

GENERALIZED POLARIZABILITIES IN A CONSTITUENT
QUARK MODEL

B. PASQUINI,¹ S. SCHERER,² AND D. DRECHSEL²

¹ ICTP, Strada delle Tabarelle 286, I-38050 Villazano (Trento), and INFN,
Trento, Italy

² Institut für Kernphysik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, J. J. Becher-Weg 45,
D-55099 Mainz, Germany

We discuss low-energy virtual Compton scattering of the proton within the framework of a nonrelativistic constituent quark model. A simple interpretation of the spin-averaged generalized polarizabilities is given in terms of the induced electric polarization (and magnetization). Explicit predictions for the generalized polarizabilities obtained from a multipole expansion are presented for the Isgur-Karl model and are compared with results of various models.

1 Introduction

In recent years, low-energy virtual Compton scattering (VCS) of the proton as tested in, e.g., the reaction $e p \rightarrow e p \gamma$, has attracted considerable interest from both the experimental¹ and theoretical² side. In fact, real Compton scattering (RCS) has a long history of providing important theoretical and experimental tests for models of hadron structure. For example, the famous low-energy theorem (LET) of Low and GellMann and Goldberger³ predicts the scattering amplitude for a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ system in terms of the charge, mass, and magnetic moment to the first two orders in the photon energy. In principle, any model respecting the symmetries entering the derivation of the LET should reproduce the constraints of the LET. It is only terms of second order which contain new information on the structure of the nucleon specific to Compton scattering. For a general target, these effects can be parametrized in terms of two constants, the electric and magnetic polarizabilities α and β , respectively⁴. A generalized low-energy theorem (GLET) including virtual photons was given in Refs. ^{5,6}. The use of virtual photons considerably increases the possibilities to investigate the structure of the target, because on the one hand the energy and three-momentum of the virtual photon can be varied independently, and on the other hand also longitudinal components of current operators become accessible. In Ref. ⁵, the model-dependent response beyond the GLET was analyzed by means of a multipole expansion. Only terms contributing to first order in the energy of the outgoing real photon were kept, and the result was expressed in terms of ten generalized polariz-

abilities (GPs) which are functions of the three-momentum of the initial-state virtual photon. If charge conjugation and particle crossing are symmetries of the underlying model, only six of the ten GPs are independent⁷. A somewhat different generalization in terms of generalized dipole polarizabilities was obtained in Ref.⁸, where a covariant starting point was chosen.

2 Compton Tensor and Low-Energy Theorem

In a covariant framework, the nucleon Compton tensor is defined as

$$\langle 2 \rangle \int d^4x d^4y e^{iq \cdot x} e^{iq' \cdot y} \langle N(p_f) | T [J(x) J(y)] | N(p_i) \rangle \quad (1)$$

where T refers to the covariant time-ordered product. As a special case, the RCS amplitude ($q^2 = q'^2 = 0$) is obtained by contracting Eq. (1) with the polarization vectors ϵ and ϵ' of the initial and final photons, respectively,^a

$$M_{\text{RCS}} = ie^2 \epsilon^\mu \epsilon'^\nu M_{fi}(\epsilon; q'; p_i; q) \quad (2)$$

The invariant amplitude for $N \rightarrow N$ reads

$$M_{\text{VCS}} = ie^2 \epsilon^\mu \epsilon'^\nu M_T + \frac{q'^2}{4} z M_z \quad ; \quad (3)$$

where $\epsilon = \epsilon_\mu u^\mu$, $u = q^\mu$ is the polarization vector of the virtual photon, and where we made use of current conservation. In the center-of-mass (c.m.) frame, using the Coulomb gauge for the final (real) photon, the transverse and longitudinal parts of M_{VCS} can be expressed in terms of eight and four independent structures, respectively,

$$\sim_T M_T = \epsilon^\mu \epsilon'^\nu A_1 + \dots ; \quad M_z = \epsilon^\mu \epsilon'^\nu A_2 + \dots \quad (4)$$

where the functions A_i depend on the three kinematical variables $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}'$, $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}'^0$, and $z = \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}'^0$.

Model-independent predictions for the functions A_i , based on Lorentz invariance, gauge invariance, crossing symmetry, and the discrete symmetries were obtained in Ref.⁶. For example, the result for A_1 up to second order in the momenta $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}'$ and $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}'^0$ reads

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{M} + \frac{z}{M^2} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}' + \frac{1}{8M^3} + \frac{r_E^2}{6M} - \frac{1}{4M^3} - \frac{4}{e^2} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}'^0$$

^aWe use natural units $\hbar = c = 1$, $e^2 = 4\pi$ ($\alpha = 1/137$).

$$+ \frac{1}{8M^3} + \frac{r_E^2}{6M} \frac{z^2}{M^3} + \frac{(1 + \dots)}{4M^3} \dots \quad (5)$$

To this order, all functions A_i are completely specified in terms of quantities which can be obtained from elastic electron-proton scattering and RCS, namely $M, r_E, G_E, G_M, r_E^2, \dots$.

3 Generalized Polarizabilities and Their Interpretation

A systematic analysis of the structure-dependent terms specific to VCS of the nucleon was performed by Guichon et al.⁵. The nonpole terms were expressed in terms of three spin-independent and seven spin-dependent GPs which were introduced in the framework of a multipole analysis, restricted to first order in q^0 , but for arbitrary q_j .

For a spin-0 system such as the pion or for the spin-averaged nucleon amplitude the GPs can, to a certain extent, be interpreted in terms of simple classical concepts⁸. To that end, let us consider a spherical charge distribution which is exposed to a uniform static electric field. The connection between the electric field and the induced electric polarization is, to first order, given by the polarizability tensor $\alpha_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\kappa})$ (see Fig. 1),

$$P_i(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) = 4 \alpha_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) E_j \quad (6)$$




Figure 1. The electric field induces an electric polarization.

Defining

$$\alpha_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \exp(i\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \alpha_{ij}(\boldsymbol{q}); \quad (7)$$

spherical symmetry imposes the general form

$$\alpha_{ij}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \alpha_L(q) \frac{q_i q_j}{q^2} + \alpha_T(q) \left\{ \frac{\delta_{ij} - \frac{q_i q_j}{q^2}}{2} \right\}; \quad (8)$$

longitudinal transverse

where $q = |\boldsymbol{q}|$. We now consider the form factor

$$F(\boldsymbol{q}) = \int d^3r \exp(i\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}) e(\boldsymbol{r}) \quad (9)$$

associated with the effective charge density

$$\rho_e(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (10)$$

Inserting Eq. (10) into Eq. (9), performing a partial integration, and finally applying the definition of Eq. (7) with the specific form of Eq. (8), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{q}) &= F_0(\mathbf{q}) - \int_Z d^3r \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= F_0(\mathbf{q}) + i\mathbf{q} \cdot \int_Z d^3r \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= F_0(\mathbf{q}) + 4 \int_Z d^3r \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \epsilon_{ij}(\mathbf{r}) E_j \\ &= F_0(\mathbf{q}) + 4 i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{E}_L(\mathbf{q}) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Equation (11) nicely displays the connection between the longitudinal generalized polarizability $\epsilon_L(\mathbf{q})$ and the modification in the charge distribution. The general connection between the polarizability tensor $\epsilon_{ij}(\mathbf{r})$ and the generalized electric polarizabilities $\epsilon_L(\mathbf{q})$ and $\epsilon_T(\mathbf{q})$ of Eq. (8) reads⁸

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{ij}(\mathbf{r}) &= \epsilon_L(\mathbf{r}) \hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3r' \epsilon_T(\mathbf{r}') (\delta_{ij} - \hat{r}'_i \hat{r}'_j) \\ &\quad + \frac{3\hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j - \delta_{ij}}{r^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3r' [\epsilon_L(r') - \epsilon_T(r')] r'^2 dr' \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In particular, both generalized electric polarizabilities are required to fully describe the induced electric polarization. The transverse generalized electric polarizability $\epsilon_T(\mathbf{q})$ is associated with rotational displacements of charges which do not contribute to $\rho_e(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r})$. It is not contained in the approximation of Guichon et al.⁵.

The above classical considerations can easily be extended to nonrelativistic quantum mechanics. For example, for a simple harmonic oscillator model of the type

$$(M \neq 1; e) \quad (m; e) : V = \frac{1}{2} m \omega_0^2 r^2 \quad (13)$$

the form factor without external field reads

$$F_0(\mathbf{q}) = e^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{q^2}{4m\omega_0^2}\right) \quad (14)$$

It is straightforward to obtain an analytical expression for the form factor in the presence of a static uniform electric field E ,

$$F(\mathbf{q}) = e^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{q^2}{4m\omega_0^2}\right) \exp\left[ie \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{E}}{m\omega_0^2}\right]$$

$$= F_0(q) + 4 \text{iq} \text{E} \frac{e^2}{4 m \epsilon_0^2} \exp \frac{q^2}{4 \epsilon_0 m} + \dots : \quad (15)$$

As $q \rightarrow 0$, $\chi_L(q)$ reduces to the ordinary electric polarizability $\chi = e^2/(4 m \epsilon_0^2)$ which is commonly interpreted as a measure of the stiffness or rigidity of a system⁹.

4 Compton Tensor in a Nonrelativistic Framework

Before presenting the results for the GPs in the framework of Guichon et al.⁵, we provide a short outline of the ingredients entering the calculation of the Compton tensor¹⁰. The starting point is the nonrelativistic interaction Hamiltonian in the Schrodinger picture,

$$H_I = H_{I;1} + H_{I;2}; \quad (16)$$

$$H_{I;1} = \int d^3x J(\mathbf{x}) A(\mathbf{x}); \quad (17)$$

$$H_{I;2} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \int d^3x^0 B(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}^0) A(\mathbf{x}) A(\mathbf{x}^0); \quad (18)$$

where $A(\mathbf{x})$ is the second-quantized photon field and

$$J(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{e}{2m} \nabla^2 (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}_i) \frac{\mathbf{r}_i}{r_i} \sim \frac{\mathbf{r}_i}{r_i} + \dots; \quad (19)$$

$$B(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^N e \nabla^2 (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}_i); \quad (20)$$

$$B^{00} = B^{0i} = 0; \quad B^{ij}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}^0) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{e^2}{m} \nabla^2 (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}_i) \nabla^2 (\mathbf{x}^0 - \mathbf{r}_j); \quad (21)$$

The Compton tensor is obtained by calculating the contributions of $H_{I;2}$ and $H_{I;1}$ in first-order and second-order perturbation theory, respectively,

$$M_{fi}(q^0; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{p}) = S_{fi}(q^0; \mathbf{q}) + T_{fi}(q^0; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{p}); \quad (22)$$

where $\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{p}_i + \mathbf{p}_f) = 2\mathbf{p}$. The contribution from the "seagull" terms is given by

$$S_{fi}^0 = S_{fi}^i = 0; \quad S_{fi}^{ij} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{e^2}{m} e^{i(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}^0) \cdot \mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{ij}; \quad (23)$$

where \mathbf{r}^0 refers to the intrinsic coordinates of particle relative to the center of mass. Note that S_{fi} is symmetric under photon crossing, $\mathbf{q} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{q}^0$ and $\mathbf{p} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{p}^0$. The explicit form of the direct and crossed channels reads

$$T_{fi} = \sum_X \frac{\langle \mathbf{q}^0; 2\mathbf{p}_f + \mathbf{q}^0 | \mathcal{H} | X \rangle \langle X | \mathcal{H} | \mathbf{q}; 2\mathbf{p}_i + \mathbf{q} \rangle}{E_f(\mathbf{p}_f) + i\epsilon - E_X(\mathbf{p}_f + \mathbf{q}^0)} + \text{crossed-channel sum}; \quad (24)$$

where every single intermediate state X gives rise to a contribution which is explicitly symmetric under photon crossing. This will be of some importance in checking the predictions for the GPs. For further evaluation, the current operator is divided into intrinsic and cm. contributions^{11,12}

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{p}) = \mathcal{J}^{\text{in}}(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{M} \cdot \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{q}); \quad \mathcal{J}_0(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{p}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{q}); \quad (25)$$

5 Generalized Polarizabilities in a Constituent Quark Model

Neither S_{fi} nor T_{fi} of Eqs. (23) and (24), respectively, is separately gauge invariant. Thus, before calculating the GPs we divide M_{fi} into two separately gauge-invariant contributions. The first consists of the ground-state propagation in the direct and crossed channels together with an appropriately chosen term to satisfy gauge invariance. The residual part contains the relevant structure information from which one obtains the GPs.

For the calculation of the GPs we make use of two different expansion schemes. The first consists of a conventional nonrelativistic $1/M$ expansion. The advantage of this approach is that, at leading order in $1/M$, the result does not depend on the average nucleon momentum $\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{p}_i + \mathbf{p}_f)/2$. In the cm. frame $\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}^0)/2$ such that naive photon crossing (implying the replacement of any $\mathbf{q} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{q}^0$) would turn \mathbf{p} into $-\mathbf{p}$. However, at leading order in $1/M$, naive photon crossing is the same as true photon crossing which, at that order, leads to constraints for the GPs as $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathcal{J}(-\mathbf{q})$ (see Ref.¹⁰ for details).

Here we present the results of a second scheme used by Liu et al. in Ref.¹³. There, the crossed-channel contribution is divided into a leading plus a recoil part which is defined via matrix elements depending on both \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{q}^0 . Furthermore, in order to incorporate, to some extent, effects due to relativity, relativistic expressions for the energies of the intermediate states were used. Since particle crossing is not a symmetry of the nonrelativistic constituent quark model (NRCQM), the results do not satisfy the relations among the ten GPs which were found in Refs.⁷.

The calculation is performed in the framework of the model of Isgur and Karl¹⁴, where the (1232) resonance and low-lying negative-parity baryons

D_{13} (1520), S_{11} (1535), S_{31} (1620), S_{11} (1650), S_{13} (1700), D_{33} (1700) have been included. In this model gauge invariance is violated first because of a mismatch between the resonance masses of the intermediate states and the corresponding wave functions and second because of a truncation of the model space. On the other hand, photon crossing symmetry is respected, because for each diagram the crossed diagram is taken into account.

6 Results

The numerical results for six of the GPs are shown in Fig. 2 together with the predictions of the linear sigma model¹⁵ (dashed lines), heavy-baryon chiral perturbation theory¹⁶ (dashed-dotted lines), an effective Lagrangian model¹⁷ (dotted lines), and dispersion relations¹⁸ (full thin lines). For $|\vec{q}| \neq 0$, the GPs and reduce to the ordinary RCS polarizabilities and . In the Isgur-Karl model, these polarizabilities receive their main contributions from the resonances D_{13} (1520) and (1232), respectively. In case of the spin GPs $P^{(01;01)1}$ and $P^{(11;02)1}$, the NRCQM generates much smaller values than the linear model¹⁵ and chiral perturbation theory¹⁶. This is interpreted as a consequence of missing pionic degrees of freedom .

For the generalized electric polarizability

$$\langle \vec{q} | \vec{q} \rangle = \frac{e^2}{4} \frac{r}{2} P^{(01;01)0}(\vec{q});$$

our result is in agreement with Ref. 13. Discrepancies in $P^{(01;01)1}$, $P^{(11;11)1}$, and $P^{(01;12)1}$ were found to originate from the contributions of the crossed channel relative to the direct one (for details, see Ref. 10).

The results for those structure functions that can be accessed in an unpolarized experiment, are shown in Table 1. The values of $\langle \vec{q} | \vec{q} \rangle$ correspond to the RCS limit, MIT-Bates¹⁹ and MAMI¹ kinematics, respectively. Table 2

Table 1. Structure functions P_{LL} , P_{TT} , and P_{LT} in GeV^{-2} .

	P_{LL}	P_{TT}	P_{LT}
$ \vec{q} = 0 \text{ MeV}$	37.0	0.1	11.2
$ \vec{q} = 240 \text{ MeV}$	28.7	2.8	8.8
$ \vec{q} = 600 \text{ MeV}$	9.9	5.8	3.2

contains a comparison of our results with experiment¹.




Figure 2. Results for GPs in different model calculations as function of squared momentum transfer at $\theta^0 = 0$, $Q_0^2 = Q^2 \sin^2 \theta_0$. Full thick lines: our results in the NRCQM with the scheme of Ref. ¹³; dashed lines: linear sigma model¹⁵; dashed-dotted lines: heavy-baryon chiral perturbation theory¹⁶; dotted lines: effective Lagrangian model¹⁷; full thin lines: dispersion-relation calculation¹⁸. Note the scaling of the CQM value for two spin polarizabilities by a factor 100.

7 Summary

Low-energy virtual Compton scattering opens the door to a new and rich realm of issues regarding the structure and dynamics of composite systems – namely, what is the local deformation of a system exposed to a (soft) external stimulus.

Table 2. Structure functions P_{LL} , P_{TT} and P_{LT} for $qj = 600 \text{ MeV}$ in GeV^2 ($q = 0.62$).

	P_{LL}	P_{TT}	P_{LT}
Our calculation	19.2		3.2
Experiment ¹		23.7	5.0
	2.2	0.6	4.3
			0.8
			1.1
			1.4

In an expansion in terms of the energy of the final-state real photon and the three-momentum of the initial-state virtual photon, the GLET specifies the accuracy needed to be sensitive to higher-order terms in order to distinguish between different models. This structure information beyond the GLET can be parametrized in terms of generalized polarizabilities. To some extent, these quantities have their analogies in classical physics and can be interpreted in terms of the induced electric polarization and magnetization. We discussed the virtual Compton scattering tensor in the framework of nonrelativistic QM and made use of the model of Isgur and Karl to obtain predictions for the GPs of the proton. The model does not provide the relations among the GPs due to nucleon crossing in combination with charge conjugation. Clearly, the calculation has its limitations regarding relativity, gauge invariance and chiral symmetry, leaving room for improvement in any of the above-mentioned directions. Despite these shortcomings, the predictions provide an order-of-magnitude estimate for the nucleon resonance contributions and as such are complementary to the results of the linear sigma model and chiral perturbation theory that emphasize pion degrees of freedom and chiral symmetry.

Acknowledgments

S. Scherer would like to thank A. I. L'vov for numerous interesting and fruitful discussions. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 443).

References

1. J. Roche et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 708 (2000).
2. See, e.g., S. Scherer, Czech J. Phys. 49, 1307 (1999), and references therein.
3. F. E. Low, Phys. Rev. 96, 1428 (1954); M. Gell-Mann and M. L. Goldberger, *ibid.*, 1433 (1954).

4. A. Klein, *Phys. Rev.* 99, 998 (1955).
5. P. A. M. Guichon, G. Q. Liu, and A. W. Thomas, *Nucl. Phys. A* 591, 606 (1995).
6. S. Scherer, A. Yu. Korchin, and J. H. Koch, *Phys. Rev. C* 54, 904 (1996).
7. D. Drechsel, G. Knochlein, A. Metz, and S. Scherer, *Phys. Rev. C* 55, 424 (1997); D. Drechsel, G. Knochlein, A. Yu. Korchin, A. Metz, and S. Scherer, *ibid.* 57, 941 (1998); *ibid.* 58, 1751 (1998).
8. A. I. L'vov, S. Scherer, B. Pasquini, C. Unkmeier, and D. Drechsel, hep-ph/0103172, to appear in *Phys. Rev. C* 64, (2001).
9. B. R. Holstein, *Comments Nucl. Part. Phys.* 19, 221 (1990).
10. B. Pasquini, S. Scherer, and D. Drechsel, *Phys. Rev. C* 63, 025205 (2001).
11. J. L. Friar, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* 95, 170 (1975).
12. H. Arenhovel, *NATO Advanced Study Institute on New Vistas in Electro-Nuclear Physics, 1985, Banff, Alberta (Plenum Press, New York, 1986)*.
13. G. Q. Liu, A. W. Thomas, and P. A. M. Guichon, *Aust. J. Phys.* 49, 905 (1996).
14. N. Isgur and G. Karl, *Phys. Rev. D* 18, 4187 (1978).
15. A. Metz and D. Drechsel, *Z. Phys. A* 356, 351 (1996); *ibid.* 359, 165 (1997).
16. T. R. Hemmert, B. R. Holstein, G. Knochlein, and S. Scherer, *Phys. Rev. D* 55, 2630 (1997); *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 79, 22 (1997); T. R. Hemmert, B. R. Holstein, G. Knochlein, and D. Drechsel, *Phys. Rev. D* 62, 014013 (2000).
17. A. Yu. Korchin and O. Scholten, *Phys. Rev. C* 58, 1098 (1998).
18. B. Pasquini, D. Drechsel, M. Gorchtein, A. Metz, and M. Vanderhaeghen, *Phys. Rev. C* 62, 052201 (2000); hep-ph/0102335, submitted to *Eur. Phys. J.*
19. J. Shaw et al, MIT Bates proposal No. 97-03, 1997.