CHIRAL EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORIES WITH EXPLICIT SPIN 3/2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM | A STATUS REPORT

THOMAS R.HEMMERT

Physik Department T 39, TU Munchen, James-Franck-Strae, D-85747 Garching, Germany Email: themmert@physik.tu-muenchen.de

Recent developments in calculations of low energy nucleon properties utilizing effective chiral eld theories with explicit spin 3/2 matter elds are addressed.

1 Introduction

The chiral symmetry of QCD is spontaneously broken at low energies, giving rise to 3 [8] Goldstone Bosons for the case of 2 [3] light quark avors. In the following, we will concentrate on a world with only 2 light avors; all other quark degrees of freedom are taken to be in nitely heavy. We identify the 3 Goldstone Boson degrees of freedom with the physical pions, which therefore owe their small (but nite) mass to the additional explicit breaking of SU (2)_L SU (2)_R chiral sym m etry due to the $\mbox{sm all}$ " m asses of the up, dow n quarks. Chiral Perturbation Theory is a successfule ective eld theory that param eterizes the interactions among these Goldstone Bosons (in the presence of external elds) in the most general form, based solely on the symmetries of the underlying lagrangian of QCD. If this were all, this theory would obviously be not very interesting for the audience of an NSTAR conference. However, very general principles tell us also how these G oldstone bosons interact with \m atter"-elds, even in the presence of additional external sources/elds. For an overview on calculations involving Goldstone Bosons + matter elds I refer to the recent review of $Ref.^1$. Here, I will focus on \m atter" that consists of spin 1/2 fermions (Nucleons) and their spin 3/2 resonance partners (Delta (1232)), constraining myself again to a world of 2 light avors. In particular, I will discuss the role of Delta resonances in microscopic calculations of the anom alous m agnetic m om ents of the Nucleon, the im pact of Deltas on the isovector Pauli form factor of the Nucleon and the problem s one faces if one wants to calculate the isovector Nucleon-Delta transition form factors. Further topics of recent interest/activity, like the (reduced?) screening of Delta (1232) generated param agnetism in the isoscalar Nucleon magnetic polarizability $\frac{(s)}{M}^2$ or the impact of Deltas on the momentum -dependence of the generalized spin-polarizabilities of the Nucleon³ cannot be covered here.

NSTAR01: submitted to W orld Scienti c on M arch 28, 2024

1

2 Chiral calculations and Power-counting

For system atic calculations with chiral e ective eld theories one needs a procedure to construct the most general e ective chiral lagrangian that contains all possible terms allowed by chiral symmetry, as well as (subsets of) PCT constraints. In addition^a, one needs to specify a power-counting scheme e that tells us, which ones of the plethora of possible diagram s and non-linear vertex structures have to be taken into account if one performs a calculation up to a given order. In the following, I will show results obtained in 2 di erent chiral e ective eld theories: SU (2) HBChPT | which constitutes a non-relativistic theory with only pion, Nucleon degrees of freedom and power-counting 0 (p^n) | and SU (2) SSE | which contains pion, Nucleon and Delta degrees of freedom and power-counting 0 (n). For details I have to refer to the literature¹.

Here, I only want to point out that in chiral e ective eld theories like SSE^4 , which contain the Nucleon-Delta mass splitting $_0$ as an additional sm all parameter, there exists some degree of freedom as to how one organizes the power-counting. The example I want to discuss here concerns the leading N vertex, which traditionally is written in the (SU (6) quark-model inspired) form

$$L_{N}^{(2)} = \frac{b_{l}}{2M_{0}}T_{j} \text{ if }_{+}^{j} S N_{v} + h \text{ c::}$$
(1)

I do not want to discuss this operator structure in detail, the important point to observe is that the dimension-less coupling b_1 is assumed to scale with a large baryon mass scale M₀, boosting this structure to O (²) (i.e. NLO) in the SSE lagrangian. Several reasons for example the resulting large value for the coupling b_1 7:7, the failure of SU (6) symmetry considerations to predict the full strength of the N transition, etc. have compelled us to propose a slight modi cation for this operator:

$$L_{N}^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_{V}}{0} T_{j} \text{ if}_{+}^{j} S N_{v} + h x::$$
 (2)

Due to the small mass scale $_0$ in the denominator, the leading N - transition operator is now a part of the O() (i.e. LO) SSE lagrangian, leading (in som e cases) to a substantial reordering of the chiral expansion. Interesting consequences of this rescaled vertex of Eq2 will be discussed in the following sections.

^aFurtherm ore, one needs to specify, how many light quark avors one wants to consider, whether one utilizes non-relativistic or relativistic chiral e ective eld theory and which regularization procedure (consistent with the sym m etries) one wants to employ.



Figure 1. Isovector anom alous magnetic m om ent of the Nucleon (in Nucleon magnetons) and its dependence on the elective mass of the pion. The physical value at m = 140 M eV is $_{\rm V}$ = 3:7 [n m]. Also show n are 3 (quenched) lattice QCD data points of Leinweber et al. ⁹; the curves are explained in the text.

3 A nom alous m agnetic m om ents of the N ucleon

Figure 1 shows the leading one-loop order (LO) results obtained in 3 di erent calculations utilizing chirale ective eld theories for the anom alous isovector m agnetic m om ent of the Nucleon v (in Nucleon m agnetons [n m .]), de ned via

$$v = proton$$
 neutron 1 [n m :] (3)

and plotted as a function of the pion mass m $\,$. The dotted curve shows the LO HBChPT result of 5

$$v^{(3)}_{v} = v^{(0)}_{v} \frac{g_{A}^{2} M_{N}}{4 F^{2}} m + O(p^{4});$$
 (4)

with the free parameter $_{v}^{0}$ xed in such a way that $_{v}^{(3)}$ reproduces the physical value of $_{v}^{(phys:)} = 3.7$ [n m .] at m = 140 M eV. g_{A} denotes the axial coupling constant of the Nucleon with mass M_{N} , and F is the pion-decay constant. All of these quantities are taken at their physical values, as any in plicit quark-mass dependence constitutes an e ect of higher order in the chiral expansion. Figure 1 also shows that it is not possible to connect the LO HBChPT result with the results of a quenched lattice QCD calculation by Leinweber et al. ⁹, employing e ective pion masses of 600 M eV and higher. A few years ago ⁶, the LO in uence of explicit D elta (1232) degrees of freedom on the vector (and axial-vector) current of the Nucleon was analyzed utilizing the Sm all Scale Expansion of Ref.⁴. As can be clearly seen by the dashed

NSTAR01: submitted to W orld Scienti c on M arch 28, 2024

3



Figure 2. D lagram s contributing to the anom alous m agnetic m om ents of the N ucleon to leading one-loop order in the m odi ed Sm all Scale Expansion.

curve in Figure 1, there is only a small modi cation due to the explicit spin 3/2 degrees of freedom for small pion mass. Still no satisfying connection to the lattice points can be obtained.

We now discuss the results of a new calculation⁷ of the isovector anom alous magnetic moment which utilizes the rescaled leading N vertex of Eq.2 discussed in the previous section. The diagram s taken into account to leading one-loop order (i.e. O (³)) in the now modied Small Scale Expansion are shown in Fig 2. The experts in the audience will notice that there are 2 additional diagram s^{b} (in the last row) of Fig 2 compared to the calculation of 6 . The result of this calculation is shown by the full curve in Fig.1. It contains 3 free parameters $\begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{vmatrix}$, the isovector anom alous magnetic moment of the Nucleon in the chiral limit, a_v , the new leading order N coupling constant introduced in Eq 2, and one additional (quark-m ass dependent) higher order NN coupling constant $E_1()$, which also serves as a counterterm to absorb new divergences coming in due to the 2 extra diagrams. All other parameters can be xed from known low energy quantities. In Fig.1, we have t the 3 parameters 0_v ; a_v ; E_1 (1G eV) to the 3 (quenched) lattice QCD data points of Leinweber et al.9, but not to the value at the physical pion mass. Surprisingly the t although performed for pion masses 600 ::: 950 MeV ! suggests a quark-m ass dependence for the isovector anom alous magneticm om ent of the Nucleon which correctly extrapolates down to $\frac{10}{3}$ = 3:7

 $^{^{}b}W$ e note that even the new set of one-loop diagram s given in Fig.2 obtained to LO in the (m odi ed) Sm allScale Expansion contains few er diagram s than considered in the pioneering one-loop calculation of the baryon m agnetic m om ents by Jenkins et al.⁸. The 3 additional diagram s considered in Ref.⁸ are part of the (estim ated) 21 additional one-loop diagram s com ing in at NLO (i.e. O (⁴)) in our approach, as dictated by the SSE power-counting.

at the physical pion m ass of m = 140 M eV ! Such a stable result obtained from only leading order input could not be expected, especially if one com pares it with the corresponding HBChPT calculation (dotted curve). Details of the new calculation, including an error analysis, will be available soon⁷. Here I, only want to point out that the analysis suggests that the isovector anom alous magnetic moment has the chiral lim it value $\frac{0}{v}$ 5:7 [n.m.], which ism one than 50% larger than the value for nite up, down quark m asses. This dram atic reduction of the anom alousm agnetic m om ent is mainly due to pionloop e ects. Furtherm ore, one can learn from Fig.1 that the leading linear result of Eq.4 looses its range of validity already for values sm aller than the physical pion m ass when curvature e ects com e in. This nding is consistent with a chiral extrapolation of the same lattice QCD points analyzed with a Pade approximation¹⁰. Finally, I want to note⁷ that the isoscalar anom alous m agnetic m om ent of the Nucleon shows quite a di erent/much sim pler chiral behavior when calculated to the same order in SSE:

$${}^{\rm LO}_{\rm s} = {}^{(0)}_{\rm s} \frac{{}^{\rm E}_{2} {}^{\rm M}_{\rm N}}{4 {}^{2} {}^{\rm F}_{\rm F}^{2}} {}^{\rm m}^{2} + {}^{\rm O} ({}^{4}); \qquad (5)$$

where 0_s corresponds to the chiral lim it value and E₂ denotes another unknown NN coupling; the 2 parameters can also be tted to isoscalar lattice data, though with larger error bars due to the overall sm allness of 7 .

4 Isovector Form Factors of the Nucleon

A few years ago, the role of explicit Delta (1232) degrees of freedom in calculations of the Nucleon form factors at low four-momentum transfer (i.e. $Q^2 < 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$) was analyzed⁶ within the Small Scale Expansion of Ref.⁴. Considering the discussion in the previous section, one can now ask the question how the results are changed (to leading one-loop order) if one allows for the rescaling of the leading N -transition as de ned in Eq2, which leads to the two additional (i.e. the last two) Feynm an diagram sat 0 (3) in Fig 2 and to such a dram atic change in the LO chiral behavior of the isovector anom alous m agnetic moment as shown in Fig.1. However, it turns out that to leading order the momentum -dependence of the SSE curves of Ref.⁶ is not modied by the additional diagram s for a physical pion m ass m = 140 M eV. The results for the isovector D irac and Pauli form factor of the N ucleon are shown in Fig.3. The Dirac form factor turns out to be completely dom inated by the radius in this momentum -range. Both the HBChPT (0 (p³), dot-dashed curve) and the (modied) SSE calculation (O (³), full curve), as well as an empirical param eterization¹¹ of the data (dashed lines) cannot be distinguished.



Figure 3. Isovector D irac and Pauli form factor of the Nucleon, calculated to leading one-loop order with (full curve) and without (dot-dashed curve) explicit spin 3/2 degrees of freedom. A lso shown for comparison is a parameterization of the data¹¹ (dashed curve).

M atters are di erent for the isovector Pauli form factor. B oth the HBChPT and the SSE curves drop slower than suggested by the empirical parameterization. However, the LO SSE calculation arising from the diagram s of Fig.2 provides an isovector Pauli radius of 0.61 fm² arising solely from the pion-cloud around a spin 1/2 or spin 3/2 intermediate baryon which amounts to more than 75% of the physical isovector Pauli radius and therefore leads to a qualitatively better description of this form factor than provided by the LO HBChPT calculation of Ref.⁵ (cf. Fig.3). It will be interesting to study the HBChPT -SSE comparison of the form factors also at NLO, to determ ine how far in q² one can trust/ ne-tune chiral calculations at the one-loop level.

5 Isovector Nucleon-Delta Transition Form Factors

Having discussed the impact of explicit Delta (1232) degrees of freedom in microscopic calculations of the (iso-) vector Nucleon current, one can now address the analogous problem s in the isovector Nucleon-Delta transition current. This issue has already been analyzed within SSE in Ref.¹². Again, the rescaling of the leading order N transition vertex of Eq.2 leads to two additional diagrams (displayed in the last row of Fig.4), compared to the original calculation of Ref.¹². However, the additional diagram s only lead to a modil ed chiral behavior (i.e. a modil ed quark mass-dependence of the N transition moments⁷), whereas the leading order four-momentum dependence for a physical pion-mass of m = 140 M eV of the 3 isovector N ucleon-Delta transition form factors is unchanged. As discussed in Ref.¹², microscopic calculations of the N -transition using chiral elective eld theories su er (at



Figure 4. D lagram s contributing to the isovector Nucleon-Delta transition form factors to leading one-loop order in the modi ed Small Scale Expansion.

present) from the fact that there are several unknown counter-term s, which need to be xed via external input. As an example, in Fig.5 we show the so called CMR, i.e. the ratio of the C2 over the M1 Nucleon-Delta transition strength as a function of the four-momentum transfer q^2 . Depending on different ways of xing the counter-term input as described in ¹², one obtains a rather broad band of possible momentum -dependence for the CMR^c. The most promising way to improve upon these theoretical limitations consists of a full calculation of the pion-electroproduction cross-section in the resonance region within a chiral elective eld theory like SSE. So far, this has not been attempted^d due to the high order required for an adequate treatment of the width of the Delta resonance. It is interesting to note that the proposed rescaling of the leading N transition vertex Eq.2 also provides new hope to get this longstanding problem in chiral elective eld theory calculations nally done, as in the modi ed SSE the order required to include these width elects is low ered; rst exploratory studies are under way.

6 Summary

I have given a report regarding som e new ideas/open problems in the eld of chiral e ective eld theories with explicit spin 3/2 resonance degrees of freedom. I am convinced that the role and the treatment of baryon resonances continues to be an inspiring and challenging topic in this eld.

^cFor the status of experim ental inform ation on the momentum dependence of CMR I refer to the talks by R W .G othe, C N Papanicolas, and H.Schm ieden in these proceedings. ^dAn exception is work of Ref.¹³, where the authors tried to utilize a low order SSE calculation in the resonance region by averaging over the width of the resonance.



Figure 5. Real part of the four-m om entum dependence of the ratio of the C2/M1 $\,N$ transition m om ents, calculated to leading one-loop order in the Sm all Scale Expansion. The spread of the curves is discussed in the text.

A cknow ledgm ents

I want to thank the organizers of N STAR 01 for giving me the opportunity to present these results to the community and I gratefully acknow ledge their nancial support. I also would like to thank A. Thom as and W. Weise for helpful discussions. The research presented here was supported by BM BF.

References

- 1. see e.g. U.-G. Mei ner, preprint no. [hep-ph/0007092].
- 2. H W .Grie hammer and G.Rupak, preprint no. [nucl-th/0012096].
- 3. see e.g. T R. Hemmert, preprint no. [nucl-th/0101054].
- 4. T R. Hem m ert, B R. Holstein and J.K am bor, J.Phys. G 24 (1998) 1831; Phys. Lett. B 395 (1997) 89.
- 5. V.Bemard et al, Nucl. Phys. B 388, 315 (1992).
- 6. V.Bemard et al, Nucl. Phys. A 635, 121 (1998).
- 7. T.R. Hemmert and W. Weise, forthcoming.
- 8. E. Jenkins et al, Phys. Lett. B 302, 482 (1993).
- 9. D.B. Leinweber, R.M. Woloshyn and T. Draper, Phys. Rev. D 43, 1659 (1991).
- 10. A W . Thom as, these proceedings; see also D B. Leinweber, D H. Lu and A W . Thom as, Phys. Rev. D 60, 034014 (1999) and references therein.
- 11. P. M ergell, U.-G. M ei ner and D. D rechsel, Nucl. Phys. A 596, 367 (1996).
- 12. G.C.Gellas et al, Phys. Rev. D 60, 054022 (1999).
- 13. C W .K ao and T D.Cohen, Phys. Rev. C 60, 064619 (1999).

NSTAR01: submitted to W orld Scienti c on M arch 28, 2024

8