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#### Abstract

A $m$ ethod to approxim ate transm ission probabilities for a nonseparable $m$ ultidim ensionalbarrier is applied to a waveguide $m$ odel. $T$ he $m$ ethod uses com plex barrier-crossing orbits to represent reaction probabilities in phase space and is uniform in the sense that it applies at and above a threshold energy at which classical reaction sw itches on. A bove this threshold the geom etry of the classically reacting region of phase space is clearly re ected in the quantum representation. Two versions of the approxim ation are applied. A harm onic version which uses dynam ics linearised around an instanton orbit is valid only near threshold but is easy to use. A m ore accurate and $m$ ore widely applicable version using nonlinear dynam ics is also described.


## I. INTRODUCTION

Sem iclassical approaches to multidim ensional tunnelling lead to very interesting problem $s$ in com plexied classical dynam ics, often w ith incom pletely understood solutions. For exam ple, recent work in references [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] has show $n$ that nontrivial geom etrical structure such as com plex hom oclinic intersections have an im portant role to play in multidim ensional barrier penetration and that even com plex chaos can be relevant. G iven the di culty inherent in a system atic treatm ent ofm ultidim ensional tunnelling as a result of such issues, it is perhaps surprising that a relatively sim ple description can be given of barrier penetration at a critical energy where classically allow ed transm ission $m$ echanism $s$ tum on and where prim itive sem iclassicalapproxim ations $m$ ust be replaced by som ew hat $m$ ore com plicated uniform ones.

An approach which achieves this has been proposed in references [7] and [8] and in this paper we apply the $m$ ethod explicitly to a m odel waveguide problem. The m odel is chosen to be rather sim ple so that fully quantum calculations are easy to perform accurately for purposes of com parison. W e em phasise, how ever, that sem iclassical aspects of the calculation are as easily applied to other problem s, provided the topology is sim ilar, and provide a description, for exam ple, of collinear atom -diatom reactions. For that reason we use the term inology of chem ical reactions in this paper and equate the probability of transm ission $w$ th a probability of reaction. In fact the approach we describe here provides a natural $m$ eans of visualising the quantum scattering problem in phase space and as such show s an interesting connection w ith classical transition state theories of chem ical reaction. T hese classical theories have recently been of interest because the periodic-orbit dividing surface (PODS) construction [9,10] has been generalised to arbitrary dim ensions using the construction of norm ally hyperbolic invariant $m$ anifolds ( $N$ H $\mathbb{M}$ S) [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. The classicalconstructionsem erge naturally in our sem iclassi-
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calapproxim ation and we note that even though the illustrations o ered here are in tw o degrees of freedom there are straightforw ard generalisations to higher dim ensional problem sw here the fullgenerality of the NH $\mathbb{I M}$ construction com es into play.

The approxim ation we use can be stated very sim ply as an abstract operator equation but for explicit illustration we present results in phase space, using the $W$ ignerW eyl calculus. In particular, we de ne a W eyl sym bol of a transm ission $m$ atrix which represents, in an averaged sense, a reaction probability as a function of phase space. W e nd that above threshold the support of this W eyl sym bol closely m im ics the shape of the classically reacting region but the $W$ eyl sym bol itself also incorporatestunnelling and other quantum e ects. N otation and details for this construction are set out in section $\square$.

W e im plem ent two versions of the theory. First, a harm onic approxim ation derived in [7] is applied in section $I$ whose classical input consists sim ply of an instanton orbit, along $w$ ith its action and $m$ onodrom $y m a-$ trix. This approxim ation works when the classically reacting region is a sm allneighbourhood of the intialcondition for the instanton orbit and is ham onic in the sense that it uses linearised dynam ics generated by an elliptic quadratic $H$ am iltonian on the P oincare section. This harm onic version covers the threshold case where classical reaction sw itches on as a function of energy and is relatively easy to apply. It fails how ever w hen the energy is too far above threshold and the classically reacting region is too large to be adequately described by linearised dynam ics.

A sem iclassical approxim ation that is $m$ ore accurate and has greater range has been derived in [8] and this is applied in section IV. This version uses fully nonlinear dynam ics to extend further from the instanton orbit. Like the harm onic version it can be stated quite sim ply as an abstract operator equation but its practical im plem entation is m ore di cult. D i culty arises prim arily because wem ust invert an operator constructed sem iclassically as an evolution operator and this inversion cannot at present be achieved in closed form. In this paper we achieve that inversion using num erical $m$ ethods and while this aspect of the approach needs further work to provide an appealing sem iclassicalm ethod, we can verify
unam biguously that the nonlinear version of the theory is capable of describing the quantum transm ission problem very accurately (see Figure 8).

We conclude this section by outlining how these results relate to existing work. The basic form alism here of relating scattering to com plex barrier-crossing onbits goes back to the work of $M$ iller and cow orkers [16, 17] on the classical $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix. Our intent is to describe a sim ple uniform extension of this approach which applies at the boundary of classical reaction where the fate of classical onbits changes discontinuously. W hat allow s us to $m$ ake progress is that we do not directly describe the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{m}$ atrix but instead consider a transm ission $m$ atrix derived from it which gives probabilities rather than am plitides. The advantage of this problem is that contributing orbits at the boundary of classical reaction depend sm oothly on initial conditions, despite the singular nature of real orb its there [7], and give sim ple sem iclassical expressions. T his uniform isation is sim ilar to established results relating one-dim ensional transm ission probabilities [18, 19, 20] or the cum ulative reaction probability [21] to sum s over m ultiple barrier crossings but includes inform ation about how the probabilities depend on the incom ing state. It is di erent how ever from uniform approxim ations of the scattering operator such as described in [22] which describe explicitl $m$ atrix elem ents. These are uniform $w$ th respect to variation of quantum num bers whereas our approach treats the scattering operator abstractly and is uniform w ith respect to energy and in phase space.
$D$ irect approxim ation of the scattering problem by com plex trajectories has recently been exam ined in [4, [5, 6] in the context of non integrable system s . It has been found there that, while intuitively one $m$ ight expect tunnelling processes to be dom inated by short com plex orbits which cross the barrier directly, the dom inant com plex orbits can have have a surprisingly nontrivial topology in the deep tunnelling regim $e$. It has even been found that the dom inant com plex onbits $m$ ay be chaotic in related treatm ents of quantum propagation [1], 2, 3]. W e also nd evidence of the \fringed tunnelling" characteristic of such $m$ echanism $s$ in our fully quantum solutions but the theory we outline is intended to cover only the im m ediate vicinity of the reacting region w here direct tunnelling $m$ echanism sare dom inant. In the deep tunnelling regim e our uniform results revert to standard prim itive approxim ations and we should in principle be able to m arry our approach $w$ ith that of $[4,5,6]$. It is not obvious, how ever, that a fully uniform calculation could easily be applied when the contributing com plex onbits are $m$ ore num erous and $m$ ore com plicated and we do not consider that problem explicitly here.


F IG . 1: C ontours of the m odel potential are show $n$ for the case $=1$ and $==1=2$. The continuous line show $s$ a real trajectory which is an extension of the com plex periodic orbit (or instanton) used in the next section to construct the sim plest sem iclassical approxim ation. T he dashed curve shows the trajectory de ned by the decoupled dynam ics of $\mathrm{V}_{1}(\mathrm{y})$ to which it asym ptotes.

## II. REPRESENTING THESCATTERING MATRIX IN PHASE SPACE

In the follow ing sections we w ill develop sem iclassical approxim ations for representations of the scattering $m$ atrix in phase space. In this section we illustrate these representations num erically using a tw o-dim ensionalw aveguide, which serves as a sim pli ed m odel of a collinear atom-diatom collision. This model consists of a particle of unit $m$ ass $m$ oving in the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x ; y)=\operatorname{sech}^{2} x+\frac{1}{2}!^{2}(x)(y \quad a(x))^{2} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
!^{2}(x) & =2+\operatorname{sech}^{2} x \\
a(x) & =\operatorname{sech}^{2} x:
\end{aligned}
$$

A very sim ilarpotentialhas been used in [4, 6]. The sim plicity of this $m$ odel $w$ ill enable us easily to obtain accurate num erical solutions, which w ill be useful for later com parison w th sem iclassical approxim ations where exponentially sm all tunnelling e ects are of interest. W e em phasise, how ever, that none of the theory that follow s is dependent on this sim plicity and sem iclassical aspects ofthe discussion can just as easily be applied to any other system, as long as the H am iltonian is an analytic function of its argum ents. The essential structural features we assume are that the waveguide should have a single bottleneck separating asym ptotically decoupled channels, which we label as reactant and product channels respectively, and that the energy should be su ciently close to threshold that recrossings of the transition state do not occur.

For future reference, it w ill be useful to denote the
asym ptotically decoupled potential by the sym bol

$$
V(x ; y) \quad V_{1}(y)=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} y^{2}:
$$

W e can therefore w rite the asym ptotic scattering states for this problem analytically, as solutions of a harm onic oscillator.

W e w rite the scattering $m$ atrix in block form

$$
S(E)=\begin{array}{ll}
r_{R R} & t_{R P} \\
t_{P R} & r_{P P}
\end{array}
$$

where the subscripts $R$ and $P$ refer to reactant and product channels respectively. For exam ple, the transm ission $m$ atrix $t_{R_{R}} m$ aps asym ptotically incom ing states on the reactant side to asym ptotically outgoing states on the product side. The theory we describe is for the $m$ atrix

$$
\hat{R}(E)=\hat{t}_{P_{R}}^{y} t_{P R}
$$

rather than for the scattering $m$ atrix itself. The $m$ atrix $\hat{R}(E)$ has an obvious physicalrole determ ining statespeci c reaction rates. The transm ission probability for an incom ing state labelled $j_{n} i$ on the reactant side can be $w$ ritten as a $m$ atrix elem ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}} \hat{R}(\mathbb{E}) \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\hat{R}(E)$. In going from the scattering $m$ atrix to $\hat{R}(E)$ we lose inform ation about phase and about the distribution of product states but, as described in [], uniform sem iclassical approxim ations for $\hat{R}(E)$ are expected to be considerably sim pler than those for $S$ ( $E$ ).

Form ally, we can think of $\hat{R}(\mathbb{E})$ as an operator acting on the $H$ ilbert space $H_{R}^{\text {in }}(E)$ of asym ptotically propagating states in the incom ing reactant channel $\mid$ in this context we refer to it as the reaction operator in the follow ing. W e consider system $s$ for which there are a nite number $M$ of such states so $H_{R}^{\text {in }}(E)$ is nite-dim ensional and $\hat{R}(E)$ can be represented by an $M \quad M m$ atrix. The W igner-T eylcorrespondence o ers an altemative representation as a function on phase space and the theory we outline in the com ing sections is stated in those term s . In the rem ainder of this section we describe how the connection is $m$ ade form ally betw een the $m$ atrix representation and the representation in $W$ igner $W$ eyl correspondence, or the $W$ eylsym bolof $\hat{R}(E)$. In preparation for that discussion, let us rst describe the phase space on which these representations are de ned.

A s described in [8] (see also [23]), the natural classical analog of the $H$ ilbert space $H_{R}^{\text {in }}(E)$ is a P oincare section
${ }_{R}^{\text {in }}(E)$ de ned by xing the energy $E$ and an asym ptotically large value of the reaction coordinate $x$. W e use $\left(y ; p_{y}\right)$ as canonical coordinates on ${\underset{R}{i n}}^{\text {in }}(\mathbb{E})$ and we $m$ ay altematively denote points in ${ }_{R}^{\text {in }}(\mathbb{E})$ using the vector notation

$$
=\begin{gathered}
y \\
p_{y}
\end{gathered} \quad:
$$

W e de ne the allowed region of the $\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$ plane by the condition $p_{y}^{2}=2+V_{1}(y)<E$ and note that, as usual in this sort ofcorrespondence, the dim ension $M$ of ${\underset{R}{R}}_{\text {in }}(E)$ is approxim ated by the Liouville area of this region divided by 2 ~.

To calculate the $W$ eyl sym bolW $\hat{R}_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ of $\hat{R}(E)$, we denote its individual $m$ atrix elem ents by $R_{n m}(\mathbb{E})=$ $h_{n} \hat{R}(E) j m i a n d w r i t e$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\hat{\mathrm{R}}}(; E)=2 \sim{ }_{\mathrm{nm}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{nm}}(E) \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{nm}}() \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{n m}()$ are the $W$ eyl sym bols of the projectors $j_{\mathrm{n}}$ ih $\mathrm{m}=2 \sim$ (the factors of $2 \sim$ are to keep the notation consistent $w$ ith standard practice for $W$ igner functions in the case $n=m$ ). For the asym ptotically harm onic potential in (1) the functions $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ ( ) are know n analytically (see [24] for exam ple).

The $W$ eyl sym bol $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ of $\hat{R}(E)$ provides a re$m$ arkably transparent $m$ eans of visualising the quantum transm ission problem and of relating the scattering $m$ atrix to the geom etry of classical phase space. To ilhustrate, we show exam ples of $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ in $F$ igure 2 for the $m$ odelpotential in (1) $w$ ith energies at and above threshold. These results have been obtained by rst com puting the scattering $m$ atrix num erically using sym plectic integration combined $w$ th the log derivative $m$ ethod as described in [25, 26] and then using (3). In each case we see that $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ is e ectively supported in a region of ${ }_{R}^{\text {in }}(\mathbb{E})$, which we can identify as the quantum m echanically reacting region. Indeed, by rew riting the reaction probability in (2) (using standard properties of the $W$ igner-W eylcorrespondence) in the form

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{W}_{\hat{\mathrm{R}}}\left(; \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{nn}}() \mathrm{d} ;\right.
$$

where $d=d y d p_{y}$, and intenpreting the $W$ igner function $W_{n n}()$ as a phase space pseudodensity, it is natural to identify $\mathrm{W}_{\hat{\mathrm{R}}}(; \mathrm{E})$ as a probabability of reaction as a function of phase space, albeit in an averaged sense. A lthough the uncertainty principle prevents us from de $n$ ing a point-w ise transm ission probability in phase space, we can construct linear com binations of incom ing states w ith a xed totalenergy (as in (5) below) whose $W$ igner function is supported $w$ thin an area of $O(\sim)$ in ${ }_{R}^{\text {in }}(\mathbb{E})$ and the appropriate $m$ odi cation of (4) then gives the reaction probability as an average ofW $\hat{R}_{\hat{\prime}}(; E)$ over that support.

For energies at or below threshold, transm ission is controlled by tunnelling and $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ is supported in a phase space region ofarea $O(\sim)$, centred around an initial condition that leads to an optim altunnelling route. A n explicit sem iclassicalexpression for $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ in this case w illbe given later and one can see for the threshold case in $F$ igure 2(a) that $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ is indeed peaked around a single point in ${\underset{R}{\text { in }}}^{(E)}$ ). As the energy increases above threshold, a classically reacting region appears, initially centred on the orbit associated w ith optim al tunnelling.


FIG. 2: The W eyl sym bol $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{R}}(; E)$ is shown for the potential in (1). Cases (a) to (d) have $=1$ and $=1=2$ and energies (a) $E=1: 0$, (b) $E=1: 10$, (c) $E=1: 15$ and (d) $E=1: 6$. C ases (e) and (f) have $=1$, $=1=2$ and $=1$ and energies $\mathrm{E}=1: 15$ and $\mathrm{E}=1: 6$ respectively. A lso shown are, the boundary of the allowed region as a dashed curve and, in cases (b) to ( $f$ ) where the energy is above threshold, the boundary of the classically reacting region. In each case a box of area ~ is shown on the bottom left.

The boundaries of the classically reacting regions are indicated in $F$ igures [2(b) to ( f ) by continuous closed curves (as the energy falls to the threshold case $\mathrm{E}=1$, the classically reacting region shrinks to a point corresponding to the optim altunnelling route and around which $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ is concentrated). O ne can see in each case that the reacting region closely $m$ atches the support of $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$.

Before describing how $\mathrm{W}_{\hat{\mathrm{R}}}(; \mathrm{E})$ is approxim ated sem iclassically, we should outline how the classically reacting regions in Figure 2 are de ned. The boundary of the classically reacting region in fullphase space is the stable $m$ anifold on the reacting side of a PODS in two dim ensions or more generally a NH $\mathbb{M}$ if higher-dim ensional problem s are treated. E xtended into the incom ing reactant channel, this stable $m$ anifold de nes a tube, the interior of which consists of classically reacting tra jectories and whose annular exterior in the classically allow ed region consists oftra jectories w hich eventually retum along
the outgoing reactant channel. A representation of this
 ply by taking the a section of the tube of reacting trajectories at xed energy and a xed, asym ptotically large value of the reaction coordinate $x$. Since the tube continues to evolve asym ptotically (according to the dynam ics of a decoupled potential $\left.V_{1}(y)\right)$, the shape of a reacting region de ned in this way will depend on the value chosen for the coordinate $x$. In $m$ odels where the dynam ics of the reacting region is nonlinear | or potentially even chaotic in problem s of higher dim ension | the shape of the reacting region $w$ illnot have a lim it and becom es ever $m$ ore com plicated as $x$ is brought to in nity. To obtain a xed asym ptotic lim it we therefore renom alise the dynam ics by using the decoupled evolution of the lim iting potential $V_{1}(y)$ to $m$ ap the asym ptotic section back to one corresponding to a xed nite value of $x$. In $m$ aking sem iclassical com parisons the value of $x$ used to de ne
this nal section is dictated by the conventions used for the scattering $m$ atrix.

In the present case the asym ptotic states in tem s of $w$ hich the scattering $m$ atrix is de ned are of the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n ; E(x ; y) \quad P^{e^{i k_{n} x}} \underset{\sim k_{n}}{n}(y) ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n(y)$ are the eigenfunctions of the decoupled potential $V_{1}(y)$. The phases of these scattering states are zeroed at $\mathrm{x}=0$ and asym ptotic incom ing states can be constructed by starting $w$ ith the transverse $m$ odes at $\mathrm{x}=0$ and propagating them backw ards into the asym ptotic region of the incom ing channel using dynam ics dened by $V_{1}(y)$. To $m$ ake a com parison $w$ ith the classical picture, the renom alisation of the classical dynam ics should therefore take an asym ptotic P oincare section back to one de ned by $x=0$. This is the convention used in $F$ igure 2 to com pare $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ w th the classically reacting region. A ltemative phase conventions w ould lead to a reaction operator $\hat{R(E)}$ ) obtained by conjugation of the one we de ne by a unitary $m$ atrix which is diagonal in the basis $j_{n i}$. This conjugation $m$ akes no di erence to the diagonal $m$ atrix elem ents $h_{n} \hat{R} j_{n} i$ but is important for the appearance of the $W$ eyl sym bol in ${ }_{R}^{\text {in }}$. C hoosing values other than $\mathrm{x}=0$ for the reference section ${ }_{R}^{\text {in }}$ w ould, for exam ple, lead to a deform ation of the W eylsym bolby the asym ptotically decoupled dynam ics.

N ote that this renorm alisation procedure is sim ply $m$ eans of interpreting a term in the phase function in the classicalS m atrix [17] that xes its asym ptotic value. By accounting for this term using a conjugation of the asym ptotic dynam ics by the $m$ apping in decoupled dynam ics back to $\mathrm{x}=0$, we can inconporate everything about the classical $S$ $m$ atrix into a single $P$ oincare $m a p-$ ping and present results in a m ore com pact form, as described $m$ ore fully in the com ing sections.

## III. HARMONICAPPROXIMATION

In this section we describe a sem iclassical approxim ation for $\hat{R}(E)$ based on dynam ics linearised around an optim al tunnelling orbit. A lthough less accurate and valid over a sm aller range of energies than the fiully nonlinear theory described in the next section, this har$m$ onic approxim ation captures the essential qualitative behaviour off $(E)$ and works well in the especially interesting range of energies around threshold where classical reaction Sw itches on. It is also considerably sim pler to apply and can be expressed in closed form using easily obtained classical data. $N$ ote that the term \harm onic" here refers sim ply to the fact that linearised dynam ics about a tunnelling orbit are used and has nothing to do w ith the ham on ic asym ptotic behaviour of the $m$ odelwe use for num erical illustration.

## A. O perator version

A full description of the harm onic approxim ation to $\hat{R}(E)$ has been given in [ח] and we refer there for details and a derivation of the approach. H ere we sim ply sum $m$ arise the im portant points. The operator $\hat{R^{\prime}}(E)$ is approxim ated by a form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{R(E)} \frac{T \hat{(E)}}{1+T \hat{(E)}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{E})$ is a \tunnelling operator" constructed from classical data. The elem ents needed to com pute $\hat{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{E})$ are as follow $s$.

A complex periodic orbite ( $t$ ), the \instanton", is found which encircles the transition state in im aginary time. This onbit can be found for energies above and below threshold and its dynam icalcharacteristics depend sm oothly on energy there.

At the end of the previous section it was described how the boundary of the reacting region can be rst extended arbitrarily far into the asym ptotic region and then renom alised by $m$ apping back to a section ${\underset{R}{\mathrm{R}}}_{\mathrm{in}}$ at $\mathrm{x}=0$ using decoupled dynam ics, so that the shape rem ains xed as dynam ics are extended into the asym ptotic region. An analogous renorm alisation, illustrated in F igure 2, is applied to ${ }_{E}(t)$ so that an asym ptotically $x e d$ initial condtion for it is de ned in ${ }_{R}^{\text {in }}$. Above threshold this initial condition is near the centre of the reacting region.
$H^{T}$ he im aginary action of $E(t)$ is denoted $\mathrm{K}_{0}=$
${ }_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{p}$ dq. W e also denote $=\mathrm{K}_{0}=\sim$. N ote that for energies above threshold we have $\mathrm{K}_{0}<0$ while $K_{0}>0$ below threshold.

Linearised dynam ics around ${ }_{E}(t)$ are characterised by a com plex $m$ onodrom y $m$ atrix $W$, which is routinely determ ined as part of a num erical search for the orbit ${ }_{\mathrm{E}}$ ( $(\mathrm{t})$. The eigenvalues of $W$ com $e$ in real reciprocal pairs ( ; ${ }^{1}$ ), which we order so that $>1$.

Them atrix $W$ can be generated by using an elliptic quadratic $H$ am iltonian $h()$ for an im aginary tim e
i 0 . N ote that this H am iltonian generates renorm alised dynam ics in the section ${\underset{R}{\mathrm{R}}}_{\mathrm{in}}$ and is therefore not sim ply a truncation of the full H am iltonian in the transition state region.
 that

$$
h()=\frac{-}{2} Q^{2}+P^{2}
$$

and we have $=e{ }^{\circ}$.


FIG . 3: The harm on ic approxim ation to $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ is shown forenergies (a) $E=1$, (b) $E=1: 10$ and (c) $E=1: 15$, corresponding to the top row in $F$ igure 2

The quantum analog of the classicalgenerating H am iltonian $h()$ is denoted by $\hat{h}$.
$W$ e can now w rite the tunnelling operator $\hat{T}(E)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{e} \quad{ }_{0} \hat{\mathrm{~h}}=\sim ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, except for the prefactore, is an im aginary-tim e evolution operator generated by $\hat{h}$. Since $\hat{h}$ is harm onic we can explicitly construct its eigenstates $\jmath_{k}$ i, with $k=$ $0 ; 1$; and the corresponding eigensolutionsfofare

$$
\hat{T} \jmath_{k} i=k_{k} \jmath_{k i}^{i}
$$

where the eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=e \quad\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

are deduced sim ply by exponentiating the eigenvalues of h.

> B. W eylsym bol

It is shown in [7] how closed form approxim ations can be deduced for phase space representations of $\hat{R}(E)$ as a result of substituting this exponentiated form for $\hat{T}(E)$ in (6) and resum $m$ ing the geom etric series $\hat{R}=\hat{T} \quad \hat{T}^{2}+$ $\hat{T}^{3}$
. This leads to an integral representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{R}(E)=\frac{1}{2 i}_{c}^{Z} \frac{e^{(+o \hat{h}=\sim)}}{\sin } d \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\hat{R}(E)$, in which the contour $C$ ascends just to the right of the im aginary axis. Standard asym ptotic approaches to this integral, such as the $m$ ethod of steepest descent, allow explicit asym ptotic approxim ations to be written
for $\hat{R}$ in various representations, including for the $W$ eyl symbol.

These expressions are especially useful to understand the detailed structure of $\hat{R}(\mathbb{E})$ in phase space, but for the purposes of com puting $\hat{R(E)}$ ) for the param eter regim es we consider here, it su ces to use an an eigenexpansion

$$
\hat{R}(E) \quad \begin{align*}
\mathrm{X} & r_{k} \mathrm{~J}_{k} i h_{k}^{\prime} j  \tag{10}\\
&
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{k}=\frac{k}{1+r_{k}}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The W eyl sym bol of $\hat{R}(E)$ can, for exam ple, be w ritten as

$$
W_{\hat{R}}(; E) \quad 2 \sim_{k}^{X} r_{k}(E) W_{k k}()
$$

where $W_{k k}()$ are the $W$ igner functions of the states $j_{k} i$ (and given analytically in [24] for exam ple). T he tilde distinguishes these $W$ igner functions from those of the basis states $j_{n} i$ of the scattering operator, which are di erent.

The canonical coordinates ( $Q ; P$ ) are centred on the initial condition for $E(t)$ in ${\underset{R}{R}}_{\text {in }}$ and are such that for energies just above threshold, the classically reacting region is circular in the ( $Q ; P$ ) plane. In the original coordinate system $\left(y ; p_{y}\right)$ these $W$ igner functions are translated, squeezed and rotated so that their level curves are aligned $w$ th the approxim ately elliptical reacting region. $T$ he resulting approxim ation for $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ therefore describes an elliptically-shaped representation of the true quantum transm ission problem

The harmonically approxim ated $W$ eyl symbol $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ is illustrated in $F$ igure 3 for three energies at and just above threshold in the model potential $w$ ith


FIG.4: The harm onic approxim ation is com pared $w$ ith exact results for $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ at energies at and just above threshold. These are obtained by sam pling $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ along a horizontal line through the centre of the reacting region in ${ }_{R}^{\text {in }}$ and plotting the result as a function ofy. P aram eters in the potential are as in $F$ igures Z(a)-(d) and 3 .
$=1$ and $=1=2=$. For com parison, ilhustrations of corresponding exact calculations can be found in the top row of F igure 2. In general we nd that the harm onic approxim ation is in good quantitative agreem ent with exact results at and below threshold. The threshold case in $F$ igure 3(a), for exam ple, is indistinguishable from the corresponding exact result in Figure 2(a) at the level of graphical resolution used. A s energy increases, the agreem ent deteriorates so that noticable di erences are visible when $\mathrm{E}=1: 15$ (ham onic approxim ation in Figure 3(c) and exact calculation in Figure 2(c)). It should be em phasised, how ever, that even then, the harm onic approxim ation captures the essentialqualitative features of $W_{R^{\hat{R}}}(; E)$.

In order to $m$ ake a closer com parison betw een exact and harm onic results, we show one-dim ensional sections through the $W$ eyl symbol in $F$ igure 4. In each case $\mathrm{W}_{\hat{\mathrm{R}}}($; E$)$ is sam pled along a horizontal line through the centre of the reacting region in ${ }_{R}^{i n}$ and plotted as a function of the $y$ coordinate. There is good quantitative agreem ent in cases (a) and (b) where the enrgy is at and just above threshold. At higher energies the harm onic approxim ation captures the support of the quantum $m$ echanically reacting region well.but details of the $W$ igner function do not $m$ atch at the centre of the reacting region. It should be rem arked, how ever, that oscillations in the $W$ eyl sym bol are sensitive to nonlocal changes in phase space and discrepencies at the centre of the reacting region $m$ ay not have a strong e ect on averaged reaction probabilities as expressed in (4).

Sim ilar one-dim ensional sections are show $n$ in $F$ igure5 which illustrate the harm onic approxim ation for di erent param eter sets. In each of these the energy is chosen so


FIG. 5: The harm onic and exact $W$ eylsym bols are com pared for di erent potentials w th $=1$ and (a) $=\frac{1}{2},=0$; (b) $=0,=0$; (c) $=\frac{1}{2},=0$; (d) $=\frac{1}{2}$, $=\frac{1}{2}$. In each case the energy is chosen so that the classically reactive ux has the $x e d$ value $N_{c l}(E)=2$.
that the cum ulative reactive ux

$$
N_{\mathrm{Cl}}(E)=\frac{1}{2 \sim}_{\text {PODS }}^{\mathrm{I}} \quad \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{dq}={\underset{\sim}{P} \frac{\mathrm{E}}{2+}}_{2}^{2+1}
$$

is xed (at the value 2). Figures 5(a), (b) and (c) show caseswhere $=0$ and the potentialhas a sym $m$ etry in $y$. Figure [5(a) has a negative value of $=1=2$ for which an adiabatic approxim ation assum ing fast transverse dynam ics in the barrier region would not be expected to apply. Figure 5(b) is the separable case $=0$ and in Figure [5(c) we have $=1=2$. N ote that separability does not confer a particular com putational advantage in this approach, nor does it lead to particularly better accuracy of the approxim ation. In Figure[5(d), an exam ple is show $n$ in which $=1=2$ and the potential is neither separable nor sym $m$ etric in $y$. T he approxim ation works less well in that case. This is not unexpected because corrections to the harm onic approxim ation will be quartic rather than cubic in a sym $m$ etric problem but we note that there is still good agreem ent.

## IV. NON-LINEAR APPROXIMATION

A though the harm onic approxim ation captures the essentialqualitative features of quantum transm ission and w orks w ell quantitatively near threshold, we can achieve greater range of applicability and signi cantly im proved num erical agreem ent if we use fully nonlinear dynam ics around the orbit $e(t)$. The prioe to be paid for this im provem ent is that the resulting calculation is signi cantly $m$ ore involved. T he greatest im pedim ent is that, although the tunnelling operator de ned by nonlinear
evolution can be routinely approxim ated sem iclassically, we do not know at present how to w rite sem iclassicalapproxim ations for the operator $(1+\hat{T})^{1}$ directly in term $S$ of classicalonbits. In this paper we sim ply use num erical inversion of the $m$ atrix representation of $1+\hat{T}$. Before describing this procedure, it is helpfill to describe how nonlinear calculation is inconporated in the operator $\hat{T}$. $T$ his is done in section IV Abelow, follow ed by a description of the uniform calculation in section IV B.

## A. Prim itive approxim ation

At energies below threshold the im aginary action of the orbit $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{t})$ is positive, that is $>0$, and the exponential prefactore in (7) makes $\hat{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{sm}$ all. W e may therefore approxim ate the reaction operator directly by the tunnelling operator, giving

$$
\hat{R}(E) \quad \hat{T}(E) ;
$$

which we refer to as the prim itive approxim ation. The prim itive approxim ation is easily extended beyond the im $m$ ediate neighbourhood of $E_{E}(t)$. Instead of letting $\hat{T}$ be the evolution operator corresponding to the classically linear evolution de ned by $W$, as we did in the previous section, we let it be the quantum version of a nonlinear $m$ ap in ${ }_{R}^{\text {in }}$.

Intial conditions near $E(t)$ in $i_{R}^{\text {in }}$ can be followed over a sequence of time evolutions sim ilar to those of
e ( t ) itself until they retum to ${ }_{R}^{\text {in }}$, de ning a surfaceoffection $m$ apping which we denote by

$$
\mathrm{F}:{\underset{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{in}}!\quad{ }_{\mathrm{R}}^{\text {in }}: ~}_{\text {and }}
$$

Asw th conventional retum $m$ aps, $F$ de nes a canonical transform ation on ${ }_{R}^{\mathrm{in}}$, exœept that it is com plex, in generaltaking realinitialconditions to com plex im ages. Despite this com plexity, the evolution has a quantum analog as an evolution operator, and this is the tunnelling operator $\hat{T}$.

W ith suitable modi cations to take account of the com plexity of the $m$ apping, standard sem iclassical approxim ations that are applied to evolution operators, such as the Van V leck form ula, can be used to approxi$m$ ate $\hat{T}$. Here we focus on an approxim ation derived in [27] for the $W$ eyl sym bol of an operator (see also [28]). For the $W$ eyl sym bol of the operator $\hat{T}$ we w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\hat{\mathrm{r}}}(; E) \quad P \frac{e^{A}(; E)=\sim}{\operatorname{det}\left(W_{A B}+I\right)=2} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $W_{A B}$ are calculated from a m idpoint onbit A ! $\quad$ B which is de ned by the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2}\left({ }_{A}+{ }_{B}\right) \\
B & =F\left({ }_{A}\right): \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 6: The projection onto real con guration space of a typicalm idpoint orbit is show $n$. This orbit can be obtained by continuously deform ing the in itial conditions for the orbit show $n$ in $F$ igure 1. T he dotted segm ent corresponds to evolution over the im aginary part of the tim e contour and the dashed segm ent corresponds to renorm alisation by the uncoupled dynam ics. The sym metry $\quad=\quad$ A $m$ eans that the real part of the orbit show $n$ here is self-retracing, $w$ ith the onbit tuming back on itself at the end of the dotted segm ent.
$T$ hat is, the point at which the $W$ eyl sym bol is to be evaluated is them idpoint of $A_{A}$ and ${ }_{B}$, where ${ }_{A}$ evolves into ${ }_{B}$ under the retum $m a p$. The exponent $A(; E)$ is such that

$$
i A(; E)=\int_{A}^{Z} p \quad d q \quad p\left(y_{B} \quad Y_{A}\right)
$$

and them atrix $W_{A B}$ is a linearisation the $m$ ap $F$ around the orbit $A$ ! $B$.

It can be show $n$ [8] that the com plex conjugate of the m ap F is its inverse, $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}^{1}$, and from this a num ber of im portant sym $m$ etries follow which guarantee that $\mathrm{W}_{\hat{\mathrm{r}}}(; \mathrm{E})$ is a real-valued function on ${ }_{\mathrm{R}}^{\text {in }}$ that is peaked around the initialcondition for $e(t)$, which we denote by 0 in the follow ing. O n a form allevel, the real-valuedness of $\mathrm{W}_{\hat{\mathrm{I}}}(; \mathrm{E})$ follows from the observation that $\hat{\mathrm{T}}$ is Her $m$ itian which, as discussed in [28], is a quantum analog of the property $F=F^{1}$. It is instructive, how ever, to see how the real-valuedness of the sem iclassical approxim ation to $\mathrm{W}_{\hat{\mathrm{I}}}(; \mathrm{E})$ follow s directly from the sym $m$ etries of the $m$ idpoint orbit.

First we note that, given a m idpoint orbit $A_{A}$ ! $\quad$ for a real-valued, then B ! A is also a m idpoint orbit (for the sam e ). This can be seen by conjugating the relations in [13) and using $B=\left[\left(F\left(_{A}\right)\right]=F\left({ }_{A}\right)=\right.$ $F^{1}\left({ }_{A}\right)$ to deduce that $A_{A}=F\left({ }_{B}\right)$. It tums out in fact that these two $m$ idpoint orbits coincide, so

$$
B=A
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Re}_{\mathrm{B}}=\operatorname{Re}_{\mathrm{A}}:
$$

$T$ his is easily con m ed for the linearised m ap (replacing $F$ by multiplication by $W$ and using $W=W^{1}$ )


FIG.7: For an energy $E=0: 985$ just below threshold, a com parison is given on a logarithm ic scale betw een the exact $W$ eyl sym bol (continuous curve), the nonlinear prim itive approxim ation of Equation (12) (heavy dashed curve) and the harm onic prim itive approxim ation ofE quation (14) (light dashed curve). The param eters here are $=1,=1=2$, and $=1$. The nonlinear prim itive result works well over a large region of phase space but does not capture the oscillations in the tail of the exact calculation, which may well be a signature of nonintegrable com plex-dynam icale ects of the type described in [4, 5, 6]
and therefore holds for the nonlinear $m$ ap if is close enough to 0 . The condition $B=A$ can only be violated if a bifurcation is encountered and a m ore detailed analysis show s that this corresponds to the condition $\operatorname{det}\left(W_{A B}+I\right)=0$, which would lead to a caustic in (12). W e will assum $e$ in this paper that no such caustics are encountered in the region of ${ }_{R}^{\text {in }}$ which dom inates reaction.

An exam ple of a fulltrajectory corresponding to a typicalm idpoint orbit is ilhustrated in $F$ igure 6. Because the initial conditions are com plex, the coordinates of the trajectory are generically com plex over its length, even along the segm entsw hich have been obtained by deform ation of the realsegm ents of ${ }_{E}(t)$. A consequence of the sym $m e-$ try ${ }_{B}={ }_{A}$, how ever, is that the tim e contours can be chosen so that the second half of the tra jectory reverses the com plex conjugate of the rst half. A projection onto real con guration space, for exam ple, is self retracing. W e nd as a result that the action is purely im aginary and the exponent $A(; E)$ is a positive real num ber. We also nd that $W_{A B}=W_{A B}^{1}$ and because $\operatorname{det}^{1} W_{A B}=1$ thism eans that the am plitude term $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbb{W}_{A B}+I\right)$ in (12) is real (and positive). Therefore $W_{\hat{r}}(; E)$ is a positive real-valued function $w$ ith a $m$ axim um at 0 (for which we have $\left.A\left({ }_{0} ; E\right)=K_{0}(E)\right)$.

The harm onic approxim ation can be recovered by expanding the exponent to second order about 0 and approxim ating $W_{\text {A в }}$ by $W$, giving [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\hat{\mathrm{T}}}(; E) \frac{e^{[(2=) \tanh =2] \quad o h()=\sim}}{\cosh =2}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

A com parison is given in F igure 7 betw een this approx-
im ation, the fully nonlinear approxim ation of (12) and exact results. A though the harm onic approxim ation works well near the $m$ axim um of the $W$ eyl sym bol, the fully nonlinear result works better over a larger range. W e note how ever that there is qualtative deviation even from the nonlinear approxim ation in the deep tunnelling regim e where the exact calculation show s signi cant oscillations not captured by the harm on ic or nonlinear approxim ations. Sim ilar oscillatory structure, or \fringed tunnelling" in the scattering $m$ atrix has been explained in [4, 5,6$]$ on the basis of non integrable com plex dynam ics and has been show $n$ to involve $m$ echanism $s$ that also show up in chaotic tunnelling. It seem s likely that the oscillations in $F$ igure 7 have a sim ilar origin but we have not preform ed a detailed analysis. It $w i l l$ be an interesting problem in the fiuture to com bine the inherently nonintegrable $m$ echanism in [4, 5, 6] w ith the uniform approxim ations ilhustrated here.

> B. Uniform approxim ation

A though we now have an explicit closed-form sem iclassicalapproxim ation for $\hat{\mathrm{T}}$, no equivalent result is currently available for the uniform isation $\hat{T}=(1+\hat{T})$ because inversion of the operator $1+\hat{\mathrm{T}}$ cannot be done sim ply. In this paper we sim ply adopt a hybrid approach which com bines sem iclassical approxim ation of $\hat{\mathrm{T}}$ w th num erical inversion of $1+\hat{\mathrm{T}}$. A lthough not a fully sem iclassical $m$ ethod, this $w$ ill allow us to verify that (6) gives an accurate reproduction of quantum transm ission.
$W$ e rst represent $\hat{T}$ as a $m$ atrix in the sam e asym $p-$ totic basis $j_{n i}$ as used for the scattering $m$ atrix. We denote individualm atrix elem ents by $T_{n m}=h_{n} \hat{\mathcal{T}} j_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{i}$ and com pute them using the $W$ igner- $W$ eyl calculus by writing

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{nm}}(E) \stackrel{Z}{\mathrm{Z}}_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{nm}}() \mathrm{W}_{\hat{\mathrm{r}}}(; E) \mathrm{d}
$$

and approxim ating $W_{\hat{T}}$ (;E) using (12). W e em phasise that while $\hat{\mathrm{T}}$ is alm ost diagonal in the basis $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{k}}$ i ofeigenstates of the generating $H$ am iltonian $\hat{h}$, the sam $e$ is not true in the basis $j_{n} i$ unless the potential is separable. $T$ he integral is perform ed num erically and the resulting M M m atrix for $\hat{\mathrm{T}}=(1+\hat{\mathrm{T}})$, whose elem ents are denoted $R_{n m}^{s c}(E)$, is also com puted num erically. This integration is not di cult since a grid on ${\underset{R}{\mathrm{in}}}_{\mathrm{in}}$ is easily lled by using N ew ton integration to step the m idpoint orbit, starting w th the known solution corresponding to $E$ ( $t$ ) at 0 (for which ${ }_{A}=0={ }_{B}$ ). O nce the elem ents $R_{n m}^{s c}(E)$ are know $n$, the $W$ eyl sym bol for $\hat{T}=(1+\hat{T})$ is obtained by replacing $R_{n m}$ ( $E$ ) w ith $R_{n m}^{s c}$ ( $E$ ) in (3).

We nd excellent agreem ent betw een the sem iclassically com puted $W$ eylsym bolW ${ }_{\mathrm{R}^{\hat{\prime}}}(; E)$ and the exact result. A nonlinear version of $F$ igure 3 is indistinguishable from the exact results show $n$ in the top row of $F$ igure 2 and therefore not show $n$. Instead we com pare in $F$ igure 8


FIG.8: The uniform nonlinear approxim ation is com pared w ith the exact $W$ eyl sym bol for a series of energies and the sam e param eters as used in F igure 4. It is di cult the distinguish the exact and approxim ate results at the levelof graphical resolution used, but in each case the di erence m ultiplied by 10 is shown undemeath as a dotted curve.
horizontalslices of the $W$ eylsym bolthrough the reacting region, in the sam em anner as in $F$ igure [4. The potential used is the sam $e$ as in $F$ igure 4 and the energies treated extend som ew hat higher above the threshold. T he exact and sem iclassical results cannot be distinguished at the resolution used, so the di erence scaled by a factor of 10 is also shown. We nd sim ilarly good agreem ent for other param eter sets we ahve investigated and we note that the quality of the approxim ation does not require special features of the classical dynam ics such as sym $m$ etry, separability or adiabatic separation of transverse from reaction degrees of freedom.

W e should rem ark that the current hybrid im plem entation of the nonlinear calculation is cum bersom e and is harder to apply further above the barrierw here the larger region of integration dem ands that we extend the m idpoint trajectory deeper into com plex phase space. We have not, for exam ple, reproduced the results on the second row OfF ig. 2 using this $m$ ethod. The purpose of th is calculation is to show that the nonlinear uniform result derived in [8] provides an accurate description of $\hat{R}(E)$ in the $m$ odel considered and that the $m$ ethod therefore deserves further exploration. Even though num erical inversion was used in applying the form alism, it is built entirely on a sem iclassicalapproxim ation for the tunnelling operator $\hat{T}(\mathbb{E})$ and we expect that any subsequent fiully sem iclassical im plem entation will be equally accurate.

W e also rem ark that the current hybrid $m$ ethod is theoretically clum sy and obscures som ew hat the deeper connectionsbetw een the quantum results and the underlying classical geom etry. For exam ple, it would be especially interesting to characterise the behaviour of $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ at the boundary of the classically reacting region where tra-
jectories approach the PODS (orNH $\mathbb{M}$ in higher dim ensions) along its stable $m$ anifold and where the classical reaction probability drops shanply from 1 to 0 . Such an analytical approxim ation was found in [7] for the har$m$ onic version in which $W_{\hat{R}}(; E)$ is approxim ated as an integral of the A iry function near the boundary of classical reaction. Investigation is currently underw ay into a $m$ ethod to derive sim ilar results in the nonlinear case on the basis of generating $\hat{T}$ as in equation (7), but with an anharm onic generator $\hat{h}$ com puted using classicalnorm al form theory. U ltim ately it should be possible to describe explicitly how the quantum reaction probability varies across the boundary of the classically reacting region in term softra jectoriesw hich approach the com plex$i$ ed PODS along its stable $m$ anifold and evolve along it before retuming to the asym ptotic $P$ oincare section.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

W e have successfully treated quantum transm ission across a multidim ensional barrier using uniform sem iclassical approxim ation. T he $m$ ethod applies generically around a threshold energy and does not rely on speci c features of the classical dynam ics such as separability or the existence of action angle variables. In its fully nonlinear incamation the $m$ ethod gives an accurate description ofreaction probabilities in phase space and $m$ akes a striking connection betw een the quantum scattering problem and the geom etry of classical reaction. W e expect that it w ill work equally well in higher-dim ensionalproblem s, even in cases where the incom ing states are chaotic in the transverse dynam ics.

A though we have shown that the fully nonlinear version w orks well, in doing so we have resorted to num erical $m$ ethods which are not in the spirit of sem iclassical approxim ation. T he theory therefore needs further develop$m$ ent in order to achieve a fully sem iclassicaldescription ofthe em erging reacting region. O ne prom ising approach which is currently under investigation is to use classical norm alform theory to generate dynam ics around the orbit e ( $t$ ) using a nonlinear extension of the generator $h() . M$ any of the explicit analytical approaches used in the harm onic casem ight then be adapted to the nonlinear approxim ation. In particular this is expected to produce a detailed analytical description of the $W$ eyl sym bol at the boundary of the reacting region which calls on intrinsic geom etrical features of (the stable $m$ anifold of) the $\mathrm{NH} \mathbb{I M}$.

A second aspect of the calculation which deserves further attention is the treatm ent of rotational degrees of freedom in fully three-dim ensionalm odels ofchem icalreaction. A though at one level this is sim ply a question of applying the results here individually to sym $m$ etryreduced phase spaces for given angularm om entum quantum num bers, there are interesting and nontrivial problem $s$ in describing the quantum -classical correspondence com pactly in operator form. This is an especially in-
teresting issue for reactions which proceed through a collinearm echanism since the collinear con gurations are a singular part of the classical reduction process.
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