s:

$$
\frac{d u d v}{(v \quad 1)\left(4 u(1+v)+5\left(v+u^{2}\right)\right)} ; \quad \frac{d u \quad d v}{(v \quad 1)\left(v \quad u^{2}\right)}
$$

their ratio giving the algebraic $K$-invariant (up to sign) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Inv}=\frac{v u^{2}}{4 u(1+v)+5\left(v+u^{2}\right)} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

15. A ppendix $G: m$ iscellaneous exact results for $=a+b+c 1 \neq 1$

Let us provide here a set of exact results, structures (existence ofm erom onphic twoform $s$...) valid in the $m$ ore general fram ew ork where $c \neq a \quad b\left(K^{N}\right.$ and $K^{N}$ are no longer con jugate).

W hen $c \in 2 a b$, the resultant in $u$ of the two conditions of order two of birational transform ation (16), nam ely $K^{2}(u ; v)=(u ; v)$, yields the follow ing condition (reducing to condition $C_{0}(a ; b)=0$ previously written, when $c=2$ a b):

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b+b c+c a=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

associated $w$ ith the (quite symmetric) hom ogeneous $K$-covariant ( $K^{2}$-invariant) in the ( $x ; y ; t$ ) hom ogeneous variables:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{cov}(x ; y ; t)=b c y^{2} x^{2}+a c \quad x t^{2} y^{2}+a b y x^{2} t^{2} \\
\quad+(y t+x t+x y)((c \quad b) b c t+(b \quad a) a b y+a c
\end{array}(a \quad c) \quad x\right) .
$$

O ne easily nds that, restricted to 48), the follow ing merom orphic two-form is preserved up to a $m$ inus sign:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x^{0} d y}{\operatorname{cov}\left(x^{0} ; y^{0} ; 1\right)}=(1) \quad \frac{d x}{\operatorname{cov}(x ; y ; 1)} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

15.1. For $b=c$, when $c \& 2 a b: m$ ore two-form $s$.
$K$ eeping in $m$ ind the sim ple results (33) form erom onphic tw $o$-form $s$ (35), let us restrict to the case where the $K$-covariant ( $u$; $v$ ) in a m erom onphic two-form like (35), is a polynom ial, instead of a rational (algebraic, ...) expression. Let us rem ark that when $c=b$ but $c \in 2 a b, u$ is a covariant of transform ation $K w$ th cofactor $1=\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & 1) u v+a(u+v)) . \text { Recalling expression (20) of the Jacobian of (16), }\end{array}\right.\right.$ it becom es quite natural, when $b=c$, to $m$ ake an \ansatz" seeking for covariant polynom ials (u; v) of the form (u; v) = (u v) Q (u; v), where Q (u; v) will be a $K$-covariant quadratic polynom ialw th cofactor $\quad u v=\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & 1) u v+a(u+v\end{array}\right)\right.$. A fter som e calculations, one nds that the quadratic polynom iale (u; v) m ust be of the form :

$$
Q(u ; v)=A a^{2} u v+B a^{2}(u+v) a(2 b \quad 1) B \quad b(b l) A
$$

the $(a ; b)$ param eters being necessarily such that :

$$
(b \text { a) }(a+b+c \quad \text { 2) }(a b+b c+a c)=0 \quad \text { and : }
$$

$a\left(b+c\right.$ 1) $(b+a) b^{2}+a b+a^{2} a b c+1=0$

Conditions $b=c=a$ yields $A=B$, and the conform ally preserved tw o-form reads ( $=a+b+c 1)$ :

Conditions $b=c=a$ yields the conform ally preserved tw o-form :
15.2. For c 2 a b: more complexity reductions

C ondition $\mathrm{K}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2}\right) 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}$ am ounts to w riting

$$
\mathrm{K}^{2} \mathrm{u} ; 0=\mathrm{K} \frac{\mathrm{~b}}{\mathrm{a}} ; \frac{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{~b}} ; \frac{\mathrm{a}}{1 \mathrm{a} \mathrm{~b}}
$$

which yields several algebraic curves, in particular the rational curve ( $c$; b) $=$ $\left(1+1=2 \mathrm{a}^{2} ; \quad\right.$ a), for which one can verify that a reduction of the degree grow th rate com plexity $\quad$ 1:839 takes place. The degree generating function reads:

$$
G_{b=a ; c=1+1=2 a^{2}}=\frac{1}{1 t^{2} t^{3}}=\frac{1 t}{12 t+t^{4}}
$$

Sim ilarly $K^{4}\left(V_{2}\right) 2 I_{2}$ yields several algebraic curves, in particular the rational curve $(c ; b)=\left(1+a^{2}=3 ; a\right)$, for which one can verify a reduction of the degree grow th rate com plexity $\quad 1: 965$, the degree generating function reading:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{a=b ; c=} & +a^{2}=3=\frac{1}{1} 2 t+t^{6}
\end{aligned}=1+t+2 t^{2}+4 t^{3} .
$$

This is just a set of results for $\in 1$, am ong $m$ any others that can be easily established.
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[^0]:    y Recall that m appings (1) and (7) for which a m erom orphic two-form exists for generic values of the param eters, are such that $J=1$ for all the xed points we have com puted.

