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#### Abstract

W e investigate the spectral properties of chaotic quantum graphs. W e dem onstrate that the energy'\{average over the spectrum of individualgraphs can be traded for the functionalaverage over a supersym $m$ etric non $\{$ linear $\{\mathrm{m}$ odel action. This proves that spectral correlations of individual quantum graphs behave according to the predictions of $W$ igner\{D yson random $m$ atrix theory. W e explore the stability of the universal random $m$ atrix behavior $w$ ith regard to pertunbations, and discuss the crossover betw een di erent types of sym $m$ etries.


PACS num bers: $05.45 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{t}, 03.65 \mathrm{Sq}, 11.10 \mathrm{Lm}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

The spectral uctuations of individual complex (chaotic) quantum system $s$ are universal and can be described in term $s$ of $W$ igner\{D yson random $m$ atrix theory 11, 2]. (See also [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and references therein). For classically chaotic system $s$ this em pirical statem ent w as prom oted to a con jecture by B ohigas, G iannoniand Schm it [8]. (See also [9,10].) W hile, how ever, there is enorm ous experim ental and num erical evidence in support of this con jecture [11] the physical basis of universality is not yet filly understood theoretically.

To date, the m ost advanced approach in developing correspondences betw een spectral statistic and non \{ linear dynam ics is sem iclassicalanalysis. B eginning w ith Berry's sem inal work [12] it becam e understood that inform ation on spectralcorrelations is stored in action correlations ofclassicalperiodic orbits (see also [13].) G oing beyond the diagonal' approxim ation [12] wherein only identical (and m utually tim e\{reversed) orbits contributing to the G utzw iller double sum [14] are taken into account, a hierarchy of ever $m$ ore com plex expansions in orbit pairs has been constructed [15, 16, 17]. In this w ay, it was shown that to all orders in an expansion in the ratio $t=\frac{t}{4}$ the short time $\left(t<t_{H}\right)$ behavior of the spectral form factor K ( ) of uniform ly hyperbolic quantum system $s$ coincides $w$ ith the universal predictions of random $m$ atrix theory ( RMT ). ( H ere, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{2 \sim}{E}$ denotes the $H$ eisenberg tim $e$ and $E$ is the $m$ ean level spacing.) H ow ever, in view of the fact that at $=1$, the function K ( ) contains an essential singularity, it is presently not clear how to extend this expansion to tim es larger than the $H$ eisenberg tim $e$.

Som e tim e ago, a eld theoretical approach to quantum chaos | dubbed the ballistic \{m odel| has been
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introduced 18] as an altemative to sem iclassical expansions. The m ost prom ising aspect of this developm ent is that in eld theory the full inform ation on universal RM T correlations is obtained in a very sim ple m anner, viz. by integration over globally uniform in ean eld' con gurations; universality of chaotic quantum system s is proven, once it has been shown that at su ciently low energies (long tim es) uctuations becom e negligible and the eld theory indeed reduces to its m ean eld sector. U nfortunately, how ever, it has so far not been possible to dem onstrate this reduction in a truly convincing $m$ anner. ( $T$ he situation is much better in the eld of disordered chaotic system $s$ : It has been known for som e tim e that at low energies disordered system s exhibit R M T spectral correlations upon con gurational averaging. This type of univensality has been proven [1] by eld theoretical $m$ ethods sim ilar to those $m$ entioned above.)

M otivated by the lack of universality proofs for generic quantum system sw ith underlying H am iltonian chaos, we have recently considered the spectralproperties of quantum graphs [19]. (For the general theory of quantum graphs, see [20] and references therein.) Q uantum graphs di er from generic $H$ am iltonian system $s$ in tw o crucialaspects: $F$ irst, the classical dynam ics on the graph is not determ inistic. It is rather described by a $M$ arkov process. Second, quantum graphs are sem iclassically exact' in that their spectrum can be exactly described in term S of trace form ulae. In spite of these di erences, quantum graphs display m uch ofthe behavior ofgeneric hyperbolic quantum system $s$ [21] (while being not quite as de ant to analytical treatm ent than these.)

E arlierw ork on universalspectralstatistics in quantum graphs w as based on periodic orbit sum $m$ ation schem es sim ilar in spirit to the sem iclassical approach to H am irtonian system s. Speci cally, Berkolaiko et al. [22] developed a perturbative diagram $m$ atic language to analyze the periodic\{orbit expansions of spectral correlation functions beyond the diagonal approxim ation. Tanner [23] analyzed the structure of the sem iclassical expansion to con jecture criteria for the presence of univer-
sal correlations on graphs. He also established connections betw een universality and the decay rates of classicalM arkovian dynam ics of the system (for details see appendix A).

W hile all building blocks of sem iclassical analysis on graphs are known [22, 24], and a com plete sum $m$ ation over all orbit pairs $m$ ay be in reach, sem iclassics on graphs is sub ject to the sam e lim trations as in H am iltonian system s . In particular, it is not clear how to extend its dom ain of applicability to tim es beyond the $H$ eisenberg tim e. In view of these di culties, we have developed an altemative approach [19] which is based on eld theoreticalm ethods and avoids diagram $m$ atic resum $m$ ations altogether. R ather, it is based on tw o altemative pieces of input, both of which have been discussed separately before:
i. The exact equivalence of a spectral average for a quantum graph w ith incom $m$ ensurate bond lengths to an average over a certain ensemble of unitary $m$ atrices 21, 23, 25].
ii. An exact $m$ apping of the phase\{averaged spectral correlation functions onto a variant of the supersym $m$ etric $\{m$ odel by an integral transform known as the color\{ avor transform ation 26].
The synthesis ofi. and ii. [19] leads to a form ulation sim ilar in spirit to the ballistic \{m odel' yet not burdened by the technicalproblem sof that approach. It is the purpose of th is paper to give a detailed account of this theory, and to discuss a num ber of generalizations. Speci cally, we w ill discuss the crossover betw een system s of conserved (orthogonal sym $m$ etry) and broken (unitary sym $m$ etry) tim e\{ reversal invariance, and we will consider the case of broken spin rotation invariance (sym plectic sym m etry.)

The paper is organized as follows: In Section we give a short introduction to quantum graphs. W e discuss the relevant quantization conditions, spectralcorrelators, and the $m$ eaning of incom $m$ ensurate bond lengths. The supersym $m$ etry approach to the spectral tw ofpoint correlation function is discussed in Section III. In Section IV we sub ject the supersym $m$ etric generating functional to a stationary phase analysis. We show under which conditions the eld theory can be reduced to a m ean eld' theory of RM T \{type correlations. W e also discuss the crossover betw een graphs of orthogonal and unitary symm etry. Q uantum graphs belonging to the sym plectic sym $m$ etry class are discussed in appendix B, and an outline of the proof of the color\{ avor transform ation is given in appendix C.
II. QUANTUM GRAPHS
A. Generalities

A nite graph $G$ consists of $V$ vertioes which are connected by B bonds. The V V connectivity matrix is
de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i ; j}=\# \text { floonds connecting the vertiges i and jg: } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A graph is simple if for all $i ; j, C_{i ; j} 2[0 ; 1]$ (no parallel connections) and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{i}}=0$ (no loops). The num ber of bonds is $B=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} ; j=1} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i} ; j}$. The valency of a vertex i is the num ber of bonds connected to it $v_{i}=P_{j=1}^{v} C_{i ; j}$. A graph is called bonnected' if it cannot be split into disjoint sub \{graphs. W ith only slight loss of generalIty [27], we will focus on the case of sim ple connected graphs throughout (cf. Fig. for a schem atic.)


FIG.1: Schem atic of a fraction of a (planar) sim ple quantum graph and of the notation used in the text.

W e denote a bond connecting the vertioes $i$ and $j$ by $\mathrm{b}=$ (ij). The notation (ij) and the letter b will be used whenever we refer to bonds w ithout specifying a direction: b 2 ( ij ) = ( ji ). A directed bond $=(\mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{d})$ consists of $a$ bond $b$ and a direction on the bond which will be denoted by a direction index $d=1$. For $b=$ ( $i j$ ) and $i<j$ we set $d=+1$ for the direction $i!j$ and $d=1$ on the opposite direction.

The position x of a point on the graph is determ ined by specifying its bond b , and its distance $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}} 2\left[0 ; \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}\right]$ from the adjacent vertex $w$ th the sm aller index. T he length of a bond is denoted by $L_{b}$. Throughout, we w ill assum e the bond lengths to be incom $m$ ensurable (or rationally independent) in the sense that there is no non \{vanishing set of integers $m_{b} 2 \mathbb{Z}$ such that ${ }_{b} m_{b} L_{b}=0$.

The Schrodinger operator on $G$ is de ned by one\{ dim ensional Laplacians on the bonds, and a set of vertex boundary conditions establishing self\{ adjointness. Its wave functions ( $x$ ) are com plex valued, piecew ise continuous and bounded fiunctions. W riting ( $x$ ) $\quad \mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ for $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}} 2\left(0 ; \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, the solutions of the stationary Schrodinger equation at a given wave num ber $k>0$ have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}} ; \mathrm{k}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iA}_{b}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}} \frac{\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{b}}}{2}\right)} \\
& \left.\quad \mathrm{b} ;+1 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}\right.} \frac{\left.\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)}{2}+\quad \mathrm{b} ; \quad 1 \mathrm{e}^{i k\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}\right.} \frac{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}}{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $b ; d=1$ are the complex amplitudes of right' ( $\mathrm{d}=+1$ ) and left' ( $\mathrm{d}=1$ ) propagating waves on the bond, and $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}$ are constant phases generated by optional $m$ agnetic uxes threading the plaquettes of the graph. To characterize the vertex boundary conditions, we introduce (k $\left\{\right.$ independent) $v_{i} \quad v_{I}$ vertex scattering $m$ atriœes ${\underset{c}{\text { (i) }} \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{b}^{0}}_{\left(b^{0}\right.}$ connecting incom ing waves to outgoing waves at i. (b and bo run over bonds connected to i). D enoting the outgoing/incom ing direction on bond b by $\mathrm{d}_{\text {out }} / \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{in}}$, these $m$ atrices are de ned by the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{d_{\text {out }}} e^{i\left(k \quad d_{u t} A_{b}\right) \frac{I_{b}}{2}}= \\
& \left.{ }_{b ; b}^{(i)} 0^{i(k \quad} \quad d_{n} A_{b^{0}}\right) \frac{L_{b} 0}{2} \quad b^{0} d_{\text {in }} ;  \tag{3}\\
& \text { bo }
\end{align*}
$$

To represent this equation in a more concise form, we com bine allam plitudes bd into a 2B-dim ensional vector ~. In this notation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim=U_{B}(\mathrm{k}) \sim \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $2 B \quad 2 B$ quantum $m$ ap 28 ] is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{B}(k)=T(k) S T(k) ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the diagonalm atrix $T(k)_{b d ; b^{0} d^{0}}=b d ; b^{0} d^{0} e^{i\left(k+d A_{b}\right) L_{b}=2}$ describes the propagation along half a bond, and

$$
S_{\mathrm{bd} ; \mathrm{b}^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{0}}=\begin{array}{ll}
(\mathrm{i})  \tag{6}\\
0 & \left(\mathrm{~b}^{0} ; \mathrm{d}^{0}\right)! \\
\left.\mathrm{b}^{0}\right) & \text { else; }
\end{array}
$$

com bines all boundary conditions at the vertioes into a single scattering $m$ atrix. T he equivalent of the quantum m ap in a H am iltonian system is a quantized Poincare map .

The boundary condition (4) can be ful led only for discrete set of wave num bers $k_{n}$. T hese num bers de ne the spectrum of the quantum graph. Fork $>0$ the quantization condition (4) is equivalent to the vanishing of the spectral determ inant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (k) } \quad \operatorname{det}(\mathbb{1} \quad \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{k})): \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus ( $k$ ) $=0$ if and only if $k=k_{\mathrm{f}}>0$ is in the spectrum.

By way of example, we mention two frequently employed fam ilies of boundary conditions: so \{called Neu $m$ ann boundary conditions [29] correspond to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{b ; b^{0}}{\substack{(i) ; N \\ b}}=\frac{2 \quad b ; b 0 V_{i}}{V_{i}}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large valencies $v_{i}$ the non-diagonal term $s$ are $m$ uch sm aller than the diagonal, and the back scattering term dom inates. $W$ hile on generalgraphs w ave functions need not be continuous across the vertioes, they are so on N eum ann graphs 21]. A nother interesting set ofboundary conditions is im plem ented through discrete Fourier transform (DFT) matriges
where b 7 ^ (b) $m$ aps the $v_{i}$ bonds connected to vertex i one-to-one onto the num bers $1 ; 2::: ; \mathrm{v}_{i}$. T hese boundary conditions do not im ply continuity at the vertices; incom ing wave packets are scattered into the outgoing bonds w ith equal probability.

## B. Tim e\{reversal invariance

As with Ham iltonian chaotic symmetries, quantum graphs of di erent sym $m$ etries $m$ ay be identi ed. Specifically, quantum graphs carrying spin degrees of freedom (and spin \{rotation invariance breaking vertex scattering $m$ atrices) fall into the sym plectic or unitary sym $m$ etry class depending on whether tim e reversal invariance is broken or not. These cases will be discussed in appendix B. In the absence of spin, we need to distinguish betw een graphs w th broken (unitary sym m etry or sym $m$ etry class A in the notation of [30]) or conserved (orthogonal sym $m$ etry or sym $m$ etry class A I) tim e reversal invariance.

A quantum system is tim e\{reversal invariant if its Ham iltonian $H$ commutes with an anti\{unitary tim e\{ reversal operator $T,[H ; T]=0$. For spinless system S , T is an involutory operator, $\mathrm{T}^{2}=\mathbb{1}[5]$. The condition $[H ; T]=0$ restricts the form of both the bond propagation $m$ atrix $T(k)$ and the vertex scattering $m$ atrioes
(k). In non \{tim e reversal invariant system s , these m atrioes obey no conditions other than unitarity. H ow ever, for conserved tim e reversalinvariance, and with a de nitive choige of the tim e\{reversal operator $T$, all vertex scattering $m$ atriges have to be sym $m$ etric $\quad^{\text {(i) }}=\quad$ (i) T , i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S^{T} \quad{ }_{1}^{d \text { ir }} S^{T} \underbrace{d \text { ir }}_{1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{1}^{\text {dir }}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & \mathbb{1} \\ 11 & 0\end{array}\right)$ is the P aulim atrix in direction indioes d. A dditionally, all m agnetic phases $A_{b} m$ ust vanish. The crossover betw een orthogonal and unitary sym $m$ etry will be discussed in IV C where we explore the consequences of a gradualsw itching on ofm agnetic phase factors.

> C. The density of states and spectral correlation functions of quantum graphs

The density of states (D OS) of a quantum graph is de ned as

$$
\left.d(k)=\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X} & (\mathrm{k} \tag{11}
\end{array} \mathrm{k}\right)=\frac{1}{+}+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{k})
$$

n
where the sum runs over the spectrum $k_{n}$. W e have w ritten the DoS as a sum over a sm ooth part 1= where is the $m$ ean level spacing and uctuations $d(k)$. B oth parts allow for an explicit representation in term s of the quantum evolution map . For the $m$ ean (or $W$ eyl) part
one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{1}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{\mathrm{idk}} \ln \operatorname{det}\left(\quad U_{B}(k)\right)=\frac{B \bar{L}}{} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{L}=\frac{1}{B}^{P}{ }_{b} L_{b}$ is the $m$ ean bond length. $N$ ote that the $m$ ean level spacing is constant. The uctuations can be expressed through the spectral determ inant 21]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{k})=\frac{1}{-} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dk}} \ln \quad\left(\mathrm{k}^{+}\right) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{k}^{+} \quad \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{i}$ and the lim it ! 0 is im plied. U sing that $\ln \operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{trln} A$ and expanding the logarithm one obtains an exact $G$ utzw iller type trace form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{k})=\frac{1}{-} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dk}}_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{+}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

expressing the D OS in term sofa sum over periodic orbits (periodic sequences of directed bonds.)
W e aim to explore the statistical properties of the uctuating part of the DoS. The N -point D oS correlation function is de ned by an average over the com plete spectrum
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hf}(k) i_{k} \quad \lim _{k!1} \frac{1}{K}_{0}^{Z} \mathrm{dkf}(\mathrm{k}): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout, we will focus attention on the two\{point correlation function $R_{2}(s)$. The two\{point function can be conveniently expressed as a derivative of quotients of spectraldeterm inants:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}(s)=\frac{1}{8 g^{2}{ }^{2}} \frac{d^{2}}{d j_{+} d j} j=0 \operatorname{Reh}(j ; j ; s) i_{k} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(j ; j ; s) \frac{\left(k^{+}+p_{+f}\right)}{\left(k^{+}+p_{+b}\right)} \frac{\left(k^{+}+p_{f}\right)}{\left(k^{+}+p_{b}\right)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $p_{b}=(\mathrm{s}=2 \quad j), \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{f}}=(\mathrm{s}=2+j)$. (Higher order correlation functions $m$ ay be obtained in a sim ilar m anner from generating functions involving additional quotients of spectral determ inants.)

For later reference, we recall that the RM T tw ofpoint correlation functions are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{2}^{G U E}(s)=(s) \frac{\sin ^{2} s}{{ }^{2} s^{2}} \\
& R_{2}^{G O E}(s)=R_{2}^{G U E}(s)+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\frac{(\dot{j} j \cos s \sin \dot{\operatorname{s}}\rangle)(2 \operatorname{Si}(\dot{\beta})}{2^{2} s^{2}}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{Si}(x)=R_{x}^{R_{x}} d x^{0} \frac{\sin x^{0}}{x^{0}}$ is the sine integral.

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{N}\left(S_{1} ;::: ; S_{N} \quad 1\right) \\
& { }^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~h} d\left(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{v}} 1\right)::: \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s}_{1}\right) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

W e also notice that the statistical properties of the graph $m$ ay be characterized by correlation functions different yet closely allied to the correlation functions introduced above: for any value of $k$ the quantum $m$ ap $U_{B}$ possess a set of 2B eigenphases' $e^{i_{1}(k)}(l=1 ;::: ; 2 B)$ on the unit-circle. At $x e d k$ the density of phases is given by

$$
k()=\sum_{l=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{B}} \quad 2 \quad\left(\quad{ }_{l}(k)\right)
$$

where 2() is the 2 -periodic delta $\{$ function. The statistical properties of this quantily are de ned by averaging over both and k. O ccasionally | e.g. within the context of the periodic orbit approach to graphs | it is som etim es advantageous to consider the correlation functions of the eigenphases instead of the spectralcorrelators introduced above. Under m ild conditions (weak uctuations in the bond lengths) both types of correlators are equivalent in the $\lim$ it B ! 1 of large graphs. In the follow ing we w ill keep our discussion focused on the spectralcorrelators. W ith sm alland straight forw ard changes our theory can be applied to the eigenphase-correlations as well.
D. C onsequences of in com m en surability

The quantum $m a p U_{B}(k)=T(k) S T(k)$ depends on the $w$ ave number $k$ via the $B$ diagonal elem ents $e^{i k L_{b}=2}$. De ning $b(k) \quad k L_{b}=2$ we have a map $k$ l ( $\left.\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{(k)}} ;::: ; \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{k})}\right)$ of the wavenum ber into a B -torus $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathbb{C}^{B}$. Th is m ap m ay be interpreted as a H am irtonian $\mathrm{ow}^{\prime}$ where $k$ plays the role of tim e'. A s we assum $e$ incom $m$ ensurable bond lengths $L_{b}$, the im age of the phase $m$ ap covers the torus densely, i.e. the $H$ am iltonian ow is ergodic'. This in tum $m$ eans that long tim e averages ( $k$ \{averages) $m$ ay be traded forphase space averages (averages over the torus or, equivalently, independent averages over the B phases b [25]):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{(2)^{B}}{ }_{T^{B}}^{Z} d^{B} \quad \text { f } f^{e^{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~g}: \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

It is this equivalence which $m$ akes the analytical calculation of spectral correlation functions a feasible task. Upon replacing $h i_{k}$ ! $h i$, the one\{param eter fam ily of $m$ atrices $T(k)$ ! $T(f \mathrm{bg}$ ) becom es an 'ensemble' of random $m$ atrices. $T$ here is a well developed analytical $m$ achinery designed to perform random phase averages of this kind. Below, we will apply the form alism of supersym $m$ etry to com pute the random phase averaged spectralcorrelation functions of the graph which, by virtue of the equivalence above, are strictly equivalent to the w ave num ber averaged correlation functions.

## III. NONLINEAR MODELFOR QUANTUM GRAPHS

C onsider the representation (17) of the two\{point correlation function in term $s$ of a double derivative of the quotient of spectral determ inants. Replacing the k \{ average by a random phase average, $h$ if ! $h i$, it is the purpose of the present section to derive a $\{\mathrm{m}$ odel representation of the tw o\{point correlation function.

```
A. The generating function as a G aussian
    superintegral
```

De ning the supervectors
$w$ here $s_{i}$ are complex commuting variables while $i$ and $\sim_{i}$ are independent anti-com $m$ uting num bers, the quotient of determ inants of an $N \quad N \mathrm{~m}$ atrix $A_{f}$ and a positive) $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ m atrix $\mathrm{A}_{b}$ can be represented as a $G$ aussian integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{f}}{\operatorname{det} A_{b}} \quad \operatorname{sdet} A=d\left(\sim^{Z} ;\right) e^{\sim_{A}}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere,

$$
A=\begin{array}{cc}
A_{b} & 0  \tag{23}\\
0 & A_{f}
\end{array}
$$

is a block \{ m atrix in boson \{ferm ion space (the two com ponent space introduced by the $s=$ grading of ) and the $m$ easure is given by

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
d(\sim ; ~)= & { }_{N}^{Y^{\mathbb{N}}}  \tag{24}\\
R & { }_{i=1} d R e\left(s_{i}\right) d \operatorname{Im}\left(s_{i}\right) d \sim_{i} d i_{i}
\end{array}
$$

where ${ }^{R} d_{i} i^{i=1} 1$ and $R_{i} d_{i}=0$. Wewish to apply this relation to represent the spectral determ inants 7) in term $s$ of $G$ aussian integrals. In view of our applications below, it $w$ ill be convenient to double the $m$ atrix dim ensions [31] using

$$
(k+p)=\operatorname{det}\left(U_{B}(p)\right) \operatorname{det} \begin{array}{cc}
\mathbb{1} & T(k)  \tag{25}\\
T(k) & U_{B}(p)^{y}
\end{array}
$$

which leads to
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\dot{j} ; j ; s)=d(\sim ;)\left(\operatorname{sdet}\left(T_{+} T^{Y}\right)\right)^{2} e^{s[r ; ~]} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S\left[{ }^{\sim} ; \quad\right]={ }_{+}^{\sim} \begin{array}{cc}
1 & T(k) \\
T(k) & \left(T_{+} S T_{+}\right)^{y}
\end{array} \quad+ \\
& \sim \begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{k})^{\mathrm{Y}} \\
\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{k})^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~T} & \mathrm{ST}
\end{array} \quad: \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $=\mathrm{f}$ a;s;x; $; \mathrm{b} \mathrm{g}$ is a 16B -dim ensional supervector where, $a=$ distinguishes betw een the retarded and the advanced sector of the theory (com ponents coupling to or , respectively). T he index $s=f ;$ b refers to com plex commuting and anti\{commuting components (determ inants in the denom inator and num erator, respectively), and $x=1 ; 2$ to the intemalstructure of the $m$ atrix kemel appearing in (27). The $m$ atrioes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{b}) \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{O} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

are diagonal $m$ atrioes in superspace containing the $a p-$ propriate bond $m$ atrices $T$ in the boson \{boson/ferm ionferm ion sector.

To account for the (optional) tim e-reversal invariance of the scattering $m$ atrix, we introduce the doublets

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{p^{2}}{\underset{1}{d} \text { ir } \sim \text { T }}^{1}  \tag{29}\\
& \sim=\frac{1}{P_{2}} \sim ; \quad T \quad \underset{1}{\mathrm{~d} \text { ir }}{ }_{3}^{\mathrm{BF}} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad{ }_{3}^{B F} \quad \quad_{0}^{\mathbb{1}} \quad 0_{\mathbb{1}}$ is the $P$ aulim atrix in superspace. $N$ otice that the low er com ponents of em anate from the upper com ponent by a tim e reversal operations (transposition followed by inversion in directional space.) For later reference, we note that new elds depend on each other through the generalized transposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sim T \quad \sim=T: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he explicit de nition of the $m$ atrix is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& ={ }_{1}^{\mathrm{d} \text { ir }} 0 \\
& 0=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{tr}} \quad \mathrm{iE}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }_{2}^{\mathrm{tr}} \text {; } \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

 tim e-reversal' space and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{f}}$ are the pro jectors on the bosonic/ferm ionic sectors. H ow ever, all we w ill need to know to proceed is that obeys the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{T}}=1 \text { and } \quad 2={ }_{3}^{\mathrm{BF}}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The appearance of the $m$ atrix in conjunction $w$ ith a transposition operation suggests to introduce the generalized $m$ atrix transposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { A } \quad \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad{ }^{1}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Eq. (32) and that [7] $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}={ }_{3}^{\mathrm{BF}} \mathrm{A}{ }_{3}^{\mathrm{BF}}$, one nds that the generalized transposition in involutory,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (A) }=A: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

For later reference we also note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{+} A=T{ }_{3}^{\mathrm{BF}} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{T}} \underset{+}{\sim}=\sim \mathrm{A}+: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith all these de nitions, the action (27) now takes the form
$w$ here the $m$ atrix structure is again in the auxiliary index $x$ and we have introduced the $m$ atrioes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{T} S T
\end{align*} \quad \mathrm{~T} \begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{ST} & \mathrm{O}  \tag{37}\\
0 & \mathrm{~T} \\
\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~T}
\end{array}:
$$

H ere the $m$ atrix structure is in tim $e\{$ reversal space and the tim $e\left\{\right.$ reversed scattering $m$ atrix $S^{T}$ has been de ned in (10).

## B . The color- avor transform ation

W e are now in a position to subject the generating functional to the spectral average, $h i_{k}=h i . A s$ discussed in section IID, we replace $T(k)$ ! $T(f \mathrm{~b}$ ) , whereupon the average is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& h(j ; j ; s) i=d(\sim ; ~) \operatorname{sdet}\left(T_{+} T^{Y}\right)^{2} \\
& e^{S_{0} Y^{B}=1} \frac{d_{b}}{2} e^{S_{1 ; b}}: \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim_{+; 2} S_{+}^{Y}+; 2+\sim{ }_{;}{ }^{\text {S }} \quad ; 2 \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

is the phase independent part of the action and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{1 ; b}=2^{\sim}+; 1 ; b \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} b}+; 2 ; b+2^{\sim} \quad ; 2 ; b \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{b}}} \quad ; 1 ; b: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

So far, we have not achieved $m$ uch other than representing the spectraldeterm inants by a com plicated $G$ aussian integral, averaged over phase degrees of freedom. The m ost im portant step in ouranalysisw illnow be to sub ject the generating function to an integral transform known as the color\{ avor transform ation [26]. The color\{ avor transform ation am ounts to a replacem ent of the phase\{ integral by an integral over a new degree of freedom, $Z$. M uch better than the original degrees of freedom, the Z \{ eld w ill be suited to describe the low energy physics of the system.

In a variant adopted to the present context (a single bolor' and F 'avors') the color\{ avor transform ation assum es the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

where and are arbitrary 2F dim ensionalsupervectors and $Z, Z$ are $2 F$-dim ensional superm atrices. T he boson \{boson and ferm ion \{ferm ion block of these super$m$ atrices are related by $Z_{b b}=Z_{b b}^{Y}, Z^{Y}=Z^{Y}$, while the entries of the ferm ion \{boson and boson \{ferm ion blocks are independent anti\{com m uting integration variables. $T$ he integration $d(Z ; Z)$ runs over allindependent $m$ atrix elem ents of $Z$ and $Z$ such that alleigenvalues of $Z_{b b} Z_{b b}^{Y}$ are less than unity and the $m$ easure is norm alized such that

$$
{ }^{Z} \quad d(Z ; Z) \operatorname{sdet}(1 \quad Z Z)=1:
$$



FIG. 2: On the physical interpretation of the color- avor transform ation. Explanation, see text.

W e apply the color-avor transform ation $B$ tim es \{ once for each phase b . A s a result, we obtain a B \{fold integral over superm atrioes $Z_{b}$. There are four avors (direction index $d=1$ and tim e-reversalindex $t=1$;2). W e com bine all m atrices $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ into a single block $\{$ diagonal 8B -dim ensional superm atrix Z (Z) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\text {bdts } ; b^{0} d^{0} t^{0} s^{0}=}=b ; b^{0} Z_{b d t s} ; d^{0} t^{0} s^{0}: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The averaged generating function now has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
h(\dot{j} ; j ; s) i= & \operatorname{sdet}\left(T_{+} T^{y}\right)^{Z} d(\sim ;)^{Z} d(Z ; Z) \\
& \operatorname{sdet}(1 \quad Z Z) e \mathrm{~S}(; ; ; z ; Z) \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
S(\sim ; ~ ; ~ Z ; Z)= & \sim_{1} \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{1} & Z & \\
& Z & \mathbb{1} \\
& \sim_{2} & S_{+}^{Y} \\
Z & Z & \\
Z & S & 2 ;
\end{array} .
\end{align*}
$$

and we used 2 $\sim_{1} Z \quad Z_{1}=\sim_{1} Z \quad 1+\sim_{1} Z \quad 1,2 \sim_{2} Z \quad 2=$ $\sim_{2} Z_{2}+\sim_{2} Z \quad 2$. Here, the indices 1,2 refer to the auxiliary index $x$, and the $m$ atrix structure is in advanced/retarded space. Integrating the G aussian elds ~ and we arrive at the (exact) representation

$$
h(\dot{j} ; j ; s) i=\frac{Z}{d(Z ; Z) e^{s(r ; Z)}}
$$

where the action is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
S(Z ; Z)= & \operatorname{str} \log \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & Z Z
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{str} \log (1 \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{str} \log \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & S+Z \quad S^{y} Z
\end{array}\right): \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

(N ote, that the prefactor sdet $\left(T_{+} T^{y}\right)^{2}$ has canceled out.)
Before carrying on, let us pause to discuss the advantage gained by sw itching to the $Z$ \{representation.
 appearing as building blocks of the original phase\{ representation. Loosely identifying $+=$ as retarded/advanced wave function am plitudes, these products describe the scattering of single particle states o phase uctuations. Due to the e ective random ness of the phases they uctuate in a wild and non \{controllable $m$ anner (see $F$ ig. 2 for a cartoon of the propagation of a retarded [upper line] and advanced [ower line] wave function in space: a rapid succession of scattering events the vertical dashed lines] leads to strong uctuations.) Technically, this $m$ eans that the original representation de es controlled evaluation schem es (such as m ean eld approxim ations and the like.)

In contradistinction, the $Z$ \{ eld enters the theory as
${ }^{\sim}+; s ; t ; d ; b \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{b} ; s s^{0} ; \mathrm{tt}^{0} ; \mathrm{dd}^{0} \quad ; s ; \mathrm{t}^{0} ; \mathrm{d}^{0} ; \mathrm{b}, \text { i.e. through structures }}$ that couple retarded and advanced am plitudes locally in space. W hile (prior to the phase averaging) each of the
$+=$ am plitudes individually was a rapidly uctuating contribution, the product ~ + contains benign, slow ly uctuating contributions. This is because the phase $\exp (i)$ picked up by the retarded am plitude $m$ ay cancel against the phase exp ( i ) carried by the advanced am plitude. In a sem iclassical m anner of speaking, this happens if the two am plitudes propagate along Feynm an paths locally correlated in space. T he advantage of the Z \{representation is that it selects precisely these slow ly uctuating, spatially correlated bilinears which survive the averaging over phases. In Fig. 2, the Z \{ elds are indicated by vertical ovals. W ave function am plitudes qualifying to form a slow ly uctuating couple $m$ ay carry di erent tim e\{reversal and directional indices which explains them atrix\{structure ofZ in these index spaces. At any rate, the structure of the color\{ avor transform ed theory indicates that the $Z$ \{integral will be com paratively benign and am enable to stationary phase treat$m$ ent.

> IV. SADDLE POINT ANALYSIS AND UN IVERSALITY

The action (36) provides for an exact representation of the generating functional of an individual graph. W hile the integralover $Z$ cannot be done in closed form, it turns out to be ideally suited to a m ean eld treatm ent. In the follow ing, we will form ulate the $m$ ean eld analysis and
explore under which conditions the theory reduces to one that predicts universalG OE statistics. (W e assum e tim e reversal invariance throughout.)

O ur strategy will be to rst identify uniform 'zero $m$ ode' solutions to the $m$ ean eld equations, and the corresponding $m$ ean eld action. W e will nd that the integral over the reduced action generates an exact RM T expression for the spectral determ inants. In a second step we proceed to investigate the validity of the zero m ode approxim ation, i.e. we w ill explore under which conditions corrections to the RM T result vanish in the sem iclassicallim it B! 1 .

## A. The zero-m ode and universality

W e begin by expanding the full action to linear order in the sources $p=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{BF} & \mathrm{S}\end{array}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
S(Z ; Z)= & S_{0}(Z ; Z) \\
& \frac{i}{4} \operatorname{str} \frac{p_{+}(L S+S L) Z S^{y} Z}{1 S Z S^{Y} Z}  \tag{48}\\
+ & \frac{i}{4} \operatorname{str} \frac{p\left(L S^{Y}+S^{Y} L\right) Z S Z}{1} S^{y} Z S Z \\
+ & 0(\mathrm{pL})^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere, $S_{0}\left(Z ; Z^{\prime}\right)$ is obtained from 47) by replacing $S$ ! $S$, and $L_{b b^{\circ}}={ }_{b b^{\circ}} L_{b}$ contains the bond length on its diagonal. Since we are only interested in spectral uctuations on the scale of the $m$ ean level spacing $p \quad O\left(B^{1}\right)$ im plying that higher orders in the expansion in $p$ vanish in the lim it B! 1 . At this point we have to assume $m$ oderate bond length uctuations such that $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}=\overline{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{B}$.

To identify the $m$ ean eld con gurations of the theory, we di erentiate the action $S_{0}$ w r.t. $Z$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1 \mathrm{zz}} Z \quad \frac{1}{1 \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{Z}} Z=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=Z: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

D i erentiating w r.t. Z and using (50) a second saddle\{ point equation assum es the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{1-Z Z} Z \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1-S Z S^{y} Z} S Z S^{y} \\
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 S^{Y} S^{Y} Z} S S^{y}=0: \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation is solved by all eld con gurations that com $m$ ute $w$ th the scattering operators, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{0 b ; d t s ; d^{0} t^{0} s^{0}}={d d^{0}} Y_{t s ; t^{0} s^{0}}  \tag{52}\\
& Z_{0 b ; d t s ; d^{0} t^{0} s^{0}}={d d^{0}}^{0} Y_{t s ; t^{0} s^{0}} ;
\end{align*}
$$

which corresponds to equidistribution on the set of directed bonds. The sym $m$ etry condition $Z=Z \quad$ obtained
from the rst saddle\{point equation implies $Y=Y$ where $Y=0 Y^{T} 0^{1}$ and the $m$ atrix 0 hasbeen de ned in (31). The com $m$ uting parts of these $m$ atrices obey $Y_{b b}=Y_{b b}$ and $Y=Y$ while the non \{com $m$ uting entries are all independent integration variables. The ferm ion-ferm ion part is integrated over $\mathbb{R}^{4}, \mathbb{C}^{2}$ while boson-boson part is restricted to the com pact region $w$ here all eigenvalues of $Y_{b b}^{y} Y_{b b}$ are less than unity.

Reducing the action (48) to the zerom ode the rst contribution vanishes exactly $S_{0}\left(Z_{0} ; Z_{0}\right)=0$ while the rem aining term becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{G O E}(Y ; Y)=+i-\operatorname{str} \frac{p_{+} Y Y}{1} Y Y \quad i-\operatorname{str} \frac{p Y Y}{1 Y Y} ; \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Restricting the integration to the zero mode sector, we obtain

Z $d(Y ; Y) e^{S^{G O E(Y ; Y)} ;}$
h (j ij ; s) i' GOE (j+ ; j ; S $)$ i
where the denotation GOE indicates that the m atrix integral over $Y$ obtains but an exact representation of the GOE correlation function. To represent the integral on the r.h.s. in a m ore widely recognizable form, let us dene the $8 \quad 8$ superm atrix

$$
\begin{align*}
Q & =\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbb{1} & Y & & \mathbb{1} \\
Y & Y & z & Y \\
\mathbb{1}
\end{array} \\
& =\begin{array}{ccc}
1+2 Y Y=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & Y Y
\end{array}\right) & \\
2 Y=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & Y Y
\end{array}\right) & 1 & Z Y Y=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & Y Y
\end{array}\right)
\end{array} ; \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z_{z}={ }_{0}^{\mathbb{1}} 0_{\mathbb{1}}$. It is then a straightforw ard $m$ atter to show that the action $S(Y ; Y)$ takes the form of Efetov's action] for the GOE correlation function

$$
\operatorname{GOE}\left(j_{+} ; j ; s\right)={ }^{Z} d Q e^{i S(Q)}
$$

where the measure is given by dQ $d$; $Y$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(Q)=\frac{-}{2} \operatorname{str}(Q \quad z)^{\wedge} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\wedge=1 \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & p_{+} \\ & 0\end{aligned}{ }_{p}^{0}$. For a discussion of the integral (56), and the ways random $m$ atrix predictions are obtained by integration over Q , we refer to the textbook [7].

## B. Validity of the saddle\{point approxim ation

In the previous section we have show $n$ that the reduction of the theory to a zero m ode integral obtains G O E spectral correlations. H ow ever, we have not yet shown under which conditions this reduction is actually legiti$m$ ate. $T$ his is the question to which we tum next.

For the purposes of our discussion, it w ill be su cient to consider the expansion of the exact action (48) to second order in the elds $Z$,

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{(2)}(Z ; Z)= & S_{0}^{(2)}(Z ; Z) \\
& \frac{i}{4} \operatorname{str} p_{+}(L S+S L) Z S^{Y} Z  \tag{58}\\
+ & \frac{i}{4} \operatorname{str} p \quad\left(L S^{Y}+S^{Y} L\right) Z S Z \quad ;
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}^{(2)}(Z ; Z)=\operatorname{str} \quad Z Z \quad \frac{1}{2} Z \quad Z \quad \frac{1}{2} S^{y} Z S_{+} Z \quad: \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

P hysically, the quadratic action describes the joint propagation of a retarded and an advanced Feynm an am plitude along the sam e path in con guration space. (T his is a generic feature of second order expansions to nonlinear \{ m odels of disordered and chaotic system s . For a discussion of this point, we refer to Ref. [7].) It thus carries inform ation sim ilar to that obtained from the diagonal approxim ation to sem iclassics. The second order expansion is justi ed if the uctuations of the elds Z are $m$ assively dam ped (in the sense that the $m$ atrix elem ents of $Z$ e ectively contributing to the integral are much sm aller than unity.) Under these conditions, the integration over $m$ atrix elem ents of $Z \mathrm{~m}$ ay be extended to in nity and we obtain a genuine G aussian integral.

The eigenvalues of the quadratic form appearing in $S^{(2)}$ at $p=0$ determ ine the dam ping $m_{j} \mid$ or the mass, in a eld theoretical jargon | inhibiting uctuations of the eigenm odes $Z_{j}$. A s indicated by its nam e, the zero $\left\{m\right.$ ode $Z_{0}$ carries zero $m$ ass. $W$ ithin the quadratic approxim ation, the correlation funcfion assum es the form $h\left(J_{+} ; j ; S\right) i^{\prime} h\left(J_{+} ; j ; S\right) i^{(2)}={ }_{j} I_{j}$, w th the Gaussian integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{j}= & \\
& d\left(Z_{j} ; Z_{j}\right) \exp \left[\begin{array}{lll} 
& \operatorname{strfm}_{j} Z_{j} Z_{j} \\
& \left.+i(m \quad 1) \bar{L}\left(\underline{q} Z_{j} Z_{j} \quad p Z_{j} Z_{j}\right) g\right]
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $Z_{j}$, and $Z_{j}$ are 4 supem atrices obeying the ubiquitous condition $Z_{j b b}=Z_{j b b}$ and $Z_{j}=Z_{j} \cdot W e$ also assum ed here, that the rst saddle\{point equation $Z=Z \quad$ is obeyed which reduces the num ber of integration variables by a factor $1=2$. C on gurations which are orthogonal to this condition give the sam e kind of factors but have di erent $m$ asses. D oing the $G$ aussian integral [32] we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{m}=\frac{\left[1+i \frac{(m 1)(s+j)}{m B}\right]^{2}\left[1+i \frac{(m \ln )(s \quad j)}{m B}\right]^{2}}{\left[1+i \frac{(m 1)(s+j)}{m B}\right]^{2}\left[1+i \frac{(m 1)(s \quad j)}{m B}\right]^{2}} ; \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=j_{+} \quad j$ and $j=j_{+}+j$. Dierentiating w r.t. the sources we nally obtain the quadratic approx-
im ation to the correlation function,

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{2}^{(2)}(s)={ }^{X} \frac{1}{8^{2}} R e \frac{@^{2}}{@ j_{+} @ j} I_{m} \quad j=0 \\
&\left.\left.=X_{m}^{X} \frac{(m \quad 1)^{2}\left(m^{2} B^{2} \quad{ }^{2}(m\right.}{\left(m^{2} B^{2}+{ }^{2}(m\right.} 1\right)^{2} s^{2}\right)  \tag{62}\\
&)^{2} s^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}:
$$

The contribution of the zero $m$ ode $(m=0)$ is given by $\frac{1}{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{2}}$ and coincides $w$ ith the diagonal approxim ation to the G OE correlation function. (Later on we shallsee that in the case ofbroken tim e reversalinvariance, one half of the $m$ atrix elem ents of $Z_{0}$ becom em assive im plying that the contribution of the zero $m$ ode reduces to the GUE expression $\frac{1}{2^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{2}}$.)

In the lim it B! 1 , the s-dependence of the contribution of $m$ assive $m$ odes to the correlation function is negligible for our purpose, i.e. individualm odes contribute $m$ axim ally as $(m \quad 1\}=2 m^{2} B^{2} \quad(m B)^{2}$. o nly modes of $m$ ass $m \quad B$, where is a non\{vanishing positive exponent, can survive the $\lim$ it B ! 1. The contribution of an individual $m$ ode is negligible if the exponent $0<1$. There are at $m$ ost 0 ( $B$ ) nearly $m$ assless m odes, and we are led to require that B ${ }^{2} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{~m}$ ust vanish in the $\lim$ it of large graphs B! 1 , or that $0<1=2$.

A fter these general rem arks, let us discuss the $m$ asses that actually appear in the quadratic action (59). W e rst show that modes violating the rst saddle\{point equation $Z=Z$ can safely be neglected. This is seen by rew riting the quadratic action $i$ as $S^{(2)}=$ $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{str}\binom{Z}{Z}\binom{Z}{Z}+Z Z \quad Z_{+} Z S^{Y} Z$. This expression shows that uctuations away from the condition $Z=Z$ are suppressed by a large $m$ ass of $O$ (1). These uctuations may safely be ignored, i.e. we may assum e the condition $Z=Z$ to be rigidly im posed. T he quadratic action then assum es the reduced form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{(2)}(Z)=\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{h}{\operatorname{str} Z Z} \quad S+Z S^{y} Z^{i} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the condition $Z=Z$ reduces the num ber of independent integration variables by a factor one half.

W e next show that uctuations $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{d} ; \mathrm{d}^{0}}$; $\mathrm{d} \mathrm{d}^{0} \circ$ \{ diagonal in the directional indices $m$ ay safely be discarded, too. To this end, let us separate the contribution of diagonal and $\circ$ \{diagonal elds, $Z^{\text {diag }}$ and $Z^{\circ}$, respectively, to the quadratic action (63) :

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\operatorname{str}\left(\text { S S S }^{Y} Z\right)=\operatorname{str} Z^{\text {diag }} & Z^{\circ} & F & G & Z^{\text {diag }}  \tag{64}\\
\mathrm{H} & \mathrm{~K} & Z^{\circ}
\end{array}:
$$

The $m$ atrices $G$ and $H$ contain elem ents of the type $S_{b} \quad d ; b d^{0} S_{b d ; b^{0} d^{0}}$ or $S_{b^{0}} \quad d^{0} ; b d S_{b d ; b^{0} d^{0}}$. If $S_{b d ; b^{0} d^{0}}$ does not vanish there $m$ ust be a vertex $v$ in the graph, such that the directed bond $\left(b^{0} ; d^{0}\right)$ ends at $v$ and $(b ; d)$ starts at $v$. $T$ he partner factors $S_{b} d ; i d^{0}$ and $S_{b^{0}}$ d;bd then vanish (unless the bond $b$ is a loop such that $(b ; d)$ and $(b ; d)$ both start and end at the vertex v. H ow ever, for sim ple graphs no loops are present and $G=H=0$.) Thematrix $K$ contains elem ents of the form $S_{b} \quad d ; b \quad d S_{b d ; b^{0} d^{0}}$
or $S_{b^{0} d^{0} ; b d} S_{b d ; b^{0} d^{0}}$. For $b \in b^{0}$, these vanish (unless the bonds $b$ and $b^{0}$ connect the sam e pair of vertiges which, how ever, is forbidden for sim ple graphs.) For $b=b^{0}$, the non \{vanishing of the $m$ atrix elem ent would again require the existence of loops. $W$ e thus conclude that $K=0$. D ecoupled from the scattering operators, the integration over $m$ odes $Z_{d f d^{0}} m$ erely produces a factor of unity (supersymm etry!) so that we will concentrate on the com plem entary set ofm odes

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{\mathrm{bdd}}{ }^{0}=\mathrm{dd}^{0} Z_{\mathrm{bd}} \\
& Z_{\mathrm{bdd}}{ }^{0}=\mathrm{dd}^{0} Z_{\mathrm{bd}} ; \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

throughout. The contribution of these con gurations to the generating function is determ ined by the ele$m$ ents of the $m$ atrix $F=f j_{b d ; b^{0} d^{0}}{ }^{\circ} g$. (Here, we used that for a time reversal invariant graph, $\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{bd} ; \mathrm{b}^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{0}{ }^{\rho}=}=$ $S_{b d ; b^{0} d^{0}} S_{b^{0}} \quad d ; b \quad d^{\prime}$ i.e. that the $m$ atrix $F$ is isotropic in tim e reversal space.) Speci cally, the action $S_{0}$ assum es the form

$$
S^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{str}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{1} & F) Z \tag{66}
\end{array}\right)
$$

W ithin the context of the sem iclassical analysis of appendix $\AA$, we have seen that the $m$ atrix $F$ determ ines the classicalpropagator (the Frobenius $\{$ P erron operator) on the graph. C om paring w ith our discussion above, we conclude that the eigenvalues of that operator, i, deter$m$ ine the $m$ ass spectrum' $f m_{i}=1 \quad i g$ of the theory. W e have seen that large graphs behave universal if the $m$ asses scale as $m_{i} B \quad 0 \quad<1=2$. Speci cally, this condition requires the gap $1 \quad 1$ betw een the zeroth Perron\{Frobenius eigenvahe $0=1$ (corresponding to the fully equilibrated zero (m ode con guration) and the rst excited' state to scale as $1 \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{~g} \& \mathrm{~B} \quad ; 0$ $<1=2$. This condition is stricter then Tanners con jecture $0<1$ : For 1, corrections to the universal result rem ain sizeable no $m$ atter how large the graph is. In the interm ediate region $1=2<1 \mid$ perm issible by Tanner's criterion | non\{universal corrections vanish only if the num ber $r$ of classicalm odes $w$ th a sm all $m$ ass rem ains constant (or does not grow too fast) such that $B^{2}{ }_{9}^{2}=r!1$. If, how ever, the num ber of low energy modes is extensive, $r \quad B$, the stricter condition $0<1=2$ has to be im posed to stabilize universality.

Above we have shown that in the lim it B ! 1 only the zero mode e ectively contributes to the correlation function (provided, of course, the $m$ aster condition $g \quad B$ is $m$ et.) $W$ hile the zero $m$ ode integralm ust be perform ed rigorously, all other $m$ odes are strongly overdam ped and $m$ ay be treated in a quadratic approxim ation. (T his is the a posteriori justi cation for the quadratic approxim ation on which our analysis of the m ass spectrum was based.)

## C. GOE-GUE crossover

T he analysis above applied to tim e reversal invariant graphs. In this section we discuss what happens if tim e reversal invariance gets gradually broken, e.g. by application of an extemalm agnetic eld. W e assum e fill universality, i.e. B ${ }_{\mathrm{g}}^{2} 1$ such that only the zero \{m ode contributes to $R_{2}(s)$. Our aim is to derive a condition for the crossover betw een G O E \{statistics (tim e reversal invariance) and G UE \{statistics (lack of tim e reversal invariance.)

T he substructure of the $Z$ \{ elds in tim e reversalspace is given by
$w$ here $Z_{D=C} ; b$ and $Z_{D=C ; b}$ are 2 superm atrices sub ject to the constraint $Z_{b b}=Z_{b b}$ and $Z=Z$, while the non \{com $m$ uting entries of these $m$ atrices are independent integration variables. T he subscripts D (C) allude to the fact that in disordered ferm ion system $s$, the $m$ odes $Z_{D}$ $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ generate the so \{called di uson (C ooperon) excitations. Physically, the form er (latter) describe the interference of two states as they propagate along the sam e path (the sam e path yet in opposite direction) in con $g$ uration space; C ooperon m odes are susceptible to time reversal invariant breaking perturbations.

Substituting this representation into the quadratic action, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{(2)}=\operatorname{str} \mathbb{Z}_{D}\left(\mathbb{1} \quad F_{D}\right) Z_{D}+Z_{C}\left(\mathbb{1} \quad F_{C}\right) Z_{C} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a generalization of Eq. (66). Here, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{f} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bd} ; \mathrm{b}^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{0}{ }^{\rho} \mathrm{g} ~}^{\text {(6) }}$ while $F_{c}=S_{b d ; b 0 d 0} S_{b^{0}} \quad d ; b \quad$. For a tim e reversal non $\{$ invariant graph $F_{D} \in F_{C}$ and the sym $m$ etry of the action in tim e reversal invarpance space gets lost.

N oting that $2 \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{bd} ; \mathrm{b}^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{0} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{bd} ; \mathrm{b}^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{0}{ }^{3} \text {, we conclude that }}$ the C ooperon zero m ode $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{C}}$ acquires a m ass term
$B m_{C} \operatorname{str}\left(Y_{C} Y_{C}\right)$, where the coe cient

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{C}=\frac{1}{2 B} \underset{b d ; b^{0} d^{0}}{X} S_{b d ; b^{0} d^{0}}\left(S_{b d ; b^{0} d^{0}} \quad S_{b^{0} d^{0}}{ }^{1} ; b^{1} 1^{1}\right)  \tag{69}\\
& =\frac{1}{B} \operatorname{tr}^{y}\left(S \quad S_{1}^{d i r} S^{T} \quad 1_{1}^{d i r}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$m$ easures the degree of the breaking of the sym $m$ etry $S=S^{T}$. The Cooperon $m$ ode $m$ ay be neglected once $\mathrm{Bm}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ! 1 asB! 1 .

For the sake ofde niteness, let us discuss tw o concrete $m$ echanism sofsym $m$ etry breaking: i. breaking the tim $e\{$ reversal sym $m$ etry of vertex scattering $m$ atrioes, and ii. application a m agnetic eld.

Beginning with i., let us consider a large complete graph for simplicity. (A graph is com plete if any two of its vertices are connected by a bond.) The num ber of vertices of these graphs is order $\mathrm{B}^{1=2}$, and each
colum $n$ in $S_{b^{0} d^{0} \text {;bd }}$ has $B^{1=2}$ non \{vanishing entries of or$\operatorname{der} B \quad{ }^{1=4}$. B reaking tim e\{reversal sym $m$ etry at a single vertex thus results in a coe cient $m$ c $\quad B^{1}$. B reaking tim e\{reversal invariance at a single vertex is, thus, not su cient to drive the crossover to GUE statistics. $R$ ather, a nite fraction $B \quad(>0)$ of tim e\{reversal non $\{$ invariant vertioes is required. O btained for the sim ple case of com plete graphs, it is evident that this conclusion generalizes to generic graphs.

Tuming to ii., the application of a constant magnetic eld $A_{b}=A$ causes a global change of all its bond scattering $m$ atrioes; $W$ e have to replace $T(k)=e^{i k L=2}$ ! $T(k) T\left({ }_{3}^{d i r^{2}} A\right)=e^{i\left(k+{ }_{3}^{d i r_{A}}\right) L=2}$. This is equivalent to replacing $S!S(A)=T\left({ }_{3}^{d i r} A\right) S T\left({ }_{3}^{d i r} A\right)$ in the quantum m ap. A ssum ing tim e\{reversal invariance at $A=0$ the $m$ ass of the cooperon $m$ ode becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
m_{C}(A)= & \frac{1}{2 B} \operatorname{trS}^{y}\left[S \quad T ( { } _ { 3 } ^ { d i r } A ) S T \left({\underset{3}{2 i r} A)]}^{A^{2} \quad 2+O\left(A^{3}\right)}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}  \tag{70}\\
& \text { where we used } 13_{1}=3 \cdot W \text { em ay estim ate } \\
& 2 \frac{\bar{L}}{4 B} \operatorname{tr} S^{y}{\underset{3}{d i r} S{ }_{3}^{d i r} 1} \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

by setting $T\left(\quad d_{3}^{d i r} A\right) \quad e^{\frac{\mathrm{A}^{2} \bar{L}}{2}} \frac{d i r}{3}$. For a generic scattering $m$ atrix one $m$ ay expect $\operatorname{fr} S^{y}{ }_{3}^{d i r} S{ }_{3}^{d i r} 1 j \quad B$ such that a small magnetic eld of order A B $\quad 1=2$ is strong enough to induce the crossover to GUE statistics. (A ssum ing that the geom etric area' of the graph, S B, is proportional to the num ber ofbonds, we conclude that the crossover takes place once a nite num ber

AS $\quad e=h \quad B$ of ux quanta pierces the system. This crossover criterion is know $n$ to apply quite generically in disordered or chaotic quantum system s.)

## V. CONCLUSION

To sum $m$ arize, we have show $n$ that the tw o \{point spectral correlation function of individual quantum graphs coincides $w$ th the prediction of random \{m atrix theory. Corrections to universality vanish in the lim it B ! 1 provided the gap in the spectrum of the underlying classical propagator rem ains constant, or vanishes as jn $j=11 \quad 2 j B \quad$ with $0 \quad<1=2$. These results were obtained by representing the generating functional of the tw ofpoint correlation function in term $s$ of a nonlinear \{m odel. C losely resem bling the theory of spectral correlations in disordered ferm ion system $s$, this form alism obtained a fairly accurate picture of correlations in the graph spectrum . Speci cally, (i) a perturbative expansion of the \{m odel for large energies establishes the contact w ith sem iclassicalapproaches to the problem, (ii) for low energies a non \{perturbative integration over the fully phase\{space equilibrated zero $m$ ode con guration of the $m$ odel obtains spectral correlations as predicted by
random $m$ atrix theory, and (iii) the analysis of the $m$ ass spectrum ' of non \{uniform $m$ odes yields conditions under which universality is to be expected: In the lim it of large graph size, B! 1 , the rst non \{vanishing eigenvalue of the classical P erron \{F robenius operator on the graph $m$ ust be separated from unity by scale $g$ larger than const: $\mathrm{B}, 0<1=2$.
$T$ his condition tums out to be $m$ et by $m$ any prom inent classes of quantum graphs. E xam ples include com plete D FT graphs, or com plete Neum ann graphs [22]. It has also been show $n$ that alm ost all unistochastic $m$ atrioes, i.e. $m$ atrioes of the type $F_{i j}=\hbar_{i j} \xlongequal{\jmath}$, where $S$ runs over the unitary group (or, equivalently the circular unitary ensembleCUE), have a nite gap in the lim it oflargem atrices [33]. For exam ple, star graphs [34] w th the central vertex scattering $m$ atrix $S_{i j}$ generically display universal spectral statistics. (C ounterexam ples such as the Neu $m$ ann star graph [35] are not generic in this sense.)
$C$ ases where the universality condition is violated include large graphs w ith low valency (coordination num ber) of vertices. In such system s A nderson localization phenom ena $m$ ay interfere $w$ ith the buildup of universal correlations [36, 37].

## APPENDIX A:PERIOD IC ORBIT THEORY FOR GRAPHSAND TANNER'SCON JECTURE

For com pleteness we brie y review som e elem ents of the periodic onbit approach to spectralstatistics on quantum graphs. C entral to the periodic orbit approach is a short-tim e expansion of the spectral form factor, $K$ ( ), the Fourier trannsform of the tw o\{point correlation function $R_{2}(s)={ }_{0}^{1} K() e^{\mathrm{j}^{2}} s$. Form oderate bond length uctuations in quantum graphs this quantity is usually replaced by the essentially equivalent quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}=\frac{1}{2 B}^{D} \operatorname{tr} U_{B}(k)^{\mathrm{n}} \operatorname{tr} U_{B}(k)^{\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{n}}}{ }_{k}^{\mathrm{E}}: \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{n} 2 \mathbb{N}$ is a discrete tim e corresponding to $=\mathrm{n} 2 \mathrm{~B}$. $T$ his discrete version of the form factor is connected to the correlations in the eigenphases of the quantum map .

The form factor (A 1) is a double sum over periodic orbits ; ${ }^{0}$ of lengths $n$ where a periodic orbit on the graph is a periodic sequence of directed bonds visited. In the diagonal approxim ation | valid for short tim es $n$ 2B | only those pairs of orbits are taken into account where ${ }^{0}=$, or ${ }^{0}={ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ where ${ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the tim e-reversed periodic orbit:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\text {diag }}=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~B}} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bd} ; \mathrm{b}^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{0}}=\dot{S}_{\mathrm{bd} ; \mathrm{b}^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{0}}{ }^{2} \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the 'classical' propability to be scattered from the directed bond $\left(b^{0} ; d^{0}\right)$ to $(b ; d)$. It can be considered as
the equivalent of the Frobenius\{P erron propagator for Ham iltonian qwis. Due to unjarity of $S$ the $m$ atrix $F$ is bistochastic ${ }_{\mathrm{bd}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bd} ; \mathrm{b}^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{0}}={ }_{\mathrm{bd}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{b}^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{0} ; b d}=1$ and describes a $M$ arkov process on the directed bonds of the graph. The eigenvalues $i$ of bistochastic $m$ atrioes are known to lie in the unit circle $j_{i j} 1 \mathrm{w}$ ith at least one eigenvalue unity (here corresponding to equidistribution on the directed bonds.)

U niversal spectral statistics is expected for thaotic' graphs in the lim it B! 1 . A ccording to Eq. A2), the necessary condition for universality is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} F^{2 B} \quad!\quad 1 ; \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the scaled tim e $\quad n=2 B \quad 1$ is kept constant and the $\lim$ it B ! 1 is implied. In this case, $K$ diag ! 2 in agreem ent with the short tim e expansion $\operatorname{KGOE}^{\operatorname{GO}}$ ( ) , ${ }^{1}$ 2 of the RMT \{form factor

$$
K^{\operatorname{GOE}}()=\begin{array}{lll}
j & j(2 & \log (2 j j+1)) \\
2 & j \quad \text { for } j \quad j<1 \\
j \log _{2 j}^{2 j} j+1
\end{array} \quad \text { for } j \quad 1 \quad 1: ~
$$

Here, $\quad t=2$ is tim em easured in units of the RM T \{ level spacing.

The universality condition above states that any propabillty distribution on the graph will eventually decay to equidistribution $\{$ a M arkov process w th this property is called mixing' which im plies ergodicity (equality of long tim e-averages to an an average over the equidistribution on bonds). This is very week condition on a connected graph: a non-ergodic $M$ arkov $m$ ap on a graph im plies equivalence to a M arkov m ap on a disconnected graph. H ow ever, as observed by T anner [23] the condition (A) is actually stronger than m ixing dynam ics (for an exam ple of a $m$ ixing graph $w$ ith non \{universal spectral statistics | the N eum ann star graph, see [35]). This can be seen by rew riting the A 4 in term s of the 2B eigenvalues iofF. O rdering the eigenvalues in m agnitude such that $1=1 \quad j_{2 j} \quad::$ : and de ning the spectral gap

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { gap }=1 \quad j_{2} \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ ixing dynam ics $m$ erely implies gap $>0$, i.e. that $1=1$ is the only eigenvalue on the unit circle. H ow ever, there are exam ples of graphs whose classical dynam ics is $m$ ixing while the form factor does not start as 2 . To understand the origin of this exceptionalbehavior, notioe that
 $j \underset{i=2}{2 B} \underset{i}{2 B} \quad j \quad \underset{i=2}{2 B} j_{i} j^{2 B} \quad(2 B \quad 1)\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & \text { gap })^{2 B}\end{array}\right.$. If gap rem ains a nite constant as B ! 1 this surely vanishes and universality is guaranteed. H ow ever if gap $=\mathrm{CB}$ the correction to unity vanished only if
$0<1$. In all know $n$ exam ples of graphs where the spectralstatistics is non \{universalin spite ofergodic classicaldynam ics this condition is, indeed, violated: 1. For this reason Tanner conjectured that $0<1$ is a su cient universality criterion in the scaling lim it xed while B ! 1 .

APPENDIX B:TIME\{REVERSALINVARIANT GRAPHSWITHSPIN

In this appendix we discuss the spectral statistics of tim e\{reversal invariant graphs with spin (sym m etry class A II, or sym plectic sym m etry.) T his case has been considered in connection w th the D irac equation on graphs [38]. Follow ing a som ew hat di erent approach, we w ill here break spin rotational invariance by choosing vertex boundary conditions that couple di erent spin com ponents (yet leave tim e\{reversal invariance intact.)

A spin degree of freedom is straightforw ardly introduced by adding a spin com ponent $m=\frac{1}{2}$ to the w ave function on the bonds. $T$ his extension tums the quantum evolution $m$ ap $U_{B}(k)=T(k) S T(k)$ into a $4 B \quad 4 B \mathrm{ma}$ trix. W e consider non $\left\{\mathrm{m}\right.$ agnetic graphs $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}=0\right)$ and assum e independent propagation of the spin com ponents on the bonds: $T(k)_{b d m} ; b^{0} d^{0} m 0=b ; b^{0} d ; d^{0} m ; m e^{i k L_{b}=2} . M i x-$ ing ofspins occurs at the vertex scattering centers. C om prising all vertex scattering $m$ atrioes into a single unitary $m$ atrix $S$ (de ned in analogy to spinless case discussed in the text) we obtain the condition
for tim e-reversalinvariance where ${ }_{2}^{\mathrm{sp} \text { in }}=1 \mathrm{i}_{\mathbb{1}}^{0} 0^{\mathbb{1}}$ is the Paulim atrix in spin indioes $m$. T he corresponding anti\{ unitary tim e\{reversal operator obeys $\mathrm{T}^{2}=\mathbb{1}$ characteristic of sym $m$ etry class is A II.

A ny system in class A II has a doubly degenerate spectrum due to K ram ers' degeneracy. By convention, each of the doubly degenerate eigenvalues is counted only once, i.e. the $m$ ean level spacing $==B \bar{L}$ as $w$ ith spinless graphs. The oscillatory contribution to the density of states is given by $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{k})=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dk}} \ln \left(\mathrm{k}^{+}\right)$:

In essence, the derivation of eld theory representation of the generating function parallels the spin less case. H ow ever, the generalized transposition is now de ned as

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \mathbf{i}_{1}^{\mathrm{dir}}{ }_{2}^{\mathrm{sp} \text { in }} 0 \\
0 & =\quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad{ }_{2}^{\operatorname{tr}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{tr}} ; \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

such that ${ }^{T}={ }^{1}$ and ${ }^{2}={ }_{0}^{2}={ }_{3}^{B F}$. The de nition of 0 re ects the di erent type oftim e\{reversalsym $m$ etry. second, the presence of a spin com ponent im plies that the $m$ atriges $Z_{b}$ and $Z_{b} \mid$ introduced by color avor transform ation as before | now have dim ension 1616. T hese di erences understood, 47) applies to graphs w ith spin.

The saddle\{point conditions identify a zero\{ m ode diagonal in directional, and in spin indiges
 dd $\left.{ }^{0} \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{t}^{0} \mathrm{~s}^{0}}\right) \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Y}$ where $Y=\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{T}} 0^{1}$. In an explicit way of writing, the time reversal structure of the $Z$ \{ $m$ atrices (or, equivalently, the $Y$ \{ $m$ atrices) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z=\quad Z_{D} \quad Z_{C}^{T} \quad Z_{C}^{B F} \quad Z_{D}^{T} \\
& Z=\begin{array}{ll}
Z_{D} & { }_{3}^{B F} Z_{C}^{T} \\
Z_{C} & Z_{D}^{T}
\end{array} ; \tag{B3}
\end{align*}
$$

Projected onto the zero \{m ode sector, the action is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{G S E}(Y ; Y)=+i \frac{2}{2} \operatorname{str} \frac{p+Y Y}{1 Y Y} \quad \stackrel{2}{i} \operatorname{str} \frac{p Y Y}{1 Y Y}: \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

E xcept for a factor2 (which accounts for K ram ers' degeneracy) this expression equals the GOE action (53); the di erence betw een the tw o cases is hidden in the sym me $\operatorname{try} Y=Y$. Asw ith the GOE case above, an integration over the $m$ atrioes $Y$ [7] obtains the correlation function of the G SE.

Su cient conditions for the zero\{m ode reducibility can be derived as in the spinless case. M odes violating the sym $m$ etry condition $Z=Z$, and modes 0 diagonal in direction space $m$ ay be discarded. Sim ilarly, m odes $o$ \{diagonal in the spin index $m$ are $m$ assive and $m$ ay be neglected, too. Therefore, the validity of the saddle\{point reduction again relies on the discreteness of the eigenm ode spectrum of the classical' propagator $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bdm}} ; b^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{0} \mathrm{~m} 0=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{bdm}} ; b^{0} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\mathrm{J}}$.

## APPENDIX C: COLOR \{FLAVOR TRANSFORMATION

In this appendix we sketch the $m$ ain conceptual input entering the proofof the color\{ avortransform ation (41). (For a detailed exposure of the proof, we refer to [26].) Central to our discussion will be a (super) \{algebra of operators de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[C_{A} ; C_{A}^{0}\right] \quad G C_{A}^{0} \quad\left(i^{j j^{j} A^{0} j_{A} 0 C_{A}=A ; A ; ~}\right. \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=(a ;)$, the index ; ${ }^{0}$ keepstrack of all avor com ponents of the theory (boson/ferm ion, tim e\{reversal, directional, etc.), and $a ; a^{0}=$ distinguish between retarded and advanced indices. These operators act in an auxiliary Fock \{space, whose vacuum state is de ned by the condition

W e may, thus, think of the vacuum as a con guration where all (+ ) \{states are em pty, while all ( ) \{states are
led; E xcitations are form ed by creating + \{particles and ( ) \{holes.
The above Fock space contains a sub \{space de ned by the condition that each state contains as $m$ any excited (+) \{particles as ( )\{holes. W e call this space as the avor\{spaœ. (A ltematively, we m ay characterize the avor\{space as the space of all excitations that can be reached from the vacuum state w ithout changing the num ber of particles.) In essence, color\{ avor transfor$m$ ation am ounts to the construction of tw o di erent representations of a pro jector onto the avor space.

Representation no. 1 is constructed as follows: a generic state in Fock space can be represented as a linear combination $j i=n_{+}$; $j_{n_{+} ; n} i$, where $j_{n_{+} n} i$ contains $n_{+}=$particle/hole states. A projection onto the avor state can now be trivially e ected by $m$ apping

$$
\left.j i \eta P^{I} j i \frac{1}{2} d e^{i\left(n_{+}\right.} n\right) j_{n_{+} ; n} i:
$$

To construct representation no. 2 some more of preparatory work is necessary: Consider the Lie\{ supergroup $\mathrm{Gl}(2 \mathrm{~F} ; 2 \mathrm{~F}$ ) (the group of ( 4 F ) \{dim ensional invertible superm atrices.) This group acts in Fock space by the representation $\mathrm{Gl}(2 \mathrm{~F} ; 2 \mathrm{~F}) 3 \mathrm{~g} 7 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ $\exp \left(C_{A} \ln (g)_{A ; A} \circ C_{A} 0\right)$, where $T_{g}$ acts as linear $m a p$ in Fock space. (For the proof that the assignm ent $g 7 T_{g}$ $m$ eets all criteria required of a group representation, see [26].)

O ne may show that the representation above is irreducible in avor space. This im plies that the entire space $m$ ay be generated by the action of the group on a xed reference state, the vacuum state, say. T he set of boherent states' ${ }^{j} \mathrm{gi} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{j}$ i will play a crucial role in the construction of the avor space pro jector. To bring them into a $m$ axim ally sim ple form, we rst note that transfor$m$ ations generated by elem ents $h \quad$ bdiag ( $h$; $h$ ) 2 H of the sub \{group $H$ of $m$ atrices block \{diagonal in advanced/retarded space leave the vacuum invariant (up to a constant.) U sing the de ning commutator relations (C1) it is indeed straightforward to verify that $T_{h} j i=j i \quad \operatorname{sotet}(h \quad)^{1}$. Thus, transform ations $T_{h}$ do not change the vacuum in an essential' way and it is sufcient to consider the action of the coset space $G=H$ on $j$ i. A param eterization of individual cosets gH $2 \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{H}$ optim ally adjusted to our application below reads as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.g=\begin{array}{ccccc}
(1 & Z Z)^{1=2} & Z(1 & Z Z)^{1=2} \\
Z(1 & Z Z
\end{array}\right)^{1=2} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & Z Z)^{1=2}
\end{array}=\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting the transform ation $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ act on the vacuum, we note that the rightm ost factor acts as the identity transform ation while the block \{diagonal $m$ atrix in the $m$ iddle produces a factor $\operatorname{sdet}(1 \quad \mathrm{ZZ})^{1=2}$. Finally, the
left factor is represented by the linear transform ation $\exp \left(C_{+} ; Z \quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 0\right)$, implying that
$\left.\dot{g} i=\exp \left(c_{+} ; Z \quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;\right)^{0}\right) j i \quad \operatorname{sdet}(1 \quad Z Z)^{1=2} ;$ In a sim ilarm anner we obtain the con jugate action

$$
\operatorname{hg}^{1} j=\operatorname{sdet}(1 \quad Z Z)^{1=2} \quad h \quad j \exp \left(c ; Z \quad{ }^{1} \quad C_{+} ; 0\right) \text {; }
$$

where we noted that $g^{1}=g_{(z ; z)!(z ; z)}$. We now claim that

Z

whered $(Z ; Z) \quad d(Z ; Z)$ sdet $(1 \quad Z Z)$, and the atm easure $d(Z ; Z)$ is the invariant $m$ easure on $G=K \quad \square$ is another representation of the pro jector onto the avor space. To prove this statem ent, we rst note that jgi is an elem ent of the avor space (is orthogonal to all non \{ avor space com ponents contributing to a generalFock space state.) Second, $P^{\text {II }}$ com $m$ utes $w$ ith all transform ations $T_{g}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z } \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{II}}=\underset{\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{H}}{ } \mathrm{dg}^{0} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{g}} \dot{\mathrm{~g}}^{0} \mathrm{ing}^{0}{ }^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{j}}= \\
\mathrm{Z}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the representation $g 7 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{g}}$ acts irreducibly in avor space, Schur's lem m a im plies that $P^{\text {II }} \mathrm{m}$ ust be proportional to the unit $m$ atrix in that space. $F$ inally, the unit norm alization of $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{R}^{\text {can }}$ be shown by computing the overlap $h f^{I I} j i=d(Z ; Z) \quad 1=1$, where the last equality follow s from the supersym $m$ etry of the integrand [26].

W e now have everything in store to prove 41]. N oting that j+i i $\exp (q++c \quad$ $+i \operatorname{andh}+; j$ $h$ jexp ( $+C_{+}+c$ ) are coherent states, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{+} \quad j+i \quad i=++j+i \quad i ; \\
& \text { c } j+i=j+i ; \\
& h_{+} ; \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{j}}+h_{+} ; \quad j(++) ; \\
& h+; \quad j=h+; j(\quad)
\end{aligned}
$$

the color avor\{transform ation $m$ ay be proven by $m$ apping the lh.s. ofE q. (41) to a Fock \{spacem atrix elem ent and using the equality of the two projector representations derived above:

```
\(\frac{Z}{2} e^{T} e^{i}++{ }^{T} e^{i}=\frac{d}{2} h j e+c_{+} \quad c \quad e^{c_{+}} e^{i}++\quad e^{i} c \quad j i=\)
    \(=\frac{h}{Z}+; \quad j P^{I} j+i \quad i=h+i \quad j P^{I I} j+; \quad i=\)
```



```
        Z
            \(=d(Z ; Z) e^{+;} Z \quad 0 \quad ;{ }^{0+} ; Z \quad{ }^{0}+;{ }^{0} h+; \quad j\) ih \(j+; \quad i=\)
    Z
\(=d(Z ; Z) e^{T} Z+{ }^{T} Z+:\)
```
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