

Backlund transformations for the KP and mKP hierarchies with self-consistent sources

Ting Xiao Yunbo Zengy

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China

E-mail: yzeng@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

Abstract

Using gauge transformations for the corresponding generating pseudo-differential operators L^n in terms of eigenfunctions and adjoint eigenfunctions, we construct several types of auto-Backlund transformations for the KP hierarchy with self-consistent sources (KPHSCS) and mKP hierarchy with self-consistent sources (mKPHSCS) respectively. The Backlund transformations from the KPHSCS to mKPHSCS are also constructed in this way.

PACS number: 02.30.IK

1 Introduction

Soliton equations with self-consistent sources (SESCSs) are important models in many fields of physics, such as hydrodynamics, solid state physics, plasma physics, etc. [5-13]. For example, the KP equation with self-consistent sources (KPESCS) describes the interaction of a long wave with a short-wave packet propagating on the x,y plane at an angle to each other (see [12] and the references therein). Until now, several methods have been developed in solving the soliton hierarchies with self-consistent sources (SHSCS) in 1+1 dimensions, such as the inverse scattering transform (IST), Darboux transformation (DT) and so on (see [5-7,9-19]). Extension to 2+1 dimensions of the established methods investigated for SHSCSs in 1+1 dimensions is a subject of current research. Recently, by treating the 2+1

dimensional constrained soliton hierarchies [30, 35] as the stationary ones of the corresponding hierarchies with self-consistent sources, we develop a systematical way to find integrable $(2+1)$ -dimensional soliton hierarchies with self-consistent sources and their Lax representations. For example, in [36] and [37], the integrable KP hierarchy with self-consistent sources (KPHSCS) and mKP hierarchy with self-consistent sources (mKPHSCS) together with their Lax representations are obtained, and the generalized binary Darboux transformations with arbitrary functions in time t for the KP equation with self-consistent sources (KPESCS) and mKP equation with self-consistent sources (mKEHSCS) are constructed to obtain some interesting solutions.

Backlund transformations generated via gauge invariance have been shown to be a powerful tool to investigate the soliton hierarchies in the last three decades. The $2+1$ -dimensional Backlund transformations employed were constructed by the so-called Dressing Method based on appropriate gauge transformation [22]. This dressing approach had been earlier used to construct auto-Backlund transformations for the KP, two-dimensional three-wave and Davey-Stewartson equations in turn [20, 21]. Konopelchenko, Oevelet and extended this method to investigate some important $2+1$ -dimensional integrable hierarchies constructed in the framework of Sato theory, such as the KP hierarchy, the mKP hierarchy and the Dym hierarchy [23–33]. Via gauge transformations utilizing eigenfunctions and adjoint eigenfunctions, auto-Backlund transformations and Backlund transformations between these hierarchies are constructed which reveal the intimate connections between them. Also, gauge transformations are applicable to investigate the constrained KP and constrained mKP hierarchies [27, 30, 32, 33]. These Backlund transformations map the bi-Hamiltonian structure of the constrained KP hierarchy to that of the constrained mKP hierarchy [30, 32, 33].

From the Darboux transformations for the KP equation [34] and mKP equation [38], the auto-Backlund transformations for the first equation in the KPHSCS and mKPHSCS, i.e., for the KPESCS and mKPESCS have been constructed respectively in [36] and [37]. But the auto-Backlund transformations for these two hierarchies with self-consistent sources and the relation between them still remain unknown. In this paper, we are devoted to finding the gauge invariance of the KPHSCS and mKPHSCS and the relation between them. Since in our approach we regard the constrained hierarchy as the stationary hierarchy of the corresponding hierarchy with self-consistent sources, the known information about the constrained hierarchy may be suggestive for us to obtain the information about the corresponding hierarchy with self-consistent sources. The constrained KP hierarchy (cKP)

studied in [27, 32, 33] may be treated as the stationary hierarchy of the KPH SCS, so it is straightforward for us to generalize the auto-Bäcklund transformations for the dKPH studied in [27, 33] to those for the KPH SCS. Though the constrained mKP hierarchy (mKPH) considered in [30, 32, 33] is different from the stationary hierarchy of the mKPH SCS in our case, the idea of constructing Bäcklund transformations for it is still helpful. In this paper, utilizing the associated eigenfunctions and adjoint eigenfunctions, we construct several types of auto-Bäcklund transformations for the KPH SCS and mKPH SCS respectively. Their compositions and iteration are formulated. The Bäcklund transformations between them are also considered. As pointed out in the paper, the results obtained here will recover some known results for some degenerate cases obtained in [27, 32, 33].

The paper is organized as follows. First, we briefly review some notations and useful identities of the pseudo-differential operators (PDO) in Section 2 and give some general relations for PDOs under various gauge transformations in Section 3. In the framework of Sato theory, the KPH SCS and mKPH SCS and their conjugate Lax pairs are introduced in Section 4. Basing on Darboux covariance of the Lax pairs, we construct several types of auto-Bäcklund transformations for the KPH SCS and mKPH SCS respectively via gauge transformations in terms of the associated eigenfunctions and adjoint eigenfunctions in Section 5 and Section 6. The Bäcklund transformations from the KPH SCS to mKPH SCS are also considered in Section 7.

2 Some notations and identities about the PDO

We will discuss the KPH SCS and mKPH SCS in the framework of Sato theory. First, we will give some basic notations and identities about the PDO which will be used in our following discussions. More details about the PDO will be referred to [1-4].

For a PDO of the form

$$= \sum_{i<1}^X u_i \partial^i;$$

where $\partial = \partial_x$, $u_i \in g_0$ and g_0 is a differential algebra, we have the following notations

$$\begin{aligned} (\)_k &= \sum_{i=k}^X u_i \partial^i; & (\)_{<k} &= \sum_{i<k}^X u_i \partial^i; & (\)_k &= u_k \partial^k; \\ \text{res}(\) &= u_{-1}; & (\) &= \sum_{i<1}^X (-1)^i \partial^i u_i. \end{aligned}$$

For a given function f , f denotes the composition of with the multiplication operator f while (f) denotes the action of the differential part of f on f , i.e.,

$$(f) = (f)_0 = [()_0 f]_0 = \sum_{i=0}^X u_i f^{(i)}:$$

Some identities of the PDO are also useful and we list them below

$$(\)_0 = \text{res}(\partial^{-1}); \quad (\)_0 = \text{res}(\partial^{-1}); \quad (\partial^{-1})_{<0} = (\)_0 \partial^{-1} + (\)_{<0} \partial^{-1};$$

$$[(\)_0] = []_0; \quad (\partial^{-1})_{<0} = \partial^{-1} (\)_0 + \partial^{-1} (\)_{<0};$$

3 Gauge transformations

Here we will give some results about gauge transformations for the PDOs from which the Bäcklund transformations for the KPHSCS and mKPHSCS originate.

Lemma 3.1 For arbitrary PDO A , functions f and g , the following identities hold:

- 1) $(f^{-1}Af)_1 = f^{-1}(A)_0 f - f^{-1}(A)_1(f)$,
- 2) $(f @ f^{-1}Af @^{-1}f^{-1})_0 = f @ f^{-1}(A)_0 f @^{-1}f^{-1} - f(f^{-1}(A)_0(f))_x @^{-1}f^{-1}$,
- 3) $(f^{-1}Af)_1 = f^{-1}(A)_1 f - f^{-1}(A)_1(f)$,
- 4) $(f_x^{-1} @ A @^{-1} f_x)_1 = f_x^{-1} @ (A)_1 @^{-1} f_x - f_x^{-1}((A)_1(f))_x$,
- 5) $(\partial^{-1} g A g^{-1} \partial)_1 = \partial^{-1} g (A)_0 g^{-1} \partial - \partial^{-1} g^{-1} (A)_0(g) \partial$,
- 6) $(g^{-1} \partial^{-1} g A g^{-1} \partial g)_0 = g^{-1} \partial^{-1} g (A)_0 g^{-1} \partial g + g^{-1} \partial^{-1} g [g^{-1}(A)_0(g)]_x$,
- 7) $(\partial^{-1} g_x A g_x^{-1} \partial)_1 = \partial^{-1} g_x (A)_1 g_x^{-1} \partial - \partial^{-1} g_x^{-1} [(A)_1(g_x) \partial]$,
- 8) $(\partial^{-1} g @ A @^{-1} g^{-1} \partial)_1 = \partial^{-1} g @ (A)_1 @^{-1} g^{-1} \partial - \partial^{-1} g^{-1} @ (A)_1 @^{-1} (g) \partial$.

The results of 1)–4) are given by Ovel and Rogers in [28] and the remaining can be proved directly.

Lemma 3.2 Let L be an arbitrary PDO and $f(x; t_q) \neq 0$, $g(x; t_q)$ be arbitrary functions, the following identities hold:

- 1) If $\tilde{L} = f^{-1} L f$, $\tilde{f} = f^{-1} g$ and $g = D^{-1}(fg)$, where D^{-1} defines the integral operation $D^{-1}(f) = \int_x^{\infty} f(\) d\ ,$ then
 $(\tilde{L}^q)_1 = [f^{-1} (\tilde{L}^q)_0 f]_1$,
 $\tilde{f}_{t_q} - (\tilde{L}^q)_1(f) = f^{-2} g [\tilde{f}_{t_q} - (\tilde{L}^q)_0(f)] + f^{-1} [g_{t_q} - (\tilde{L}^q)_0(g)]$,

$$g_{t_q} + [\partial (\mathcal{L}^q)_{-1} @^{-1}] (g) = g_{t_q} + D^{-1}([\mathcal{L}^q]_1 (g_x)) = D^{-1}[f(g_{t_q} + (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (g))] + D^{-1}[g(f_{t_q} (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (f))],$$

2) If $L = f @ f^{-1} L f @^{-1} f^{-1}$, $f' = f (f^{-1} g)_x$ and $g = f^{-1} D^{-1} (fg)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 &= [f @ f^{-1} (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 f @^{-1} f^{-1}]_0, \\ f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (f') &= g[f^{-1}(f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (f))]_x + f[f^{-1}(g_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (g))]_x, \\ g_{t_q} + (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (g) &= f^{-2} D^{-1} (fg)[f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (f)] + f^{-1} D^{-1}[f(g_{t_q} + (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (g))] + f^{-1} D^{-1}[g(f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (f))], \end{aligned}$$

3) If $L = f^{-1} L f$, $f' = f^{-1} g$ and $g = D^{-1} (fg_x)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 &= [f^{-1} (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 f]_1, \\ f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 (f') &= f^{-2} g[f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 (f)] + f^{-1} g_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 (g), \\ g_{t_q} + [\partial (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 @^{-1}] (g) &= D^{-1}[f(g_{t_q} + (\partial (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 @^{-1}) (g))] + D^{-1}[g_x(f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 (f))]. \end{aligned}$$

4) If $L = f_x^{-1} @ L @^{-1} f_x$, $f' = f_x^{-1} g_x$ and $g = D^{-1} (f_x g)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 &= [f_x^{-1} @ (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 @^{-1} f_x]_1, \\ f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 (f') &= f_x^{-2} g_x[f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 (f)]_x + f_x^{-1} g_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 (g)_x, \\ g_{t_q} + [\partial (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 @^{-1}] (g) &= D^{-1}[f_x(g_{t_q} + (\partial (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 @^{-1}) (g))] + D^{-1}[g(f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 (f))_x]. \end{aligned}$$

Parts of the above results (for f') are given by O'nevill and Rogers in [28], the remaining for g can be proved directly following Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.3 Let L be an arbitrary PDO and $f(x; t_q)$, $g(x; t_q) \neq 0$ be arbitrary functions, the following identities hold:

1) If $L = @^{-1} g L g^{-1} @$, $f' = D^{-1} (fg)$ and $g = fg^{-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 &= [\partial^{-1} g (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 g^{-1} @]_1, \\ f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 (f') &= D^{-1}[g(f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (f))] + D^{-1}[f(g_{t_q} + (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (g))], \\ g_{t_q} + [\partial (\mathcal{L}^q)_1 @^{-1}] (g) &= g^{-1}[f_{t_q} + (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (f)] - g^{-2} f[g_{t_q} + (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (g)], \end{aligned}$$

2) If $L = g^{-1} @^{-1} g L g^{-1} @ g$, $f' = g^{-1} D^{-1} (fg)$ and $g = g(g^{-1} f)_x$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 &= [g^{-1} @^{-1} g (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 g^{-1} @ g]_0, \\ f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (f') &= g^{-2} D^{-1} (fg)[g_{t_q} + (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (g)] + g^{-1} D^{-1}[g(f_{t_q} - (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (f))] + g^{-1} D^{-1}[f(g_{t_q} + (\mathcal{L}^q)_0 (g))], \end{aligned}$$

$$g_{t_q} + (L^q)_0(g) = g[g^{-1}(f_{t_q} + (L^q)_0(f))]_x - f[g^{-1}(g_{t_q} + (L^q)_0(g))]_x,$$

3) If $L = @^{-1}g_x L g_x^{-1} @$, $f = D^{-1}(fg_x)$ and $g = f_x g_x^{-1}$, then

$$(L^q)_1 = [@^{-1}g_x (L^q)_1 g_x^{-1} @]_1,$$

$$f_{t_q} - (L^q)_1(f) = D^{-1}[f(g_{t_q} + (@(L^q)_1 @^{-1})(g))_x] + D^{-1}[g_x(f_{t_q} - (L^q)_1(f))],$$

$$g_{t_q} + (@(L^q)_1 @^{-1})(g) = g_x^{-1}[f_{t_q} + (@(L^q)_1 @^{-1})(f)]_x - g_x^{-2}f_x[g_{t_q} + (@(L^q)_1 @^{-1})(g)]_x,$$

4) If $L = @^{-1}g @ L @^{-1}g^{-1} @$, $f = D^{-1}(f_x g)$ and $g = fg^{-1}$, then

$$(L^q)_1 = [@^{-1}g @ (L^q)_1 @^{-1}g^{-1} @]_1,$$

$$f_{t_q} - (L^q)_1(f) = D^{-1}[f_x(g_{t_q} + (@(L^q)_1 @^{-1})(g))] + D^{-1}[g(f_{t_q} - (L^q)_1(f))_x],$$

$$g_{t_q} + (@(L^q)_1 @^{-1})(g) = g^{-1}[f_{t_q} + (@(L^q)_1 @^{-1})(f)] - g^{-2}f[g_{t_q} + (@(L^q)_1 @^{-1})(g)].$$

These results can be proved directly following Lemma 3.1.

4 The KPHSCS and mKPHSCS

1 Consider the PDO of the form

$$L = L_{K_P} = @ + (u(t)=2)@^{-1} + u_1(t)@^{-2} + \dots; \quad t = (t_1 = x; t_2; \dots); \quad (4.1)$$

which satisfies the constraint

$$L^n = (L^n)_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^N} q_i(t)@^{-1}r_i(t) = @^n + !_{n-2}(t)@^{n-2} + \dots + q(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{X^N} q_i(t)@^{-1}r_i(t); \quad !_{n-2} = \frac{n}{2}u; \quad (4.2)$$

with $q_i(t); r_i(t)$ satisfy

$$(q_i)_{t_k} = (L^k)_0(q_i); \quad (r_i)_{t_k} = (L^k)_0(r_i); \quad k; n \in N;$$

where

$$(L^k)_0 = [(L^n)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_0 = [(L^n)_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^N} q_i @^{-1} r_i]^{\frac{k}{n}}_0;$$

Starting from the PDO L^n (4.2) and requiring $k < n$, the KPHSCS is defined by the following Lax representation [36]

$$(L^k)_0|_{t_n} - (L^n)|_{t_k} + [(L^k)_0; L^n] = 0; \quad (4.3a)$$

$$(q_i)_{t_k} = (L^k)_{00} (q_i); \quad (4.3b)$$

$$(r_i)_{t_k} = (L^k)_{00} (r_i); \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (4.3c)$$

Under (4.3b) and (4.3c), (4.3a) will be obtained by the compatibility condition of either

$$t_k = (L^k)_{00} (\); \quad (4.4a)$$

$$t_n = (L^n)_{00} (\) + \sum_{i=1}^{X^N} q_i D^{-1} (r_i); \quad (4.4b)$$

or

$$t_k = (L^k)_{00} (\); \quad (4.5a)$$

$$t_n = (L^n)_{00} (\) + \sum_{i=1}^{X^N} r_i D^{-1} (q_i); \quad (4.5b)$$

and will be called the eigenfunction and adjoint eigenfunction of the KPHSCS (4.3) respectively.

(4.3) will be often written as equations of the fields u , q_i and r_i when the auxiliary fields $!_{n_3}$, θ are eliminated. For example, when $k = 2, n = 3$, we will get the KPESCS as [12, 36]

$$[4u_t - 6uu_x - u_{xxx} + 8(\sum_{j=1}^{X^N} q_j r_j)_x]_k - 3u_{yy} = 0; \quad (4.6a)$$

$$q_{j,y} = q_{j,xx} + u q_j; \quad (4.6b)$$

$$r_{j,y} = r_{j,xxx} - u r_j; \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (4.6c)$$

2. Consider another PDO of the form

$$L = L_{m,K,P} = @ + v(t) + v_1(t)@^{-1} + \dots; \quad (4.7)$$

which satisfies the constraint

$$L^n = (L^n)_{1+} \sum_{i=1}^{X^N} q_i(t) @^{-1} r_i(t) @ = @^n + (n-1)(t) @^{n-1} + \dots + _1(t) @ + \sum_{i=1}^{X^N} q_i(t) @^{-1} r_i(t) @; \quad n-1 = nv; \quad (4.8)$$

with $q_i(t), r_i(t)$ satisfy

$$(q_i)_{t_k} = (L^k)_{10} (q_i); \quad (r_i)_{t_k} = (@(L^k)_{10} @^{-1}) (r_i); \quad k, n \leq N;$$

where

$$(L^k)_{\perp} = [(L^n)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_{\perp} = [((L^n)_{\perp} + \sum_{i=1}^{X^N} q_i @^{-1} r_i @)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_{\perp}:$$

Starting from the PDO (4.8) and requiring $k < n$, the mKPHSCS is defined by the following Lax representation [37]

$$(L^k)_{\perp t_n} - (L^n)_{t_k} + [(L^k)_{\perp}; L^n] = 0; \quad (4.9a)$$

$$(q_i)_{t_k} = (L^k)_{\perp} (q_i); \quad (4.9b)$$

$$(r_i)_{t_k} = (@(L^k)_{\perp} @^{-1}) (r_i); \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (4.9c)$$

Under (4.9b) and (4.9c), (4.9a) will be obtained by the compatibility condition of either

$$t_k = (L^k)_{\perp} (\); \quad (4.10a)$$

$$t_n = (L^n)_{\perp} (\) + \sum_{i=1}^{X^N} q_i D^{-1} (r_i)_x; \quad (4.10b)$$

or

$$t_k = (@(L^k)_{\perp} @^{-1}) (\); \quad (4.11a)$$

$$t_n = (@(L^n)_{\perp} @^{-1}) (\) - \sum_{i=1}^{X^N} r_i D^{-1} (q_i)_x; \quad (4.11b)$$

and will be called the eigenfunction and adjoint eigenfunction of the mKPHSCS (4.9) respectively.

(4.9) will be often written as equations of the fields v , q_i and r_i when the auxiliary fields v_{xx} , q_{ixx} are eliminated. For example, when $k = 2, n = 3$, we will get the mKPESCS as [37]

$$4v_t - v_{xxx} - 3D^{-1}(v_{yy}) - 6D^{-1}(v_y)v_x + 6v^2v_x + 4 \sum_{i=1}^{X^N} (q_i r_i)_x = 0; \quad (4.12a)$$

$$q_{iy} = q_{ixx} + 2vq_{ix}; \quad (4.12b)$$

$$r_{iy} = r_{ixx} + 2vr_{ix}; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (4.12c)$$

The identities shown in Section 3 lead in a natural way to invariances and relations of the KPHSCS and mKPHSCS. We will discuss them in the following sections.

5 The auto-B acklund transformations for the K P H - S C S

1. Auto-B acklund transformation utilizing eigenfunction.

Theorem 5.1 Let L^n of (4.2) satisfy the K P H S C S (4.3) and ϕ be the corresponding eigenfunction. The function $f \neq 0$ satisfies (4.4).

Define

$$T_1[f] : L^n \rightarrow L^n$$

by

$$L^n = ({}_{-1}L^n {}_{-1}^{-1})_0 + \sum_{i=1}^N q_i \phi^{-1} r_i; \quad (5.1a)$$

where

$$r_i = f \phi f^{-1}; \quad q_i = f (f^{-1} q_i)_x; \quad r_i = f^{-1} D^{-1} (r_i f); \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (5.1b)$$

Then L^n will also satisfy the K P H S C S (4.3). So, $T_1[f]$ defines an auto-B acklund transformation for the K P H S C S (4.3).

Proof: It is straightforward to see $(L^n)_0$ has the same form as $(L^n)_0$, i.e.,

$$(L^n)_0 = ({}_{-1}L^n {}_{-1}^{-1})_0 = \phi^n + k_{n-2} \phi^{n-2} + \dots + k_n k_{n+2} = \frac{n\alpha}{2} = \frac{n}{2} (u + 2 (\ln f)_{xx});$$

Furthermore, we can find that for $k < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (L^k)_0 &= [(\overline{L^n})^{\frac{k}{n}}]_0 = [((\overline{L^n})_0)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_0 = [(({}_{-1}L^n {}_{-1}^{-1})_0)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_0 = [({}_{-1}L^n {}_{-1}^{-1})^{\frac{k}{n}}]_0 \\ &= [{}_{-1}(L^n)^{\frac{k}{n}-1}]_0 = [{}_{-1}(L^k)_0 {}_{-1}^{-1}]_0; \end{aligned}$$

Define $\tilde{\phi} = f (f^{-1})_x$. From Lemma 3.2(2), we can easily see that q_i, r_i and $\tilde{\phi}$ satisfy (4.3b), (4.3c) and (4.4a) w.r.t. L^n respectively. Besides,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_t_n (L^n)_0 (\tilde{\phi}) &= [f^{-1} (f_{t_n} (L^n)_0 (f))]_x + f [f^{-1} (f_{t_n} (L^n)_0 (f))]_x \\ &= [f^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1} (r_i f)]_x + f [f^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1} (r_i f)]_x \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N (f^{-1} q_i)_x D^{-1} (r_i f) + f \sum_{i=1}^N (f^{-1} q_i)_x D^{-1} (r_i f) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N (f^{-1} q_i)_x f [f^{-1} D^{-1} (r_i f) D^{-1} (r_i f f^{-1})] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N f (f^{-1} q_i)_x D^{-1} [f^{-1} D^{-1} (r_i f) f (f^{-1})_x] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1} (r_i \tilde{\phi}); \end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{\phi}$ satisfies (4.4b) w.r.t. L^n .

This completes the proof.

2. Auto-B acklund transformation utilizing adjoint eigenfunction.

Theorem 5.2 Let L^n of (4.2) satisfy the KPHSCS (4.3) and ϕ be the corresponding eigenfunction. The function $g \neq 0$ satisfies (4.5).

Define

$$T_2[g] : L^n \xrightarrow{g} L^n$$

by

$$L^n = (-_2 L^n -_2^{-1})_0 + \sum_{i=1}^N g_i \phi^{-1} r_i; \quad (5.2a)$$

where

$$r_i = g^{-1} \phi^{-1} g; \quad g_i = g^{-1} D^{-1}(g q_i); \quad r_i = g(r_i g^{-1})_x; \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad (5.2b)$$

Then L^n will also satisfy the KPHSCS (4.3). So, $T_2[g]$ defines an auto-Bäcklund transformation for the KPHSCS (4.3).

Proof: It is straightforward to see $(L^n)_0$ has the same form as $(L^n)_0$, i.e.,

$$(L^n)_0 = (-_2 L^n -_2^{-1})_0 = \phi^n + \frac{1}{2} \phi^n -_2 \phi^{n-2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} \phi^n -_n \phi^{n-2} = \frac{n\alpha}{2} = \frac{n}{2} (u + 2(\ln g)_{xx});$$

Furthermore, we can find that for $k < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (L^k)_0 &= [(L^n)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_0 = [((L^n)_0)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_0 = [((-_2 L^n -_2^{-1})_0)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_0 = [(-_2 L^n -_2^{-1})^{\frac{k}{n}}]_0 \\ &= [-_2 (L^n)^{\frac{k}{n}} -_2^{-1}]_0 = [-_2 (L^k)_0 -_2^{-1}]_0; \end{aligned}$$

Define $\tilde{\phi} = g^{-1} D^{-1}(g)$. From Lemma 3.3(2), we can easily see that g_i, r_i and $\tilde{\phi}$ satisfy (4.3b), (4.3c) and (4.4a) w.r.t. L^n respectively. Besides,

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\phi}_n (L^n)_0 (\tilde{\phi}) \\ &= g^{-2} D^{-1}(g) \left[\sum_{i=1}^N r_i D^{-1}(g q_i) + (L^n)_0(g) \right] + g^{-1} D^{-1}(g) \left[\sum_{i=1}^N r_i D^{-1}(L^n)_0(g) \right] + g^{-1} D^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N r_i D^{-1}(g q_i) \right] \\ &= g^{-2} D^{-1}(g) \sum_{i=1}^N r_i D^{-1}(g q_i) + g^{-1} D^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N r_i D^{-1}(g q_i) \right] + g^{-1} D^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N r_i D^{-1}(g q_i) \right] \\ &= g^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N g^{-1} D^{-1}(g q_i) D^{-1}(r_i) + g^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^N D^{-1}(g) D^{-1}(g q_i) r_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N g^{-1} D^{-1}(g q_i) [D^{-1}(r_i g^{-1} g) + g^{-1} r_i D^{-1}(g)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N g^{-1} D^{-1}(g q_i) D^{-1}[(g^{-1} r_i)_x D^{-1}(g)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N g^{-1} D^{-1}(r_i \tilde{\phi}); \end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{\phi}$ satisfies (4.4b) w.r.t. L^n .

This completes the proof.

3. T : composition of T_1 and T_2 .

Let L^n of (4.2) be a solution of the KPHSCS (4.3), $f \neq 0$ and $g \neq 0$ satisfy (4.4) and (4.5)

respectively. Utilizing $f, T_1[f]$ transform sL^n into $L^n = (L^n)_0 + \sum_{i=1}^P q_i @^{-1} r_i$ which is a new solution of the KPH SCS (4.3). It is easy to prove that $g = f^{-1}D^{-1}(fg)$ satisfies (4.5) w.r.t. L^n . So utilizing g again, L^n will be transformed by $T_2[g]$ into $\hat{L}^n = (\hat{L}^n)_0 + \sum_{j=1}^P \hat{q}_j @^{-1} \hat{r}_j = @^n + \hat{u}_{n-2} @^{n-2} + \dots + \hat{u}_0 @^0 + \sum_{j=1}^P \hat{q}_j @^{-1} \hat{r}_j$ ($\hat{u}_{n-2} = \frac{n}{2}\hat{u}$) which will satisfy the KPH SCS (4.3) again. We list the results below.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}^n &\stackrel{4}{=} T[f;g](L^n) = (T_2[g] - T_1[f])(L^n) = (L^{n-1})_0 + \sum_{j=1}^P \hat{q}_j @^{-1} \hat{r}_j; \\ &= g^{-1} @^{-1} g f @^{-1}; (g = f^{-1} D^{-1}(fg)); \end{aligned} \quad (5.3a)$$

$$\hat{u} = u + 2[\ln(D^{-1}(fg))]_{xx}; \quad (5.3b)$$

$$\hat{q}_i \stackrel{4}{=} T[f;g](q_i) = (T_2[g] - T_1[f])(q_i) = q_i \frac{f D^{-1}(q_i g)}{D^{-1}(fg)}; \quad (5.3c)$$

$$\hat{r}_i \stackrel{4}{=} T[f;g](r_i) = (T_2[g] - T_1[f])(r_i) = r_i \frac{g D^{-1}(r_i f)}{D^{-1}(fg)}; \quad (5.3d)$$

Remark:

1. When $(L^k)_0 = 0$, (4.3) will degenerate to the constrained KP hierarchy. Correspondingly, $T_1[f]$ with f satisfying (4.4a) and $T_2[g]$ with g satisfying (4.5a) will give auto-Bäcklund transformations for the constrained KP hierarchy (be strictly, not the whole hierarchy because $k < n$). Different from our case that the auto-Bäcklund transformations here are constructed directly for L^n (4.2), that constructed in [27] is for L (4.1). So in order to preserve the constraint form of (4.2), f used in [27] has to satisfy an extra eigenvalue problem $(L^n)_0(f) + \sum_{i=1}^P q_i D^{-1}(r_i f) = f$.

2. When $q_j = r_j = 0, j = 1, \dots, N$, (4.3) will degenerate to the KP hierarchy. $T[f], T_2[g]$ and $T[f;g]$ introduced above will degenerate to the auto-Bäcklund transformations for the KP hierarchy based on gauge transformations which have been widely studied in [24-31] (f and g now only need to be eigenfunction and adjoint eigenfunction of the KP hierarchy, i.e., satisfy (4.4) and (4.5) respectively with $q_i = r_i = 0$);

3. When $k = 2$ and $n = 3$, $T_1[f], T_2[g]$ and $T[f;g]$ introduced above will give rise to the auto-Bäcklund transformations for the KPE SCS (4.6) induced by the backward, forward and binary Darboux transformations respectively [36] (f and g now only need to be eigenfunction and adjoint eigenfunction of the KPE SCS respectively).

4.(Iteration of T)

Assuming u_1, \dots, u_n are n arbitrary solutions of (4.4) and f_1, \dots, f_n are n arbitrary solutions of (4.5), we define the following Wronskians (Gramm Determinants):

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(u_1, \dots, u_n; f_1, \dots, f_n) &= \det(U_{n \times n}); \\ W_2(u_1, \dots, u_n; f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}) &= \det(V_{n-1 \times n}); \\ W_3(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}; f_1, \dots, f_n) &= \det(X_{n-1 \times n}); \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$U_{ij} = D^{-1}(u_i, f_j); \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (5.5a)$$

$$V_{ij} = U_{ij}; \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1; \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \quad V_{n,j} = u_n, \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (5.5b)$$

$$X_{ij} = D^{-1}(f_i, u_j); \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1; \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \quad X_{n,j} = f_n, \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (5.5c)$$

We denote $u[n]$, $q_i[n]$ and $r_i[n]$ as the n times iteration of T on u , q_i and r_i respectively, then the following formulas hold.

$$u[n] = u + 2\theta^2 \ln W_1(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n); \quad (5.6a)$$

$$q_i[n] = \frac{W_2(f_1, \dots, f_n; q_i, g_1, \dots, g_n)}{W_1(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n)}; \quad (5.6b)$$

$$r_i[n] = \frac{W_3(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n; r_i)}{W_1(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_n)}; \quad (5.6c)$$

where f_i and g_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ are arbitrary solutions of (4.4) and (4.5) respectively.

We can prove (5.6) in the same way as we did in [36] and we omit it here.

6 The auto-Bäcklund transformations for the mKPH-SCS

1. Auto-Bäcklund transformations utilizing eigenfunction.

Theorem 6.1 Let L^n of (4.8) satisfy the mKPHSCS (4.9) and ϕ is the corresponding eigenfunction. The function $f \neq 0$ satisfies (4.10). Define

$$G_1[f] : L^n \ni f \mapsto L^n$$

by

$$L^n = (f^{-1}L^n f)_{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{N^2} \alpha_j \theta^{-1} r_j \theta; \quad \alpha_j = f^{-1} q_j; \quad r_j = D^{-1}(r_j, f); \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (6.1)$$

Then L^n will also satisfy the mKPHSCS (4.9).

P roof: It is straightforward to see $(\mathbb{L}^n)_1$ has the same form as $(\mathbb{L}^n)_1$, i.e.,

$$(\mathbb{L}^n)_1 = (f^{-1}\mathbb{L}^n f)_1 = @^n + \sim_{n-1} @^{n-1} + \dots + r@; \quad \sim_{n-1} = n\mathbf{v} = n(v + (\ln f)_x);$$

Furthermore we can find that for $k < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{L}^k)_1 &= [(\mathbb{L}^n)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 = [((\mathbb{L}^n)_1)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 = [((f^{-1}\mathbb{L}^n f)_1)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 = [(f^{-1}\mathbb{L}^n f)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 \\ &= [f^{-1}(\mathbb{L}^n)^{\frac{k}{n}} f]_1 = [f^{-1}(\mathbb{L}^k)_1 f]_1; \end{aligned}$$

Define $\tilde{\cdot} = f^{-1} \cdot$. From Lemma 3.2.3), we can easily see that $q_i, r_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ and $\tilde{\cdot}$ satisfy (4.9b), (4.9c) and (4.10a) w.r.t. \mathbb{L}^n respectively. Besides,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}_n - (\mathbb{L}^n)_1(\tilde{\cdot}) &= f^{-2} \sum_{P=1}^N [f_{t_n} - (\mathbb{L}^n)_1(f)] + f^{-1} \sum_{P=1}^N [r_n - (\mathbb{L}^n)_1(r)] \\ &= f^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_i f_x) + f^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_{i,x}) \\ &= \frac{f^{-2}}{P} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_{i,x} f) - f^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_{i,x}) \\ &= \frac{f^{-2}}{P} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_i \tilde{r}_x); \end{aligned}$$

So $\tilde{\cdot}$ satisfies (4.10b) w.r.t. \mathbb{L}^n . This completes the proof.

Theorem 6.2 Let \mathbb{L}^n of (4.8) satisfy the mKPHSCS (4.9) and f be the corresponding eigenfunction. The function $f \neq 0$ satisfies (4.10). Define

$$G_2[f] : \mathbb{L}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^n$$

by

$$\mathbb{L}^n = (f_x^{-1} @ \mathbb{L}^n @^{-1} f_x)_1 + \sum_{j=1}^N q_j @^{-1} r_j @; \quad q_j = f_x^{-1} q_{j,x}; \quad r_j = D^{-1}(r_j f_x); \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (6.2)$$

Then \mathbb{L}^n will also satisfy the mKPHSCS (4.9).

P roof: It is straightforward to see $(\mathbb{L}^n)_1$ has the same form as $(\mathbb{L}^n)_1$, i.e.,

$$(\mathbb{L}^n)_1 = (f_x^{-1} @ \mathbb{L}^n @^{-1} f_x)_1 = @^n + \sim_{n-1} @^{n-1} + \dots + r@; \quad \sim_{n-1} = n\mathbf{v} = n(v + (\ln f_x)_x);$$

Furthermore we can find that for $k < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{L}^k)_1 &= [(\mathbb{L}^n)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 = [((\mathbb{L}^n)_1)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 = [((f_x^{-1} @ \mathbb{L}^n @^{-1} f_x)_1)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 = [(f_x^{-1} @ \mathbb{L}^n @^{-1} f_x)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 \\ &= [f_x^{-1} @ (\mathbb{L}^n)^{\frac{k}{n}} @^{-1} f_x]_1 = [f_x^{-1} @ (\mathbb{L}^k)_1 @^{-1} f_x]_1; \end{aligned}$$

Define $\sim = f_x^{-1} \circ$. From Lemma 3.2.4), we can easily see that $q_i, r_i, i=1; \dots; N$ and \sim satisfy (4.9b), (4.9c) and (4.10a) w.r.t. L^n respectively. Besides,

$$\begin{aligned}\sim_{t_n}(L^n)_1(\sim) &= f_x^2 x \int_{t_n} [f_x(L^n)_1(f)]_x + f_x^{-1} \int_{t_n} [f_x(L^n)_1(\)]_x \\ &= f_x^2 x \int_p^N \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_i f_x)_x + f_x^{-1} \int_p^N \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_{i,x})_x \\ &= p f_x^2 x \sum_{i=1}^N q_{i,x} D^{-1}(r_i f_x) + f_x^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N q_{i,x} D^{-1}(r_{i,x}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_i \sim_x);\end{aligned}$$

so \sim satisfies (4.10b) w.r.t. L^n .

This completes the proof.

2. Auto-Bäcklund transformations utilizing adjoint eigenfunction.

Theorem 6.3 Let L^n of (4.8) satisfy the mKPHSCS (4.9) and θ be the corresponding eigenfunction. The function g ($g_x \neq 0$) satisfies (4.11) Define

$$G_3[g] : L^n \rightarrow L^n$$

by

$$L^n = (\theta^{-1} g_x L^n g_x^{-1} \theta)_1 + \sum_{j=1}^N q_j \theta^{-1} r_j \theta; \quad q_j = D^{-1}(g_x q_j); \quad r_j = r_{j,x} g_x^{-1}; \quad j = 1; \dots; N; \quad (6.3)$$

Then L^n will also satisfy the mKPHSCS (4.9).

Proof: It is straightforward to see $(L^n)_1$ has the same form as $(L^n)_1$, i.e.,

$$(L^n)_1 = (\theta^{-1} g_x L^n g_x^{-1} \theta)_1 = \theta^n + \sim_{n-1} \theta^{n-1} + \dots + \tau \theta; \quad \sim_{n-1} = n v = n(v - (L g_x)_x);$$

Furthermore we can find that for $k < n$,

$$\begin{aligned}(L^k)_1 &= [(L^n)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 = [(L^n)_1]^{\frac{k}{n}}_1 = [((\theta^{-1} g_x L^n g_x^{-1} \theta)_1)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 = [(\theta^{-1} g_x L^n g_x^{-1} \theta)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 \\ &= \theta^{-1} g_x (L^n)^{\frac{k}{n}} g_x^{-1} \theta |_1 = \theta^{-1} g_x (L^k)_1 g_x^{-1} \theta |_1;\end{aligned}$$

Define $\sim = D^{-1}(g_x)$. From Lemma 3.3.3), we can easily see that $q_i, r_i, i=1; \dots; N$ and \sim satisfy (4.9b), (4.9c) and (4.10a) w.r.t. L^n respectively. Besides,

$$\begin{aligned}\sim_{t_n}(L^n)_1(\sim) &= D^{-1} g_x (\int_{t_n} (L^n)_1(\)) + D^{-1} [\int_{t_n} (g_x + (\theta (L^n)_1 \theta^{-1})(g))_x] \\ &= D^{-1} g_x (\int_p^N \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_{i,x})) + D^{-1} [\int_p^N \sum_{i=1}^N r_i D^{-1}(q_i g_x)_x] \\ &= D^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N g_x q_i (r_i - D^{-1}(r_{i,x})) \right] + D^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N r_{i,x} D^{-1}(q_i g_x) + r_i g_x q_i \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N D^{-1} D^{-1}(r_{i,x}) q_i g_x + r_{i,x} D^{-1}(q_i g_x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N D^{-1}(r_{i,x}) D^{-1}(q_i g_x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_i \sim_x);\end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{\sim}$ satisfies (4.10b) w.r.t. L^n .

This completes the proof.

Theorem 6.4 Let L^n of (4.8) satisfy the m K P H S C S (4.9) and \tilde{g} be the corresponding eigenfunction. The function $g \neq 0$ satisfies (4.11). Define

$$G_4[g] : L^n \rightarrow L^n$$

by

$$L^n = (\theta^{-1} g @ L^n @^{-1} g^{-1} \theta)_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N^k} q_j \theta^{-1} r_j \theta; \quad q_j = D^{-1}(g q_{j,x}); \quad r_j = r_j g^{-1}; \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (6.4)$$

Then L^n will also satisfy the m K P H S C S (4.9).

Proof: It is straightforward to see $(L^n)_1$ has the same form as $(L^n)_1$, i.e.,

$$(L^n)_1 = (\theta^{-1} g @ L^n @^{-1} g^{-1} \theta)_1 = \theta^n + \sim_{n-1} \theta^{n-1} + \dots + \sim_1 \theta; \quad \sim_{n-1} = n \mathbf{v} = n(v - (hg)_x);$$

Furthermore we can find that for $k < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (L^k)_1 &= [(L^n)_{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 = [(L^n)_1]^{\frac{k}{n}}_1 = [((\theta^{-1} g @ L^n @^{-1} g^{-1} \theta)_1)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 = [(\theta^{-1} g @ L^n @^{-1} g^{-1} \theta)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 \\ &= [\theta^{-1} g @ (L^n)^{\frac{k}{n}} @^{-1} g^{-1} \theta]_1 = [\theta^{-1} g @ (L^k)_1 @^{-1} g^{-1} \theta]_1; \end{aligned}$$

Define $\tilde{\sim} = D^{-1}(g_x)$. From Lemma 3.3(4), we can easily see that $q_i, r_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ and $\tilde{\sim}$ satisfy (4.9b), (4.9c) and (4.10a) w.r.t. L^n respectively. Besides,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sim}_{t_n} (L^n)_1 (\tilde{\sim}) &= D^{-1} g (g_{t_n} (L^n)_1 (\tilde{\sim}))_x + D^{-1} [{}_x(g_{t_n} + (\theta (L^n)_1 \theta^{-1}) (g))] \\ &= D^{-1} g \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N^k} q_i D^{-1} (r_{i,x})_x \right) + D^{-1} [{}_x(\sum_{i=1}^{N^k} r_i D^{-1} (q_{i,x} g_x))] \\ &= D^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N^k} g (q_i r_{i,x} + q_{i,x} D^{-1} (r_{i,x})) \right] \\ &= D^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N^k} {}_x r_i (g q_i D^{-1} (q_{i,x} g)) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N^k} D^{-1} (g q_{i,x}) D^{-1} ({}_x r_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N^k} q_i D^{-1} (r_{i,x}); \end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{\sim}$ satisfies (4.10b) w.r.t. L^n .

This completes the proof.

3. Compositions of G_i .

(1) G₁₂ composition of G₁ and G₂.

Let Lⁿ of (4.8) satisfy the m KPH SCS (4.9), f₁ (f₁ ≠ 0 and f_{1,x} ≠ 0) and f₂ = 1 satisfy (4.10). Utilizing f₁, G₁[f₁] transforms Lⁿ into L' = (Lⁿ)₁ + $\sum_{j=1}^N \hat{q}_j @^{-1} r_j @$, a new solution of the m KPH SCS (4.9). It is not difficult to prove that f'_2 = f₁⁻¹f₂ = f₁⁻¹ satisfies (4.10) w.r.t. L'. So utilizing f'_2 again, G₂[f'_2] transforms L' into another solution L'' = (L')₁ + $\sum_{j=1}^N \hat{q}_j @^{-1} \hat{r}_j @$. We list the results below.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}'^n &\stackrel{4}{=} G_{12}[f_1](L^n) = (G_2[f'_2] - G_1[f_1])(L^n) = (f_{1,x}^{-1} f_1^2 @ f_1^{-1} L^n f_1 @^{-1} f_1^{-2} f_{1,x})_1 + \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{q}_j @^{-1} \hat{r}_j @; \\ &\quad (6.5a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{q}_j \stackrel{4}{=} G_{12}[f_1](q_j) = (G_2[f'_2] - G_1[f_1])(q_j) = f_{1,x}^{-1} (f_{1,x} q_j - f_1 q_{j,x}); \quad (6.5b)$$

$$\hat{r}_j \stackrel{4}{=} G_{12}[f_1](r_j) = (G_2[f'_2] - G_1[f_1])(r_j) = f_1^{-1} D^{-1} (r_j f_{1,x}); \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (6.5c)$$

(2) G₃₄ composition of G₃ and G₄.

Let Lⁿ of (4.8) satisfy the m KPH SCS (4.9), g₁ (g₁ ≠ 0 and g_{1,x} ≠ 0) and g₂ = 1 satisfy (4.11). Utilizing g₁, G₃[g₁] transforms Lⁿ into L' = (Lⁿ)₁ + $\sum_{j=1}^N \hat{g}_j @^{-1} r_j @$, a new solution of the m KPH SCS (4.9). It is not difficult to prove that g'_2 = g₁⁻¹g₂ = g₁⁻¹ satisfies (4.11) w.r.t. L'. So utilizing g'_2 again, G₄[g'_2] transforms L' into L'' = (L')₁ + $\sum_{j=1}^N \hat{g}_j @^{-1} \hat{r}_j @$. We list the results below.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}'^n &\stackrel{4}{=} G_{34}[g_1](L^n) = (G_4[g'_2] - G_3[g_1])(L^n) \\ &= (@^{-1} g_{1,x} g_1^{-2} @^{-1} g_1 @ L^n @^{-1} g_1^{-1} @ g_{1,x}^{-1} g_1^2 @)_1 + \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{g}_j @^{-1} r_j @; \quad (6.6a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{g}_j \stackrel{4}{=} G_{34}[g_1](q_j) = (G_4[g'_2] - G_3[g_1])(q_j) = g_1^{-1} D^{-1} (q_j g_{1,x}); \quad (6.6b)$$

$$\hat{r}_j \stackrel{4}{=} G_{34}[g_1](r_j) = (G_4[g'_2] - G_3[g_1])(r_j) = g_{1,x}^{-1} (g_{1,x} r_j - g_1 r_{j,x}); \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (6.6c)$$

(3) G : composition of G₁₂ and G₃₄.

Let Lⁿ of (4.8) satisfy the m KPH SCS (4.9), f (f ≠ 0 and f_x ≠ 0) and g (g ≠ 0 and g_x ≠ 0) satisfy (4.10) and (4.11) respectively. Utilizing f, G₁₂[f] transforms Lⁿ into L' = (Lⁿ)₁ + $\sum_{j=1}^N \hat{q}_j @^{-1} r_j @$. It is not difficult to verify that g = G₁₂[f](g) = f⁻¹D⁻¹(gf_x) satisfies (4.11) w.r.t. L'. So utilizing g again, G₃₄[g] transforms L' into another solution of m KPH SCS (4.9) L''. We list the results below.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}''^n &\stackrel{4}{=} G[f;g](L^n) = (G_{34}[g] - G_{12}[f])(L^n) = (L^n - 1)_1 + \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{q}_j @^{-1} \hat{r}_j @ \\ &= @^n + \hat{r}_n @^{-1} + \hat{q}_1 @^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{q}_j @^{-1} \hat{r}_j @; \\ &= @^{-1} g_x g^{-2} @^{-1} g @ f_x^{-1} f^2 @ f^{-1}; \quad (g = f^{-1} D^{-1} (g f_x)); \quad (6.7a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{v}_{n-1} = n\hat{v}; \quad \hat{v} = v - \ln\left(\frac{D^{-1}(fg_x)}{D^{-1}(f_xg)}\right)_x; \quad (6.7b)$$

$$\hat{q}_j^4 = G[f;g](q_j) = (G_{34}[g] - G_{12}[f])(q_j) = q_j - \frac{f D^{-1}(g q_{j,x})}{D^{-1}(g f_x)}; \quad (6.7c)$$

$$\hat{r}_j^4 = G[f;g](r_j) = (G_{34}[g] - G_{12}[f])(r_j) = r_j - \frac{g D^{-1}(f r_{j,x})}{D^{-1}(f g_x)}; \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (6.7d)$$

Remark:

1. When $q_j = r_j = 0, j = 1, \dots, N$, G_{kl} and G introduced above will degenerate to the auto-Bäcklund transformations for the mKP hierarchy based on gauge transformations which have been widely studied in [24-31]. Now f only need to be an eigenfunction of the mKP hierarchy, i.e., satisfy (4.10) with $q_i = r_i = 0$. g only need to be an adjoint eigenfunction (in some articles, often called integrated adjoint eigenfunction) of the mKP hierarchy, i.e., satisfy (4.11) with $q_i = r_i = 0$;

2. When $k = 2$ and $N = 3$, $G[f;g]$ introduced above will give rise to the auto-Bäcklund transformation for the mKPE SCS (4.12) induced by binary Darboux transformation introduced in [38] (f and g now only need to be eigenfunction and adjoint eigenfunction of the mKPE SCS respectively).

3.(Iteration of G).

Assuming ${}_1, \dots, {}_n$ are n arbitrary solutions of (4.10) and ${}_1, \dots, {}_n$ are n arbitrary solutions of (4.11), we define the following Wronskians (Gramm Determinants):

$$\begin{aligned} W_1({}_1, \dots, {}_n; {}_1, \dots, {}_n) &= \det(Y_{n,n}); \\ W_2({}_1, \dots, {}_n; {}_1, \dots, {}_n) &= \det(\tilde{Y}_{n,n}); \\ W_3({}_1, \dots, {}_n; {}_1, \dots, {}_{n-1}) &= \det(Z_{n,n}); \\ W_4({}_1, \dots, {}_{n-1}; {}_1, \dots, {}_n) &= \det(\tilde{Z}_{n,n}); \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

where

$$Y_{i,j} = D^{-1}({}_{j-i,x}); \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (6.9a)$$

$$\tilde{Y}_{i,j} = D^{-1}({}_{j,x-i}); \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (6.9b)$$

$$Z_{i,j} = D^{-1}({}_{i-j,x}); \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1; \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \quad Z_{n,j} = {}_j; \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (6.9c)$$

$$\tilde{Z}_{i,j} = D^{-1}({}_{j,x-i}); \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1; \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \quad \tilde{Z}_{n,j} = {}_j; \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (6.9d)$$

We denote $v[n]$, $q_i[n]$ and $r_i[n]$ as the n times iteration of G on v , q_i and r_i respectively, then the following formulas hold.

$$v[n] = v \otimes_x \ln \frac{W_2(f_1; \dots; f_n; g_1; \dots; g_n)}{W_1(f_1; \dots; f_n; g_1; \dots; g_n)}, \quad (6.10a)$$

$$q_i[n] = \frac{W_3(f_1; \dots; f_n; q_i; g_1; \dots; g_n)}{W_1(f_1; \dots; f_n; g_1; \dots; g_n)}, \quad (6.10b)$$

$$r_i[n] = \frac{W_4(f_1; \dots; f_n; g_1; \dots; g_n; r_i)}{W_2(f_1; \dots; f_n; g_1; \dots; g_n)}, \quad (6.10c)$$

where f_i and g_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ are arbitrary solutions of (4.10) and (4.11) respectively.

We can prove (6.10) in the same way as we did in [37] and we omit it here.

7 The Backlund transformations between the KPH-SCS and mKPHSCS

(1) Backlund transformation utilizing eigenfunction of the KPHSCS.

Theorem 7.1 Let L^n of (4.2) satisfy the KPHSCS (4.3) and ϕ be the corresponding eigenfunction. The function $f \neq 0$ satisfies (4.4). Define

$$M_1[f] : L^n \xrightarrow{f} L^n$$

by

$$L^n = (f^{-1}L^n f)_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N^2} \alpha_j \theta^{-1} r_j \theta; \quad \alpha_j = f^{-1} q_j; \quad r_j = D^{-1}(r_j f); \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (7.1)$$

Then L^n will satisfy the mKPHSCS (4.9).

Proof: It is straightforward to see that

$$(L^n)_1 = (f^{-1}L^n f)_1 = \theta^n + \sim_{n-1}\theta^{n-1} + \dots + r\theta; \quad \sim_{n-1} = nv = n(\ln f)_x;$$

Furthermore we can find that for $k < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (L^k)_1 &= [(L^n)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 = [(L^n)_1]^{\frac{k}{n}}_1 = [(f^{-1}L^n f)_1]^{\frac{k}{n}}_1 = [(f^{-1}L^n f)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_1 \\ &= [f^{-1}(L^n)^{\frac{k}{n}} f]_1 = [f^{-1}(L^k)_0 f]_1; \end{aligned}$$

Define $\tilde{\gamma} = f^{-1}$. From Lemma 3.2.1), we can easily see that $q_i, r_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ satisfy (4.9b), (4.9c) and (4.10a) w.r.t. L^n respectively. Besides,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\gamma}_{t_n} (L^n)_{-1}(\tilde{\gamma}) &= f^{-2} \left[\tilde{f}_{t_n} + (L^n)_0(f) \right] + f^{-1} \left[\tilde{P}_{t_n} + (L^n)_0(\tilde{\gamma}) \right] \\ &= f^{-2} \left[\tilde{P}_N \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_i f) + f^{-1} \left[\tilde{P}_N \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_i \tilde{\gamma}) \right] \right] \\ &= \tilde{P}_N \sum_{i=1}^N f^{-1} q_i [\tilde{D}^{-1}(r_i f) - D^{-1}(r_i f \tilde{\gamma})] \\ &= \tilde{P}_N \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_i \tilde{\gamma}_x);\end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{\gamma}$ satisfies (4.10b) w.r.t. L^n .

This completes the proof.

(2) Bäcklund transformation utilizing adjoint eigenfunction of the KPHSCS.

Theorem 7.2 Let L^n of (4.2) satisfy the KPHSCS (4.3) and θ be the corresponding eigenfunction. The function $g \neq 0$ satisfies (4.5). Define

$$M_2[g] : L^n \rightarrow L^n$$

by

$$L^n = (\theta^{-1} g L^n g^{-1} \theta)_{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{X^N} q_j \theta^{-1} r_j \theta; \quad q_j = D^{-1}(g q_j); \quad r_j = r_j g^{-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N; \quad (7.2)$$

Then L^n will satisfy the mKPHSCS (4.9).

Proof: It is straightforward to see that

$$(L^n)_{-1} = (\theta^{-1} g L^n g^{-1} \theta)_{-1} = \theta^n + \tilde{\gamma}_{n-1} \theta^{n-1} + \dots + \tilde{\gamma}_1 \theta; \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{n-1} = n \mathbf{v} = n((\ln g)_x);$$

Furthermore we can find that for $k < n$,

$$\begin{aligned}(L^k)_{-1} &= [(L^n)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_{-1} = [((L^n)_{-1})^{\frac{k}{n}}]_{-1} = [((\theta^{-1} g L^n g^{-1} \theta)_{-1})^{\frac{k}{n}}]_{-1} = [(\theta^{-1} g L^n g^{-1} \theta)^{\frac{k}{n}}]_{-1} \\ &= \theta^{-1} g (L^n)^{\frac{k}{n}} g^{-1} \theta]_{-1} = \theta^{-1} g (L^k)_0 g^{-1} \theta]_{-1};\end{aligned}$$

Define $\tilde{\gamma} = D^{-1}(g)$. From Lemma 3.3.1), we can easily see that $q_i, r_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, and $\tilde{\gamma}$ satisfy (4.9b), (4.9c) and (4.10a) w.r.t. L^n respectively. Besides,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\gamma}_{t_n} (L^n)_{-1}(\tilde{\gamma}) &= D^{-1} \left[(g_{t_n} + (L^n)_0(g)) \right] + D^{-1} \left[g \left(\tilde{P}_{t_n} + (L^n)_0(\tilde{\gamma}) \right) \right] \\ &= D^{-1} \left[\tilde{P}_N \sum_{i=1}^N r_i D^{-1}(q_i g) \right] + D^{-1} \left[g \left(\tilde{P}_N \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_i \tilde{\gamma}) \right) \right] \\ &= \tilde{P}_N \sum_{i=1}^N D^{-1}(g q_i) D^{-1}(r_i \tilde{\gamma}) \\ &= \tilde{P}_N \sum_{i=1}^N q_i D^{-1}(r_i \tilde{\gamma}_x);\end{aligned}$$

so \sim satisfies (4.10b) w.r.t. L^n .

This completes the proof.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Chinese Basic Research Project "Nonlinear Science".

References

- [1] Sato M 1981 RIMS Kokyuroku 439 30
- [2] Date E, Jimbo M, Kashiwara M and Miwa T 1983 In Nonlinear Integrable Systems—Classical Theory and Quantum Theory ed Jimbo M and Miwa T (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [3] Ohta Y, Satsuma J, Takahashi D and Tokihiro T 1988 Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 94 210
- [4] Dickey L A 1991 Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [5] Mel'nikov V K 1988 Phys. Lett. A 133 493
- [6] Mel'nikov V K 1989 Commun. Math. Phys. 120 451
- [7] Mel'nikov V K 1990 J. Math. Phys. 31 1106
- [8] Leon J and Latini A 1990 J. Phys. A 23 1385–1403
- [9] Leon J 1990 Phys. Lett. A 144 444–452
- [10] Doktorov E V and Vlasov R A 1991 Acta 30 3321
- [11] Mel'nikov V K 1992 Inverse Probl. 8 133
- [12] Mel'nikov V K 1987 Commun. Math. Phys. 112 639–652
- [13] Mel'nikov V K 1989 Commun. Math. Phys. 126 201–215

- [14] Zeng Y B , Ma W X and Lin R L 2000 J. Math. Phys. 41 (8) 5453–5489
- [15] Lin R L, Zeng Y B and Ma W X 2001 Physica A 291 287–298
- [16] Ye S and Zeng Y B 2002 J. Phys. A 35 L283–L291
- [17] Zeng Y B , Ma W X and Shao Y J 2001 J. Math. Phys. 42 (5) 2113–2128
- [18] Zeng Y B , Shao Y J and Ma W X 2002 Commun. Theor. Phys. 38 641–648
- [19] Zeng Y B , Shao Y J and Xue W M 2003 J. Phys. A 36 1–9
- [20] Matveev V B 1979 Lett. Math. Phys. 3 213
- [21] Levid , Pilloni L and Santini P M 1981 Phys.Lett.A 81 419
- [22] Boiti M , Konopelchenko B G and Pempinelli F 1985 Inverse Problem 1 35
- [23] Konopelchenko B G and Dubrovsky V G 1984 Phys.Lett.A 102 15
- [24] Konopelchenko B G and Oevel W 1993 Publ. IM S. Kyoto Univ. 29 581
- [25] Oevel W and Ragnisco O 1989 Physica A 161 181
- [26] Oevel W and Schieff W 1993 in Application of Analytic and Geometric Methods to Non-linear Differential Equations (Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Research Workshop, Exeter, 14–17 July 1992, UK) Clarkson P A (ed.) Kluwer Publ. 193–206
- [27] Oevel W 1993 Physica A 533–576
- [28] Oevel W and Rogers C 1993 Rev. Math. Phys. 5 299–330
- [29] Liu Q P and Maras M 1999 J. of Nonlinear Sci. 9 213–232
- [30] Oevel W and Strampp W 1993 Commun. Math. Phys. 157 51
- [31] Shaw J C and Yen H C 1993 Chinese Journal of Physics 31 709
- [32] Shaw J C and Tu M H 1997 J. Phys. A 30 4825
- [33] Shaw J C and Tu M H 1997 J. Math. Phys. 38 (11) 5756
- [34] Matveev V B and Salle M A 1991 Darboux Transformations and Solitons (Berlin Springer)

- [35] Cheng Y 1995 *Commun. Math. Phys.* 171 661–682
- [36] Xiao T and Zeng Y B 2004 *J. Phys. A* 37 7143–7162
- [37] Xiao T and Zeng Y B 2005 *Physica A* 353C 38–60
- [38] Estevez P G and Gordoa P R 1997 *Inverse Problem* 13 939–957