arXiv:nlin/0512008v1 [nlin.SI] 5 Dec 2005

Löwner equations and Dispersionless Hierarchies*

Kanehisa Takasaki†
Graduate School of Human and Environmental Sciences,
Kyoto University,
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
E-mail: takasaki@math.h.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Takashi Takebe‡
Department of Mathematics, Ochanomizu University
Otsuka 2-1-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 112-8610, Japan
E-mail: takebe@math.ocha.ac.jp

Abstract

Reduction of a dispersionless type integrable system (dcmKP hierarchy) to the radial Löwner equation is presented.

1 Introduction

Recently reductions and hodograph solutions of dispersionless/Whitham type integrable systems are intensively studied[1, 2, 3, 4]. In this article we report another example; reduction of the dispersionless coupled modified KP (dcmKP) hierarchy to the (radial) Löwner equation.

*Contribution to the Proceedings of XXIII International Conference of Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics Nankai Institute of Mathematics, Tianjin, China, August 2005.

†Work partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, No. 16340040.

‡Work partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, No. 15540014.

The dcmKP hierarchy introduced by Teo[5] is an extension of the dispersionless mKP hierarchy[6] with an additional degree of freedom, or in other words, a "half" of the dispersionless Toda lattice hierarchy[7, 8].

The Löwner equation was introduced by K. Löwner[9] in an attempt to solve the Bieberbach conjecture. It is an evolution equation of the conformal mapping from (a chain of) subdomains of the unit disk onto the unit disk. We can also define the same kind of equation with different normalization which is called the "*chordal* Löwner equation". See Lawler, Schramm and Werner[10] §2.3 for details. The original Löwner equation is, therefore, often called the "*radial* Löwner equation".

The reduction of the dispersionless KP hierarchy[11, 12, 8] to the chordal Löwner equation (and its generalization) has been studied by Gibbons and Tsarev[1], Yu and Gibbons[2], Mañas, Martínez Alonso and Medina[3] and others. Our question is: how about the radial Löwner equation? The answer is that there appears another degree of freedom and the resulting system turns out to be the dcmKP hierarchy.

In the following two sections we review the two ingredients, the Löwner equation and the dcmKP hierarchy. The main result is presented in the last section. Details including proofs will be published in the forthcoming paper.

2 Radial Löwner equation

In this section we review the (radial) Löwner equation and introduce related notions. Since we are interested in algebro-analytic nature of the system, we omit reality/positivity conditions which are essential in the context of the complex analysis.

The Löwner equation is a system of differential equations for a function

$$w = g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, z) = e^{-\phi(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} z + b_0(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + b_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) z^{-1} + b_2(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) z^{-2} + \cdots \qquad (1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N)$ and z are independent variables. In the complex analysis the variable z moves in a subdomain of the compliment of the unit disk and the variables λ_i parametrize the subdomain. In our context $g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, z)$ is considered as a generating function of the unknown functions $\phi(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $b_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. We assume that for each $i = 1, \ldots, N$ a *driving function* $\kappa_i(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is given. The *Löwner equation* is the following

system:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda_i}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}; z) = g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}; z)\frac{\kappa_i(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}; z)}{\kappa_i(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}; z)}\frac{\partial \phi(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\partial \lambda_i}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N. \tag{2}$$

(The original Löwner equation[9] is the case $N = 1$.)

Later the inverse function of $g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, z)$ with respect to the z-variable will be more important than g itself. We denote it by $f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, w)$:

$$z = f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, w) = e^{\phi(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}w + c_0(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + c_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda})w^{-1} + c_2(\boldsymbol{\lambda})w^{-2} + \cdots. \tag{3}$$

It satisfies $g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, w)) = w$ and $f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, g(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, z)) = z$, from which we can determine the coefficients $c_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ in terms of $\phi(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $b_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. The Löwner equation (2) is rewritten as the equation for $f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, w)$ as follows:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda_i}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}; w) = w\frac{w + \kappa_i(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{w - \kappa_i(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\frac{\partial \phi(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\partial \lambda_i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}; w). \tag{4}$$

This equation leads to the compatibility condition of κ_i's:

$$\frac{\partial \kappa_j}{\partial \lambda_i} = -\kappa_j\frac{\kappa_j + \kappa_i}{\kappa_j - \kappa_i}\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \lambda_i}, \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_j} = \frac{4\kappa_i\kappa_j}{(\kappa_i - \kappa_j)^2}\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \lambda_i}\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \lambda_j}, \tag{6}$$

for any i, j $(i \neq j)$.

The *Faber polynomials* are defined as follows[13]:

$$\Phi_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, w) := (f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, w)^n)_{\geq 0}. \tag{7}$$

Here $(\cdot)_{\geq 0}$ is the truncation of the Laurent series in w to its polynomial part.

3 dcmKP hierarchy

We give a formulation of the dcmKP hierarchy different from Teo[5]. The equivalence (up to a gauge factor) will be explained in the forthcoming paper.

The independent variables of the system is (s, x, t) where $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$ is a series of infinitely many variables. The variables x and t_1 appear in the equations only as the combination $x + t_1$, so we often omit x. Namely, "t_1" should be understood as the abbreviation

of $x + t_1$. The unknown functions $\phi(s,t)$ and $u_n(s,t)$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$ are encapsulated in the series

$$\mathcal{L}(s,t;w) = e^{\phi(s,t)}w + u_0(s,t) + u_1(s,t)w^{-1} + u_2(s,t)w^{-2} + \cdots , \quad (8)$$

where w is a formal variable. The *dispersionless coupled modified KP hierarchy* (dcmKP hierarchy) is the following system of differential equations:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t_n} = \{\mathcal{B}_n, \mathcal{L}\}, \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots . \quad (9)$$

Here the Poisson bracket $\{,\}$ is defined by

$$\{f(s,x), g(s,x)\} := w\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}\frac{\partial g}{\partial s} - w\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}\frac{\partial g}{\partial w}, \quad (10)$$

and $\mathcal{B}_n$ is the polynomial in w defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_n := (\mathcal{L}^n)_{>0} + \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}^n)_0, \quad (11)$$

where $(\cdot)_{>0}$ is the positive power part in w and $(\cdot)_0$ is the constant term with respect to w.

It is easy to construct a theory for this system similar to those for the dispersionless KP hierarchy or the dispersionless Toda hierarchy[8].

4 Main results

In this section we show that a specialization of the variables $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ in $f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, w)$ gives a solution of the dcmKP hierarchy.

Suppose $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(s,t) = (\lambda_1(s,t), \dots, \lambda_N(s,t))$ satisfies the equations

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial t_n} = v_i^n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}(s,t))\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial s}, \quad (12)$$

where $v_j^n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are defined by

$$v_j^n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) := \kappa_j(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\frac{\partial \Phi_n}{\partial w}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \kappa_j(\boldsymbol{\lambda})) = \left.\frac{\partial \Phi_n}{\partial \log w}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, w)\right|_{w=\kappa_j(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}. \quad (13)$$

They satisfy the equations

$$\frac{\partial v_j^n}{\partial \lambda_i} = V_{ij}(v_i^n - v_j^n), \quad (14)$$

where

$$V_{ij} := \frac{2\kappa_i\kappa_j}{(\kappa_i-\kappa_j)^2}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\lambda_i}. \tag{15}$$

The hydrodynamic type equations (12) can be solved by the generalized hodograph method of Tsarev[14]: Let $F_i(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ be functions satisfying

$$\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial\lambda_i} = V_{ij}(F_i - F_j). \tag{16}$$

Then the *hodograph relation*

$$F_i(\boldsymbol{\lambda}(s,t)) = s + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_i^n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}(s,t))\, t_n \tag{17}$$

determines the solution of (12), $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(s,t)$, as the implicit function.

Our main result is as follows: let $f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, w)$ be a solution of the radial Löwner equation (4) of the form (3) and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(s,t)$ be a solution of (12). Then the function $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(s,t;w)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{L}(s,t;w) := f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}(s,t), w)\\ &= e^{\phi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}(s,t))}w + c_0(\boldsymbol{\lambda}(s,t)) + c_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda}(s,t))w^{-1} + c_2(\boldsymbol{\lambda}(s,t))w^{-2} + \cdots\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

is a solution of the dcmKP hierarchy (9).

In the proof we construct the S-function[7, 8], following the method by Mañas-Alonso-Medina[3].

If we start from the chordal Löwner equation instead of the radial Löwner equation, we obtain a solution of the dispersionless KP hierarchy. This is due to Gibbons and Tsarev[1], Yu and Gibbons[2], Mañas, Martínez Alonso and Medina[3]. The generalization to the Whitham hierarchies is considered by Guil, Mañas and Martínez Alonso[4]. Note that their generalization does not contain the radial Löwner case, because of the normalization at the infinity.

References

[1] J. Gibbons and S. P. Tsarev, *Phys. Let.* **258A**, 263–271 (1999).

[2] L. Yu and J. Gibbons, *Inverse Problems* **16**, 605–618 (2000).

[3] M. Mañas, L. Martínez Alonso and E. Medina, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35**, 401–417 (2002).

[4] F. Guil, M. Mañas and L. Martínez Alonso, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36**, 4047–4062 (2003).

[5] L.-P. Teo, On dispersionless coupled modified KP hierarchy, preprint `nlin.SI/0304007`.

[6] T. Takebe, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **p59**, 157-172 (2002).

[7] K. Takasaki and T. Takebe, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **23**, 205–214 (1991).

[8] K. Takasaki and T. Takebe, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **7**, 743-803 (1995).

[9] K. Löwner, *Math. Ann.* **89**, 103–121 (1923).

[10] G. F. Lawler, O. Schramm and W. Werner, *Acta Math.* **187**, 237–273 (2001).

[11] D. Lebedev and Yu. Manin, *Phys.Lett.* **74A**, 154–156 (1979); V. E. Zakharov, *Physica* **3D**, 193–202 (1981); Y. Kodama, *Phys. Lett.* **129A**, 223–226 (1988); Y. Kodama and J. Gibbons, *Phys. Lett.* **135A**, 167–170 (1989); Y. Kodama, *Phys. Lett.* **147A**, 477–482 (1990); I. M. Krichever, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **143**, 415–426 (1991).

[12] K. Takasaki and T. Takebe, in: Proceesings of RIMS Research Project 1991 "Infinite Analysis," *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A7, Suppl. 1B**, 889–922 (1992).

[13] L.-P. Teo, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **64**, 75–92 (2003).

[14] S. P. Tsarëv, (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **54**, 1048–1068 (1990); translation in *Math. USSR-Izv.* **37**, 397–419 (1991).