# Combinatorial Bethe ansatz and ultradiscrete Riemann theta function with rational characteristics 


#### Abstract

The $U_{q}\left(\widehat{s l}_{2}\right)$ vertex model at $q=0$ with periodic boundary condition is an integrable cellular automaton in one-dimension. By the combinatorial Bethe ansatz, the initial value problem is solved for arbitrary states in terms of an ultradiscrete analogue of the Riemann theta function with rational characteristics.


## 1. Introduction

Solvable vertex models [2] associated with a quantum affine algebra $U_{q}$ yield completely integrable one-dimensional cellular automata at $q=0$ [9, 8, 6]. The simplest case of $U_{q}\left(\widehat{s l_{2}}\right)$ with periodic boundary condition is known as the periodic box-ball system [17, 22. Here is an example of the time evolution pattern:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t=0: & 111222221111222222211111111111111112222111211 \\
t=1: & 111111112222111111112222222221111111111222122 \\
t=2: & 2222111111111222211111111111112222222221111211 \\
t=3: & 111122222222111122221111111111111111112222122 \\
t=4: & 222211111111222211112222222221111111111111211 \\
t=5: & 111122221111111122221111111112222222221111121 \\
t=6: & 222211112222111111112222111111111111112222212 \\
t=7: & 111122221111222222221111222221111111111111121 \\
t=8: & 11111111222211111111222211111222222222111112 \\
t=9: & 222211111111222211111111222211111111112222221
\end{array}
$$

The dynamics is described as a motion of balls (letter 2) hopping to the right along the periodical array of boxes. The fusion transfer matrices $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots$ in the $q=0$ vertex model give rise to a commuting family of deterministic time evolutions. The above example is the evolution under $T_{9}$.

In [17], the initial value problem of the periodic box-ball system is solved by an inverse scattering method. It synthesizes the combinatorial versions of the Bethe ansatz [3] at $q=1[13]$ and $q=0$ [14]. The action-angle variables are constructed from the rigged configurations $(q=1)$ by imposing a certain equivalence relations specified by the string center equation $(q=0)$. The time evolution $T_{l}^{t}(p)$ of any state $p$ is obtained by an explicit algorithm whose computational steps are independent of $t$.

The Bethe ansatz approach [17] captures several characteristic features in the quasi-periodic solutions of soliton equations [4, 5, 11, It generalizes the connection between the original box-ball system on infinite lattice [20] and soliton solutions via the ultradiscretization [21] to a periodic setting. For instance, the nonlinear dynamics of the periodic box-ball system becomes a straight motion of the Bethe roots (angle variable) which live in an ultradiscrete analogue (4.1) of the Jacobi variety.

[^0]In this paper we express the algorithmic solution of the initial value problem [17] by an explicit formula involving the ultradiscretization of the Riemann theta function with rational characteristics $\left(\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{g}, \mathbf{a} \in(\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z})^{g}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{z}) & =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} \epsilon \log \vartheta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{z}) \\
& =-\min _{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}}\left\{{ }^{t}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{a}) \Omega(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{a}) / 2+{ }^{t}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{z}\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\vartheta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{z})$ is the Riemann theta function [19] with a pure imaginary period matrix:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{z})=\sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}} \exp \left(-\frac{{ }^{t}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{a}) \Omega(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{a}) / 2+{ }^{t}(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{z}}{\epsilon}\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Omega$ is a symmetric positive definite integer matrix (5.1). The function $\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{z})$ enjoys the quasi-periodicity analogous to the Riemann theta function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{v})=\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{z})+{ }^{t} \mathbf{v} \Omega^{-1}(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{v} / 2) \quad \text { for any } \quad \mathbf{v} \in \Omega \mathbb{Z}^{g} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main Theorem5.1 covers all the states in the periodic box-ball system, which generalizes the earlier result [15] on those states in which the amplitudes of solitons were assumed to be distinct. The theorem is derived from the recently obtained piecewise linear formula [16] for the Kerov-Kirillov-Reshetikhin (KKR) bijection [13] from rigged configurations to highest paths. It involves the ultradiscretization of the tau function for the KP hierarchy [10. A state $p$ of the periodic box-ball system is effectively treated as the infinite system $p \otimes p \otimes p \otimes \cdots$, and the ultradiscrete tau function for such states turns out to be expressible in terms of $\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{z})$ as in (5.5).

In section 2 we define the periodic box-ball system. In section 3 various data in the combinatorial Bethe ansatz at $q=1$ [13] and $q=0$ [14] are introduced. In section 4 we recall the solution of the initial value problem by the inverse scattering transform. In section 5 we present our main Theorem 5.1 in this paper.

## 2. Periodic box-ball system

Let us recall the periodic box-ball system without getting much into the crystal base theory [12. For a positive integer $l$, let $B_{l}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{2} \mid x_{1}+x_{2}=l\right\}$ and set $u_{l}=(l, 0) \in B_{l}$. The element $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ will also be denoted by the sequence $\overbrace{1 \ldots 1}^{x_{1}} \overbrace{2 \ldots 2}^{x_{2}}$, which is the semistandard Young tableau (without a frame) containing the letter $i x_{i}$ times. In this notation $u_{l}=\overbrace{1 \ldots 1}^{l}$, and the two elements $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ in $B_{1}$ are simply written as 1 and 2 , respectively. In the following, the symbol $\otimes$ meaning the tensor product of crystals can just be understood as a product of sets. Define the map $R: B_{l} \otimes B_{1} \rightarrow B_{1} \otimes B_{l}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \otimes 1 \mapsto \begin{cases}1 \otimes(l, 0) & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(l, 0) \\
2 \otimes\left(x_{1}+1, x_{2}-1\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& \left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \otimes 2 \mapsto \begin{cases}2 \otimes(0, l) & \text { if }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(0, l) \\
1 \otimes\left(x_{1}-1, x_{2}+1\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$R$ is a bijection and called the combinatorial $R$. We write the relation $R(u \otimes b)=$ $b^{\prime} \otimes u^{\prime}$ simply as $u \otimes b \simeq b^{\prime} \otimes u^{\prime}$, and similarly for any consequent relation of the form $a \otimes u \otimes b \otimes c \simeq a \otimes b^{\prime} \otimes u^{\prime} \otimes c$.

A state of the periodic box-ball system is an array of 1 and 2 , which is regarded as an element $b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{L} \in B_{1}^{\otimes L}$ with $L$ being the system size. Let the number of $2 \in B_{1}$ appearing in $b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{L}$ be $N$. We assume $L \geq 2 N$. The other case can be reduced to this case by the exchange $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ as explained in $\S 3.3$ of [17]. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of such states. Then the time evolution $T_{l}: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{l} \otimes p \simeq p^{*} \otimes v_{l}, \quad v_{l} \otimes p \simeq T_{l}(p) \otimes v_{l} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the first relation, one applies the combinatorial $R L$ times to carry $u_{l}$ through $p \in \mathcal{P}$ to the right. It determines $v_{l} \in B_{l}$ and $p^{*} \in \mathcal{P}$ uniquely. ( $p^{*}$ will play no role in the sequel.) Then the second relation using the so obtained $v_{l}$ specifies $T_{l}(p)$, where the appearance of the same $v_{l}$ in the right hand side is a non-trivial claim (17] (2.10)). $v_{l}$ is dependent on $p$ as opposed to $u_{l}$.

The combinatorial $R$ is the identity map on $B_{1} \otimes B_{1}$, therefore $T_{1}$ is just the cyclic shift $T_{1}\left(b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{L}\right)=b_{L} \otimes b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{L-1}$. The commutativity $T_{l} T_{k}=T_{k} T_{l}$ holds for any $k, l$ ([17] Theorem 2.2).

Example 2.1. We depict the relation $R(u \otimes b)=b^{\prime} \otimes u^{\prime}$ by a vertex diagram:


Then for $p=1121221 \in B_{1}^{\otimes 7}($ symbol $\otimes$ omitted $)$, one has $v_{2}=(1,1)=12 \in B_{2}$ from (2.1). The time evolution $T_{2}(1121221)$ is obtained by the composition of the vertex diagrams

leading to $T_{2}(1121221)=2112112$.

## 3. Combinatorial Bethe ansatz data

Let $\mathcal{P}_{+}$be the subset of $\mathcal{P}$ consisting of the $s l_{2}$-highest states. Namely, $\mathcal{P}_{+}=\{p \in$ $\left.\mathcal{P} \mid \tilde{e}_{1} p=0\right\}$, where $\tilde{e}_{1}$ is a Kashiwara operator [12]. In practice, $p=b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{L}$ is highest if and only if $b_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{k}$ has nonnegative weights for any $1 \leq k \leq L$.

According to the combinatorial Bethe ansatz at $q=1$ [13], there is one to one correspondence between $\mathcal{P}_{+}$and the set of the objects called the rigged configurations. We let $\phi\left(p_{+}\right)=(\mu, J)$ denote the Kerov-Kirillov-Reshetikhin (KKR) bijection. Here $p_{+}$is highest state and the right hand side is a rigged configuration. The data $\mu$, called a configurations, is just a Young diagram for a partition of $N$, for which $N \leq L / 2$ is assumed.

In what follows, we let $\mathbb{I}=\left\{i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{g}\right\}$ be the set of distinct lengths of rows of $\mu$, and the multiplicity of the length $i(\in \mathbb{I})$ rows by $m_{i}(\geq 1)$. In terms of the non-increasing array of parts we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\left(i_{g}^{m_{i_{g}}} \ldots i_{1}^{m_{i_{1}}}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, a configuration $\mu$ is equivalent with the data $\mathbb{I}$ and $m_{i_{1}}, \ldots, m_{i_{g}}$.
To each row of $\mu$, there is assigned an nonnegative integer called a rigging, which is represented by the symbol $J$ in $(\mu, J)$. See Example 5.2, Let $J_{i, 1}, \ldots, J_{i, m_{i}}$ be the ones assigned to the $m_{i}$ rows of length $i$ in $\mu$ from the bottom to the top. The data
$(\mu, J)$ with $J=\left\{\left(J_{i, \alpha}\right) \mid i \in \mathbb{I}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq m_{i}\right\}$ will be called a rigged configuration if $0 \leq J_{i, 1} \leq \cdots \leq J_{i, m_{i}} \leq p_{i}$ holds for each $i \in \mathbb{I}$. Here $p_{i}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=L-2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \min (i, j) m_{j} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is nonnegative if $\mu$ is a configuration.
We introduce the index set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbb{I}}=\left\{(i, \alpha) \mid i \in \mathbb{I}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq m_{i}\right\}, \quad \gamma=|\tilde{\mathbb{I}}|=m_{i_{1}}+\cdots+m_{i_{g}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

labeling the rows of $\mu$. The following vectors and matrix whose components are labeled with $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}$ will be used:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{j} & =(\min (i, j))_{(i, \alpha) \in \tilde{\mathbb{I}}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\gamma} \quad(j \in \mathbb{I})  \tag{3.4}\\
\tilde{\mathbf{J}} & =\left(J_{i, \alpha}+\alpha-1\right)_{(i, \alpha) \in \tilde{\mathbb{I}}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\gamma}  \tag{3.5}\\
A & =\left(A_{i \alpha, j \beta}\right)_{(i, \alpha),(j, \beta) \in \tilde{\mathbb{I}}}, \quad A_{i \alpha, j \beta}=\delta_{i, j} \delta_{\alpha, \beta}\left(p_{i}+m_{i}\right)+2 \min (i, j)-\delta_{i, j} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We also introduce the vectors and matrices whose indices range over the previously introduced set $\mathbb{I}=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{g}\right\}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{h}_{j} & =(\min (i, j))_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}  \tag{3.7}\\
\mathbf{p} & =\left(p_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{I}}=L \mathbf{h}_{1}-2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} m_{j} \mathbf{h}_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}  \tag{3.8}\\
\mathbf{J} & =\left(J_{i, 1}+\cdots+J_{i, m_{i}}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}  \tag{3.9}\\
F & =\left(F_{i, j}\right)_{i, j \in \mathbb{I}}, \quad F_{i, j}=\sum_{\beta=1}^{m_{j}} A_{i \alpha, j \beta}=\delta_{i j} p_{i}+2 \min (i, j) m_{j}  \tag{3.10}\\
M & =\operatorname{diag}\left(m_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The matrices $A, F$ were introduced in the study of $q=0$ Bethe equation [14. In particular, $A$ is the coefficient matrix of the linearized Bethe equation (string center equation), which is known to be positive definite. The result of the sum in (3.10) is independent of $\alpha$.

## 4. Action-Angle variable and inverse scattering transform

Any state $p \in \mathcal{P}$ can be made highest by applying the cyclic shift appropriately. Namely, one can express $p$ as $p=T_{1}^{d}\left(p_{+}\right)$with some integer $d$ and highest state $p_{+} \in \mathcal{P}_{+}$. Although the choice of $d$ and $p_{+}$is not unique, the configuration of $\phi\left(p_{+}\right)$is unique. The set of states is decomposed according to the configuration of $p_{+}$as $\mathcal{P}=\sqcup_{\mu} \mathcal{P}(\mu)$. We call $\mu$ the action variable. It is a conserved quantity ([17] Lemma C.3, Corollary 3.5), therefore each subset $\mathcal{P}(\mu)$ is invariant under any time evolution $T_{l}$. The action variable $\mu$ is equivalent with the data $\mathbb{I}=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{g}\right\}$ and $\left\{m_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{I}\right\}$ via (3.1). In the periodic box-ball system, $\mathbb{I}$ is the list of amplitudes of solitons and $m_{i}$ is the number of solitons with amplitude $i$.

Given an action variable $\mu$, the set of angle variable is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}(\mu)=\left(\mathcal{I}_{m_{i_{1}}} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{I}_{m_{i_{g}}}\right) / \Gamma, \quad \Gamma=A \mathbb{Z}^{\gamma} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{n}=\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}-\Delta_{n}\right) / \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ is the $n$-dimensional lattice without the "diagonal points" $\Delta_{n}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \mid z_{\alpha}=z_{\beta}\right.$ for some $\left.1 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq n\right\}$ divided by the action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$. Plainly, it is the set of array of $n$ distinct
integers whose ordering does not matter. In the sequel, elements in $\mathcal{I}_{m_{i_{1}}} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{I}_{m_{i_{g}}}$ and its image in $\mathcal{J}(\mu)$ will be denoted by the same symbol. The set $\mathcal{J}(\mu)$ is finite and its cardinality is given by [14, 17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{J}(\mu)|=(\operatorname{det} F) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \frac{1}{m_{i}}\binom{p_{i}+m_{i}-1}{m_{i}-1}=\frac{L}{p_{i_{g}}} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}}\binom{p_{i}+m_{i}-1}{m_{i}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a positive integer if $\mu$ is a configuration. (When $|\mu|=L / 2$, we have $p_{i_{g}}=0$. In this case, the factor $\frac{L}{p_{i_{g}}}\binom{p_{i_{g}}+m_{i_{g}}-1}{m_{i_{g}}}$ is to be understood as $\frac{L}{m_{i_{g}}}$.) The formula (4.2) tells the number of states containing $m_{i}$ solitons with amplitude $i$. The completeness of soliton states is known in the sense that $\sum_{\mu}|\mathcal{J}(\mu)|=\binom{L}{N}$ for $0 \leq N \leq L / 2$, where the sum extends over those $m_{i}$ such that $\sum_{i} i m_{i}=N$ ([14), Theorems 3.5 and 4.9). The states in section 1 have the length $L=45$ and $\mu$ depicted in Example 5.2. For this $\mu$ and $L$, the formula (4.2) tells $|\mathcal{J}(\mu)|=316350$.

Let us specify the angle variable for a given state $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mu)$. Suppose $p$ is expressed as $p=T_{1}^{d}\left(p_{+}\right)$in terms of a highest state $p_{+}$and the cyclic shift $T_{1}$. Let the image of the KKR bijection be $\phi\left(p_{+}\right)=(\mu, J)$. From the configuration $\mu$ we know $\mathbb{I}=\left\{i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{g}\right\}$ and $m_{i_{1}}, \ldots, m_{i_{g}}$ by (3.1). Then the angle variable is found by applying the definitions (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) to $J=\left(J_{i, \alpha}\right)_{(i, \alpha) \in \tilde{\mathbb{I}}}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi: \mathcal{P}(\mu) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{P}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}(\mu) \\
p & \longmapsto\left(d, p_{+}\right) \longmapsto\left(\tilde{\mathbf{J}}+d \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1}\right) / \Gamma . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Due to the shift $+\alpha-1$ in (3.5) and the rigging condition $0 \leq J_{i, 1} \leq \cdots \leq J_{i, m_{i}} \leq p_{i}$, it follows that the components of $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ hence $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}+d \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1}$ within each block labeled by $i \in \mathbb{I}$ are distinct. In (4.3) we regard the $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}+d \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1}$ as a representative of an element in $\mathcal{J}(\mu)$. The non-uniqueness of $d, p_{+}$is cancelled by $\bmod \Gamma$ making $\Phi$ well-defined (17] Proposition 3.7).

Theorem 4.1 ([17] Theorem 3.12). $\Phi$ is a bijection and the following diagram is commutative.


Here the time evolution on $\mathcal{J}(\mu)$ is defined by $T_{l}(\tilde{\mathbf{J}})=\tilde{\mathbf{J}}+\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{l}$.

The composition $\Phi^{-1} \circ T_{l} \circ \Phi$ achieves the solution of the initial value problem by the inverse scattering transform. Namely, $\Phi^{ \pm 1}$ is the direct/inverse scattering map which transforms the dynamics $T_{l}$ of the periodic box-ball system into the straight motion on $\mathcal{J}(\mu)$ with the velocity $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{l}$. In this sense, $\mathcal{J}(\mu)$ serves as an ultradiscrete analogue of the Jacobi variety in quasi-periodic solutions to soliton equations [4, 5, 11].

By using Theorem 4.1 a closed formula for the fundamental period, i.e., the smallest positive integer such that $T_{l}^{\mathcal{N}}(p)=p$ for any $l$ and $p$ has been obtained in Theorem 4.9 of [17, which supplements a recursive description of the $l=\infty$ case [22]. The states in section 1 have the fundamental period 3515. See also [18] for a generalization to $A_{n}^{(1)}$ based on the Bethe eigenvalue at $q=0$.

We note that the time evolution of the angle variable $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ (3.5) leads to the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{l}(\mathbf{J})=\mathbf{J}+M \mathbf{h}_{l} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the "bundled" angle variable $\mathbf{J}$ (3.9), where $M$ is defined by (3.11).

## 5. EXPLICIT FORMULA FOR THE SOLUTION OF THE INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM

Fix the action variable $\mu$ (3.1) and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=M F=\left(\Omega_{i, j}\right)_{i, j \in \mathbb{I}}, \quad \Omega_{i, j}=\delta_{i j} p_{i} m_{i}+2 \min (i, j) m_{i} m_{j} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is defined in (3.11). $\Omega$ is a positive definite symmetric integer matrix which will play the role of the period matrix. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \mathbf{h}_{1}=L M \mathbf{h}_{1} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition to the ultradiscrete Riemann theta function $\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{z})$ in (1.1), we need $\chi(\mathbf{s} ; \tilde{\mathbf{I}})$. It is a function of $\mathbf{s}=\left(s_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}$ as well as $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}=\left(I_{i, \alpha}+\alpha-1\right)_{(i, \alpha) \in \tilde{\mathbb{I}}} \in$ $\mathcal{I}_{m_{i_{1}}} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{I}_{m_{i_{g}}}$ that takes rational values:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi(\mathbf{s} ; \tilde{\mathbf{I}})=\chi\left(\mathbf{s}^{\prime} ; \tilde{\mathbf{I}}\right) \text { if } \mathbf{s} \equiv \mathbf{s}^{\prime} \bmod M \mathbb{Z}^{g},  \tag{5.3}\\
& \chi(\mathbf{s} ; \tilde{\mathbf{I}})=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \frac{1}{m_{i}} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq s_{i}<\beta \leq m_{i}}\left(I_{i, \beta}-I_{i, \alpha}-\frac{p_{i}}{2}\right)  \tag{5.4}\\
& \quad \text { if } 0 \leq s_{i}<m_{i}, \quad I_{i, 1} \leq \cdots \leq I_{i, m_{i}} \text { for all } i \in \mathbb{I} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here the second sum in (5.4) extends over the pairs $(\alpha, \beta)$. In particular, $\chi(\mathbf{0} ; \tilde{\mathbf{I}})=0$. The condition $I_{i, 1} \leq \cdots \leq I_{i, m_{i}}$ is needed to cope with the $\mathfrak{S}_{m_{i}}$ symmetry in $\mathcal{I}_{m_{i}}$.

Given $\mathbf{I} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}=\left(I_{i, \alpha}+\alpha-1\right)_{(i, \alpha) \in \tilde{\mathbb{I}}} \in \mathcal{I}_{m_{i_{1}}} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{I}_{m_{i_{g}}}$, we define the ultradiscrete tau function by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{r}(k)=\max _{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g} / M \mathbb{Z}^{g}}\left\{\Theta_{M^{-1} \mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbf{I}+M\left(r \mathbf{h}_{\infty}-k \mathbf{h}_{1}-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}\right)\right)+\chi(\mathbf{s} ; \tilde{\mathbf{I}})\right\} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in\{0,1\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here $\mathbf{h}_{j}, \mathbf{p}$ and $M$ are those in (3.7), (3.8) and (3.11).
Let us specify $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}$ and $\mathbf{I}$ in (5.5) from a given state $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mu)$. As for $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}$, it is taken to be the angle variable of $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mu)$ according to (4.3), and $\mathbf{I}$ is determined from it as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\mathbf{I}}=\Phi(p)=\left(I_{i, \alpha}+\alpha-1\right)_{(i, \alpha) \in \tilde{\mathbb{I}}} \in \mathcal{J}(\mu),  \tag{5.6}\\
& \mathbf{I}=\left(I_{i, 1}+\cdots+I_{i, m_{i}}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g} / F \mathbb{Z}^{g} . \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the same relation as (3.5) and (3.9). Note that the elements $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}$ and $\mathbf{I}$ are defined $\bmod \Gamma=A \mathbb{Z}^{\gamma}$ and $\bmod F \mathbb{Z}^{g}$, respectively, which is consistent with the relation (3.10) between $A$ and $F$. Now we state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 5.1. The state $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mu)$ corresponding to the action variable $\mu$ and the angle variable $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}$ is expressed as $p=\left(1-x_{1}, x_{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(1-x_{L}, x_{L}\right)$, where $x_{k}=0,1$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}=\tau_{0}(k)-\tau_{0}(k-1)-\tau_{1}(k)+\tau_{1}(k-1) . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is similar to section 3 in 15. Combined with Theorem 4.1, Theorem 5.1 leads to an explicit formula for the solution of the initial value problem. The state $\left(\prod_{l} T_{l}^{c_{l}}\right)(p)$ is obtained just by replacing the angle variable as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{I}} \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{I}}+\sum_{l} c_{l} \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{l} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (5.5). Under the change (5.9), the function $\chi(\mathbf{s} ; \tilde{\mathbf{I}})$ (5.4) is invariant since it only depends on the difference $I_{i, \beta}-I_{i, \alpha}$. On the other hand, the variable I entering (5.5) changes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{I} \mapsto \mathbf{I}+M\left(\sum_{l} c_{l} \mathbf{h}_{l}\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to the rule (4.4). Especially, $T_{1}^{L}(\mathbf{I})=\mathbf{I}+L M \mathbf{h}_{1}=\mathbf{I}+\Omega \mathbf{h}_{1}$ by (5.2). Thus the tau function only exhibits the change due to the quasi-periodicity (1.3) leaving (5.8) invariant. This is consistent with the fact that the cyclic shift $T_{1}^{L}$ is trivial and (5.8) is a period $L$ function of $k$. We remark that our tau function (5.5) is always integer-valued.

From (3.7) we see $\mathbf{h}_{l}=\mathbf{h}_{\infty}$ if $l \geq \max \mathbb{I}\left(=i_{g}\right)$. Therefore the effect (5.10) of the time evolution $T_{l}$ is $\mathbf{I} \mapsto \mathbf{I}+M \mathbf{h}_{\infty}$, which is equivalent to setting $r \mapsto r+1$ in (5.5). In other words, $r$ serves as the time variable for such $T_{l}$, i.e., $T_{l}^{t}(p)=$ $\left(1-x_{1, t}, x_{1, t}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(1-x_{L, t}, x_{L, t}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k, t}=\tau_{t}(k)-\tau_{t}(k-1)-\tau_{t+1}(k)+\tau_{t+1}(k-1) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $l \geq \max \mathbb{I}$.
Example 5.2. Let us consider the $t=0,1$ states in section 1 ;

$$
\begin{align*}
p & =111222211111222222221111111111111112222111211 \\
T_{9}(p) & =111111112222111111112222222221111111111222122 \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

which are elements in $B_{1}^{\otimes 45}$. We have $p=T_{1}^{29}\left(p_{+}\right)$with a highest state

$$
p_{+}=111111222211121111122222111122222222111111111
$$

which corresponds to the rigged configuration:


Thus $\mathbb{I}=\{1,4,9\}, \quad M=\operatorname{diag}\left(m_{1}, m_{4}, m_{9}\right)=\operatorname{diag}(1,2,1) . \quad I_{i, \alpha}$ in (5.6) reads $\left(I_{1,1}, I_{4,1}, I_{4,2}, I_{9,1}\right)=(39,31,35,29)$. The data $\mathbf{p}$ (3.8), I (5.7) and $\Omega$ (5.1) are given by

$$
\mathbf{p}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
p_{1} \\
p_{4} \\
p_{9}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
37 \\
19 \\
9
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{I}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
39 \\
66 \\
29
\end{array}\right), \quad \Omega=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
39 & 4 & 2 \\
4 & 70 & 16 \\
2 & 16 & 27
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The argument of the tau function (5.5) reads

$$
\mathbf{I}+M\left(r \mathbf{h}_{\infty}-k \mathbf{h}_{1}-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
r-k+41 / 2  \tag{5.13}\\
8 r-2 k+47 \\
9 r-k+49 / 2
\end{array}\right)=: \mathbf{z}_{r, k} .
$$

The function $\chi(\mathbf{s} ; \tilde{\mathbb{I}})$ in (5.4) is non-vanishing at $\mathbf{s}=(0,1,0)$ taking the value $\frac{1}{m_{4}}\left(I_{4,2}-I_{4,1}-\frac{p_{4}}{2}\right)=-\frac{11}{4}$. Thus the tau function is given by

$$
\tau_{r}(k)=\max \left\{\Theta_{0,0,0}\left(\mathbf{z}_{r, k}\right), \Theta_{0, \frac{1}{2}, 0}\left(\mathbf{z}_{r, k}\right)-\frac{11}{4}\right\} .
$$

The time evolution pattern in section 1 is reproduced according to (5.11). Here is the plot of $\tau_{r}(k)$ for $0 \leq r \leq 2$ and $0 \leq k \leq 45$.


The boundary values are $\left(\tau_{0}(0), \tau_{1}(0), \tau_{2}(0)\right)=(15,28,41)$ and $\left(\tau_{0}(45), \tau_{1}(45), \tau_{2}(45)\right)=$ $(13,8,3)$. In the plot of $\tau_{t}(k)$ or $\tau_{t+1}(k)$, one observes a change of the gradient at those $k$ corresponding to the front or tail of solitons in $T_{9}^{t}(p)$ (5.12).

The tau function (5.5) simplifies considerably if there are no solitons with the same amplitude, namely, $\forall m_{i}=1$. We have $\chi(\mathbf{s} ; \tilde{\mathbf{I}})=0, \tilde{\mathbb{I}}=\mathbb{I}$ and $\Omega=F=$ $\left(\delta_{i j} p_{i}+2 \min (i, j)\right)_{i, j \in \mathbb{I}}$. Let us write the ultradiscrete Riemann theta function with characteristics $\mathbf{0}$ as $\Theta(\mathbf{z})=\Theta_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{z})$. Then $\tau_{r}(k)=\Theta\left(\mathbf{I}-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}-k \mathbf{h}_{1}+r \mathbf{h}_{\infty}\right)$. Consequently (5.8) reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{k} & =\Theta\left(\mathbf{I}-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}-k \mathbf{h}_{1}\right)-\Theta\left(\mathbf{I}-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}-(k-1) \mathbf{h}_{1}\right) \\
& -\Theta\left(\mathbf{I}-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}-k \mathbf{h}_{1}+\mathbf{h}_{\infty}\right)+\Theta\left(\mathbf{I}-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}-(k-1) \mathbf{h}_{1}+\mathbf{h}_{\infty}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which was obtained in 15. This has the same structure as the ultradiscretization of the solution of the periodic Toda lattice by Date and Tanaka 4 .
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