A cceleration statistics of heavy particles in turbulence

By J. BEC¹, L. BIFERALE², G. BOFFETTA³, A. CELANI⁴, M. CENCINI⁵, A. LANOTTE⁶, S. MUSACCHIO⁷, AND F. TOSCHI⁸

¹ CNRS Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, BP. 4229, 06304 Nice Cedex 4, France

² Dept.ofPhysics and INFN, University ofRome \Tor Vergata", V ia della Ricerca Scienti ca 1,00133 Roma, Italy

³ Dept. of Physics and INFN, University of Torino, V ia Pietro Giuria 1, 10125, Torino, Italy ⁴ CNRS, INLN, 1361 Route des Lucioles, F-06560 Valbonne, France

⁵ SM C -IN FM c/o Dept.of Physics University of Rom e \La Sapienza", Piazz.le A. Moro, 2, I-00185 Rom a, Italy, and CNR-ISC via dei Taurini, 19 I-00185 Rom a, Italy

⁶ CNR-ISAC, Sezione di Lecce, Str. Prov. Lecce-M onteronikm 1,200, I-73100 Lecce, Italy

⁷ Dept.ofPhysics, University of Rom e \La Sapienza", Piazz le A. Moro, 2, I-00185 Rom a, Italy

 8 CNR-IAC, V iale del Policlinico 137, I-00161 Roma, Italy and

INFN, Sezione di Ferrara, via G. Saragat 1, I-44100, Ferrara, Italy

(Received 28 M arch 2024)

We present the results of direct numerical simulations of heavy particle transport in hom ogeneous, isotropic, fully developed turbulence, up to resolution 512^3 (R 185). Following the trajectories of up to 120 m illion particles with Stokes numbers, St, in the range from 0.16 to 3.5 we are able to characterize in full detail the statistics of particle acceleration. We show that: (i) The root-mean-squared acceleration $a_{\rm rm\,s}$ sharply falls o from the uid tracer value already at quite small Stokes numbers; (ii) At a given St the normalised acceleration $a_{\rm rm\,s} = (3 =)^{1=4}$ increases with R consistently with the trend observed for uid tracers; (iii) The tails of the probability density function of the normalised acceleration $a=a_{\rm rm\,s}$ decrease with St. Two concurrent mechanisms lead to the above results: preferential concentration of particles, very e ective at small St, and litering induced by the particle response time, that takes over at larger St.

1. Introduction

Sm all in purities like dust, droplets or bubbles suspended in an incompressible ow are nite-size particles whose density may di er from that of the underlying uid, and cannot thus be modelled as point-like tracers. The description of their motion must account for inertia whence the name inertial particles. At long times particles concentrate on singular sets evolving with the uid motion, leading to the apparition of a strong spatial inhom ogeneity dubbed preferential concentration. At the experimental level such inhom ogeneities have been long known (see Eaton & Fessler 1994 for a review) and utilised for ow visualisation (e.g. exploiting bubble clustering inside vortex laments). The statistical description of particle concentrations. We mention spray com bustion in Diesel engines (Post & Abraham 2002) or some rocket propellers (Villedieu & Hylkem a 2000), the form ation of rain droplets in warm clouds (Pinsky & Khain 1997, Falkovich,

J.Becetal.

Fouxon & Stepanov 2002, Shaw 2003) or the coexistence of plankton species (Rothschild & O sborn 1988, Lew is & Pedley 2000). Inertial particles are also relevant to spore, pollen, dust or chem icals dispersion in the atm osphere where the di usion by air turbulence m ay be even overcom e by preferential clustering (C sanady 1980, Seinfeld 1986).

From the experimental side, the study of particle motion in turbulence has recently undergone rapid progress thanks to the development of ective optical and acoustical tracking techniques (La Porta et al. 2001, La Porta et al. 2002, Mordant et al 2001, W arhaff, Gylfason, & Ayyalasom ayajula 2005). In parallel with experimental e ort, theoretical analysis (Balkovsky, Falkovich & Fouxon 2001, Falkovich & Pum ir 2004, Bec, G aw edzki & Horvai 2004, Zaichik, Simonin & Alipchenkov 2003) and numerical simulations (Boivin, Simonin & Squires 1998, Reade & Collins 2000, Zhou, W exler & W ang 2001, Chun et al. 2005) are paving the way to a thorough understanding of inertial particle dynam – ics in turbulent ow s. Recently, the presence of strong inhom ogeneities characterised by fractal and multifractal properties have been predicted, and found in theoretical and numerical studies of stochastic laminar ow s (Balkovsky, Falkovich & Fouxon 2001, Bec, Gawedzki & Horvai 2004, Bec 2005), in two dimensional turbulent ow s (Bo etta, De Lillo & Gam ba 2004) and in three dimensional turbulent ow s at moderate Reynolds numbers in the limit of vanishing inertia (Falkovich & Pum ir 2004).

H ere we present a D irect N um erical Sim ulations (D N S) study of particles m uch heavier than the carrier uid in high-resolution turbulent ow s. In particular, we shall focus on the behaviour of particle acceleration at varying both Stokes and R eynolds num bers. For

uid tracers, it is known that trapping into vortex laments (La Porta et al. 2001, B iferale et al. 2005) is the main source of strong acceleration events. On the other hand, little is known about the acceleration statistics of heavy particles in turbulent ow s, where preferential concentration m ay play a crucial role. M oreover, since in m ost applied cases it is almost im possible to perform DNS of particle transport in realistic settings, it is very im portant to understand acceleration statistics for building stochastic m odels of particle m otion w ith and w ithout inertia (Saw ford & Guest 1991).

The material is organised as follows. In x_2 , we brie y recall the equations of motion of the inertial particles and sum marise the DNS set up. In x_3 , we present and discuss the main results concerning the acceleration statistics. In x_4 we discuss the acceleration statistics conditioned on the local structure of the ow. The nal Section is devoted to conclusions and perspectives.

2. Heavy particle dynam ics and num erical simulations

The equations of motion of a small, rigid, spherical particle immersed in an incompressible ow have been consistently derived from rst principles by Maxey & Riley 1983. In the limiting case of particles much heavier than the surrounding uid, these equations take the particularly simple form

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = V (t); \qquad \frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{V (t) u (X (t);t)}{s}: \qquad (2.1)$$

Here, X (t) denotes the particle trajectory, V (t) its velocity, u (x;t) is the uid velocity. The Stokes response time is $_{s} = 2_{p}a^{2} = (9_{f})$ where a is the particle radius $_{p}$ and $_{f}$ are the particle and uid density, respectively, and is the uid kinem atical viscosity. The Stokes number is de ned as $St = _{s} =$ where $= (=)^{1=2}$ is the K olm ogorov timescale and the average rate of energy injection. Eq. (2.1) is valid for very dilute suspensions, where particle-particle interactions (collisions) and hydrodynam ic coupling are not taken into account.

R	u _{m s}	W	L		L	Τ _Ε		$\mathtt{T}_{\mathtt{tot}}$	$\mathtt{T}_{\mathtt{tr}}$	х	N ³	N _t		N _p		N _{tot}	
185	1.4	0.94	0.00205	0.010		22	0.047	14	4	0.012	512 ³	5	1 ⁵ 0	7:5	10	12	170
105	1.4	0.93	0.00520	0.020		22	0.073	20	4	0.024	256 ³	2.5	1 ⁵ 0	2	10	32	160
65	1.4	0.85	0.01	0.034		22	0.110	29	6	0.048	128 ³	3.1	1 ⁴ 0	2:5	10	4	160

Table 1. Parameters of DNS. M icroscale Reynolds number R , root-mean-square velocity $u_{\rm rm\,\,s}$, energy dissipation ", viscosity , Kolm ogorov lengthscale = ($^3=")^{1=4}$, integral scale L, large-eddy Eulerian turnover time T_E = $L=u_{\rm rm\,\,s}$, Kolm ogorov timescale , total integration time $T_{\rm tot}$, duration of the transient regime $T_{\rm tr}$, grid spacing x, resolution N 3 , number of trajectories of inertial particles for each Stokes N_t saved at frequency =10, number of particles N_p per Stokes stored at frequency 10 , total number of advected particles $N_{\rm tot}$. Errors on all statistically uctuating quantities are of the order of 10%.

The uid evolves according to the incom pressible Navier-Stokes equations

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \quad r \, u = \frac{r \, p}{f} + u + f \,; \tag{2.2}$$

where p is the pressure eld and f is the external energy source, hf ui = .

The Navier-Stokes equations are solved on a cubic grid of size N³ for N = 128; 256; 512 with periodic boundary conditions. Energy is injected by keeping constant the spectral content of the two sm allest wavenum ber shells (Chen et al. 1993). The viscosity is chosen so to have a K olm ogorov lengthscale x where x is the grid spacing: this choice ensures a good resolution of the sm all-scale velocity dynam ics. W e use a fully dealiased pseudospectral algorithm with 2^{nd} order A dam B ashforth time-stepping. The R eynolds num bers achieved are in the range R 2 [65:185].

The equations of uid motion are integrated until the system reaches a statistically steady state. Then, particles are seeded with hom ogeneously distributed initial positions and velocities equal to the local uid velocity. Equations (2.1) and (2.2) are then advanced in parallel. A transient in particle dynam ics follows, about 2 3 large scale eddy turn over time, before reaching a Lagrangian stationary statistics. It is only after this relaxation stage has completely elapsed that the real measurement starts. We followed 15 sets of inertial particles with Stokes numbers from 0:16 to 3:5. For each set, we saved the position and the velocity of N_t particles every dt = 1=10 with a maximum number of recorded trajectories of N_t = 5 for the highest resolution. A long these trajectories we also stored the velocity of the carrier uid. At a low er frequency 10, we saved the positions and velocities of a larger number N_p of particles (up to 7:5 for each set) at the highest resolution) together with the Eulerian velocity eld. We have also followed uid tracers (St = 0), that evolve according to the dynam ics

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = u(x(t);t);$$
 (2.3)

in order to system atically assess the importance of the phenom enon of preferential concentration at varying both St and R $\,$.

A sum m ary of the various physical param eters is given in table 1.

3. Results and discussion

In this paper we focus on the statistics of particle acceleration a (t) = $\frac{dV}{dt}$. From previous studies on uid tracers we know that acceleration statistics is very interm ittent

```
J.Becetal.
```



Figure 1. (a) The norm alised acceleration variance $a_{rm s} = ({}^{3} =)^{1=4}$ as a function of the Stokes number for R = 185 (2); R = 105 (); R = 65 (4). The inhomogeneous distribution of particle is quantiled for the highest Reynolds in the inset, where we plot the correlation dimension, D₂, as a function of St. The correlation dimension is defined as $p(r) = r^{D_2}$ (for r) where p(r) is the probability to not two particles at distance smaller than r (Bec et al. 2005). (b) C om parison between the acceleration variance, $a_{rm s} (2)$, as a function of Stokes, with the acceleration of the uid tracer measured on the particle position, $h(\frac{D u}{D t})^2 i^{1-2}$ (+). The last curve (), approaching the $a_{m s}$ for large St, is the one obtained from the litered tracer trajectories, $a_{rm s}^{F}$. All data refer to Re = 185.

and strong uctuations are associated to trapping events within vortex laments (La Porta et al. 2001, La Porta et al. 2002, M ordant et al 2001, B iferale et al. 2005). How does inertia in pacts acceleration statistics? A good starting point to gain insight on the e ect of inertia is given by the form al solution of Eqs. (2.1) in the statistically stationary state, relating the instantaneous particle velocity to the previous history of uid velocity along the particle trajectory. The expression is

$$I(t) = \frac{1}{s} e^{(t-s)=s} u(X(s);s) ds$$
(3.1)

yielding for the acceleration

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{2} t e^{(t-s) = s} [u(X(t);t) - u(X(s);s)] ds : \qquad (3.2)$$

It is instructive to analyse separately the two limiting cases of small and large Stokes numbers.

At small St, ie. s , the uid velocity along the trajectory evolves sm oothly in time and the above expression for the acceleration reduces to a (t) ' $\frac{d}{dt}u(X(t);t)$, i.e. to the derivative of uid velocity along the inertial particle trajectory. At su ciently small St this is indistinguishable from the uid acceleration $\frac{D}{D+1} (X (t); t)$ evaluated at particle positions. The latter, in turn, is essentially dom inated by the r p contribution. Therefore we are led to draw the following picture for the small St case: the heavy particle acceleration essentially coincides with the uid acceleration; how ever, inertial particles are not hom ogeneously distributed in the ow and concentrate preferentially inside regions with relatively small pressure gradient (low vorticity regions). As a result, the net e ect of inertia is a drastic reduction of the root-mean-squared acceleration $a_{rm s} = ha^2 i^{1=2}$, due essentially to preferential concentration. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 1a the acceleration variance drops o very fast already at quite sm all St values. In Fig. 1b we give evidence that the value of a_{ms} is very close for St < 0.4 to $h(\frac{D u}{D t})^2 i^{1=2}$ when the average is not taken hom ogeneously in space but conditioned to be on the same spatial positions of the inertial particles. The agreem ent of the two curves supports the argum ents above. Notice

St ^(a)	0	0.16	0.27	0.37	0.48	0.59	0.69	0.80	0.91	1.01	1.12	1.34	1.60	2.03	2.67	3.31
ha ² i	3.09	2.07	1.80	1.63	1.50	1.39	1.31	1.24	1.17	1.12	1.06	0.97	0.88	0.75	0.61	0.51
ha ⁴ i	288	48.1	30.5	22.4	17.7	14.5	12.3	10.6	9.20	8.11	7.21	5.77	4.47	3.11	1.94	1.29
St ^(b)	0	0.16	0.27	0.38	0.49	0.60	0.71	0.82	0.93	1.04	1.15	1.37	1.64	2.08	2.74	3.40
ha²i	2.63	1.89	1.65	1.45	1.38	1.29	1.21	1.14	1.08	1.03	0.98	0.89	0.80	0.68	0.54	0.45
ha⁴i	133	32.9	21.6	16.3	13.1	10.9	9.29	8.03	7.01	6.18	5.48	4.37	3.36	2.23	1.39	0.90
St ^(c)	0	0.16	0.26	0.37	0.47	0.58	0.68	0.79	0.89	1.00	1.10	1.31	1.57	1.99	2.62	3.25
ha ² i	2.02	1.59	1.40	1.28	1.19	1.11	1.05	0.99	0.94	0.89	0.85	0.77	0.70	0.59	0.47	0.39
ha ⁴ i	52.8	19.1	13.1	10.1	8.24	6.95	6.01	5.24	4.61	4.11	3.67	2.95	2.32	1.59	0.97	0.63

Table 2. Normalised values of the second and fourth moments of the acceleration $ha^2i = ha^2i = \beta(3 =)^{1-2}$, $ha^4i = ha^4i = \beta(3 =)$ for ^(a) R = 185, ^(b) R = 105 and ^(c) R = 65. The statistical error on all entries are of the order of 5%.

that at increasing Stokes the two curves start to deviate from each other, the tracer acceleration conditioned on the particle positions has a minimum for St 0.5 close to the maximum of clustering (see inset of Fig. 1a), eventually recovering the value of $a_{\rm rm~s}$ of the unconditioned tracers for larger St. The latter e ect is a clear indication that inertial particles explore the small scale structures of the ow more and more hom ogeneously by increasing St. In this limit a di erent mechanism is responsible for the reduction of the $a_{\rm rm~s}$.

At large St, i.e. $_{s}$, the inspection of Eq. (3.2) shows that the main e ect of inertia on particle acceleration is a low pass litering of uid velocity di erences, with a suppression of fast frequencies above $_{s}^{-1}$. In gure 1b we also compare the acceleration variance with the one obtained by an articial low pass litering based only on the uid tracers trajectories. For each tracer trajectory, x (t), we de nea new velocity, u^F, litered on a window -size of the same order of the Stokes time:

$$u^{F}(t) = \frac{1}{s} \int_{1}^{Z} t e^{(t-s) - s} u(x(s);s) ds$$
(3.3)

The litered acceleration is thus given by $a^{F} = \frac{d}{dt}u^{F}$. Of course, in order to extract the e ect due to litering only we are compelled to employ uid trajectories: (3.3) applied along particle trajectories is nothing but Eq. (3.1), so that the acceleration would coincide with the particle acceleration by de nition. The root mean square uctuation, $a^{F}_{ms} = h(\frac{d}{dt}u^{F})^{2}1^{1=2}$, is thus computed by averaging along the tracer trajectories without any condition on their spatial positions, i.e. hom ogeneously distributed in the whole 3d dom ain. The curves corresponding to a_{ms} and to a^{F}_{ms} become closer and closer as St grows larger, supporting the conjecture that preferential concentration for St > 1 becom es less in portant. For interm ediate St we expect a non trivial interplay between the two above mechanisms that makes very di cult to build up a model able to reproduce even the qualitative behaviour.

A nother interesting aspect shown in Fig. 1a is the residual dependence of the normalised particle acceleration on Reynolds number. For the case of uid tracers it is known that intermittent corrections to the dimensional estimate $a_{rm s} = a_0 (3^2 = 1)^{1-4} m$ ay explain the Reynolds dependence (Saw ford et al. 2003, H ill 2002, B iferale et al. 2004). D ata suggest that the uid intermittency may be responsible of such deviations at St > 0



pdf's A coeleration for Figure 2. (a) а subset of Stokes values (St = 0;0:16;0:37;0:58;1:01;2:03;3:31 from top to bottom) at R = 185. The inset displays the acceleration atness, $ha^4 i=ha^2 i^2$, at increasing R from bottom to top. (b) The two m one external curves correspond to the acceleration pdf for St = 0.16 (2) and the pdf of the uid tracers acceleration measured on the same position of the inertial particles, $\frac{D u}{D t}$ (solid line). The two inner curves are the acceleration pdf at the highest Stokes, St = 331, () and the pdf of the ltered uid acceleration (solid line). All curves are normalised to have unit variance.

as well. This view is supported by the fact that the curves for the three R eynolds num bers are alm ost parallel.

A two-parameters formula for the variance of the acceleration as a function of Stokes number can be derived in the limit of vanishing Stokes numbers as: $a_{\text{rm s}}^2$ (St) = $a_{\text{rm s}}^2$ (0) + C exp [(D=St)] (Falkovich 2005). This expression follows from the acceleration pdf of tracer particles under the assumptions that (i) the main e ect of inertia is to reduce the particle concentration in regions where the accelerations is larger than $1^{-2} = s^{3-2}$; (ii) the pdf tail is well reproduced by a stretched exponential shape with exponent = 2=3. A lineage the formula ts well the data, the limitation of our data-set to only a few points with St 1 does not permit a signi cant benchmark of the model.

In table 2 we summarise the values that we have measured for ha^2i and ha^4i as a function of all Stokes and for all R eynolds numbers available. Besides the e ect of inertia on typical particle accelerations it is also interesting to investigate the e ects on the form of the probability distribution function a (t). As shown in Fig. 2a, the pdf's get less and less interm ittent as St increases. In the inset of the same gure we show the atness, $ha^4i=ha^2i^2$, as a function of St. The abrupt decreasing for St > 0 is even more evident here (notice that the y scale is logarithm ic).

In the lim its of sm all and large St the qualitative trend of the pdf's can be captured by the same arguments used for $a_{\rm rm \ s}$. In Fig. 2b we compare the pdf shape for the sm allest Stokes number with the one obtained by using the tracer acceleration measured on the particle position, $\frac{D}{Dt}$. As one can see the two functions overlap perfectly, conming that the only dimension between und particles and inertia particles for sm all Stokes is due to preferential concentration. In the same gure we also compare for the highest Stokes, St = 3:31, the pdf of the particle acceleration with the one obtained from the litered uid trajectories. Now the agreement is less perfect but still fairly good, reassuring that this lim it can be captured starting from a low-pass liter of uid tracer velocities. It is worth mentioning that the pdf of tracer acceleration measured on the particle position, $\frac{D}{Dt}$, approaches the unconditioned pdf as St increases (not shown). This further comms that preferential concentration has a minor role on the acceleration at these large Stokes values.

4. Statistics of acceleration conditioned on the ow topology

W e now focus on particle acceleration statistics conditioned on the topological properties of carrier ow at particle positions. In particular, we look at the sign of the discrim inant (see e.g. Chong, Perry & Cantwell 1990 and Bec 2005):

$$= \frac{\det[n]}{2}^{2} - \frac{\operatorname{Tr}[n^{2}]}{6}^{3}; \qquad (4.1)$$

being $^{ij} = @_{iuj}$ the strain matrix evaluated at the particle position X . Note that, in deriving (4.1), we om itted the term proportional to $Tr[^{]}$ because of incompressibility. For 0 the strain matrix has 3 real eigenvalues (strain dom inated regions), for > 0 it has a real eigenvalue and 2 complex conjugate ones (rotational regions). For a similar study, using a di erent di erent characterisation of the ow structures, see also (Squires & E aton 1991). Note that in two-dimension the equivalent of is the wellknown 0 kubo-W eiss parameter that discerns elliptic from hyperbolic regions of the ow.

In Figs. 3a,b,c we show the acceleration pdf, P (aj), conditioned on the sign of at particle positions, for three di erent characteristic Stokes numbers St = 0.16;0.48;1.34. In Fig 3d we show the root mean squared acceleration, ha^2j i=3, as a function of St. A few results are worth to be commented. The fraction of particles in the two regions (N (? 0)) varies considerably as a function of the Stokes number (see inset of Fig. 3d), with a depletion of particles in the regions with some degree of rotation, which how ever becomes less e ective at large St. This is similar to what is observed in the inset of Fig. 1a, where the non-hom ogeneous particle distribution is characterised in term softhe correlation dimension (Bec et al. 2005). Further, despite the shape of the pdf for a given Stokes num ber does not change much as a function of the sign of , a noticeable change in the squared acceleration is observed. A s shown in Fig. 3d, the acceleration. We remark that the e ect of inertia is dram atic: for the smallest St the conditional acceleration is larger when <0 while the opposite behaviour is observed for tracer (St = 0). This



Figure 3.A coeleration statistics conditioned on the sign of the discriminant dened in (4.1). (a) Pdf of acceleration for St = 0.16 conditioned on strain regions (solid line, 0) and on rotating regions (points, > 0) regions, respectively. (b) and (c) same as (a) for St = 0.48 and St = 1.34. (d) Normalised root mean square conditional acceleration on 0 (empty boxes) and > 0 (fullboxes) regions as a function of St. The inset displays the fraction of particles in the rotating regions N (> 0) (N (0) = 1 N (> 0)) as a function of St. The conditional acceleration was computed on the data recorded at frequency 10 (see table 1). For St = 0 the acceleration $\frac{1}{2}$ i=3 is estimated by using the pressure gradient r p.

m ay be the signature of the expulsion of particles out of intense vortex laments (which is more e ective for St 1) leading to an undersampling of the acceleration in the regions dom inated by rotational motion. The same di erence is also measured for higher moments of the conditioned acceleration (not shown).

These results point out that the strong correlation between ow structure and particle preferential concentration is more elective at low Stokes numbers. At larger St the particle fraction N (? 0) approaches the tracer value (the response time is too large to maitain the correlation between particle trajectories and the local ow topology) and the depletion of acceleration should be ascribed to the elect of litering, as discussed in the previous section (cfr. Fig. 1b and 2b).

5. Conclusions and perspectives

A system atic study of the acceleration statistics of heavy particles in turbulent ow s, at changing both Stokes and Reynolds numbers has been presented. The main conclusions are (i) preferential concentration plays an almost singular role at small Stokes. Indeed, even a quite small inertia may su ce to expel particles from those turbulent regions (vortex cores) where the most interm ittent and strong acceleration uctuations would

have been experienced; (ii) for sm all Stokes, a good quantitative agreem ent between the inertial particle acceleration and the conditioned uid tracer acceleration is obtained; (iii) at large Stokes, the main e ects is litering of the velocity induced by the response Stokes times. For St > 1, the statistical properties of uid tracers averaged over a time window of the order of $_{\rm s}$ are in a quite good agreem ent with the inertial particle properties.

Som e in portant questions rem ain open.

It is not clear how to build up a phenom enological model that is able to describe the inertial particles acceleration as a function of both Stokes and Reynolds numbers. For example, a naive generalisation of the multifractal description, successfully used for uid tracers (B iferale et al. 2004), may be insu cient. In fact, it is not straightforward to include in such models the correlation between preferential concentration and the local topological properties of the carrier ow. Here such correlations have been studied in terms of the real or complex nature of the eigenvalues of the strain matrix at particle positions. We found that, more electively at small St with respect to larger St values, particles preferentially concentrate in strain dom inated regions and that this preferential concentration has a clear role in determ ining the acceleration uctuations. How ever, this inform ation does not directly bring to a model for the acceleration statistics.

The strong uctuations of both K olm ogorov time and K olm ogorov dissipative scale are certainly the most interesting aspects which distinguish the statistics of heavy particles in turbulence from the one measured in smooth ows. It would be then important to study also the statistical properties conditioned to the local Stokes number (de ned in term s of a \local" energy dissipation, see e.g. Collins & K eswani 2004).

W ork in this direction will be reported elsewhere.

W e acknow ledge useful discussions with G.Falkovich, E.Bodenschatz and Z.W arhaft. This work has been partially supported by the EU under the research training network HPRN-CT-2002-00300 \Stirring and M ixing". Numerical simulations have been performed thanks to the support of CINECA (Italy) and IDRIS (France) under the HPC-Europa project. We thank also the \Centro R icerche e Studi Enrico Ferm i" and N.Tantalo for support on the numerical computations.

$\mathsf{R} \to \mathsf{F} \to \mathsf{R} \to \mathsf{R} \to \mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{S}$

- Balkovsky, E. Falkovich, G. & Fouxon, A. 2001 Interm ittent distribution of inertial particles in turbulent ow s. Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2790 {2793.
- Bec, J. 2005 Multifractal concentrations of inertial particles in smooth random ows. J. Fluid Mech. 528, 255-277.
- Bec, J. Celani, A. Cencini, M. & Musacchio, S. 2005 Clustering and collisions of heavy particles in random smooth ows. Phys. Fluids 17, 073301.
- Bec, J.G aw edzki, K.& Horvai, P.2004 Multifractal clustering in compressible ow s.Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 224501.
- Biferale, L. Boffetta, G. Celani, A. Devenish, B.J. Lanotte, A. & Toschi, F. 2004 Multifractal statistics of Lagrangian velocity and acceleration in turbulence. Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 064502.
- Biferale, L. Boffetta, G. Celani, A. Lanotte, A. & Toschi, F. 2005 Particle trapping in three-dimensional fully developed turbulence. Phys. Fluids. 17, 021701.
- Boffetta, G. De Lillo, F. & Gamba, A. 2004 Large scale inhom ogeneity of inertial particles in turbulent ows. Phys. Fluids 16, L20{L24.
- Boivin, M. Simonin, O. & Squires, KD. 1998 Direct numerical simulation of turbulence modulation by particles in isotropic turbulence. J. Fluid Mech. 375, 235{263.
- Chen, S. Doolen, G.D. Kraichnan, R.H. & She, Z.S. 1993 On statistical correlations between velocity increments and locally averaged dissipation in homogeneous turbulence. Phys. Fluids A 5, 458-463.

Chong, M.S., Perry, A.E. & Cantwell, B.J. 1990 A general classication of threedimensional ow eld. Phys. Fluids A 2,765{777.

Chun, J. Koch, D. L. Rani, S. Ahluwalia, A. & Collins, L. R. 2005 Clustering of aerosol particles in isotropic turbulence. J. Fluid Mech. 536, 219{251.

Collins, L.R. & Keswani, A. 2004 Reynolds num ber scaling of particle clustering in turbulent aerosols. New J. Phys. 6, 119.

C sanady, G .1980 Turbulent di usion in the environm ent. G eophysics and A strophysics M onographs Vol. 3 D . R eidel P ublishing C om pany.

Eaton, J.K & Fessler, J.R. 1994 Preferential concentrations of particles by turbulence. Int. J. M ultiphase Flow 20, 169{209.

Falkovich, G. Fouxon, A. & Stepanov, M. 2002 Acceleration of rain initiation by cloud turbulence. Nature 419, 151{154.

Falkovich, G & Pumir, A . 2004 Interm ittent distribution of heavy particles in a turbulent ow.Phys.Fluids 16, L47{L51.

Falkovich, G. 2005 Private Communication.

Hill, R.J. 2002 Scaling of acceleration in locally isotropic turbulence. J. Fluid Mech. 452, 361{370.

La Porta, A.Voth, G.A.Craw ford, A.M.Alexander, J.& Bodenschatz, E.2001 Fluid particle accelerations in fully developed turbulence. Nature 409, 1017{1019.

La Porta, A. Voth, G.A. Craw ford, A.M. Alexander, J. & Bodenschatz, E. 2002 M easurement of particle accelerations in fully developed turbulence. J. Fluid Mech. 469, 121{160.

Lew is, D. & Pedley, T. 2000 Planktonic contact rates in hom ogeneous isotropic turbulence: Theoretical predictions and kinem atic simulations. J. Theor. Biol. 205, 377{408.

Maxey, M.R. & Riley, J. 1983 Equation of motion of a small rigid sphere in a nonuniform ow. Phys. Fluids 26, 883{889.

Mordant, N. Metz, P. Michel, O. & Pinton, J.-P. 2001 Measurement of Lagrangian velocity in fully developed turbulence. Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 214501.

Pinsky, M. & Khain, A. 1997 Turbulence e ects on droplet growth and size distribution in clouds (a review. J. Aerosol Sci. 28, 1177 (1214.

Post, S. & Abraham, J. 2002 Modeling the outcome of drop-drop collisions in Diesel sprays. Int. J. of Multiphase Flow 28, 997 (1019.

Reade, W.C.& Collins, L.R. 2000 A num erical study of the particle size distribution of an aerosol undergoing turbulent coagulation. J.Fluid Mech. 415, 45{64.

Rothschild, B.J. & Osborn, T.R. 1988 Sm all-scale turbulence and plankton contact rates. J.P lankton Res. 10, 465{474.

Saw ford, B.L.& Guest, F.M. 1991 Lagrangian statical simulation of the turbulent motion of heavy particles. Boundary-Layer M eteorol. 54, 147{166.

Saw ford, B.L. Yeung, P.K. Borgas, M.S. Vedula, P.La Porta, A.Craw ford, A.M. Bodenschatz, E. 2003 Conditional and unconditional acceleration statistics in turbulence. Phys. Fluids 15, 3478{3489.

Seinfeld J. 1986 Atm ospheric chem istry and physics of air pollution. J.W iley and Sons.

Shaw, R.A. 2003 Particle-turbulence interactions in atmospheric clouds. Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 35, 183{227.

Squires K D. & Eaton J.K. 1991 Preferential concentration of particles by turbulence. Phys. Fluids A 3, 1169{1178.

Villedieu P. & Hylkema J. 2000 Modeles num eriques lagrangiens pour la phase dispersee dans les propulseurs a poudre. R apport technique ONERA.

W arhaft, Z.Gylfason, A.& Ayyalasomayajula, S.2005 private communication.

Zaichik, L.I. Simonin, O. & Alipchenkov V M. 2003 Two statistical models for predicting collision rates of inertial particles in homogeneous isotropic turbulence. Phys. Fluids 15, 2995 (3005.

Zhou, Y. W exler, A. & W ang, L.-P. M odelling turbulent collision of bidisperse inertial particles. J. Fluid M ech. 433, 77{104.