Test of low {energy theorem s for p (~; ⁰)p in the threshold region

A.Schmidt¹, P.Achenbach³, J.Ahrens¹, H.J.Arends¹, R.Beck¹, A.M.Bernstein², V.Hejny³, M.Kotulla⁴, B.Krusche⁵, V.Kuhr⁶, R.Leukel¹, I.J.D.MacGregor⁷,

J.C.M cG eorge⁷, V.M etag⁴, V.M.O lm os de Leon¹, F.R am bo⁶, U.Siodlaczek⁸,

H.Stroher³, Th.W alcher¹, J.W ei ⁴, F.W issmann⁶ and M.W olf⁴

¹ Institut fur K emphysik, Universitat M ainz, 55099 M ainz, G em any
²D epartem ent of P hysics and Laboratory for N uclear Science, M IT, B oston, M A, U SA
³ Institut fur K emphysik, Forschungszentrum Julich G m bH, 52425 Julich, G em any
⁴ II. P hysikalisches Institut, Justus{Liebig{Universitat G ie en, 35392 G ie en, G em any
⁵D epartm ent fur P hysik und A stronom ie, Universitat B asel, 4056 B asel, Sw itzerland
⁶ II. P hysikalisches Institut, G eorg{A ugust{Universitat G ottingen, 37073 G ottingen, G em any
⁷D epartm ent of P hysics and A stronom y, G lasgow University, G lasgow G 128Q Q, UK
⁸P hysikalisches Institut, Eberhard-K arls-Universitat T ubingen, 72076 T ubingen, G em any

(April 16, 2024)

Abstract

The photon asymmetry in the reaction $p(~; ^{0})p$ close to threshold has been measured for the rst time with the photon spectrom eter TAPS using linearly polarized photons from the tagged {photon facility at the M ainz M icrotron M AM I. The total and di erential cross sections were also m easured simultaneously with the photon asymmetry. This allowed determ ination of the S {wave and all three P -wave am plitudes. The values obtained at threshold are E $_{0+} = (1:33 \quad 0.08_{stat} \quad 0.03_{sys})10^{-3} = m_{-+}, P_1 = (9:47 \quad 0.08_{stat} \quad 0.29_{sys})10^{-3} q=m_{-+}^{2}, P_2 = (-9:46 \quad 0.1_{stat} \quad 0.29_{sys})10^{-3} q=m_{-+}^{2}$ and

corresponding author, em ail: rbeck@kph.unim ainz.de

 $P_3 = (11:48 \quad 0.06_{stat} \quad 0.35_{sys})10^{-3} q=m^{2}_{+}$. The low {energy theorem s based on the parameter{free third{order calculations of heavy{baryon chiral perturbation theory for P_1 and P_2 agree with the experimental values. PACS numbers: 25.20 L j 13.60 Le

Typeset using $REVT_EX$

In the early 70's, low {energy theorem s (LETs) were derived for the amplitudes of pion photoproduction from the nucleon at threshold [1,2]. Based on fundam ental principles, like gauge invariance and the partially conserved axial current, the LETs predict the value of the S (wave threshold amplitude E $_{0+}$ in a power series in $= m = m_N$, the ratio of the m asses of the pion and nucleon. The LETs represent tests of elective degrees of freedom in the non{perturbative domain of QCD and, therefore, their investigation is of considerable interest for an understanding of QCD at low momentum transfers. Only the development of high duty factor accelerators enabled rst precise m easurem ents of the photoproduction of neutral pions from the proton at Saclay [3] and Mainz [4]. The experim ental values for E₀₊ at threshold were in conject with the LET prediction. Most calculations also failed to predict the strong dependence of E_{0+} on the photon energy between the ⁰{threshold (144.7) M eV) and 160 M eV, where a unitary cusp due to the two{step process p! + n![] α⁰ was seen in the M ainz m easurem ent [4]. These disagreem ents m otivated several theoretical and experim ental investigations. New experiments were performed at Mainz [6] and Saskatoon [7], measuring the total and di erential cross sections close to threshold. The extracted values of E_{0+} con in ed the strong energy dependence and were again nearly a factor of two sm aller than the LET prediction at threshold. This discrepancy was explained by Bernard, Kaiser and Mei ner [8], who investigated threshold pion photoproduction in the fram ework of heavy (baryon chiral perturbation theory (ChPT), which showed that additional contribut ions due to pion loops in 2 have to be added to the old LET.

In the following years, re-ned calculations within heavy (baryon ChPT [9] led to descriptions of the four relevant amplitudes at threshold by well(de-ned expansions up to order p^4 in the S (wave amplitude E₀₊ and p^3 in the P (wave combinations P₁, P₂ and P₃, where p denotes any small m omentum or pion m ass, the expansion parameters in heavy (baryon ChPT.To that order, three low (energy constants (LEC)) due to the renormalization counter terms appear, two in the expansion of E₀₊ and an additional LEC b₂ for P₃, which have to be tted to the data or estimated by resonance saturation. However, two combinations of the P (wave amplitudes, P₁ and P₂, are free of low (energy constants. Their expansions in converge rather well leading to new LETs for these combinations. Therefore, the P {wave LETs o er a signi cant test of heavy {baryon ChPT.

However, for this test the S{wave am plitude E_{0+} and the three P{wave combinations P_1 , P_2 and P_3 have to be separated. This separation can be achieved by measuring the photon asymmetry using linearly polarized photons, in addition to the measurement of the total and di erential cross sections. The p(~; ⁰)p experiment [10], reported in this letter, was performed at the M ainz M icrotron M AM I [11] using the G lasgow/M ainz tagged photon facility [12,13] and the photon spectrometer TAPS [14]. The M AM I accelerator delivered a continuous wave beam of 405 M eV electrons. Linearly polarized photons were produced via coherent brem sstrahlung in a 100 m thick diam ond radiator [15,16] with degrees of polarization of up to 50%. The diam ond radiator was mounted on a goniom eter [16], which was adjusted so that the linearly polarized photons lay in the energy region between ⁰{threshold and 166 M eV. The energy of the photons was determined by measuring the energy of the electron after the brem sstrahlung process with the tagging spectrom eter. The resolution was approximately 1 M eV at intensities of up to 5 10⁵ photons s¹ M eV¹.

Neutral pions were produced in a liquid hydrogen target of cylindrical shape with a length of 10 cm and a diam eter of 4 cm. The neutral pion decay photons were detected in TAPS, consisting of six blocks of hexagonally shaped BaF_2 scintillation crystals each arranged in a matrix of 8 8 detectors. The blocks were mounted in a horizontal plane around the target at polar angles of 50, 100, and 150 degrees with respect to the photon beam direction. A forward wall, consisting of 120 phosw ich telescopes [17], covered polar angles between 5 and 20 degrees. Further details of the experimental set {up are found in Ref. [18].

The identi cation of neutral pions relies on the coincident detection of the two photons from 0 {decay in the TAPS detector (the 0 ! branching ratio is 99.8%). The photons were identied with the help of charged {particle veto detectors, a pulse shape and a time { of{ ight analysis. An invariant mass analysis was performed to identify neutral pions and a resolution of '19 MeV (FW HM) was achieved. A coidental coincidences between TAPS and the tagging spectrometer were subtracted using scaled distributions of background events

4

outside the prompt coincidence time window. For each event a missing energy analysis was performed for an unambiguous identication of neutral pions in the threshold region. The missing energy resolution for 0 (mesons close to threshold was approximately 5 MeV (FW HM). The acceptance of TAPS for neutral pions and the analysing e ciency were determined by a Monte Carlo simulation using the GEANT3 code [19] in which all relevant properties of the setup and the TAPS detectors were taken into account.

The di erential cross sections can be expressed in term softhe S { and P {wavemultipoles, assuming that close to threshold neutral pions are only produced with angularm on entall of zero and one. Due to parity and angularm on entum conservation only the S {wave am plitude E_{0+} (l = 0) and the P {wave am plitudes M_{1+} , M_1 and E_{1+} (l = 1) can contribute and it is convenient to write the di erential cross section and the photon asymmetry in terms of the three P {wave combinations $P_1 = 3E_{1+} + M_{1+} + M_1$, $P_2 = 3E_{1+} + M_{1+} + M_1$ and $P_3 = 2M_{1+} + M_1$. The cm. di erential cross section is

$$\frac{d}{d} \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right) = \frac{q}{k} (A + B \cos() + C \cos^{2}()); \qquad (1)$$

where is the cm.polar angle of the pion with respect to the beam direction and q and k denote the cm.m omenta of pion and photon, respectively. The coe cients $A = E_{0+} f + p_{23}f$, $B = 2Re(E_{0+}P_1)$ and $C = p_1 f p_{23} f$ are functions of the multipole amplitudes with $P_{23}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(P_2^2 + P_3^2)$. Earlier measurements of the total and dimential cross sections already allowed determination of E_{0+} , P_1 and the combination P_{23} . In order to obtain E_{0+} and all three P (waves separately, it is necessary to measure, in addition to the cross sections, the photon asymmetry ,

$$= \frac{d_{2} d_{k}}{d_{2} + d_{k}}; \qquad (2)$$

where d $_{?}$ and d $_{k}$ are the di erential cross sections for photon polarizations perpendicular and parallel to the reaction plane de ned by the pion and proton. The asymmetry is proportional to the di erence of the squares of P₃ and P₂:

$$() = \frac{q}{2k} (P_3^2 - P_2^2) \quad \sin(c) = \frac{d(c)}{d};$$
 (3)

Thus, the m easurem ent of the total and di erential cross sections together with allows a separate determ ination of P_2 and P_3 and hence a test of the new LET of ChPT [9].

In the present work the total and di erential cross sections were measured over the energy range from ⁰ {threshold to 168 MeV.Fig.1 shows the results for the total cross section which agrees with the data of Ref. [7]; the results of Ref. [6] are system atically lower, at least in the incident photon energy range of 153{162 M eV. This discrepancy m ay be due to a better elimination of pions produced in the target cell windows, perform ed in the analysis of the present data, combined with the improved detector acceptance for forward and backward angles. The di erent slope in the total cross section of [6] com pared to the other experiments results in a steeper energy dependence for the real part of E_{0+} and slightly smaller values for P_1 and P_{23} (see Table I). The results for the photon asymmetry are shown in Fig. 2 in comparison to the values of ChPT [9] and to a prediction of a dispersion theoretical calculation (DR) by Hanstein, D recharland T intor [20]. The photon asymmetry was determined from all the data between threshold and 166 MeV for which the mean energy was 159.5 MeV. The theoretical predictions are shown for the same energy. The energy dependence of the ChPT prediction for the photon asymmetry was explored in the range threshold to 166 M eV and found to have a very smalle ect on the average, eg. < 2% at 90 .

The values for the real and in aginary part of E₀₊ and the three P {wave combinations were extracted via two multipole ts to the cross sections and the photon asymmetry simultaneously using the following minimal model assumptions. The parameterizations of ReE₀₊ and Im E₀₊ take into account the strong energy dependence of E₀₊ below and above ⁺ {threshold (E_{thr}ⁿ⁺ = 151.4 MeV) due to the two{step process p! ⁺n! ⁰p [21]:

$$E_{0+}(E) = A^{p^{0}}(E) + i q_{+};$$
 (4)

where q_+ is the + cm.momentum. E_{0+} is a sum of two parts, A^{p_0} due to the direct process and a second part, arising from the two{step process. Below + {threshold, one must analytically continue q_+ ! $ijq_+ j$. Thus E_{0+} is purely real and has the value $E_{0+} = A^{p_0}$

 j_{I} , j where is the product of the S{wave am plitude E_{0+}^{n+1} for + {production and the scattering length $a_{n+1} p \cdot A^{p-1}$. Above + {threshold, E_{0+} is complex with $E_{0+} = A^{p-1} + i j_{I+1} j_{I+1}$ and Im $E_{0+} = j_{I+1} j_{I+1}$ the cusp function. In the threshold region the in aginary parts of the P-waves are negligible because of the small N {phase shifts. The two multipole ts di er in the energy dependence of the real parts of the P {wave combinations. For the rst t the usual assumption of a behaviour proportional to the product of q and k was adopted (qk { t, 2 =dof = 1.28). The assumption m ade for the second t is an energy dependence of the P {wave am plitudes proportional to q (q{ t, 2 =dof = 1.29). This is the dependence which ChPT predicts for the P {wave am plitudes in the near{threshold region, but at higher energies the prediction is in between the q and qk energy dependence.

The results of both multipole ts for $\text{R} \in E_{0+}$ as a function of the incident photon energy are shown in Fig. 3 and compared with the predictions of ChPT and of DR. The results for the threshold values of $\text{R} \in E_{0+}$ (at the ⁰{ and ⁺ {threshold}}, for the parameter of Im E_{0+} and for the values of the threshold slopes of the three P {wave combinations of the qk { t and the q{ t are summarized in Table I together with the results of [7] and [6,21]. To obtain the threshold slope of the qk { ts the values of the P {wave combinations of these ts (unit: qk $10^3 = m^{3}$,) must be multiplied by the threshold value of the photon momentum k. In addition the results are compared to the ChPT and DR predictions, where the errors of ChPT refer to a 5% theoretical uncertainty.

The extracted value for and thus $\text{Im } E_{0+}$ of the q{ t is larger than the value of obtained with the qk{ t. This result can be explained by the observation that A is the best measured of the three coe cients of the dimension of the dominant P (wave contribution. Since R eE $_{0+}$ is determined from the B coe cient this gives $\text{Im } E_{-0+}$ after a subtraction of the P (wave contribution to the A coe cient. If one assumes a smaller energy dependence in the P (wave am plitudes (q{ t), a stronger energy dependence for $\text{Im } E_{-0+}$ will result. However, the values of both ts for R eE $_{0+}$ and the values of the three P (wave combinations at

7

threshold are in remarkable agreement. A sum ing for E_{0+}^{n+1} the prediction of ChPT, which agrees with the result of [22], taking for $a_{n+1,p-0}$ the measured value of [23] and thus xing the parameter to the expected unitary value of 3:61 $10^3 = m^2_+$, the values of the P {wave combinations for both ts change by less than 3%.

The main experimental uncertainty is the value of . The system atic error of in Table I includes the experimental uncertainty in the energy dependence of the P {wave am plitudes. The average value for = $(3.8 \quad 1.4) \quad 10^3 = m^2$, of the two t results, obtained in this experiment, is consistent with the unitary value. To determine more accurately will require a direct measurement of Im E₀₊ in the p(; ⁰) preaction with a polarized target [24].

For both the low (energy theorem s of ChPT (O (p^3)) for P₁ and P₂ agree with the measured experimental results within their systematic and statistical errors. The experimental value for P₃ is higher than the value of ChPT, which can be explained by the smaller total and dimensional cross sections of Ref. [6], used by ChPT to determ the dominant low (energy constant b) for this multipole.

A new fourth {order calculation in heavy {baryon ChPT by Bernard et al., introduced in [26] and compared to the new MAM I data presented in this letter, shows, that the potentially large {isobar contributions are cancelled by the fourth {order loop corrections to the P { wave low {energy theorem s. This gives condence in the third {order LET predictions for P₁ and P₂, which are in agreement with the present MAM I data. With the new value of bp [26], tted to the present MAM I data, the ChPT calculation is in agreement with the measured photon asymmetry.

To sum marize, the total and di erential cross sections and the photon asymmetry for the reaction $p(~; ^{0})p$ have been measured simultaneously for the rst time in the threshold region. Using a multipole t to the physical observables the threshold values of the S { wave amplitude E₀₊ and all three P {wave amplitudes were extracted. The main conclusion is that the calculations of heavy{baryon ChPT for P₁ and P₂ are in agreement with the experimental results.

8

The authors wish to acknow ledge the excellent support of K H.Kaiser, H.Euteneuer and the accelerator group of MAM I, as well as m any other scientists and technicians of the Institut fur K emphysik at M ainz. W e would like to thank also D.D rechsel, O.Hanstein, L.T iator and U.M ei ner for very fruitful discussions and comments. A M.Bernstein is grateful to the A lexander von H um boldt Foundation for a Research A ward. This work was supported by the D eutsche Forschungsgem einschaft (SFB 443) and the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research C ouncil.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. de Baenst, Nucl. Phys. B24, 633 (1970)
- [2] I.A. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 36, 589 (1972)
- [3] E.M azzucato et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 3144 (1986)
- [4] R.Beck et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 65, 1841 (1990)
- [5]G.Faldt, Nucl. Phys. A 333, 357 (1980)
- [6] M. Fuchs et al, Phys. Lett. B 368, 20 (1996)
- [7] J.C.Bergstrom et al, Phys.Rev.C53, R1052 (1996); Phys.Rev.C55, 2016 (1997)
- [8] V. Bernard, J. Gasser, N. Kaiser, and U. (G. Meiner, Phys. Lett. B268, 291 (1991)
- [9] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, and U. (G. Meiner, Z. Phys. C 70, 483 (1996)
- [10] A. Schmidt, Ph.D. thesis, University Mainz (2001)
- [11] H. Herminghaus, K. H. Kaiser, and H. Euteneuer, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 1381 (1976)
- [12] I. Anthony et al, Nucl. Instrum . M eth. A 301 230 (1991)
- [13] S.J.Hallet al, Nucl. Instrum .Meth.A 368, 698 (1996)
- [14] R. Novotny, EEE Trans. Nucl. Sci 38 (1991) 379
- [15] D. Lohm ann, and J. Peise et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 343 494 (1994)
- [16] A. Schmidt, Diplomarbeit, University Mainz (1995).
- [17] R. Novotny, EEE Trans. Nucl. Sci 43, 1260 (1996)
- [18] V. Hejny et al, Eur. Phys. J. A 6, 83 (2000)
- [19] R.Brun et al, GEANT 3, Cem/DD/æ/84{1 (1986)
- [20] O. Hanstein, D. D rechsel, and L. Tiator, Phys. Lett. B 399, 13 (1997)

- [21] A.M. Bernstein et al, Phys. Rev. C 55, 1509 (1997)
- [22] E.Korkm az et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3609 (1999)
- [23] H.{Ch.Schroder et al, Phys.Lett. B 469, 25 (1999)
- [24] A.M. Bernstein, Phys. Lett. B 442, 20 (1998)
- [25] V.Bernard, N.Kaiser, and U.{G.Meiner, Phys.Lett.B378, 337 (1996)
- [26] V.Bernard, N.Kaiser, and U.G.Mei ner, hep {ph/0102066 (2001), to be published in Eur.Phys.J.A

FIGURES



FIG.1. Total cross sections for 0 photoproduction close to threshold with statistical errors (without system atic error of 5%) as function of incident photon energy (solid squares, this work, open circles, Ref. [7], open diam onds Ref. [6]).



FIG.2. Photon asymmetry for 0 photoproduction for a photon energy of 159.5 MeV with statistical errors (without system atic error of 3%) as a function of the polar angle (solid line: t to the data) in comparison to ChPT [9] (dotted line) and DR [20] (dashed line). With the new value of the low (energy constant b_p the ChPT calculation [26] is in agreement with the experimental values.



FIG.3. Results for ReE_{0+} with statistical errors as a function of incident photon energy E for an assumed energy dependence of the P {wave am plitudes proportional to q k (solid squares) and q (open squares) in comparison to ChPT [9] (dotted line) and DR [20] (dashed line).

TABLES

	th is work						Bergstrom ^a		Fuchs ^a		C hP T		DR ^a
	qk{ t ^a			q{ t			qk{ t		qk{ t				
$\rm E_{0+}$ ($\rm E_{thr}^{p^{0}}$)	1:23	0 : 08	0 : 03	1:33	0 : 08	0 : 03	1:32	0 : 05	1:31	0:2	-1.16		-1.22
E_{0^+} (E $_{thr}^{n^{+}}$)	0 : 45	0 : 07	0 : 02	0 : 45	0 : 06	0 : 02	0 : 52	0 : 04	0:34	0 : 03	-0.43		-0.56
	2 : 43	028	1:0	52	02	1:0	3.0{3.8		2 : 82	0:32	2.78		3.6
P ₁	9 : 46	0 : 05	028	9 : 47	0 : 08	0:29	93	0:09	9 : 08	0:14	9 : 14	0:5	9.55
P ₂	9:5	0:09	0:28	9 : 46	0:1	029					9 : 7	0:5	-10.37
P ₃	11 : 32	0:11	0:34	11 : 48	0 : 06	0:35					10:36		9 . 27
P ₂₃	10:	45 0:	07	10:52 0:06		10 : 53	0 : 07	10 : 37	0 : 08	11.07		9.84	

TABLE I. Results of both ts (qk{ t and q{ t) for ReE_{0+} at the ⁰{ and ⁺ {threshold (unit: $10^3 = \text{m}^+$), for the parameter of Im E_{0+} (unit: $10^3 = \text{m}^2_+$) and for the three combinations of the P {wave am plitudes (unit: $q = 10^3 = \text{m}^2_+$) with statistical and system atic errors in comparison to the results of previous experiments ([7] and [6,21], only with statistical errors) and to the predictions of ChPT [9,25] (O (p³)) and of a dispersion theoretical approach (DR, [20]). (^a Values of the P {wave combinations converted into the unit $q = 10^3 = \text{m}^2_+$.)