arXiv:nlin/0507013v1 [nlin.SI] 8 Jul 2005

Darboux Transformation for the non-stationary Shrödinger Equation

E.Sh.Gutshabash

Research Institute of Physics, St. Petersburg State University ,
St. Petersburg, Staryi Peterghof, 198504, Russia
e-mail: gutshab@EG2097.spb.edu

The Lax representation for the nonstationary Schrödinger equation with rather arbitrary potential is proposed. Some examples of the construction of exact solutions are given by means of Darboux Transformation method.

1. Introduction.

The increase of interest to the non-stationary Shrödinger equation (NtSH) noticed during last decades is apparently explained not only by needs to solve quantum-mechanical problems, but also by its role in the method of inverse problem (for example, in the theory of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation) and related problems [1-4].

It is necessary to note, that known methods of construction of the exact solutions of NtSH equation are basically concentrated around two methods: the method of intertwining relations (its special case is a method of Darboux Transformation (DT)) [1,2] and the method of dressing chains (see, for example, [3]). These methods have both some advantages and definite defects (without details, we shall specify only, that they do not give a regular procedure of construction of the solutions neither for any rather "good" potentials, nor for the case of higher spatial dimensionality).

It is necessary to mention separately the paper [5], in which the scattering theory was developed directly for the NtSH equation, and its connection with the solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation was discussed.

In a certain sense the alternative approach was proposed in [6]. It is based on the application of the Darboux-like anzatz, it does not depend on the spatial derivatives (and, hence, on the dimensionality of the problem), and it also reduces the solution of NtSH equation to the solution of some functional-differential equation, which does not contain any potential.

The idea of the present paper is the following. The NtSH equation (though it is linear, but it includes a coefficient function - potential) is considered as a (rather special) particular case of the nonlinear Shrodinger equation:

$$i\Psi_t = -\Psi_{xx} + \varkappa(\Psi,\ \bar{\Psi})\Psi, \tag{1.1}$$

assuming that $\varkappa(\Psi,\ \bar{\Psi}) \equiv W(x,\ t)$, where $W(x,t)$ is a given function depending only on spatial and time arguments. Then this equation represents the completely integrable system. It means, that it possesses also all appropriate attributes of such systems: the scattering problem, an infinite and involutive series "of the conservation laws" (since the initial system is not conservative, no sense to speak about "the relations of balance"), identities of traces, hierarchy of the higher equations etc. Certainly, besides that it also

allows to construct the exact solutions of the initial equation, for which only limited class of solutions is known up to now (see, for example, monography [7]; let's also note here the important review [8], in which the quantum-mechanical systems were discussed from the point of view of the representation theory of groups and algebras).

Thus, the problem is reduced to the construction of a Lax pair and to the analysis of the appropriate associate linear system.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we obtain the Lax representation by means of transition to the Heisenberg picture. Section 3 is devoted to verification of the covariance property and to calculation of the exact solutions by the DT method.

2. **Lax Representation and Heisenberg Picture.**

Let us consider the NtSH equation (here and below we use the system of units, in which the Plank's constant $\hbar = 1$, and the mass of particle $m = 1/2$) in the coordinate representation:

$$i\Psi_t = -\Psi_{xx} + W\Psi, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\Psi = \Psi(x,t)$ is the wave function [1]. Potential $W = W(x,t)$ is supposed to be given (it plays a role of functional parameter) and real, therefore:

$$i(|\Psi|^2)_t = (\bar{\Psi}_x\Psi - \Psi_x\bar{\Psi})_x. \tag{2.2}$$

Let's rewrite the equation (2.1) as a condition of compatibility of linear system of (2×2) matrix equations:

$$\Phi_x = U\Phi\Lambda, \quad \Phi_t = V\Phi\Lambda, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\Phi = \Phi(x,t,\lambda) \in Mat(2,\mathbb{C})$, $\Lambda = diag(\lambda,\, \bar{\lambda})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is the parameter, $\mathrm{I}m\lambda \neq 0$, and let's assume that

$$U = U(x,t) = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 & f_0 \\ g_0 & \bar{u}_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = V(x,t) = \begin{pmatrix} v_0 & p_0 \\ q_0 & \bar{v}_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

Here $u_0 = u_0(x,t)$, $v_0 = v_0(x,t)$, $f_0 = f_0(x,t)$, $g_0 = g_0(x,t)$, $p_0 = p_0(x,t)$, $q_0 = q_0(x,t)$, and the equation (2.1) is equivalent to the relation:

$$u_{0t} = v_{0x}. \tag{2.5}$$

It can be considered as the constraint, which can be solved as:

$$u_0 = i\Psi(x,t) - \frac{\alpha}{2}\int_0^t W(x,t')\Psi(x,t')dt' + A_0(x), \tag{2.6}$$

$$v_0 = -\Psi_x(x,t) + \frac{2-\alpha}{2}\int_{-M}^{x} W(x',\,t)\Psi(x',\,t)dx' + B_0(t),$$

[1] As was noticed in [5], the replacement $\Psi \to \Psi\exp(\lambda t/i)$ reduces the equation $i\Psi_t = -\Psi_{xx} + (W(x,t) - \lambda)\Psi$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, to the form in (2.1), and thus the analysis given below is applicable also to this equation.

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is the parameter, $A_0(x)$, $B_0(t)$ are arbitrary functions, and $M > 0$ is arbitrary (finite or infinite) number, introduced in order to provide the convergence of integrals below.

The requirement of compatibility of system (2.3) leads to relations: $U_t - V_x = 0$ and $[U, V] = 0$; by the straightforward calculations one can check that they will be fulfilled, if the functions f_0, g_0 will satisfy the linear equations in partial derivatives of the first order:

$$(\ln f_0)_t - \frac{1}{w_0}(\ln f_0)_x = -\frac{1}{w_0}(\ln w_0)_x, \quad (\ln g_0)_t - \frac{1}{w_0}(\ln g_0)_x = -\frac{1}{w_0}(\ln w_0)_x, \tag{2.7}$$

where $w_0 = (u_0 - \bar{u}_0)/(v_0 - \bar{v}_0)$, $w_0 = \bar{w}_0$.

For the solution of these equations we shall introduce the real function ξ_1 as the curvilinear integral:

$$\xi_1 = \xi_1(x,t) = i\int_{(x_0,t_0)}^{(x,t)} (u_0 - \bar{u}_0)dx + (v_0 - \bar{v}_0)dt, \quad \xi_1 = \bar{\xi}_1, \tag{2.8}$$

where x_0 is the initial point, t_0 is the initial moment of time (later on we put $t_0 = 0$).

By virtue of a condition (2.5) the integral (2.8) does not depend on the integration path. It allows to integrate the equation (2.7). Taking into account that $w_0 = \xi_{1x}/\xi_{1t}$, we have:

$$f_0 = \xi_{1x} F_0(\xi_1), \quad g_0 = \xi_{1x} G_0(\xi_1), \tag{2.9}$$

where $F_0(.)$, $G_0(.)$ are arbitrary functions. Therefore $p_0 = \xi_{1t} F_0(\xi_1)$, $q_0 = \xi_{1t} G_0(\xi_1)$, and finally we obtain:

$$U = U(x,t) = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 & \xi_{1x} F_0(\xi_1) \\ \xi_{1x} G_0(\xi_1) & \bar{u}_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = V(x,t) = \begin{pmatrix} v_0 & \xi_{1t} F_0(\xi_1) \\ \xi_{1t} G_0(\xi_1) & \bar{v}_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.10}$$

Thus, using the Heisenberg picture, we formally have found the Lax representation for equation (2.1). Let us notice that the requirement of reality of potential together with the condition (2.2) does not impose any additional restrictions on matrix elements of matrices U and V.

Let us consider in more detail the Heisenberg picture, which appeared here. For that the equation (2.1) can be rewritten as:

$$i\Psi_t^{(S)} = H(t)\Psi^{(S)}, \tag{2.11}$$

where $H(t)$ is the Hamiltonian, assumed hermitian and time-dependent. Let's assume that

$$\Psi^{(S)} = \hat{U}(t)\Psi^{(H)}, \tag{2.12}$$

where $\Psi^{(S)}$, $\Psi^{(H)}$ are the vectors of state in the pictures of Shrödinger and Heisenberg, correspondingly, $\hat{U}$ is the unitary operator. From (2.11), (2.12) it follows, that this

operator satisfies the equation: $\hat{U}_t = -iH(t)\hat{U}$, whence by the assumption, that $\hat{U}(0) = I$, where I is the unit operator, we obtain:

$$\hat{U}(t) = \hat{T}e^{-i\int_0^t H(t')dt'}, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\hat{T}$ is the time-ordering operator (Dayson operator).

According to the basic principles of the Quantum Mechanics, if L^0, $L(t)$ are the observables in pictures of Shrödinger and Heisenberg correspondingly, the average values of these observables in both pictures coincide:

$$< \Psi^{(S)}|L^0|\Psi^{(S)} >=< \Psi^{(H)}|L(t)|\Psi^{(H)} > .$$

Then taking into account the unitarity of $\hat{U}$, from the last equation we shall obtain

$$L(t) = \hat{U}^+(t)L^0\hat{U} = \hat{U}^{-1}L^0\hat{U}. \tag{2.14}$$

where the symbol "+" means the hermitian conjugation. Differentiating (2.14) in time, we shall have:

$$L_t = i[\hat{U}^{-1}H\hat{U},\ L]. \tag{2.15}$$

It is possible to obtain the representation equivalent to this, using the another, in comparison with (2.13), relation for the operator $\hat{U}$. Actually, it is easy to see, that

$$\hat{U}(t) = 1 - i\int_0^t H(t')\hat{U}(t')dt' =$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{1}{i}\right)^n\int_0^t dt_1\int_0^t dt_2\ldots\int_0^t dt_n\hat{T}(H(t_1)\ldots H(t_n)). \tag{2.16}$$

Let us assume that $\hat{R} = [H,\ \hat{U}]$. Then due to (2.16) we have:

$$\hat{R} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}!\left(\frac{1}{i}\right)^n\int_0^t dt_1\int_0^t dt_2\ldots\int_0^t dt_n[H(t),\ \hat{T}(H(t_1)\ldots H(t_n))],$$

and, hence,

$$L_t = i[H + \hat{U}^{-1}\hat{R},\ L]. \tag{2.17}$$

Thus, equations (2.15) and (2.17) are the generalizations of Heisenberg's equations of motion for the case when operators $H(t)$ and $\hat{U}$ do not commute; and the equation (2.17) is equivalent to (2.1). It is easy to show, supposing that the quantity u_0 in (2.6) is the observable in a picture of Heisenberg, setting formally $u_0 = K_1\Psi^{(S)}$, $v_0 = K_2\Psi^{(S)}$, where K_1, K_2 are the integral and integro-differential operators correspondingly, and obtaining the closed equation for u_0: $u_{0t} = [K_2(K_1^{-1}u_0)]_x$.

3. **Darboux Transformation and Exact Solutions.**

Let us proceed to the construction of exact solutions of equation (2.1). With that end in view, we shall use a method of matrix Darboux Transformation [9], setting in (2.6) $\alpha = 0$.

Let Φ_1 is the solution of system (2.3), corresponding to a choice of solution $\Psi = \Psi_1$ of equation (2.1) with some fixed value $\lambda = \lambda_1$. Let us assume that $L_1 = \Phi_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \Phi_1^{-1}$, $L_1 \in Mat(2, \mathbb{C})$. Then we shall check the covariance of the system (2.3) under the transformation:

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi - L_1 \Phi \Lambda. \tag{3.1}$$

This procedure results to the following "dressing" formulas:

$$\begin{gathered} \tilde{U} = L_1 U L_1^{-1}, \quad \tilde{U} = U + \Phi_1 [\Lambda_1^{-1}, \Phi_1^{-1} \Phi_{1x}] \Phi_1^{-1}, \\ \tilde{V} = L_1 V L_1^{-1}, \quad \tilde{V} = V + \Phi_1 [\Lambda_1^{-1}, \Phi_1^{-1} \Phi_{1t}] \Phi_1^{-1}, \end{gathered} \tag{3.2}$$

each of which, as it can be checked by direct calculations, is equivalent to others.

The formulas (3.2) can be slightly simplified, using possible symmetries (involutions) of the problem. Actually, combining the relations $\bar{\Lambda} = \sigma_1 \Lambda \sigma_1$ and $\bar{\Lambda} = \sigma_2 \Lambda \sigma_2$, where σ_1, σ_2 are Pauli matrices, and correspondingly: $\bar{U} = \sigma_1 U \sigma_1$ (if $\bar{p}_0 = q_0$) and $\bar{U} = \sigma_2 U \sigma_2$ (if $\bar{p}_0 = -q_0$), we obtain $\bar{\Phi} = \sigma_1 \Phi \sigma_1$, and $\bar{\Phi} = \sigma_2 \Phi \sigma_2$, correspondingly.

From the second of relations (3.2) after some calculations we have:

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \Psi + i Y_x, \;\; Y = Y(x,\, t,\, \lambda_1) \equiv \frac{\lambda_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1}{|\lambda_1|^2} \left(\frac{1}{1 - |y|^2} \right), \tag{3.3}$$

where $y = y(x, t, \lambda_1) = \varphi_{21}^{(1)}(x, t, \lambda_1) / \varphi_{11}^{(1)}(x, t, \lambda_1)$, $\varphi_{ij}^{(1)}(x, t, \lambda_1)$ are matrix elements of the matrix Φ_1.

The expression for "dressed" potential of the NtSH equation can be found either by replacing in (2.1) $\Psi \to \tilde{\Psi}$ and $W \to \tilde{W}$, or by using the following reasons. From fourth of relations (3.2), analogously (3.3), we have:

$$\tilde{v}_0 = v_0 - Y_t. \tag{3.4}$$

From the explicit expression for v_0 we find:

$$\tilde{W}(x,t) = W(x,t) \frac{\Psi}{\Psi + iY} - \frac{Y_{xt}}{\Psi + iY} - i \frac{Y_{xx}}{\Psi + iY}. \tag{3.5}$$

Let us notice also that the reality of potential $\tilde{W}$ follows from (3.3).

Now for construction of the solutions of equation (2.1) it is necessary to integrate the system (2.3). For this purpose we shall rewrite it as the overdetermined scalar system of the Riccatti equations for the function y:

$$y_x = -\lambda_1 [f_0 y^2 + (u_0 - \bar{u}_0) y - \bar{f}_0], \quad y_t = -\lambda_1 [p_0 y^2 + (v_0 - \bar{v}_0) y - \bar{p}_0]. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore in particular it follows, that y satisfies the linear equation in partial derivations: $y_x = w_0 y_t$, which has the solution of a kind $y = R(\xi_1)$, where $R(.)$ is an arbitrary complex-valued function of ξ_1, determined by relation (2.8). To find this function, we shall consider first of the equations (3.6) at $t = 0$ [2]. For its solutions we shall suppose, that $y = 0$ at

[2] As it follows from (2.8), the corresponding expression is $\xi_1(x, 0) = i \int_{-M}^{x} (u_0(x', 0) - \bar{u}_0(x', 0)) dx$.

$x = -M$ and y is a bounded function for all $x > M$. Let us take advantage of an arbitrariness in a choice of function f_0. Then in the simplest case of integrability it is necessary to choose $f_0 = -\bar{f}_0 = c_0 = \bar{c}_0 = const$. Coming back to the variable ξ_1 for the function y, we shall find ($\lambda_1 = -\bar{\lambda}_1$, $y(x,\, t) = \bar{y}(x,\, t)$):

$$Y(x,t) = y(\xi_1) = \frac{\tan\Theta_1}{\sqrt{2} + \tan\Theta_1},\ \Theta_1 = \Theta_1(\xi_1) = -\frac{\lambda_1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{-M}^{\xi_1}[u_0(x',0) - \bar{u}_0(x',0)]dx'. \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, from (3.3), taking into account (3.7), we shall have:

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \Psi + 2i\frac{[u_0(x,\, 0) - \bar{U}_0(x,\, 0)][u_0(x,\, t) - \bar{u}_0(x,\, t)]}{\cosh^2\Theta_1(\sqrt{2}\tanh\Theta_1 + 1)^2}(\sqrt{2} + \tanh\Theta_1). \quad (3.8)$$

The Darboux Transformation (3.1) can be multiply iterated, leading multiply "dressed" solutions (procedure of their construction for solitons of deformed Heisenberg magnet based on the dressing formulas similar to (3.2) is given, for example, in [10]).

Let us discuss two simplest examples, illustrating an application of the proposed approach.

a). Let $W(x,t) = 0$, i.e. we shall consider the free NtSH equation, and let us choose $\Psi = \Psi_1$ as a plane wave: $\Psi_1 = ae^{ikx - ik^2t}$, where a is the amplitude of the wave, k is the wave number. Then, taking for simplicity $M = 0$, from (2.8) we have:

$$\xi_1 = -\frac{4a}{k}\sin(kx - k^2t), \quad (3.9)$$

and the expression for "dressed" wave function reads:

$$\tilde{\Psi} = ae^{ikx - ik^2t}[1 - 2a\frac{\cos kx(1 - e^{-2i(kx - k^2t)})(\tanh\Theta_1 + \sqrt{2})}{\cosh^2\Theta_1(\sqrt{2}\tanh\Theta_1 + 1)^2}], \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\Theta_1 = \Theta_1(\xi_1) = \frac{i\lambda_1}{\sqrt{2}k}a\sin\xi_1(x).$$

This wave function will correspond to the potential $W(x,\, t) = \tilde{W}(\xi_1)$, determined from (3.5). Let us notice here an occurrence of the harmonic with the "opposite" phase in the spectrum of excitations of the system.

b). Let the initial solution be the well-known wave function for the harmonic oscillator ($W(x) = (1/4)\omega_0^2x^2$) (see, for example, [11]):

$$\Psi_{1n}(x,t) = c_ne^{-i\omega_0(n+1/2)t}e^{-\frac{\omega_0x^2}{4}}H_n(\sqrt{\frac{\omega_0}{2}}x), \quad (3.11)$$

where ω_0 is the frequency, $H_n(.)$ is Hermit polynomial, c_n are the real normalization constants. Taking $\Psi_{1n}(x,t) = X(x)T(t)$ and $X = \bar{X}$, according to (2.8), we shall find ($M = \infty$):

$$\xi_1 = -(T + \bar{T})_{|t=0}\int_{-\infty}^{x}Xdx - iX_{x|x=-\infty}\int_0^t(T - \bar{T})dt + i\int_0^t(T - \bar{T})dt\int_{-\infty}^{x}WXdx, \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\Theta_1 = \Theta_1(\xi_1) = -ic_n\lambda_1 \int_{-M}^{\xi_1} e^{-\frac{\omega_0 x^2}{4}} H_n(\sqrt{\frac{\omega_0}{2}}x)dx, \quad \lambda_1 = -\bar{\lambda}_1.$$

Then, from (3.3) we find

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{1n}(x,t) = c_n H_n(\sqrt{\frac{\omega_0}{2}}x)e^{-\frac{1}{4}\omega_0 x^2}[e^{-i\omega_0(n+\frac{1}{2})t} + \tag{3.13}$$

$$+\frac{2c_n H_n(\sqrt{\frac{\omega_0}{2}}x)e^{-\frac{1}{4}\omega_0 x^2}\cos[\omega_0(n+\frac{1}{2})t](\sqrt{2}+\tanh\Theta_1)}{\cosh^2\Theta_1(\sqrt{2}\tanh\Theta_1+1)^2}],$$

and, hence, we have here the same effect, as in the previous case.

In conclusion of this section we shall consider the connection of Darboux Transformation and the theory of the coherent states. For this purpose we shall represent the wave function of this state, which is defined as the minimizing for the uncertainty relations [3], as a decomposition in the wave functions of the harmonic oscillator:

$$\Psi_{coher} = \sum_n A_n \Psi_{1n}, \tag{3.14}$$

where Ψ_{1n} are defined by the expression (3.11), and A_n are coefficients of the decomposition. After the single application of Darboux Transformation we have: $A_n \to \tilde{A}_n$, $\Psi_{1n} \to \tilde{\Psi}_{1n}$ and, besides that,

$$\sum_n A_n \Psi_{1n} = \sum_n \tilde{A}_n \tilde{\Psi}_{1n}, \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$A_n = \int \Psi_{coher}(x,t)\bar{\Psi}_{1n}(x,t)\,dx, \;\; \tilde{A}_n = \int \Psi_{coher}(x,t)\bar{\tilde{\Psi}}_{1n}(x,t)\,dx.$$

Then taking into account (3.3), we obtain the connection of coefficients A_n and $\tilde{A}_n$:

$$\tilde{A}_n = \tilde{A}_n - i\int \Psi_{\rm coher}\bar{Y}_x\,dx, \tag{3.16}$$

where the quantity Y is determined in (3.3).

4. **Conclusion.**

Let us notice, that it is possible also to find a slightly different Lax representation for the equation (2.1), allowing to study the scattering theory for the operator of the

[3]It is possible to define the coherent states in another way as eigenstates of non-hermitian annihilation operator; then the quantities A_n are connected with matrix elements of this operator.

associated linear problem. On this basis it is possible to obtain, in particular, "the relations of balance" (instead of conservation laws, because an initial system, as it was already marked, is not conservative), and also to construct the hierarchy of the "highest" equations. It is especially interesting, that already the simplest equations appear to be nonlinear.

As a whole, such approach can probably serve as a basis for quasiclassical quantization of NtSH equation as a completely integrable system. We are going to present these results later on.

The author is grateful to I.V.Komarov and M.V. Ioffe for the useful critical remarks.

1. *B.G.Bagrov, B.F.Samsonov.* Elementarnye chasticy i atomnoe jadro **28**, 951 (1997).
2. *B.F.Samsonov.* JETP **114**, 1930 (1998).
3. *I.A.Dynnikov, S.P.Novikov.* Uspekhi mathemathicheskich nauk **52**, 175 (1997).
4. *B.G.Machan'kov, Y.P.Rybakov, V.I.Sanuk.* УФН **164**, 121 (1994).
5. *S.V.Manakov.* Physica D. **3**, 420 (1981).
6. *E.Sh. Gutshabash, M.A.Salle.* In: Proceedings of International Seminar "Day on Diffraction-2001", pp.116-127, St-Petersburg, (2001).
7. *A.I.Baz', Ya.B.Zeldovitch, A.M. Perelomov.* Scattering, reactions and decompositions in nonrelativistic quantum mechanics. M., Nauka, (1971).
8. *A.N.Leznov, V.I.Man'ko, M.A.Saveliev.* In "Solitons, instantons and operator quantization". Proceedings of PhiAN, т.165, M., Nauka, (1986).
9. *M.A. Salle, V.B.Matveev. Darboux Transformation and Solitons. Springer-Verlag (1991).*
10. *E.Sh.Gutshabash.* JETP Letters **73**, 317 (2001).
11. *S.Flugge.* Practical Quantum mechanics. V.1. Berlin-Heidelberg-New-York., Springer-Verlag (1971).