

Modulational instability, solitons and periodic waves in a model of quantum degenerate Boson-Fermion mixtures

Juan Belmonte-Beitia, Víctor M. Pérez-García, and Vadym Vekslerchik

*Departamento de Matemáticas, E. T. S. de Ingenieros Industriales,
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain*

Abstract

In this paper we study a system of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations modelling a quantum degenerate mixture of bosons and fermions. We analyze the stability of plane waves, give precise conditions for the existence of solitons and write explicit solutions in the form of periodic waves. We also check that the solitons observed previously in numerical simulations of the model correspond exactly to our explicit solutions and see how plane waves destabilize to form periodic waves.

Key words: Nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Boson-Fermion mixtures, solitons, periodic waves, Nonlinear matter waves

1 Introduction

Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) made of ultracold atomic alkali gases have proven to be a fertile field in the last years for the study of nonlinear matter waves. In this context, many types of nonlinear structures have been predicted to exist and/or experimentally observed. Between them we can cite dark [1,2,3] and bright [4,5,6] solitons, gap solitons [7], domain wall solitons [8,9], dark-bright solitons [10,11], stabilized solitons [12,13,14], shock waves [15,16,17], etc.

One of the reasons of such fruitful interplay between theory and experiment is the fact that below the transition temperature for Bose-Einstein condensation in which all bosons occupy the same quantum state, these atomic quantum gases are very accurately described by the so-called Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the wavefunction describing the colectivity of atoms thus leading to a complex quantum system with a highly predictable dynamics.

Recently, there has been a strong interest on quantum degenerate mixtures of Bosons and Fermions. There are different theoretical descriptions of these mixtures depending on the different weight of physical phenomena. For instance when fermions are dominant a model was proposed in Ref. [18] and further studied in [19]. Solitons in the framework of this model have been found. Other models coming from Thomas-Fermi approximations can be more suitable to describe stationary configurations with large numbers of bosons such as the one used in Ref. [20]. Finally, in this paper we will study a recently proposed model [21,22,23] which includes the dynamics of both the bosonic and fermionic component and is appropriate for describing more general situations, including those with smaller and/or comparable atom numbers described by the equations

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_B(\mathbf{r}, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_B} \Delta + V_B + \frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a_{BB}}{m_B} |\psi_B(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2 \right] \psi_B(\mathbf{r}, \tau), \\ + \frac{2\pi\hbar^2 a_{BF}}{\mu_{BF}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_F} |\phi_{F,j}(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2 \right) \psi_B(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \quad (1a)$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \phi_{F,j}(\mathbf{r}, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_F} \Delta + V_F + \frac{2\pi\hbar^2 a_{BF}}{\mu_{BF}} |\psi_B(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2 \right] \phi_{F,j}(\mathbf{r}, \tau), \quad (1b)$$

where $\phi_{F,j}(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$, $j = 1, \dots, N_F$, with $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and τ being the time in physical units are wavefunctions describing each of the N_F fermions, $\psi_B(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$ is the mean field wavefunction for the bosonic component, a_{ij} , $i, j = B, F$ are the scattering lengths for s -wave collisions between the atoms and $\mu_{BF} = m_B m_F / (m_B + m_F)$. The normalizations are $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi_{F,j}(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2 d\mathbf{r} = 1$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2 d\mathbf{r} = N_B$. Finally, the trapping potential is given by

$$V_{B,F} = \frac{1}{2} m_{B,F} \sum_{j=1,2,3} \omega_j^2 r_j^2. \quad (2)$$

In the situations considered in this paper we will study situations with $\omega_1 \ll \omega_2 = \omega_3 = \omega_\perp$. In that limit, corresponding to strongly transversely confined systems for which system becomes quasi-one dimensional. Then we can use a multiscale expansion of the fully three-dimensional model equations [4] to obtain a one-dimensional version of Eqs. (1), which, in a new set of adimensional units given by $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r}/a_s$ and $t = \tau\omega_\perp$, reads

$$i\partial_t \psi = -\frac{1}{2m_B} \partial_{xx} \psi + V_B \psi + \left(g_{BB} |\psi|^2 + g_{BF} \sum_{j=1}^{N_F} |\phi_j|^2 \right) \psi, \quad (3a)$$

$$i\partial_t \phi_j = -\frac{1}{2m_F} \partial_{xx} \phi_j + V_F \phi_j + g_{BF} |\psi|^2 \phi_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_F, \quad (3b)$$

with The constants g_{BB} , g_{BF} and g_{FF} in Eq. (3) are given by the formulae

$g_{BB} = 2a_{BB}/a_s$, $g_{BF} = 2a_{BF}/a_s\alpha$, $g_{FF} = 2a_{FF}/a_s\alpha^2$, where $\alpha = m_B/m_F$, $a_s = \sqrt{\hbar/(m_B\omega_\perp)}$. The new wavefunctions $\psi(x, t)$, $\phi_j(x, t)$ describe the density variations of the condensate along the less confined dimension (x) and have the same normalization as the old ones, i. e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_j(x, t)|^2 dx = 1$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(x, t)|^2 dx = N_B$

In all the numerical simulations to be shown in this paper we have taken $m_B = 87m_p$ and $m_F = 40m_p$ corresponding to a quantum degenerate mixture of ^{40}K and ^{87}Rb such as the one studied experimentally in [24,25]. Also the trapping frequency $\omega_\perp = 215$ Hz coming from the same references will be taken as typical of relevant experimental situations.

Eqs. (3) have been studied numerically in Ref. [21] and the formation of localized structures containing bosons and fermions has been reported in the particular case in which the interspecies scattering length a_{BF} is negative, which is the case of the ^{40}K - ^{87}Rb mixture [24,25]. In the simpler model consisting of two interacting bosonic species a negative interspecies scattering length has been proven to be able to support stable solitons [26,27]

To our knowledge there have been no theoretical analyses of Eqs. (3) supporting the existence of localized structures. In this paper, we study Eqs. (3) analitically and numerically to provide some insight on the formation of non-linear coherent structures and use it to complement the numerical results of Ref. [21] concerning the formation of soliton trains.

2 Modulational instability

2.1 Analytical results

Let us first consider the case with $V_B = V_F = 0$, then Eqs. (3) have trivial solutions with complex arbitrary constant amplitudes ψ_0^B and ϕ_{0j}^F of the form

$$\psi = \psi_0^B \exp \left[i\theta^B(x, t) \right], \quad (4a)$$

$$\phi_j = \phi_{0j}^F \exp \left[i\theta_j^F(x, t) \right], \quad j = 1, \dots, N_F, \quad (4b)$$

for which we get, after simple algebraic calculations that

$$\theta^B(x, t) = i \left(g_{BB} |\psi_0^B|^2 + g_{BF} |\phi_{0j}^F|^2 \right) t, \quad (5a)$$

$$\theta_j^F(x, t) = i \left(g_{FB} |\phi_{0j}^F|^2 \right) t, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_F, \quad (5b)$$

for arbitrary amplitudes ψ_0^B, ϕ_{0j}^F .

In what follows we will study the modulational instability of these plane-wave solutions. This mechanism has been recognized as the main one leading to the formation of localized structures in the framework of similar models [26,31,32,33,34,35] and even playing a key role in the transition to spatiotemporal chaos in related models with non-conservative terms [36].

Now, we consider small amplitude and phase perturbations, of the form

$$\psi^B = \psi_0^B + \epsilon \psi_1^B(x, t), \quad (6a)$$

$$\theta^B = \theta_0^B(t) + \epsilon \theta_1^B(x, t), \quad (6b)$$

$$\phi_j^F = \phi_{0j}^F + \epsilon \phi_{1j}^F(x, t), \quad j = 1, \dots, N_F, \quad (6c)$$

$$\theta_j^F = \theta_{0j}^F(t) + \epsilon \theta_{1j}^F(x, t), \quad j = 1, \dots, N_F, \quad (6d)$$

The resulting equations for the perturbations are linear and can be solved easily by transforming them to Fourier space, which is equivalent to looking for harmonic perturbations $\psi_1^B, \theta_1^B, \phi_{1j}^F$ and θ_{1a}^F , $j = 1, \dots, N_F$ proportional to $e^{ikx} e^{\Omega(k)t}$. After solving the system, we get the dependence of the growth rate $\Omega(k)$ of the Fourier mode of the perturbation with wavenumber k which is given by

$$\left(\Omega(k)^2 - f_F(k) \right) \left(\Omega(k)^2 - f_B(k) \right) = C(k)^2, \quad (7)$$

where

$$f_B(k) = - \left(2g_{BB} (\psi_0^B)^2 + \frac{k^2}{2} \right) \frac{k^2}{2m_B^2}, \quad (8a)$$

$$f_F(k) = - \frac{k^4}{4m_F^2}, \quad (8b)$$

$$C^2(k) = \frac{1}{m_B m_F} (\psi_0^B)^2 g_{BF}^2 k^4 \sum_{j=1}^{N_F} (\psi_{0j}^F)^2. \quad (8c)$$

Perturbations remain bounded when $\text{Re}[\Omega(k)] \leq 0$. The so-called modulational instability occurs when $\Omega(k)^2 > 0$ for any k . The most unstable wavenumbers are those with small k and a simple analysis of Eq. (7) leads to the condition

$$g_{BF}^2 > 0. \quad (9)$$

Then, for any $g_{BF} \neq 0$, plane waves are modulationally unstable. The physical implications of this condition are that plane waves tend to destabilize and




Fig. 1. (a) Formation of trains of localized waves by modulational instability (MI) of plane wave initial data perturbed with a small noise of amplitude 0.015. Parameter values are $g_{BB} = 0.0056$ and $g_{BF} = -0.0514$, (corresponding to a physical situation with $a_{BB} = 98a_0$, $a_{BF} = -410a_0$, with a_0 the Bohr's radius) $N_B = 300$ and $N_F = 100$, respectively. The solid green line corresponds to the Bosonic component, whereas the dashed red line identifies the Fermionic component. (b) 3D surface plot showing the temporal development of the MI into a train of localized wavepackets.

form domains either with overlapping ($g_{BF} < 0$) or separated components ($g_{BF} > 0$). This is the reason why, in the numerical simulations of Ref. [21] the broad background component splits into a series of domains which we will identify in the following subsection with soliton solutions of the model equations.

The fact that this model does not have modulationally stable solutions is interesting and different from the situation which happens in multicomponent Bose-Einstein condensates in which there is a typically a regime in which coupled plane waves are stable [9,26].

2.2 Numerical results

To verify our results and get more insight on the development of the instability we have solved numerically Eqs. (3) with slightly perturbed plane wave initial data with periodic boundary conditions on the spatial domain $x \in [-30, 30]$ for different parameter values. We have observe this instability in all of our simulations, which confirms our analytical analysis. An example is shown in Fig. 1 where it is also manifest a clear tendency to form trains of localized wavepackets (or periodic waves)

To observe the generation of a few solitons we have taken as initial data the ground state confined in a parabolic trap of unit frequency (in our adimensional units) and weak intercomponent interaction. In this initial situation we




Fig. 2. (a) Density profile of the ground state of a harmonically confined quasi one-dimensional Bose-Fermi mixture for $N_B = 200$ bosons and $N_F = 99$ fermions and $g_{BF} = -0.0038$ (corresponding to an interspecies scattering length of $a_{BF} = -30a_0$). (b) Formation of three vector solitons for $g_{BF} = -0.1253$ (corresponding to $a_{BF} = -1000a_0$). (c) Formation of a single vector soliton for $g_{BF} = -0.0627$ (for $a_{BF} = -500a_0$). The other parameters are taken as in Fig. 1

switch off the trapping potential and simultaneously increase the attractive interaction by changing the inter-species scattering length to a value favoring the formation of solitons.

In Fig. 2 we plot the density profile of the ground state [Fig. 2 (a)] and the outcome after a reduction of the interspecies scattering length to $a_{BF} = -1000a_0$, leading to three localized structures (solitons), [Fig. 2. (b)] and to $a_{BF} = -500a_0$ leading to the formation of a single soliton. [see Fig. 2 (c)].

3 Vector soliton solutions

It is easy to write single-soliton solutions to Eqs. (3). We present a few ones here in the static case, but they can be easily modified to obtain travelling ones.

First, solutions of the form of coupled bright solitons exist when $g_{BF} < 0$, and $0 < g_{BB}m_B + m_F |g_{BF}|$, and their explicit forms are

$$\psi = A \exp(i\omega_B t) \frac{\eta}{\cosh \eta x}, \quad (10a)$$

$$\phi_j = A_j \exp(i\omega_F t) \frac{\eta}{\cosh \eta x}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_F. \quad (10b)$$

with parameters given by

$$A^2 = \frac{1}{m_F |g_{BF}|}, \quad (11a)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_F} A_j^2 = \frac{m_B g_{BB} + m_F |g_{BF}|}{m_B m_F |g_{BF}|^2}, \quad (11b)$$

$$\omega_B = \frac{\eta^2}{2m_B}, \quad \omega_F = \frac{\eta^2}{2m_F}. \quad (11c)$$

This regime corresponds to the numerical experiments of Ref. [21]. Our equations (11) provide analytical profiles for the shapes of the solitons found in that paper. We have checked with our numerical solutions (e.g. those presented in Fig. 2) that the outcome of the modulational instability process corresponds allways to solitons very well described by our equations. Thus, although one could think of other more general vector soliton solutions such as those discussed in Ref. [37] for two-component systems they do not seem to arise naturally by the MI mechanism in our model.

Although the previous case is probably one of the most interesting situations from the point of view of present experimental capabilities we also write here explicitly the form of vector solitons solutions for other scenarios for completeness. For instance bright (bosons)-dark (fermions) solutions to Eqs. (3) can be constructed when $g_{BB} < 0$, $g_{BF} < 0$, $m_F |g_{BF}| < m_B |g_{BB}|$, and are given by

$$\psi = A \exp(i\omega_B t) \frac{\eta}{\cosh \eta x}, \quad (12a)$$

$$\phi_j = A_j \exp(i\omega_j t + i\xi_j x) [\xi_j + i\eta \tanh \eta x], \quad j = 1, \dots, N_F, \quad (12b)$$

where

$$A^2 = \frac{1}{m_F |g_{BF}|}, \quad (13a)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_F} A_j^2 = \frac{m_B |g_{BB}| - m_F |g_{BF}|}{m_B m_F |g_{BF}|^2}, \quad (13b)$$

$$\omega_B = \frac{\eta^2}{2m_B} + |g_{BF}| \sum_{j=1}^{N_F} (\xi_j^2 + \eta^2) A_j^2, \quad (13c)$$

$$\omega_j = -\frac{\xi_j^2}{2m_F} \quad j = 1, \dots, N_F \quad (13d)$$

Other interesting types of vector solitons of Eqs. (3) are coupled dark (bosons)-bright (fermions) solutions, given explicitly by

$$\psi = A \exp(i\omega_B t + i\xi_B x) [\xi_B + i\eta \tanh \eta x], \quad (14a)$$

$$\phi_j = A_j \exp(i\omega_F t) \frac{\eta}{\cosh \eta x}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_F. \quad (14b)$$

This solution exists when $g_{BB} > 0$, $g_{BF} > 0$, and $m_F g_{BF} < m_B g_{BB}$ and the parameters of the solution are given by

$$A^2 = \frac{1}{m_F g_{BF}}, \quad (15a)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_F} A_j^2 = \frac{m_B g_{BB} - m_F g_{BF}}{m_B m_F g_{BF}^2}, \quad (15b)$$

$$\omega_B = -\frac{\xi_B^2}{2m_B} - \frac{g_{BB}}{m_F g_{BF}} (\xi_B^2 + \eta^2), \quad (15c)$$

$$\omega_F = \frac{\eta^2}{2m_F}. \quad (15d)$$

Finally, explicit coupled dark soliton solutions having the form

$$\psi = A \exp(i\omega_B t + i\xi_B x) [\xi_B + i\eta \tanh \eta x], \quad (16a)$$

$$\phi_j = A_j \exp(i\omega_j t + i\xi_j x) [\xi_j + i\eta \tanh \eta x] \quad j = 1, \dots, N_F, \quad (16b)$$

can also be constructed when $0 < g_{BF}$, $m_B g_{BB} < m_F g_{BF}$, the parameters of the solution being

$$A^2 = \frac{1}{m_F g_{BF}}, \quad (17a)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_F} A_j^2 = \frac{m_F g_{BF} - m_B g_{BB}}{m_B m_F g_{BF}^2}, \quad (17b)$$

$$\omega_B = -\frac{\xi_B^2}{2m_B} - \frac{g_{BB}}{m_F g_{BF}} (\xi_B^2 + \eta^2) - g_{BF} \sum_{j=1}^{N_F} (\xi_j^2 + \eta^2) A_j^2, \quad (17c)$$

$$\omega_j = -\frac{1}{m_F} \left(\frac{1}{2} \xi_j^2 + \xi_B^2 + \eta^2 \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, N_F. \quad (17d)$$

This shows that many different types of vector soliton solutions can be obtained in the framework of this model.

4 Quasiperiodic solutions.

4.1 General framework

The multi peaked structures arising in modulational instability of plane waves can be seen as a soliton train but are most likely to be related to the so called periodic solutions in the form of Jacobi elliptic functions. This class of solutions arise in many different related nonlinear wave equations [28,29,30] and we will write here some of them for our model equations (3).

We will look for solutions of Eqs. (3) of the form

$$\psi = \psi_1 \tag{18a}$$

$$\phi_j = A_j \psi_2, \quad j = 1, \dots, N_F, \tag{18b}$$

then, we get

$$i\partial_t \psi_j = -\frac{1}{2m_j} \partial_{xx} \psi_j + \left(\sum_{k=1,2} g_{jk} |\psi_k|^2 \right) \psi_j, \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{19}$$

with $m_1 = m_B, m_2 = m_F$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{BB} & g_{BF} \sum_a |A_a|^2 \\ g_{BF} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{20}$$

This means that we are constructing solutions for the boson-fermion coupled system from those of a simpler two-component case given by Eqs. (19) and (20). In particular we will look for solutions of the form

$$\psi_j = C_j \exp(i\omega_j t), f_j(\xi x) \tag{21}$$

where f_j is one of the cnoidal functions $f_j = \text{sn}, \text{cn}, \text{dn}$ satisfying the ODE

$$f_j'' = (u_j + v_j f_j^2) f_j, \tag{22}$$

and any two different of them are related by

$$p_1 f_1^2 + p_2 f_2^2 = 1, \tag{23}$$

where u_j, v_j and p_j are real numbers.

4.2 Cases with $f_1 = f_2$.

First we consider the situation in which ψ_1 and ψ_2 are proportional, i.e. $f_1 = f_2 \equiv f = \text{sn}, \text{cn}, \text{dn}$. Then, after substituting (21) into Eq. (19) one gets that the dispersion relation reads

$$\omega_j = \frac{\xi^2 u}{2m_j}, \quad (24)$$

and the amplitudes are given by the equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_1^2 \\ C_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\xi^2 v}{2g_{12}g_{21}} \begin{pmatrix} g_{12}/m_2 \\ g_{21}/m_1 - g_{11}/m_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (25)$$

where $u = u_1 = u_2$ and $v = v_1 = v_2$. There are different subcases depending on the particular choice of f . Taking $f = \text{sn}(\xi x, k)$ we get

$$u = -(1 + k^2), v = 2k^2. \quad (26a)$$

This solution exists if

$$g_{BF} > 0, \quad m_B g_{BB} < m_F g_{BF}. \quad (26b)$$

Next we consider $f = \text{cn}(\xi x, k)$ for which we get

$$u = 2k^2 - 1, v = -2k^2, \quad (27a)$$

which exists provided

$$g_{BF} < 0, \quad m_B g_{BB} > m_F g_{BF}. \quad (27b)$$

The last possibility with $f_1 = f_2$ corresponds to $f = \text{dn}(\xi x, k)$, its dispersion relation being ruled by

$$u = 2 - k^2, v = -2. \quad (28a)$$

This solution exists if

$$g_{BF} < 0, \quad m_B g_{BB} > m_F g_{BF}. \quad (28b)$$

4.3 Cases with $f_1 \neq f_2$.

In the more general situation $f_1 \neq f_2$ we have a larger variety of combinations. In what follows we write the explicit formulae for those situations. First, after

substituting (21) into Eq. (19) we get the dispersion relations,

$$\omega_j = \frac{\xi^2}{2m_j} \left(u_j + \frac{v_j}{p_j} \right) - \frac{g_{jj}C_j^2}{p_j}, \quad (29a)$$

while the amplitudes are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_1^2 \\ C_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\xi^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_{12}p_1/p_2 \\ g_{21}p_2/p_1 & g_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1/m_1 \\ v_2/m_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (29b)$$

Now our task is to analyze the different possible combinations of sn-, cn- and dn-functions.

4.3.1 Case $f_1 = \text{sn}(\xi x, k)$, $f_2 = \text{cn}(\xi x, k)$.

The parameters in the dispersion relation are given by

$$v_1 = 2k^2, \quad v_2 = -2k^2, \quad p_1 = p_2 = 1. \quad (30a)$$

The amplitudes of the solutions satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_1^2 \\ C_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\xi^2 k^2}{g_{12}g_{21}} \begin{pmatrix} g_{12}/m_2 \\ g_{11}/m_2 - g_{21}/m_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (30b)$$

This solution exists if

$$g_{BF} > 0, \quad m_B g_{BB} > m_F g_{BF}. \quad (30c)$$

4.3.2 Case $f_1 = \text{sn}(\xi x, k)$, $f_2 = \text{dn}(\xi x, k)$.

The parameters in the dispersion relation are given by

$$v_1 = 2k^2, \quad v_2 = -2, \quad p_1 = k^2, \quad p_2 = 1. \quad (31a)$$

The amplitudes of the solutions satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_1^2 \\ C_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\xi^2}{g_{12}g_{21}} \begin{pmatrix} g_{12}k^2/m_2 \\ g_{11}/m_2 - g_{21}/m_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31b)$$

This solution exists if

$$g_{BF} > 0, \quad m_B g_{BB} > m_F g_{BF}. \quad (31c)$$

4.3.3 Case $f_1 = cn(\xi x, k)$, $f_2 = sn(\xi x, k)$.

The parameters in the dispersion relation are given by

$$v_1 = -2k^2, \quad v_2 = 2k^2, \quad p_1 = p_2 = 1. \quad (32a)$$

The amplitudes of the solutions satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_1^2 \\ C_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\xi^2 k^2}{g_{12}g_{21}} \begin{pmatrix} -g_{12}/m_2 \\ -g_{11}/m_2 + g_{21}/m_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (32b)$$

This solution exists if

$$g_{BF} < 0, \quad g_{BB} < 0, \quad m_B |g_{BB}| > m_F |g_{BF}| \quad (32c)$$

4.3.4 Case $f_1 = cn(\xi x, k)$, $f_2 = dn(\xi x, k)$.

The parameters in the dispersion relation are given by

$$v_1 = -2k^2, \quad v_2 = -2, \quad p_1 = -\frac{k^2}{1-k^2}, \quad p_2 = \frac{1}{1-k^2}, \quad (33a)$$

The amplitudes of the solutions satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_1^2 \\ C_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\xi^2}{g_{12}g_{21}} \begin{pmatrix} -g_{12}k^2/m_2 \\ g_{11}/m_2 - g_{21}/m_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (33b)$$

This solution exists if

$$g_{BF} < 0, \quad m_B g_{BB} > -m_F |g_{BF}| \quad (33c)$$

4.3.5 Case $f_1 = dn(\xi x, k)$, $f_2 = sn(\xi x, k)$.

The parameters in the dispersion relation are given by

$$v_1 = -2, \quad v_2 = 2k^2, \quad p_1 = 1, \quad p_2 = k^2. \quad (34a)$$

The amplitudes of the solutions satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_1^2 \\ C_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\xi^2}{g_{12}g_{21}} \begin{pmatrix} -g_{12}/m_2 \\ k^2 (g_{21}/m_1 - g_{11}/m_2) \end{pmatrix} \quad (34b)$$

This solution exists if

$$g_{BF} < 0, \quad g_{BB} < 0, \quad m_B |g_{BB}| > m_F |g_{BF}| \quad (34c)$$

$f_1 = f_2$:			
g_{BF}	g_{BB}	f_1	f_2
$g_{BF} > 0$	$m_B g_{BB} < m_F g_{BF}$	sn	sn
$g_{BF} < 0$	$m_B g_{BB} > m_F g_{BF}$	cn dn	cn dn
$f_1 \neq f_2$:			
g_{BF}	g_{BB}	f_1	f_2
$g_{BF} > 0$	$m_B g_{BB} > m_F g_{BF}$	sn sn	cn dn
$g_{BF} < 0$	$g_{BB} < 0, \quad m_B g_{BB} > m_F g_{BF} $	cn dn	sn sn
$g_{BF} < 0$	$m_B g_{BB} > -m_F g_{BF} $	cn dn	dn cn

Table 1

Summary of exact solutions in form of coupled cnoidal waves to Eqs. (3) with (18).

4.3.6 Case $f_1 = dn(\xi x, k)$, $f_2 = cn(\xi x, k)$.

The parameters in the dispersion relation are given by

$$v_1 = -2, \quad v_2 = -2k^2, \quad p_1 = \frac{1}{1 - k^2}, \quad p_2 = -\frac{k^2}{1 - k^2}. \quad (35a)$$

The amplitudes of the solutions satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_1^2 \\ C_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\xi^2}{g_{12}g_{21}} \begin{pmatrix} -g_{12}/m_2 \\ k^2 (g_{11}/m_2 - g_{21}/m_1) \end{pmatrix} \quad (35b)$$

This solution exists if

$$g_{BF} < 0, \quad m_B g_{BB} > -m_F |g_{BF}|. \quad (35c)$$

4.4 Summary

Table 1 lists the different possible combinations found in the previous subsections

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have studied a mean field model proposed to model the dynamics of Boson-Fermion mixtures. We have found that plane waves are allways modulationally unstable in this model and have shown by means of numerical simulations how their destabilization gives rise to structures similar to periodic waves. We have also studied numerically the destabilization of the ground state of the system and the generation of individual solitons. The explicit form of these solitons have been obtained for the case at hand and for other possible combinations. Many of these soliton solutions of our model equations can be observed in future experiments with Boson-Fermion mixtures. Finally, many explicit solutions in the form of periodic waves (sn,cn and dn functions) have been constructed.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by grants: BFM2003-02832 (Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain) and PAI-05-001 (Consejería de Educación y Ciencia de la Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha, Spain). We want to acknowledge V. Konotop for discussions.

References

- [1] S. Burger, K. Bongs, S. Dettmer, W. Ertmer, K. Sengstock, A. Sanpera, G.V. Shlyapnikov, and M. Lewenstein, Dark Solitons in Bose-Einstein Condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 5198 (1999).
- [2] J. Denschlag, J. E. Simsarian, D. L. Feder, C. W. Clark, L. A. Collins, J. Cubizolles, L. Deng, E. W. Hagley, K. Helmerson, W. P. Reinhardt, S. L. Rolston, B. I. Schneider, W. D. Phillips, Generating Solitons by Phase Engineering of a Bose-Einstein Condensate, *Science*, **287**, 97 (2000).
- [3] T. Tsurumi and M. Wadati, Soliton Propagation in a Bose-Einstein Condensate, *Jour. of the Phys. Soc. of Japan* **67** (1998) 2294-2299.
- [4] V. M. Pérez-García, H. Michinel, H. Herrero, Bose-Einstein solitons in highly asymmetric traps, *Phys. Rev. A* **57** 3837 (1998).
- [5] G.B. Partridge, A.G. Truscott, and R.G. Hulet, Formation and propagation of matter-wave soliton trains, *Nature* **417**, 150 (2002).
- [6] L. Khaykovich, F. Schreck, G. Ferrari, T. Bourdel, J. Cubizolles, L. D. Carr, Y. Castin, C. Salomon, Formation of a matter-wave bright soliton, *Science* **296**, 1290 (2002).

- [7] B. Eiermann, Th. Anker, M. Albiez, M. Taglieber, P. Treutlein, K.-P. Marzlin, and M. K. Oberthaler, Bright Bose-Einstein Gap Solitons of Atoms with Repulsive Interaction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 230401 (2004).
- [8] S. Coen, and M. Haelterman, Domain Wall Solitons in Binary Mixtures of Bose-Einstein Condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 140401 (2001).
- [9] K. Kasamatsu, and M. Tsubota, Multiple Domain Formation Induced by Modulation Instability in Two-Component Bose-Einstein Condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 100402 (2004).
- [10] B. P. Anderson, P. C. Haljan, C. A. Regal, D. L. Feder, L. A. Collins, C. W. Clark, and E. A. Cornell, Watching Dark Solitons Decay into Vortex Rings in a Bose-Einstein Condensate, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 2926 (2001).
- [11] Th. Busch and J. Anglin, Dark-Bright Solitons in Inhomogeneous Bose-Einstein Condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 010401 (2001).
- [12] H. Saito, and M. Ueda, Dynamically Stabilized Bright Solitons in a Two-Dimensional Bose-Einstein Condensate, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 040403 (2003).
- [13] F. Kh. Abdullaev, J. G. Caputo, R. A. Kraenkel, and B. A. Malomed, Controlling collapse in Bose-Einstein condensates by temporal modulation of the scattering length, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 013605 (2003).
- [14] G. D. Montesinos, V. M. Pérez-García, P. J. Torres, Stabilization of solitons of the multidimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation: matter-wave breathers, *Physica D* **191** (2004) 193.
- [15] I. Kulikov and M. Zak, Shock waves in a Bose-Einstein condensate, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 063605 (2003).
- [16] V. M. Pérez-García, V. Konotop, and V. Braznyi, Feshbach resonance induced shock waves in Bose-Einstein Condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** 220403 (2004).
- [17] V. A. Braznyi, A. M. Kamchatnov, and V. V. Konotop, Hydrodynamic flow of expanding Bose-Einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 035603 (2003).
- [18] T. Tsurumi and M. Wadati, Dynamics of Magnetically Trapped Boson-Fermion Mixtures, *Jour. Phys. Soc. of Japan* **69** (2000) 97-103.
- [19] J. Santhanam, V. M. Kenkre, V. V. Konotop, Solitons of Bose-Fermi mixtures in a strongly elongated trap, *cond-mat/0511206*.
- [20] S. K. Adhikari, Fermionic bright soliton in a boson-fermion mixture, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 053608 (2005)
- [21] T. Karpiuk, M. Brewczyk, S. Ospelkaus-Schwarzer, K. Bongs, M. Gajda and K. Rzazewski, Soliton Trains in Bose-Fermi Mixtures, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 100401 (2004).
- [22] M. Salerno, Matter-wave quantum dots and antidots in ultracold atomic Bose-Fermi mixtures, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 063602 (2005).

- [23] T. Maruyama, H. Yabu, T. Suzuki, Monopole oscillations and dampings in Boson and Fermion mixture in the time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii and Vlasov equations, `cond-mat/0505357`.
- [24] G. Roati, F. Riboli, G. Modugno, and M. Inguscio, Fermi-Bose Quantum Degenerate ^{40}K - ^{87}Rb Mixture with Attractive Interaction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 150403 (2002).
- [25] J. Goldwin, S. Inouye, M.L Olsen, B. Newman, B.D. DePaola, and D.S. Jin, Measurement of the interaction strength in a Bose-Fermi mixture with ^{87}Rb and ^{40}K , *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 021601(R) (2004).
- [26] V. M. Pérez-García and J. Belmonte-Beitia, Symbiotic solitons in heteronuclear multicomponent Bose-Einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 033620 (2005).
- [27] S. K. Adhikari, Bright solitons in coupled defocusing NLS equation supported by coupling: Application to Bose-Einstein condensation, *Physics Letters A* **346** (2005) 179185.
- [28] G. Xu, Z. Li, On the Painleve integrability, periodic wave solutions and soliton solutions of generalized coupled higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **26** (2005) 1363-1375
- [29] A-M. Wazwaz, Compactons, solitons and periodic solutions for some forms of nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, **28** (2006) 1005-1013.
- [30] C. Dai, J. Zhang, Jacobian elliptic function method for nonlinear differential-difference equations, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **27** (2006) 1042-1047.
- [31] L. Li, Z. Li, B. A. Malomed, D. Mihalache, and W. M. Liu, Exact soliton solutions and nonlinear modulation instability in spinor Bose-Einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 033611 (2005).
- [32] T. S. Raju, P. K. Panigrahi, and K. Porsezian, Modulational instability of two-component Bose-Einstein condensates in a quasi-one-dimensional geometry, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 035601 (2005).
- [33] G.-R. Jin, C. Koo Kim, and K. Nahm, Modulational instability of two-component Bose-Einstein condensates in an optical lattice, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 045601 (2005).
- [34] L. D. Carr and J. Brand, Spontaneous Soliton Formation and Modulational Instability in Bose-Einstein Condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 040401 (2004).
- [35] J.-K. Xue, Modulational instability of the trapped BoseEinstein condensates, *Physics Letters A*, **341** (2005) 527-531.
- [36] A. Mohamadou, A. Kenfack-Jiotsa, T. C. Kofané, Modulational instability and spatiotemporal transition to chaos, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **27** (2006) 914-925.
- [37] D. J. Kaup, B. A. Malomed, and R. S. Tasgal, Internal dynamics of a vector soliton, *Phys. Rev. E* **48**, 3049-3053 (1993)