## Nucleon dynamics in e ective eld theory of nuclear forces

Renat KhGainutdinov and Aigul AM utygullina

Departm ent of Physics, Kazan State University, 18 Krem levskaya St, Kazan 420008, Russia

(Dated: April 16, 2024)

Low energy nucleon dynamics in the elective eld theory (EFT) of nuclear forces is investigated by using the form alism of the generalized quantum dynamics (GQD). This form alism is based on a generalized dynamical equation derived as the most general equation of motion consistent with the current concepts of quantum theory and allows one to extend quantum dynamics to the case where the fundamental interaction in a quantum system is nonlocal in time. The Schrödinger equation follows from this equation in the case where the interaction in a system is instantaneous. We show that the elective theory of nuclear forces gives rise to low energy nucleon dynamics which is governed by the generalized dynamical equation with a nonlocal-in-time interaction operator. This operator is shown to provide a natural parametrization of the interaction of nucleons and can be derived order by order by using methods of subtractive EFT's. We show that the use of the GQD for describing nucleon dynamics allows one to form ulate the EFT of nuclear forces as a completely consistent theory with a well-de ned equation of motion which does not require renorm alization.

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

E ective eld theories (EFT's) are an important tool for computing physical quantities in theories with disparate energy scales [1-2]. Following the early work of W einberg and others [3-7], the EFT approach has becom e very popular in nuclear physics [8,9]. To describe low energy processes involving nucleons and pions, all operators consistent with the symmetries of QCD are included in an e ective Lagrangian. A fundam ental di culty is that such a Lagrangian yields graphs which are divergent, and gives rise to singular quantum mechanical potentials. To resolve this problem one has to use som e renorm alization procedure which regulates the integrals and subtracts the in nities in a systematic way. However, as is well known, there are not any equations for renorm alized am plitudes in subtractive EFT's. For this reason in som e EFT's (see, for example, Refs.[6,10,11]) nite cut-o regularization is used. Nevertheless, even when it is assumed that the cut-o has a physicalm eaning renorm alization is required to render such theories consistent, and certain cut-o -dependent terms have to be absorbed into theories constants before determ ining them from empirical data.

A nother problem of the EFT approach is that one cannot param etrize the interaction of nucleons, by using some Lagrangian or Ham iltonian. In fact, knowing the e ective Lagrangian is not su cient to compute results for physical quantities. In addition, one needs to specify a way to make the physical predictions nite. The counterterms of renormalization involve additional unknown param eters. A renormalization scheme allow one to use experimentally determ ined param eters instead of the above unknown ones. Thus for computing physical quantities one needs not only knowing the elective Lagrangian of the theory but also knowing some exper-

in entally determ ined param eters. The nucleon-nucleon (NN) interaction cannot also be parametrized by using the singular potential produced by the above e ective Lagrangian: These potentials do not make sense without regularization and renorm alization. On the other hand, if the EFT approach, as it is widely believed, is able to provide a fundam ental description of the interactions of nucleons at low energies, one can hope that it will give rise to param etrization of these interactions by an interaction operators being so fundam ental as the Coulom b potential that param etrizes the interaction of charged particles in low energy QED. In the quantum mechanics of particles interacting via the Coulomb potential, which is an example of an e ective theory, one deals with well-de ned interaction Ham iltonian and the Schrodinger equation governing the dynam ics of the theory. This theory is internally consistent and provides an excellent description of atom ic phenom ena at low energies. It is natural to expect that the EFT of nuclear forces is also able to provide such a fundam ental description of nuclear phenom ena at low energies. In particular, one can expect that this theory will allow one not only to calculate scattering am plitudes but also to construct the evolution operator describing the dynam ics of nucleon system s. In the present paper we show that recent developm ents in quantum theory perm it such a form ulation of the e ective theory of nuclear forces.

The above problem of subtractive EFT's is the same that arises in any quantum eld theory with UV divergences: Regularization and renorm alization allow one to render the physical predictions nite, how ever, it is im possible to construct a renorm alized H am iltonian acting on the Fock space, i.e. after renorm alization the dynam ics of the theory is not governed by the Schrodinger equation. At the same time, in Ref.[12] it has been shown that the Schrodinger equation is not the most general dynam ical equation consistent with the current concepts of quantum physics, and a more general equation of motion has been derived as a consequence of the Feynm an [13] and canonical approaches to quantum theory. Be-

E lectronic address: Renat G ainutdinov@ksu.ru

ing equivalent to the Schrödinger equation in the case of instantaneous interactions, this generalized dynam icalequation perm its the generalization to the case where the dynam ics of a quantum system is generated by a nonlocal-in-time interaction. It has been shown [12] that a generalized quantum dynam ics (GQD) developed in this way provides a new insight into the problem of UV divergences.

It should be noted that in the Ham iltonian form alism the interaction generating the dynamics of an isolated system cannot be nonlocal in time in principle. In fact, such a nonlocality of the interaction should be associated with an energy dependence of the interaction Ham iltonian, despite H am iltonian itself is an operator representing the total energy of the system . This conceptual problem manifests itself in the fact that energy-dependent Ham iltonians are not Herm itean, and, as a result, the evolution of the system is not unitary. Such peculiarities are typical in de nitions of renorm alized interaction Ham iltonians (see, for example, Refs.[14,15]). The reason for this is quite obvious. The Schrodinger equation is local in time, and the interaction Ham iltonian describes an instantaneous interaction. Hence the introduction of a nonlocal-in-time (energy dependent) interaction H am iltonian into the Schrodinger equation leads to an internal inconsistency of the theory. A remarkable feature of the generalized dynamical equation is that it provides the extension of the theory to the case of such interactions.

Quantum mechanics is one of the basic ingredients of quantum eld theory (EFT). For this reason one may expect that in nonrelativistic lim it the Schrodinger equation can be used as an equation of motion in the EFT of nuclear forces. However, this is not true, since within the fram ework of this theory the Schrodinger equation does not make a since without regularization and renorm alization. M eanwhile, as has been shown in Ref.[12], only the generalized dynam ical equation must be satis ed in general. This gives us the hope that this equation can provide a perfectly satisfactory description of low energy nucleon dynam ics in the e ective theory of nuclear forces. In the present paper we show that in leading order of the EFT approach low energy nucleon dynamics is governed by the generalized dynam ical equation with a nonlocalin-tim e interaction operator. M oreover, this dynam ics is just the same as in the case of our model with a separable interaction operator [12, 16] that was used as a test m odel illustrating the possibility of going beyond H am iltonian dynamics provided by the GQD. As we show in the present paper, in the case of the quantum mechanics of nucleons at low energies, one has to deal with such a non-Hamiltonian dynamics. In Sec.III this is proved precisely in leading order of the EFT approach. We will show that the leading order contact component of the two-nucleon T-m atrix obtained by sum ming bubble diagram s, coincides with the T-m atrix of the above m odel in the particular case where the form factor in the separable interaction operator is of the form '(p) = 1. This T-matrix has the properties that are at variance with

the ordinary requirements of quantum mechanics, and does not satisfy the Lippmann-Schwinger (LS) equation. At the same time, this T-matrix is the solution of the generalized interaction operator with a nonlocal-in-time interaction operator, and this operator parametrizes the contact component of the NN interaction in leading order.

In Sec.IV we will investigate the features of low energy nucleon dynamics in leading order of the EFT approach. The possibility to extend our results to higher order will be investigated in Sec.V by using the example of the EFT of short-range forces developed by van Kolck [14]. W e will show that in next-to-leading order, the dynam ics of this theory is also governed by the generalized dynam ical equation with a nonlocal-in-time interaction operator. By using this operator as an example, we will dem onstrate how one can construct order by order the interaction operator param etrizing the NN interaction in the e ective eld theory of nuclear forces. The new possibilities that the GQD opens for practical calculations in the EFT approach will be discussed in Sec. VI. The advantages of this form alism become apparent in the case where num erical calculations are needed, i.e. in the case where the long-range components of the NN interactions are taken into account. It will be shown that for solving the evolution problem in this case the generalized dynam ical equation can be reduced to an integral equation that does not require regularization and renorm alization, and is so convenient for num erical calculations as the LS equation.

### II. GENERALIZED QUANTUM DYNAM ICS

Let us brie y review the main features of the form alism of the GQD developed in Ref.[12]. As is well known, the basic concept of the canonical form alism of quantum mechanics is that the theory can be formulated in terms of vectors of a H ibert space and operators acting on this space. This form alism rests on the postulates, which establish the connection between these mathematical obfects and observables and prescribe how to compute the probability of an event. In the canonical form alism they are used together with the dynam ical postulate according to which the time evolution of a quantum system is governed by the Schrodinger equation. At the same time, in the Feynman formalism [13] quantum theory is form ulated in term sofprobability am plitudes without resorting to the vectors and operators acting on a Hilbert space. In this approach the follow ing assumption is used as the rst basic postulate:

The probability of an event is the absolute square of a complex number called the probability amplitude. The joint probability amplitude of a time-ordered sequence of events is product of the separate probability amplitudes of each of these events. The probability amplitude of an event which can happen in several dierent ways is a sum of the probability amplitudes for each of these ways. A coording to this assumption, the probability am plitude of an event which can happen in several di erent ways is a sum of contributions from each alternative way. In particular, the am plitude  $\langle 2jJ(t;t_0)j_1 \rangle$ , being the probability of nding the quantum system in the state  $j_2 \rangle$  at time t, if at time  $t_0$  it was in the state  $j_1 \rangle$ , can be represented as a sum of contributions from all alternative ways of realization of the corresponding evolution process. D ividing these alternatives in di erent classes, we can then analyze such a probability am plitude in different ways. For example, subprocesses with de nite instants of the beginning and end of the interaction in the system can be considered as such alternatives. In this way the am plitude  $\langle 2jJ(t;t_0)j_1 \rangle$  can be written in the form [12]

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array} \right) j_{1} > = < 2 j_{1} > \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array} \right) j_{1} > = < 2 j_{1} > \\ & & \\ &$$

where  $< _2 \mathfrak{F}(t_2;t_1) j_1 >$  is the probability amplitude that if at time  $t_1$  the system was in the state  $j_1 >$ ; then the interaction in the system will begin at time  $t_1$  and will end at time  $t_2$ ; and at this time the system will be in the state  $j_2 >$ : Here the interaction picture is used.

A coording to the above postulate the probability am plitude <  ${}_{2}$  5 (t<sub>2</sub>;t<sub>1</sub>) j  ${}_{1}$  > can itself be represented by the sum of am plitudes for each of the ways in which the subprocess with completely specied instants of the beginning and end of the interaction in a quantum system can happen. How ever, som e supplem entary assum ptions about the history of the system are needed. In the Feynm an approach it is assumed that this history can be represented by some path in space-time. In this case the am plitude <  $_{2}$   $\mathfrak{f}$  (t<sub>2</sub>;t<sub>1</sub>)  $\mathfrak{j}_{1}$  > can be represented by the sum of contributions from all paths corresponding to processes in which the interaction begins at  $t_1$  and ends at  $t_2$ . If we assume also that the contribution from a single path is an exponential whose (im arginary) phase is the classical action for this path (the second postulate of Feynm an's theory) and substitute the expression obtained in this manner into Eq.(1), we arrive at Feynman's sum over-paths form ula for the transitions amplitudes. At the same time, in the form alism of the GQD the history of a quantum system is represented by the version of the time evolution of the system associated with completely specied instants of the beginning and end of the interaction in the system . Such a description of the history of a system is more general and require no supplem entary postulates like the second postulate of the Feynm an formalism. On the other hand, the probability amplitudes  $_{2}$   $f(t_{2};t_{1})$   $j_{1} >$ , in terms of which the evolution of < a system is described within the GQD, are used in the spirit of Feynm an's theory: The probability am plitude of any event is represented as a sum of this am plitudes. In Ref.[12] it has been shown that the use of the operator form alism of the canonical approach allows one to derive a relation for the amplitudes  $< \frac{1}{2}$  (t<sub>2</sub>;t<sub>1</sub>) j<sub>1</sub> > which can be regarded as an equation of m otion.

By using the operator form alism , we can represent the probability amplitudes <  $_2$  JJ (t<sub>2</sub>;t<sub>1</sub>)j<sub>1</sub> > by the matrix elements of the evolution operator, which must be unitary

$$U^{+}(t;t_{0})U(t;t_{0}) = U(t;t_{0})U^{+}(t;t_{0}) = 1;$$
 (2)

and must satisfy the composition law

$$U(t_{j}t_{0}^{0})U(t_{j}^{0};t_{0}) = U(t_{j};t_{0}); U(t_{0};t_{0}) = 1:$$
 (3)

M eanwhile,  $S'(t_2;t_1)$  whose matrix elements are <  $_2 \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{F}}(t_2;t_1) \overset{\circ}{j}_1 > m$  ay be only an operator-valued gengralized function of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , since only U  $(t;t_0) = 1 + R_t R_{t_2} R_{t_2} R_{t_2} t_0 dt_1 S'(t_2;t_1) m$  ust be an operator on the H ilbert space. N evertheless, it is convenient to call  $S'(t_2;t_1)$  an "operator" by using this word in a generalized sense. In the case of an isolated system the operator  $S'(t_2;t_1)$  can be represented in the form

$$S(t_2;t_1) = \exp(iH_0t_2)T(t_2 t_1)\exp(iH_0t_1):$$
 (4)

As has been shown in Ref.[12], for the evolution operator U  $(t;t_0)$  given by (1) to be unitary for any times  $t_0$  and t, the operator  $S(t_2;t_1)$  must satisfy the following equation:

A remarkable feature of this equation is that it works as a recurrence relation, and allow some to obtain  $S(t_2;t_1)$  for any t<sub>1</sub> and t<sub>2</sub>, if it is known in an in nitesim alneighborhood of the point  $t_2 = t_1$ . Since the operators  $S(t_2; t_1)$ describe the contributions to the evolution operator from the processes in which the interaction in the system begins at  $t_1$  and ends at  $t_2$ , the above m eans that in order to construct the evolution operator it is su cient to know the contributions to this operator from the processes with in nitesim alduration time of interaction. It is natural to associate these processes with the fundam ental interaction in the system under study. This make it possible to use the relation (5) as a dynamical equation. One needs only to specify the boundary condition determ ining the behavior of  $S(t_2;t_1)$  in the limit  $t_2 ! t_1$  and hence containing the dynam ical inform ation about the system . Denoting the contribution to the evolution operator from the processes associated with the fundam ental interaction by  $H_{int}(t_2;t_1)$ , such a boundary condition can be written in the form

$$S'(t_2;t_1) \underset{t_2 ! t_1}{!} H_{int}(t_2;t_1) + o();$$
(6)

where =  $t_2$   $t_1$ . The parameter " is determined by demanding that  $H_{int}(t_2;t_1)$  must be so close to the solution of Eq.(5) in the limit  $t_2$ !  $t_1$  that this equation has a unique solution having the behavior (6) near the point  $t_2 = t_1 \cdot W$  ithin the GQD the operator  $H_{int}(t_2;t_1)$  plays the same role as the interaction H am iltonian in the ordinary formulation of quantum theory: It generates the dynam ics of a system. Being a generalization of the interaction H am iltonian, this operator is called the generalized interaction operator.

The operator H <sub>int</sub> (t<sub>2</sub>;t<sub>1</sub>) describes fundamental processes, starting from which, one can construct the evolution operator. In this process the system in the state j<sub>1</sub> > evolves freely up to some time t when, as a result of the interaction, the state of the system is jumps abruptly into the state j<sub>2</sub> >, and then the system evolves freely again. The contribution from this process into the evolution operator is of the form  $(t_2 \ t_1) < _2$  A  $(t_1) j_1 >$ , where the delta-function is needed for this contribution to be nonzero. Thus in this case the interaction operator should be of the form

$$H_{int}(t_2;t_1) = (t_2 t_1)A(t_1)$$
: (7)

As has been shown in Ref.[12], the dynam ical equation (5) with the boundary condition given by (6) and (7) is equivalent to the Schrodinger equation with the interaction H am iltonian H<sub>I</sub>(t) = i=2A (t). Thus the dynam ics governed by Eq.(5) is equivalent to H am iltonian dynam – ics in the case where the generalized interaction operator is of the form

$$H_{int}(t_2;t_1) = 2i(t_2 t_1)H_1(t_1)$$
: (8)

Correspondingly the interaction operator in the Schrodinger picture

$$H_{int}^{(s)}(t_2 t_1) = \exp(iH_0t_2)H_{int}(t_2;t_1)\exp(iH_0t_1)$$

has the form

$$H_{int}^{(s)}() = 2i()H_{I};$$
 (9)

where  $H_{I} = \exp(iH_{0}t)H_{I}(t)\exp(iH_{0}t)$ . In this case the interaction generating the dynam ics is instantaneous. On the other hand, from both the physical and mathematical points of view there are no reasons to restrict ourselves to the case where the interaction operator is of the form (8). From the mathematical point of view, the boundary condition (6) with the operator  $H_{int}(t_2;t_1)$ given by (8) is not only possible boundary condition for Eq.(5). From the physical point of view, this equation is a unique consequence of the representation (1) and the unitarity condition (2). The representation (1) in turn is a consequence of the rst Feynm an postulate that, as is well known, is form ulated as a result of the analysis of the phenom enon of the quantum interference and hence is one of the most fundamental postulate of quantum theory. Thus Eq.(5) is a unique consequence of the rst principles and can be considered as the most general dynam ical equation consistent with the current concepts of quantum theory. Note, in this connection, that no new fundam ental concepts and postulates are used in the formalism of the GQD. A novelty of this form alism consists

in the fact that some basic postulates of the Feynm an and canonical approaches to quantum theory are used in combination. This allows one to form ulate the theory in terms of the operators  $S'(t_2;t_1)$ . As has been shown in Ref.[12], theory provides a more detailed description of the dynamics of a quantum system then the description directly in terms of the evolution operators, as in the case of the canonical form alism, or in term sofFeynm an's path am plitudes. In the case where the interaction operator is of the form (8), i.e. the interaction is instantaneous, the Schrödinger equation for the evolution operator

$$i\frac{dU(t;t_0)}{dt} = H_I(t)U(t;t_0)$$

and Feynman's sum-over-paths formula follow from the representation (1) and Eq.(5). At the same time, the dynam ical equation perm its the generalization to the case where the interaction is nonlocal-in-time, i.e. the time durations of the interaction in the fundam ental processes that determ ine the dynam ics of a system are not zero. In this case the dynamics depends not only on the form of the operator H  $_{\rm int}^{\rm (S)}$  ( ) but also on its dependence upon the duration time of the interaction. However, as we have seen, only the behavior H  $_{\rm int}^{\rm (s)}$  ( ) in the limit ~!~ 0 is relevant: K now ing the behavior of H  $_{\rm int}^{\rm (s)}$  ( ) in the innitesim alneighborhood of the point = 0 is su cient to construct the evolution operator by using Eq.(5). Thus within the GQD we deal with a new type of nonlocality. In fact, the ordinary way of nonlocalization of a quantum eld theory consists in introducing a nonlocal form factors that depend on parameters determining a scale of nonlocality. As for the operator H  $_{int}$  (t<sub>2</sub>;t<sub>1</sub>), only its values in the in nitesim al neighborhood of the point  $t_2 = t_1$  are relevant and hence the scale of its nonlocality in time is in nitesimally small. Thus in this case we deal with som e quasilocal operators. This is very im portant from the point of view of applications to QFT where nonlocalization aim ed at resolving the problem of the UV divergences leads to a loss of covariance of the theory. In Ref.[17] it has been shown that after renorm alization the dynam ics of the three-dim ensional theory of a neutral scalar eld interacting through a '  $^4$  coupling is governed by the generalized dynam ical equation (5) with a nonlocal-in-tim e interaction operator.

In order that the dynamical equation (5) with the boundary condition (6) have a unique solution, the operator  $H_{int}(t_2;t_1)$  must be su ciently close to its relevant solution. This means that this operator must satisfy the condition

Note that the value of the parameter depends on the form of the operator  $H_{int}(t_2;t_1)$ : Since  $S(t_2;t_1)$  and  $H_{int}(t_2;t_1)$  are only operator-valued distributions, the mathematical meaning of the conditions (6) and (10)

needs to be clari ed. W e will assume that the condition (6) m eans that

$$Z_{t} Z_{t_{2}}$$

$$< _{2j} dt_{2} dt_{1}S(t_{2};t_{1})j_{1} > !$$

$$Z_{t} Z_{t_{2}}^{t_{0}}$$

$$< _{2j} dt_{2} dt_{1}H_{int}(t_{2};t_{1})j_{1} > +o( ^{+2});$$

$$t_{0} t_{0} t_{0}$$

for any vectors j  $_1$  > and j  $_2$  > of the Hilbert space. The condition (10) has to be considered in the same sense.

If  $H_{int}(t_2;t_1)$  is specified, Eq.(5) allows one to find the operator  $S'(t_2;t_1)$ : Formula (1) can then be used to construct the evolution operator U  $(t;t_0)$  and accordingly the state vector

$$j (t) > = j (t_0) > + dt_2 dt_1 S'(t_2;t_1) j (t_0) > (11)$$

at any time t: Thus Eq.(5) can be regarded as an equation of motion for states of a quantum system. By using (1) and (4), the evolution operator can be represented in the form

< 
$$n_2 j j (t; t_0) j_1 > = < n_2 j_1 > + \frac{i}{2} \int_{1}^{2} dx$$
  

$$\frac{exp[i(z = E_{n_2})t]exp[i(z = E_{n_1})t_0]}{(z = E_{n_2})(z = E_{n_1})}$$
<  $n_2 j r (z) j_1 > ;$  (12)

where z = x + iy, y > 0, and

< 
$$n_2 JT (z) Jn_1 > = i d exp(iz) < n_2 JT () Jn_1 > :0 (13)$$

Here  $j_n > are the eigenvectors of the free H am iltonian H_0, i.e. H_0 j_n > = E_n j_n >, and n stands for the entire set of discrete and continuous variables characterizing the system in full. From (12), for the evolution operator in the Schrödinger picture, we get$ 

$$U_{s}(t;0) = \frac{i}{2} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dx \exp(izt)G(z); \quad (14)$$

where

< 
$$n_2 j_{5} (z) j_{1} > = \frac{\langle n_2 j_{1} \rangle}{z E_{n_1}} + \frac{\langle n_2 j_{1} (z) j_{1} \rangle}{(z E_{n_2}) (z E_{n_1})}$$
:  
(15)

Eq.(15) is the well-known expression establishing the connection between the evolution operator and the G reen operator G (z) and can be regarded as the de nition of the operator G (z).

The equation of motion (5) is equivalent to the follow – ing equation for the T-m atrix [12]:

$$\frac{d < n_2 f(z) \dot{f}_1 >}{dz} = \prod_{n=1}^{X} \frac{\langle n_2 f(z) \dot{f}_1 > \langle n f(z) \dot{f}_1 >}{(z - E_n)^2};$$
(16)

with the boundary condition

< 
$$n_2 J_1 (z) j_1 > ! < n_2 J_2 (z) j_1 > + o(j_2 j);$$
  
 $j_2 j_1 = 1$ 
(17)

where = 1 + ; and

< 
$$n_2 \beta$$
 (z)  $\dot{n}_1$  > = i d exp(iz) <  $n_2 \beta$  ()  $\dot{n}_1$  >;

f () being an arbitrary operator that has the following behavior in the limit ! 0:

Note in this connection that, while we de ne the operator H<sub>int</sub>(t<sub>2</sub>;t<sub>1</sub>) for any times t<sub>1</sub> and t<sub>2</sub>, only its values for in nitesimal duration times = t<sub>2</sub> t<sub>1</sub> of interaction are relevant: K nowing the behavior of H<sub>int</sub>(t<sub>2</sub>;t<sub>1</sub>) in the in nitesimal neighborhood of the point t<sub>2</sub> = t<sub>1</sub> is su cient to construct the evolution operator by solving Eq.(5). The two interaction operator H<sub>int</sub>(t<sub>2</sub>;t<sub>1</sub>) and H<sup>0</sup><sub>int</sub>(t<sub>2</sub>;t<sub>1</sub>) are dynam ically equivalent, provided

$$H_{int}(t_{2};t_{1}) = H_{int}^{0}(t_{2};t_{1}) + o((t_{2} t_{1})^{"}); ! 0;$$

In fact these operators lead to the same solution of Eq.(5), i.e. generate the same dynamics. Correspondingly knowing the behavior of B (z) in the lim it  $\frac{1}{2}$  j! 1 is su cient for obtaining a unique solution of Eq.(16). This behavior is uniquely determ ined by the behavior of H $_{\rm int}^{\rm (s)}$  ( ) in the limit ~!~ 0. At the same time, the operator H  $_{\rm int}^{\rm (s)}$  ( ) need not be such that the Fourier transform  $n_0^{(1)}$  d exp(iz) <  $n_2 H_{int}^{(s)}$ () $\dot{n}_1$  > exists, since it determ ine the behavior of the operator T ( ), for which such a Fourier transform must satisfy, only in the limit ! 0. Of course, one can use a dynam ical equivalent operator for which such a Fourier transform exists. The operators B () is an example of such operators. However, it is not convenient to dealw ith such interaction operators. In fact, in this case one has to take care of the behavfor of H  $_{\rm int}^{\rm (s)}$  ( ) not only in the limit  $\,$  ! 0 but also in the limit ! 1 . Nevertheless, form ally we can construct the operator B (z) for any z, by using the operator B ( ) that being dynam ically equivalent to the operator  $H_{int}^{(s)}$  ( ) satis es the above requirem ent.

It should be noted that the T - m atrix obtained by solving Eq.(16) satis es the following equation:

$$< n_{2} \texttt{f} (z_{1}) \texttt{j}_{1} > < n_{2} \texttt{f} (z_{2}) \texttt{j}_{1} > = X < n_{2} \texttt{f} (z_{2}) \texttt{j}_{1} > = (z_{2} z_{1}) \frac{X}{n} < \frac{(z_{2} \texttt{f} (z_{2}) \texttt{j}_{1} > (z_{1}) \texttt{j}_{1})}{(z_{2} \texttt{E}_{n}) (z_{1} \texttt{E}_{n})} : (18)$$

Below we will show that in some cases it is convenient to use this equation itself for obtaining the T-matrix. Note also that in general the interaction operator has the following form [16]:

$$H_{int}(t_2;t_1) = 2i(t_2 t_1)H_I(t_1) + H_{non}(t_2;t_1);$$

where the rst term on the right-hand side of this equation describes the instantaneous component of the interaction generating the dynamics of a quantum system, while the term  $H_{non}(t_2;t_1)$  represents its nonlocal-intim e component.

# III. NUCLEON DYNAM ICS IN LEAD ING ORDER OF THE EFT APPROACH

Let us now show that the leading order nucleon dynamics in the elective theory of nuclear forces is governed by the generalized dynam ical equation (5) with a nonlocalin-time interaction operator. For the sake of simplicity we will consider the nucleons as identical, spinless particles (describe by a eld ) with 3-m omenta Q much sm aller than their mass m, the mass di erence to their rst excited state, the pion mass , and the range of their interaction. Being straightforward, generalization to spin and nonidentical particles cannot give rise to an essential change of the dynam ical situation in a nucleon system. Thus we will consider the dynam ics of spinless particles at wave lengths which are large compared to the range of their interaction. Such a dynam ics can be described by the EFT of short-range forces developed by van Kolck [14]. In this theory particles are described by a eld , and the e ective Lagrangian involve arbitrary complicated operators of only and ifs derivatives. It is assumed these derivatives are associated with factors of 1=m, 1= , or 1= and, therefore, that the e ective Lagrangian can be written as an expansion in @=(m;;).

The e ective Lagrangian of the theory can be written as [14]

$$L = {}^{+} (i\theta_{0} + \frac{1}{2m}r^{2} + \frac{1}{8m^{3}}r^{4} + :::) \qquad \frac{1}{2}C_{0} {}^{+} {}^{+} \frac{1}{8}(C_{2} + C_{2}^{0})f^{+}(\dot{r})$$

$$r) {}^{+} (\dot{r} r) {}^{+} {}^{+} (\dot{r} r)^{2} g + \frac{1}{8}(C_{2} - C_{2}^{0}) {}^{+} r^{2}({}^{+}) + :::; \qquad (19)$$

where the  $C_{2n}^0$  s are parameters that depend on the details of the dynamics of range 1=M, where M characterizes the typical scale of all higher-energy e ects. C anonical quantization leads to fam iliar Feynm an rules, and the propagator at four-momentum p is given by

$$S(p^{0};p) = \frac{i}{p^{0} - \frac{p^{2}}{2m} + \frac{p^{4}}{8m^{3}} + \dots + i''}$$
 (20)

The four-contact interaction is given by  $iv(p;p^0)$ , with

$$v(p;p^{0}) = C_{0} + C_{2}(p^{2} + p^{0^{2}}) + 2C_{2}^{0}p \quad p^{0} + \dots;$$
 (21)

 $p(p^0)$  being the relativem on entum of the incoming (outgoing) particles. The problem can also be easily solved by using the time-ordered perturbation theory, since in this case we dealonly with the particles evolving forward in time. O by ously this way is more convenient for constructing the o -shell T-matrix.

Let us consider the two-particle system at energy  $E = \frac{k^2}{m} - \frac{k^4}{4m^3} + \dots$  in the center-ofm ass frame. The key point of the EFT approach is that the problem can be solved by expanding in the number of derivatives at the vertices or particle lines. In leading order one has to keep only the rst term C<sub>0</sub> in (21), and correspondingly only the rst two term s p<sup>0</sup> and  $\frac{p^2}{2m}$  in the propagator (20). In this case the two particles evolve according to the familiar nonrelativistic Schrodinger propagator

$$G_{0}(z) = \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{j_{k} > \langle kj}{z \frac{k^{2}}{m} + j''}$$
(22)

In this order the Lagrangian can be rew ritten in the form

$$L = {}^{+} (i \theta_0 + \frac{1}{2m} r^2) \qquad \frac{1}{2} C_0 {}^{+} {}^{+} : \qquad (23)$$

C onservation of particle number reduces the two-nucleon T-m atrix to a sum of bubble diagrams. The ultraviolet divergences can all be absorbed in the renormalized parameter  $C_0^{(R)}$ . Summing the bubbles to a geometric series, one gets the T-m atrix [14]

$$< p_2 JT^{(0)}(z) \dot{p}_1 > = \frac{1}{C_0^{(R)}} + \frac{im^{\frac{3}{2}} p_{\overline{z}}}{4} : (24)$$

W hat is interesting the T-m atrix given by (24) is exactly the same as in the model [12,16]. This model provides an example showing that the GQD allows one to extend quantum dynamics to the case where the interaction generating the dynamics of quantum system is nonlocal in time. Thus the model shows that the situation where the dynamics of a quantum system is generated by nonlocal-in-time interaction is possible in principle. From the above it follows that this possibility is realized in low energy nucleon dynamics. The same T-m atrix can be obtained via the LS equation with the potential

$$V(p_2; p_1) = @;$$
 (25)

by using som e regularization and renorm alization procedures. In order to show this fact, let us consider the evolution problem for two nonrelativistic particles in the cm s. A ssum e that the generalized interaction operator in the Schrodinger picture is of the form

$$< p_2 H_{int}^{(s)}() \dot{p}_1 > = ' (p_2)' (p_1) f();$$
 (26)

where f() is some function of the duration time of interaction, and the form factor ' (p) must have the follow ing asym ptotic behavior for pj! 1:

If the interaction is instantaneous, then f() =2i (), and

$$< p_2 \#_{int}^{(s)}()\dot{p}_1 > = 2i(t_2 t_1) < p_2 \# \dot{p}_1 >;$$
 (28)

 $< p_2 y \dot{p}_1 >$  being the separable potential

$$< p_2 j j j_2 = ' (p_2)' (p_1):$$
 (29)

The solution of the LS equation with this potential is

 $\frac{1}{2}$ , the potential (25) does not make In the case sense without renorm alization, since it gives rise to UV divergences. In fact, in this case the integral in (30) is not convergent, and one has to use som e regularization procedure. Using the dimensional regularization we can write the following expression for the regulated T-m atrix:

$$< p_{2} \mathbf{j}^{*}_{*} (z) \mathbf{\dot{p}}_{1} > = \mathbf{'} (\mathbf{\dot{p}}_{2})^{\mathbf{'}} (\mathbf{\dot{p}}_{1}) 
\frac{1}{\pi} + \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}^{*} \mathbf{k}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{\mathbf{j}^{*} (\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{\dot{f}}}{(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{E}_{k})}^{1} :$$
(31)

This expression can be rewritten in the form

$$< p_{2} \mathbf{j}^{*}_{*}(z) \mathbf{\dot{p}}_{1} > = \mathbf{'} (p_{2})\mathbf{'} (p_{1})$$

$$\frac{1}{C_{0}^{(")}} z^{Z} \frac{d^{3}^{*} \mathbf{k}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{\mathbf{j}^{*} (\mathbf{k})\mathbf{j}^{2}}{(z - E_{k})E_{k}} ; \qquad (32)$$

where  $(C_0^{(")})^1 = {}^{1} {}^{R} \frac{d^3 {}^{*}k}{(2)^3} \frac{j'(k)j^2}{E_k}$ . Let us use the scattering length a<sub>0</sub> as an additional experim ental inform ation that is needed for renorm alization. The param eter  $C_0^{(")}$  in the expression (32) for the T-m atrix is related to the scattering length by

$$a_0 = \frac{m}{4} C_0^{(")} j'(0) j^2$$
:

The above means that we have to x the value  $C_0^{(")}$  which we will denote by  $C_0^{(R)}$ . Now we can let "! 0 in Eq.(32), and get

It is easy to see that this renorm alized T-m atrix is not a solution of the LS equation with some potential because of the slow rate of decay of the form factor ' (p) as p! 1. Note in this connection that the renorm alized  $\frac{d^3 \quad "k}{(2)^3} \frac{j' \quad (k) \quad j^2}{E_k} )^{-1}$ strength of the potential  $= (C_0^{(")})$ tends to zero as "! 0. This means that after renormalization the interaction Hamiltonian is zero. This is a manifestation of the well-known fact that one cannot construct a renorm alized H am iltonian, and there are not any equations for renorm alized am plitudes within H am iltonian form alism . At the same time, one can easily verify that the T-m atrix given by (33) satis es the generalized dynamical equation (5). Moreover, as stated above, it coincides with the T-m atrix of the model [12,16]. In this m odel it is assumed that the generalized interaction operator is of the form (26). In this case,  $\langle p_2$   $\beta$  ( $t_2$ ; $t_1$ )  $\dot{p}_1 >$ can be represented in the form

$$< p_2 \mathbf{j} (t_2; t_1) \mathbf{p}_1 > = ' (p_2)' (p_1) \mathbf{s} (t_2; t_1):$$
 (34)

Correspondingly, the T-m atrix should be of the form

$$< p_2 \mathbf{j} (z) \mathbf{j}_1 > = ' (p_2)' (p_1) t(z);$$
 (35)

From (16) it follows that the function t(z) must satis es the equation

$$\frac{dt(z)}{dz} = t^{2}(z) \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{J(k)J}{(z-E_{k})^{2}}; \quad (36)$$

This equation can be rew ritten in the form

$$\frac{dt'(z)}{dz} = \frac{d^3k}{(2)^3} \frac{J'(k)J'}{(z - E_k)^2}$$
(37)

with  $t(z) = (t(z))^{1}$ . All solutions of Eq.(36) satisfying the condition

$$t(z) = t(z); z 2 (1;0);$$
 (38)

are physically realizable [12]. Each of them corresponds to the de nite function f ( ) in the generalized interaction operator (26). A swe have noted, only the asym ptotic behavior of the function f() as ! 0 is relevant, and form ally one can use any function that has this asymptotic behavior. Knowing the asymptotic behavior of H  $_{\rm int}^{\rm (s)}$  ( ) in the limit ! 0 allows one to determ ine the asymptotic behavior of the function t(z) in the lim it z ! 1(the one-to-one correspondence between these behaviors follows from the Fourier transform). This behavior in tum can be used as a boundary condition for the di erential equation (36). The T-m atrix obtained in this way can then be used for constructing the evolution operator. T hus know ing the asym ptotic behavior of H  $_{\rm int}^{\rm (S)}$  ( ) in the lim it ! 0 uniquely determ ines the dynam ics of the system. On the other hand, as it follows from Eq.(37), the asymptotic behavior of t(z) is determined by the largemomentum behavior of the form factor ' (p). In the case

 $\frac{1}{2}$ , at it is easily seen from Eq.(37), all solutions of

Eq.(36) tend to nonzero constants as jzj! 1, i.e. we have

$$t(z) \stackrel{!}{\underset{j_{z} j! \ 1}{\sum}}$$
 : (39)

 $T\ h\ is\ m\ eans\ that$  in this case the only possible form of the function f ( ) is

$$f() = 2i () + f^{0}();$$
 (40)

where the function  $f^0()$  has no such a singularity at the point = 0 as the delta-function. Thus, in the case  $> \frac{1}{2}$ , the interaction operator should be of the form

$$< p_2 \#_{int}^{(s)}()\dot{p}_1 > = 2i() < p_2 \# \dot{p}_1 >;$$
 (41)

 $< p_2 y \dot{p}_1 >$  being the separable potential

$$< p_2 y \dot{p}_1 > = ' (p_2)' (p_1)$$
 (42)

and hence the dynam ics generated by this operator is equivalent to the dynam ics governed by the Schrodinger equation with the separable potential '  $(p_2)'(p_1)$ . Solving Eq.(36) with the boundary condition (39), for the T-m atrix, we easily get the expression (30), i.e. in the case  $> \frac{1}{2}$ , the interaction in the system may be only instantaneous.

Let us now consider the case  $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{2}$  (the restriction >  $\frac{1}{2}$  is necessary for the integral in (36) to be convergent) where the ordinary separable-potential model does not make a sense without renormalization. In this case solutions of Eq.(36) tends to zero as jzj! 1:

t(z) 
$$! b_1(z) \frac{1}{2} + b_2(z)^{2-1} + o(jzf^{-1});$$

where  $b_1 = 4 \cos() m^{\frac{3}{2}}$ , and  $b_2$  is some arbitrary constant. Thus the T-m atrix has the following asymptotic behavior for  $j_2 j! 1:$ 

< 
$$p_{2}$$
  $f_{z}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{1}$  >  $p_{1}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{1}$   
 $p_{2}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{1}$   
 $p_{1}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{1}$   $p_{2}$   $p_{2}$ 

By using asymptotic methods (see, for example, Ref.[18]) it is easy to show that this large z behavior of the function t(z) corresponds to the following behavior of T () related to the T-matrix by Eq.(13) in the limit ! 0:

where  $a_1 = ib_1 \frac{1}{2}$  )exp[i( $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$ )]; and  $a_2 = b_2 \frac{1}{2} (1 2) exp(i)$ ; (z) being the gam mafunction. On the other hand, according to Eqs.(4) and (6) the asymptotic behavior of  $< p_2 f$  () $\dot{p}_1 > is$  determined by the interaction operator H  $_{int}^{(s)}$ ()

$$< p_2 \mathcal{F}()\dot{p}_1 > \underset{0}{!} < p_2 \mathcal{H}_{int}^{(s)}()\dot{p}_1 > +o();$$
 (45)

where the param eter " is determ ined by dem anding that  $\exp(iH_0t_2)H_{int}^{(s)}() \exp(iH_0t_1)$  must be so close to the relevant solution of Eq.(5) in the lim it ! 0 that this solution is unique having the asymptotic behavior (45). It is easy to see that in the separable case all solutions of Eq.(36) for given have the same leading term in the expansion (43), since the param eter  $b_1$  is uniquely determ ined by the value of the param eter  $b_2$  distinguishes the different solutions of this equation. On the other hand, the rst two term in the expansion (44) are uniquely determ ined by the rst two term of the expansion (43). This means that the param eter " in (45) is equal to 2, and hence the generalized interaction operator  $H_{int}^{(s)}()$  should be of the form

$$< p^{0} H_{int}^{(s)}() \dot{p} > = ' (p^{0})' (p) a_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + a_{2}^{2}$$
 (46)

O f course, the interaction operator (46) m ay be supplemented by any term being of order o ( $^2$ ). However, this will not change the solution of Eq.(5), i.e. in the is case we will get the generalized interaction operator being dynamically equivalent to the interaction operator (46). As we have noted, the operator H  $_{\rm int}^{\rm (s)}$  () describes the contributions to the evolution operator from the processes with in nitesimal duration time of interaction, and only its behavior in the limit ! 0 is relevant for us.

The solution of Eq.(37) with the initial condition  $\tau(z = 0) = \tau_0$  is

$$\tau(z) = \tau_0 \qquad z \qquad \frac{d^3k}{(2)^3} \frac{j'(k)j'}{(z-E_k)E_k}: \qquad (47)$$

From this we easily get the expression (33) for the T-matrix. This solution of Eq.(16) has the behavior (43), provided  $t_0 = b_2 b_1^2$ . Taking this fact into account, one can rewrite this solution in terms of the parameters  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ 

$$< p_2 J (z) \dot{p}_1 > = N (z)' (p_2)' (p_1);$$
 (48)

where

N (z) = 
$$\frac{b_2}{b_1^2} - \frac{(z)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{b_1} - M(z)$$
; (49)

with

$$M(z) = \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{j'(k)j''(k)j''(k)j''}{z E_{k}}; \qquad (50)$$

By using Eqs.(12) and (47), we can construct the evolution operator  $\mathbb{P}^{(12)}$ 

< 
$$p_2 j j (t; t_0) \dot{p}_1 > = < p_2 \dot{p}_1 > + \frac{i}{2} \int_{-1}^{2} dx$$
  

$$\frac{\exp(izt)N(z)'(p_2)'(p_1)}{(z - E_{p_2})(z - E_{p_1})}; \quad (51)$$

where z = x + iy, y > 0. The evolution operator (51) satisfies the composition law (3) and is unitary provided the parameter  $b_2$  is real. Thus, in the case  $\frac{1}{2} < < \frac{1}{2}$ , the dynamics is well de ned, and all the requirements of quantum theory are satisfied.

The model under consideration is an transparent illustration of the possibility of the extension of quantum dynamics provided by the GQD. From the point of view of Eq.(36), the restriction to Ham iltonian dynamics is equivalent to the restriction to the solutions that tend to som e nonzero constant in the lim it jzj! 1. In this case the interaction in the system is instantaneous, and the dynam ics is H am iltonian. At the sam e time, this equation has solutions that tend to zero as izj! 1. This takes place in the case  $\frac{1}{2}$  <  $\frac{1}{2}$ . In this case the interaction is nonlocal in time and is parameterized by the interaction operator (46). In this case the dynam ics is non-H am iltonian. There are no reasons to restrict ourselves to the solutions of Eq.(36) which tend to nonzero constants, because all solutions of this equation satisfying the condition (38) are physically realizable, and such an extension of quantum dynamics is possible in principle. The remarkable fact is that this possibility is realized in low energy nucleon dynam ics, and, as we have noted, the leading order contribution to the nucleon-nucleon Tmatrix is described by our model. In fact, in the case (p) = 1, for the T-m atrix given by (47), we have

< 
$$p_2 JT (z) \dot{p}_1 > = \frac{b_1^2}{b_2 i b_1^{p} z} = \frac{1}{C_0^{(R)}} + \frac{i m^{\frac{3}{2}P} z}{4} ;$$
 (52)

where  $b_1 = \frac{4}{m^2 m}$ , and  $C_0^{(R)} = \frac{b_1^2}{b_2}$ . In this case the generalized interaction operator is of the form

$$< p_2 \#_{int}^{(s)}()\dot{p}_1 > = \frac{4^{D} - \exp(\dot{l}\frac{3}{4})}{m^{\frac{3}{2}}P} + \frac{16^{-2}}{m^{3}C_0^{(R)}};$$
 (53)

This operator parametrizes the leading order contact component of the NN interaction and generates the twonucleon dynamics that is described by the evolution operator

< 
$$p_2 j_1 (t; t_0) \dot{p}_1 > = < p_2 \dot{p}_1 > + \frac{i}{2} \int_{-1}^{2} dx$$
  

$$\frac{\exp(izt)N(z)}{(z - E_{p_2})(z - E_{p_1})}; \quad (54)$$

It should be noted that the interaction operator (53) contains the renorm alize parameter  $C_0^{(R)}$ , while in the ordinary methods based on the use of a renorm alization procedure this parameter appears in renorm alized am – plitudes at the nal stage. The generalized dynamical equation (5) with the interaction operator (53) is well de ned and allows one to describe, in a consistent way, the dynamics generated by the leading order component of the NN interaction.

# IV. NON HAM ILTON IAN CHARACTER OF LOW ENERGY NUCLEON DYNAM ICS

Let us now discuss advantages of the GQD and new possibilities that it opens for describing low energy nucleon dynam ics. A swe have seen, the T-m atrix (33) obtained by solving the dynam ical equation (16) with the interaction operator (46), can be also obtained starting with the singular potential

$$V(p^{0};p) = '(p^{0})'(p); '(p) \dot{p}; ; \frac{1}{2}:$$

However, in this way one cannot determ ine a potential that could param etrize the interaction in the system . The above singular potential does not make sense without renorm alization and is only of form al in portance for the problem under consideration. In fact, as we have shown, the strength of potential, which in the regulated LS equation is adjusted to give the correct scattering length, becom es zero after rem oving regularization. All the information contained in this potential is that the T-matrix is of the form (35) with the same form factor. This inform ation is not su cient to construct the T-m atrix. In addition, one needs to use som e experim ental data, for example, the scattering lengths. However, one cannot construct an interaction H am iltonian that could contain all this information. The T-matrix (33) obtained in this way does not satisfy the LS equation. M oreover, as we will show below, it has the properties that are at variance with the Hamiltonian formalism. Thus in this case we have only a calculation rule that allows one to compute the T-m atrix: O ne cannot derive any renorm alized equation containing a well-de ned potential that param etrizes the interaction in the system . This problem is the price for trying to describe the dynamics of the system after renorm alization in terms of the Ham iltonian form alism, despite this dynamics is non-H am iltonian. From the more general point of view provided by the GQD we see that the T-m atrix (33) satis es the generalized dynam ical equation (16) with the nonlocal-in-time interaction operator (46), and this operator describes the fundam ental interaction in the system . K now ing this operator is su cient to construct the T-m atrix and hence the evolution operator, and in this case we deal with the well-de ned equation that does not require renorm alization. As we have seen, an example of such a dynam ics is the leading order nucleon dynamics where, as a consequence of the sym etries of the underlying theory, the form factor must satisfy the condition ' (p) = 1.

In order to clarify the character of the leading order nucleon dynam ics let us exam ine the properties of the evolution operator of the more general theory with the interaction operator (46). In the Schrödinger picture, this operator  $\langle p_2 \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \rangle$  (t)  $\dot{p}_1 \rangle \langle p_2 \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}_s \rangle$  (t; 0)  $\dot{p}_1 \rangle$  can be rewritten in the form

< 
$$p_{2}$$
  $j_{Z}$  (t)  $\dot{p}_{1} > = < p_{2} \dot{p}_{1} > \exp(i E_{p_{2}}t)$   
+  $\frac{i}{2} \int_{1}^{1} dx \frac{\exp(izt) < p_{2} \mathcal{T}(z) \dot{p}_{1} >}{(z E_{p_{2}})(z E_{p_{1}})};$  (55)

where  $< p_2 f(z) \dot{p}_1 > is given by (48)$ . Since this Tmatrix satis es Eqs.(16) and (18), the evolution operator (51) is unitary, and satis es the composition law (3). Correspondingly the operators V (t) constitute a oneparameter group of unitary operators, with the group property

$$V(t_1 + t_2) = V(t_1)V(t_2); V(0) = 1:$$
 (56)

A ssume that this group has a self-adjoint in nitesimal generator H which in the Ham iltonian form alism is identied with the total Ham iltonian. Then for j > 2 D (H) we have

$$\frac{V(t)j > j >}{t} ! iH j > : (57)$$

From this and (55) it follows that

$$H = H_0 + H_I;$$

with

$$< p_2 \#_1 \dot{p}_1 > = \frac{i}{2} \int_{-1}^{Z_{-1}} dx \frac{z < p_2 \#(z) \dot{p}_1 >}{(z - E_{p_2}) (z - E_{p_1})};$$
 (58)

where z = x + iy, and y > 0. Since  $\langle p_2 jT(z) jp_1 \rangle$ is an analytic function of z and, in the case  $\frac{1}{2}$ , tends to zero as jzj! 1, from Eq.(58) it follows that  $\langle p_2 jH_1 jp_1 \rangle = 0$  for any  $p_2$  and  $p_1$ , and hence  $H = H_0$ . This means that, if the in nitesimal generator of the group of the operators V (t) exists, then it coincides with the free H am iltonian, and the evolution operator is of the form V (t) = exp( iH\_0 t). Thus, since this, obviously, is not true, the group of the operators V (t) has no in nitesim al generator, and hence the dynam ics is not governed by the Schrodinger equation.

It should be also noted that in the case  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $S(t_2;t_1)$  is not an operator on the H ilbert space. In fact, the wave function

$$(p) < pj > = < pj (t_2;t_1) j_1 > = = ' (p)s(t_2;t_1) \frac{d^3k}{(2)^3} ' (k) < kj_1 >$$
 (59)

is not square integrable for any nonzero j $_1$  > , because of the slow rate of decay of the form factor ' (p) as  $\dot{p} j! 1$ .  $\frac{1}{2}$ , the T-m atrix given Correspondingly, in the case by (48) does not represent an operator on the Hilbert space. However, as we have stated, in general  $S'(t_2;t_1)$ m ay be only an operator-valued generalized function such that the evolution operator is an operator on the H ilbert space. Correspondingly the T-m atrix need not be an operator on the Hilbert space. It is enough that the evolution operator given by (51) is such an operator. The T -m atrix and S ( $t_2$ ; $t_1$ ) satisfy these requirem ents not only for  $> \frac{1}{2}$  but also for  $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{2}$ , since the evolution operator (51) is an operator on the Hilbert space. At the sametime, in the case  $\frac{1}{2}$  we go beyond H am iltonian dynam ics.

The above means that low energy nucleon dynamics in the e ective theory of nuclear forces cannot be govemed by the Schrodinger equation and hence is non-Ham iltonian. Correspondingly the interaction of nucleons cannot be param etrized by an interaction Ham iltonian de ned on the Hilbert space. At the sam e time, as has been shown on the example of the elective theory with the Lagrangian (23), this dynamics is governed by the generalized dynamical equation (5) with a nonlocalin-tim e interaction operator. In the above theory describing the leading order nucleon dynam ics this operator is of the form (53), and two nucleon dynamics is described by the evolution operator (54). This evolution operator is unitary and satis es the composition law (3). Thus the use of Eq.(5) as an equation of motion in the e ective theory of nuclear forces allow s one not only to calculate scattering am plitudes but also to construct the evolution operator describing low energy nucleon dynam ics.

#### V. NEXT-TO-LEADING ORDER

We have shown that in leading order the dynam ics of the EFT under consideration is governed by the generalized dynam ical equation with a nonlocal-in-time interaction operator. Let us now show that this is true also in next-to-leading order. For this let us come back to the theory with the Lagrangian (19) in which it is assumed that particles evolve forward in time, and particle num ber is conserved. Sum m ing the graphs of the perturbation series yield the follow ing on-shell T-m atrix [14]:

$$\Gamma_{os}(k;p^{0} p) = J_{s}^{(0)}(k) 2C_{2}^{0(R)}k\dot{p}^{0}\dot{p} + O\left[\frac{4}{mM}(Q=M)^{4}\right];$$
(60)

where  $T_{cs}^{(0)}(k)$  is the S-wave amplitude and is given by

$$T_{os}^{(0)}(k) = \frac{1}{C_{0}^{(R)}} - 2\frac{C_{2}^{(R)}}{(C_{0}^{(R)})^{2}}k^{2} + \frac{im k}{4} + \frac{k^{2}}{2m^{2}}$$
(61)  
[1 + O ((Q=M))^{4})];

 $k = \dot{p}_1 j = \dot{p}_2 j \not{p}_i = k, C_0^{(R)}, C_2^{(R)}$  and  $C_2^{0(R)}$  are renormalized parameters. In this way one can also construct the o-shell T-matrix. At the same time, as it has been shown in leading order, the T-matrix can be obtained without summing the diagrams and resorting to regularization and renormalization procedures, provided that the UV behavior of the T-matrix elements as functions of momenta is known. As we have seen, the requirement that the T-matrix being of the form  $< p_2 JT (z) \dot{p}_1 > = t(z)$  satisfies the generalized dynamical equation (16) yields the form ula (52) where only the parameter  $C_0^{(R)}$  is free. In next-to-leading order the relation between the Lagrangian (19) and the form of the T-matrix is not so straightforward, because in this case one has to take into account the fact that this Lagrangian

is only of the form al importance, and should be supplemented by som e counterterm s. Nevertheless, let us assum e that they do not e ect on the form of the T-m atrix. In this case from the analysis of the perturbation series of the theory it follows that the two-particle T-matrix (in this paper we focus on the S-wave channel) should be of the form

$$< p_2 f_1(z) \dot{p}_1 > = t_1(z) + C_1 t_2(z) (p_1^2 + p_2^2) +$$

$$w here C_1 = z_1 + C_1 t_2 (z) (p_1^2 + p_2^2) + get$$

$$O\left[\frac{4}{m M} (Q = M)^4\right];$$
 (62)

=  $t_0$  is

 $C_2^{(R)} = C_0^{(R)}$ . Substituting (62) into (16), we

+

$$\frac{dt_{1}(z)}{dz} = \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}k}{(z)^{3}} \frac{1}{(z - \frac{k^{2}}{m})^{2}} t_{1}^{2}(z) + \frac{2k^{4}}{4m^{3}(z - \frac{k^{2}}{m})} + 2C_{1}t_{1}(z)t_{2}(z)k^{2} + O[\frac{4}{mM}(Q = M)^{4}]; \quad (63)$$

Since the problem with UV divergences must not arise in treating the generalized dynam ical equation, we have

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{4m^2} + C_1$$
 (64)

with  $C_1 = O(\frac{1}{Mm^2})$  and

$$t_1(z) = t_2(z) = t(z) + O[(\frac{Q}{M})^4]$$
; (65)

where t(z) is a solution of the equation

$$\frac{dt(z)}{dz} = t^2(z)^{\frac{Z}{2}} \frac{d^3k}{(2)^3(z-\frac{k^2}{m})^2} + \frac{2zk^2}{2m^2(z-\frac{k^2}{m})}$$
(66) Its solution with the initial condition  $t(z=0)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(z) &= \tau_{0} + \int_{0}^{Z^{z}} \frac{z}{ds} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{(s - \frac{k^{2}}{m})^{2}} + \frac{2sk^{2}}{2m^{2}(s - \frac{k^{2}}{m})} \\ &= \tau_{0} + \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{z + \frac{z^{2}}{4m}}{(z - \frac{k^{2}}{m})(\frac{k^{2}}{m})} + \frac{z^{3}}{4m(z - \frac{k^{2}}{m})^{2}(\frac{k^{2}}{m})} \\ &= \tau_{0} + \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{im^{\frac{3}{2}}p}{4} \frac{p}{z} + \frac{z}{1 + \frac{z}{8m}} \\ &= \tau_{0} + \frac{im^{\frac{3}{2}}p}{4} \frac{p}{z} + \frac{z}{8m} \\ &= \frac{z}{8m}$$

Denoting  $t_0 = \frac{1}{c_0^{(R_1)}}$ , for the function t(z), we get

$$t(z) = \frac{1}{C_0^{(R)}} + \frac{im^{\frac{3}{2}}p}{4} \overline{z} + \frac{z}{8m} [1 + O((Q = M)^4)];$$
(69)

Substituting (65) with t(z) given by (69) into (63) yields

$$< p_{2} JT (z) \dot{p}_{1} > = \frac{1 + \frac{C_{2}(R)}{C_{0}^{(R)}} (p_{1}^{2} + p_{2}^{2})}{\frac{1}{C_{0}^{(R)}} + im^{3=2} (4)^{-1} P \overline{z} (1 + \frac{z}{8m})} + O \left[\frac{4}{m M} (Q = M)^{4}\right]:$$
(70)

O ne can obtain the on-shell T-m atrix, by putting  $\dot{p}_1 j = \dot{p}_2 j = k$ , and  $z = \frac{k^2}{m} - \frac{k^4}{4m^3}$ : . .

$$T_{os}(k;p^{0} p) = 1 + 2\frac{C_{2}^{(R)}}{C_{0}^{(R)}}k^{2} \frac{1}{C_{0}^{(R)}} + \frac{im^{\frac{3}{2}}}{4}r \frac{1}{m} \frac{k^{2}}{m} \frac{k^{4}}{4m^{3}} 1 + \frac{k^{2}}{8m^{2}} + \frac{k^{4}}{32m^{4}}$$

(t(z))<sup>1</sup> satis es Correspondingly, the function  $\tau(z)$ the following equation:

$$\frac{dt(z)}{dz} = \frac{Z}{(2)^{3} (z - \frac{k^{2}}{m})^{2}} + \frac{2zk^{2}}{2m^{2} (z - \frac{k^{2}}{m})} : (67)$$

$$+ O\left[\frac{4}{mM}\left(Q=M\right)^{4}\right] = \frac{1}{C_{0}^{(R)}} - 2\frac{C_{2}^{(R)}}{(C_{0}^{(R)})^{2}}k^{2} + \frac{imk}{4} - 1 + \frac{k^{2}}{2m^{2}} + O\left[\frac{4}{mM}\left(Q=M\right)^{4}\right]:$$
(71)

Thus the requirement that the T-matrix of the form (62) satisfies the generalized dynamical equation (16) yields the formula (70), and in this way we get the same two-particle on-shell T-matrix that in Ref.[14] has been obtained by summing the bubble graphs of the EFT.

In order to obtain the form of the generalized interaction operator that leads to the T-m atrix (70), one has to exam ine the large z behavior of the function t(z) in the lim it jzj! 1 . From (69) it follows that

$$t(z) \underbrace{!}_{jzj! \ 1} \frac{b_1}{s} + \frac{b_2}{(s)} + o(jsj^1);$$
(72)

where  $s = z + \frac{z}{4m}$ ,  $b_1 = \frac{4}{m^2 m}$ , and  $b_2 = \frac{b_1^2}{c_0^{(R)}}$ . O f course, the asymptotic behavior of t(z) can be represented in the form of the expansion in  $z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ :

$$t(z) \underbrace{!}_{jzj! \ 1} \frac{b_1^0}{(z)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{8m b_1^0}{(z)^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \frac{b_2^0}{(z)^{\frac{5}{2}}} + o(jzj^3); \quad (73)$$

where  $b_1^0 = \frac{32}{m}$  and  $b_2^0 = \frac{(b_1^0)^2}{c_0^{(R_1)}}$ . However, for the problem under consideration the values of z much larger than Q but much smaller than m should be considered as innitely large, and the behavior of the function in this region is described by the expansion (73). The interaction operator H  $_{int}^{(s)}$  () that gives rise to this behavior of t(z) is

< 
$$p_{2} H_{int}^{(s)}()\dot{p}_{1} > = 1 + \frac{C_{2}^{(R)}}{C_{0}^{(R)}}(p_{1}^{2} + p_{2}^{2})$$
  
 $0 \qquad 1$   
 $e \frac{4^{p} - exp(i\frac{3}{4})}{m^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{1}{\frac{1}{8m}}} + \frac{16^{-2}}{m^{3}C_{0}^{(R)}}A : (74)$ 

This operator parametrizes the contact term of the NN interaction in the S-wave channel up to next-to-leading order. The generalized dynamical equation with this interaction operator uniquely determ ines the dynamics of the system. The equation of motion (5) with this interaction operator is well-de ned and allows one to obtain the T-m atrix and the evolution operator without resorting to regularization and renorm alization. It is easy to show that the evolution operator constructed in this way (the evolution operator (12) with the T-m atrix given by (70)) is unitary and satis es the composition law (3) up to next-to-leading order.

## VI. INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

As we have shown up to next-to-leading order of the EFT with the Lagrangian (19), the dynam ics of nucleons

at low energies is governed by the generalized dynam ical equation (5) with the nonlocal-in-time interaction operator (74), provided the param eters of the theory satisfy the condition (64). Within the GOD this operator is well de ned. O fourse, the dynam ical situation in the theory with such an interaction di ers from that in the theory with ordinary potentials. The operator  $(t_2; t_1)$  is only an operator-valued generalized function on the Hilbert space. However, as we have noted this is not at variance with the general requirem ents of quantum theory, since the evolution operator given by (51) is an operator on this space. The generalized dynam ical equation (5) is not equivalent to the Schrodinger equation in this case. Nevertheless, it is a well de ned equation, and allow s one to obtain the T-m atrix without resorting to regularization and renorm alization procedures. This is very important for practical calculations, since for solving realistic problem sone has to deal not only with the contact com ponent of the NN interaction but also with its long-range one. In this case the interaction operator is of the form

< 
$$p_2 \mathcal{H}_{int}^{(s)}() \dot{p}_1 > = 2i() V (p_2; p_1)$$
  
+ <  $p_2 \mathcal{H}_{non}() \dot{p}_1 > ;$  (75)

where <  $p_2 H_{non}$  () $\dot{p}_1$  > represents the contact nonlocal-in-time component and V ( $p_2; p_1$ ) is a potential describing the long-range component of the NN interaction. In general it consists of the meson-exchange potentials and the C oulom b potential in the proton-proton channel. In the leading order the nonlocal component is given by (53) and for the interaction operator, we can write

< 
$$p_2 \#_{int}^{(s)}()\dot{p}_1 > = \frac{4^p - \exp(i\frac{3}{4})}{m^{\frac{3}{2}} p -} + \frac{16^2}{m^3 C_0^{(R)}}$$
  
2i ()V ( $p_2; p_1$ ): (76)

In the case of such an interaction operator, the solution of the dynam ical equation (16) can be represented (see Appendix A) in the form

< 
$$p_2 T (z) \dot{p}_1 > = t_0 (z) + t_1 (z; p_1) + t_1 (z; p_2)$$
  
+  $t_2 (z; p_2; p_1);$  (77)

where  $t_2(z;p_2;p_1)$  is a solution of the equation

$$+ \frac{z}{(2;p_{2};p_{1})} = V(p_{2};p_{1}) + \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{K(z;p_{2};q)}{z E_{q}} t_{2}(z;q;p_{1});$$
(78)

with

K 
$$(z;p_2;q) = N(z) = \frac{d^3k}{(2)^3} \frac{V(p_2;k)}{z E_k} + V(p_2;q);$$
 (79)

and the functions  $t_0$  (z) and  $t_1$  (z;p) are de ned as

$$t_{1}(z;p) = N(z)^{2} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{t_{2}(z;p;q)}{z E_{k}};$$
(80)

$$t_0(z) = N(z) + \frac{Z}{(2)^3} \frac{d^3q}{z E_q} \frac{t_1(z;q)}{z E_q}$$
; (81)

where

N (z) = 
$$\frac{1}{C_0^{(R)}} + \frac{im^{\frac{3}{2}}p_{\overline{z}}}{4}^{!}$$
:

E quations with the next-to-leading order corrections can be derived in the sam e way.

In W einberg's power counting the one-pion-exchange potential is of leading order. Hence in this order the NN interaction operator can be expressed as

< 
$$p_{2} H_{int}^{(s)}()\dot{p}_{1} > = \frac{4^{p} - \exp(i\frac{3}{4})}{m^{\frac{3}{2}p} - } + \frac{16^{2}}{m^{3}C_{0}^{(R)}}$$
  
2i ()V ( $p_{2}; p_{1}$ ); (82)

where V  $(p_2;p_1)$  is the conventional one-pion-exchange potential. Substituting this potential into Eq.(82) and solving it num erically, one can easily obtain the T-m atrix and hence the evolution operator. Note that conventional way of solving the above problem is the form aluse of the potential

$$V_0(p_2;p_1) = @+V(p_2;p_1)$$
 (83)

(see, for example, Refs.[7,11]). We say "form al" since the use of such a potential leads to UV divergences, and the Schrodinger and LS equations require regularization and renorm alization. On the other hand, as we have shown, the contact interaction, which in (83) is form ally represented by the term  $\mathfrak{S}$ , is parametrized by the operator (53) (the rst two terms in the operator (82)). In this case we deal with the well de ned interaction operators and Eq.(82) which does not require regularization and renorm alization. By using Eq.(57), one can obtain the T-m atrix so easily as in the case of the pure one-pion-exchange potential.

In order to take into account electrom agnetic corrections, one has to include the C oulom b potential into the NN interaction operator

< 
$$p_{2} \mathcal{H}_{int}^{(s)}() \dot{p}_{1} > = \frac{4^{p} - \exp(i\frac{3}{4})}{m^{\frac{3}{2}P} -} + \frac{16^{2}}{m^{3}C_{0}^{(R)}}$$
  
2i ()  $V (p_{2}; p_{1})$  2i ()  $\frac{e_{12}}{q^{2}};$  (84)

where  $e_{12} = 1$  for the proton-proton channel and zero otherw ise. Eq.(75) with the interaction operator (84) can be easily solved num erically. Thus this equation allow s one to investigate electrom agnetic corrections without resorting to renorm alization.

# VII. SUM MARY AND DISCUSSION

We have shown that the form alism of the GQD allow sone to form ulate the e ective eld theory of nuclear forces as an internally consistent theory with equations that do not require regularization and renorm alization. It has been shown that the e ective NN interaction is nonlocal in time, and low energy nucleon dynamics is governed by the generalized dynam ical equation (5) with a nonlocal-in-time interaction operator. In leading order of the EFT approach this operator is given by (53). It should be noted that the generalized interaction operator H int (t2;t1) is not an e ective interaction H am iltonian (only in the local case they are related by Eq.(8)), and, in contrast with such Ham iltonians, the use of the generalized interaction operators perm its a natural param etrization of the NN interaction: The generalized interaction operator (53) generates the unitary evolution of a nucleon system . In Sec.III we have dem onstrated the advantages of the GQD in describing the leading order nucleon dynam ics in comparison with the ordinary methods based on the use of the singular potential  $\langle p_2 \mathbf{y} \mathbf{\dot{p}}_1 \rangle = C_0$ . This potential does not make sense without renorm alization and hence does not contain all the needed dynam ical inform ation. In addition, one needs to use som e em pirical data as renorm alization constants. In contrast with this singular potential, the generalized interaction operator (53) contains all the needed dynam ical inform ation. For example, it contains the observable, renorm alized parameter  $C_0^{(R)}$ . The dynamical equation with the interaction operator (53) is well de ned and allow sone to construct the T-m atrix and the evolution operator without resorting to regularization and renorm alization procedures. The dynam ical inform ation contains not only in the form of the operator (53) that, as has been shown, is a consequence of the symmetries of QCD but also in its dependence on the time duration of the interaction in a system .

As has been shown, only values of H  $_{int}^{(s)}$ () in the innitesim alreighborhood of the point = 0, i.e. at scales of the underlying theory are relevant. As already stated, the operator H  $_{int}^{(s)}$ () describes the contribution to the evolution operator from the processes with in nitesimal time duration of interaction. The above means that

< 
$${}_{2} \mathfrak{H}_{int}^{(s)}() \mathfrak{j}_{1} > \underset{t_{2}}{!} \mathfrak{t}_{1} < {}_{2} \mathfrak{F}_{q}(t_{2};t_{1}) \mathfrak{j}_{1} > (85)$$

where  $_{2} \mathcal{F}_{q}(t_{2};t_{1}) j_{1} > \text{describe the contributions to}$ the evolution operator from the processes in which the quark and gluon degrees of freedom can come to play. Here one of the advantages of the form ulation of the theory in term softhe operators  $\mathcal{S}(t_{2};t_{1})$  becomes apparent: The relevant am plitudes  $< _{2} \mathcal{F}(t_{2};t_{1}) j_{1} > \text{ of the un$ derlying theory can be directly used as the matrix ele $ments of the generalized interaction operator H int (<math>t_{2};t_{1}$ ) generating low energy dynam ics. These degrees of freedom manifest them selves through the dependence of the operator (53). Thus the param eter  $C_0^{(R)}$  in Eq.(53) param etrizes the leading order e ects of the underlying physics on low energy dynam ics, and hence can be com – puted in term s of param eters in QCD. At the same time, this param eter can be determined from low energy experiments.

The evolution operator governing the two-nucleon dynamics in leading order is of the form (54). In Sec.IV we have shown that the group of these operators has no in nitesim algenerator and hence the dynamics is non-Ham iltonian. This means that within the EFT approach there are no potential satisfying the requirem ents of ordinary quantum mechanics that could govern low energy nucleon dynam ics. For example, the T-m atrix given by (52) does not satisfy the LS equation and has properties that are at variance with the H am iltonian form alism . O n the other hand, as we have seen, the T-m atrix satis es the generalized dynam ical equation (16) with the interaction operator given by (53). The essential lesson we have learned from the above analysis is that many problems of the EFT of nuclear forces arise because of ignoring the fact that low energy dynam ics produced by this theory is non-Hamiltonian. For example, the above statem ent that there are not any equations for renorm alized am plitudes in a subtractive EFT should mean that there are no such equations within Ham iltonian form alism . From am ore general point of view provided by the GQD we see that such equations exist, and the theory can be form ulated in a completely consistent way. An EFT describes low energy physics in terms of a few parameters, and these low energy parameters can be computed in terms of a more fundam ental high energy theory. It is rem arkable that the interaction operator (53) param etrizing the leading order contact com ponent of the NN interaction is uniquely determ ined by the sym m etries of QCD and contains one of these param eters  $C_0^{\ (R\ )}$  . O ther renorm alized param eters will be contained in higher order corrections to the generalized interaction operator, and this corrections can be obtained order by order within the EFT approach. This has been dem onstrated in next-to-leading order by using the example of the EFT of short-range forces developed by van Kolck [14].

We have shown that up to next-to-leading order the dynam ics of the theory is governed by the generalized dynam ical equation with the nonlocal-in-tim e interaction operator (74). These results have been obtained in the particular case where the param eters of the theory satisfy the condition (64). This limitation is a consequence of the fact that we used the assumption that the twoparticle T-m atrix of the theory is of the form (62), while this assumption does not ful led in general. The generalization of these results to the case where the condition (64) is not satis ed can only lead to another form of the generalized interaction operator. The general case will be discussed in detail in another paper. At the same time, the model we have considered in Sec.V provide the transparent illustration of the fact that in any order of the EFT approach low energy dynam ics of nucleons is governed by the generalized dynam ical equation (5) with a nonlocal-in-time interaction operator. As has been proved, the assumption that the relevant solution of Eq.(16) should be of the form (62) uniquely determ ines this solution and leads to the condition (64). In this way we arrive at exactly the same on-shell T-m atrix that has been obtained in Ref.[14] by summing the bubble diagrams up to next-to-leading order. The corresponding generalized interaction operator is of the form (74). The generalized dynam ical equation (5) with this interaction operator is well de ned and allows one to describe the dynam ics of the system in a consistent way.

The above m ethod for constructing the e ective interaction operator is inapplicable in general, because the param eters of the theory need not satisfy the condition (64). In general, in order to construct the generalized interaction operator param etrizing the interaction in the EFT of nuclear forces, one has to analyze the contributions from the o -shell amplitudes to T (z) in the lim it jzj! 1. In this limit the main contribution to the T-m atrix com es from the bubble diagram s including potential pions that produce the pion-exchange potential. By summing the bubble diagrams in the large z limit, one can determ ine the contact component of the interaction operator param etrizing the NN interaction. Thus the NN interaction operator can be represented as the sum (75) of the nonlocal contact and long-range com ponents that can be obtained separately. The contact component is represented by a nonlocal-in-time interaction operator, while the long-range one is represented by the pion-exchange potential. In general, the long-range component should be supplemented by the Coulom b potential. It is extrem ely in portant, that starting with this NN interaction operator, we can construct the T-m atrix and the evolution operator without resorting to sum ming all relevant diagram s and using regularization and renorm alization procedures. The generalized dynam ical equation (5) with this interaction operator is well de ned and can be reduced to integral equations which do not require renorm alization. This has been demonstrated in leading order of the EFT approach. The operator (76) param etrizes the interaction that includes not only the leading order contact com ponent but also the long-range one being described by the potential  $V(p_2; p_1)$ . The generalized dynam ical equation with the interaction operator (76) can be reduced to the integral equation (78). This equation is so convenient for num erical calculations as the LS equation which is its particular case where the param eter C  $_0^{\rm (R\,)}$  tends to zero and hence one can neglect the contact component. In this case the theory is reduced to the ordinary theory of the NN interaction based on the use of the pion-exchange potential.

Finally, we have shown that the use of the form alism of the GQD allows one to form ulate the elective eld theory of nuclear forces as a completely consistent theory based on the well-de ned equation of motion that does not require regularization and renorm alization. Being form ulated in this way, the elective nuclear theory

$$t_{1}^{(u)}(z;p) = (u \quad z)^{Z} \quad \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \quad \frac{t_{0}^{(u)}(z) + t_{1}^{(u)}(z;k)}{(z \quad E_{k})(u \quad E_{k})}$$
$$V(k;p); (A 6)$$

. .

$$t_{2}^{(u)}(z;p_{2};p_{1}) = V(p_{2};p_{1}) + (u z)^{Z} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{(u)}(z;p_{2}) + t_{2}^{(u)}(z;p_{2};k)}{(z E_{k})(u E_{k})} V(k;p_{1}): (A8)$$

It is not di cult to verify that

$$t_1^{(u)}(z;p) \in {\mathbb{E}}_1^{(u)}(z;p):$$

By solving the above set of equations, one can obtain the functions  $t_0^{(u)}(z)$ ,  $t_1^{(u)}(z;p)$  and  $t_2^{(u)}(z;p_2;p_1)$  that in turn can be used for constructing the T-m atrix. In fact, from (A1) and (A2) it follows that the T-m atrix can be represented in the form (77) where the functions  $t_0(z)$ ,  $t_1(z;p)$  and  $t_2(z;p_2;p_1)$  are given by

$$t_{0}(z) = \lim_{u \ge 1} t_{0}^{(u)}(z); \quad t_{1}(z;p) = \lim_{u \ge 1} t_{1}^{(u)}(z;p);$$
$$t_{2}(z;p_{2};p_{1}) = \lim_{u \ge 1} t_{2}^{(u)}(z;p_{2};p_{1}):$$

Taking into account that

7

$$\frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}}\frac{u z}{(z E_{k})(u E_{k})} = \frac{m^{p}\overline{m}}{4} \begin{array}{c} p - z \\ z \\ \end{array} ;$$

Eq.(A5) can be rewritten in the form

$$t_{0}^{(u)}(z) = \frac{4}{m^{\frac{3}{2}}P - u} + t_{0}^{(u)}(z)$$

$$\frac{4}{m^{\frac{3}{2}}P - u} = \frac{16^{2}}{m^{3}C_{0}^{(R)}u} + \frac{m^{2}m}{4}P - z P - u$$

$$\frac{4}{m^{\frac{3}{2}}P - u} = d^{3}k\frac{t_{1}(z;k)}{z - E_{k}} + o(juj^{1=2}):$$

Letting u ! 1 in this equation and assuming that V ( $p_2; p_1$ ) satis es the ordinary requirem ents of quantum m echanics, one can easily get Eq.(81). In the same way, from (A 6) and (A 8) one can derive Eqs.(78) and (80).

## ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

The work was supported by Fund NIOKR of RT and A cademy of Sciences of Republic of Tatarstan N 14-98.

perm its a natural param etrization of the interaction of nucleons by the generalized interaction operator that be derived order by order by using the m ethods of subtractive EFT's. One can hope that this operator will be able to play the same role in nuclear physics as the C oulom b potential in quantum m echanics of atom ic phenom ena. A rem arkable feature of such a form ulation of the theory is that in this case all advantages of the operator form alism of quantum m echanics can be used. The equation of m otion (5), for exam ple, allow s one to construct not only the S-m atrix but also the evolution operator describing the dynam ics of nucleon system s. This is very im portant, because the S-m atrix is not everything. For exam ple, at

nite tem perature there is no S-m atrix because particles cannot get out to in nite distances from a collision without bum ping into things [19]. In conclusion, it should be emphasized that the above is not a new approach to the EFT of nuclear forces. We have only shown that the EFT approach gives rise to low energy nucleon dynam ics which can be described, in a natural way, only by using the generalized dynam ical equation (5), and its use as the equation of motion describing low energy nucleon dynam ics can allow one to form ulate the elective eld theory of nuclear forces as a perfectly satisfactory theory like the quantum mechanics of atom ic phenomena.

# APPENDIX A

Let us consider the solution of Eq.(16) in the case where the interaction operator is of the form (76). From (17) and (18) it follows that this solution can be represented in the form

$$< p_2 f_1(z) \dot{p}_1 > = \lim_{u \neq 1} < p_2 f_u(z) \dot{p}_1 >;$$
 (A1)

where the operator  $T_{\rm u}\left(z\right)$  is the solution of the equation

$$T_u(z) = B(u) + (u z)B(u)G_0(u)G_0(z)T_u(z)$$
: (A2)

Here the operator B (z) is given by

$$< p_2 \beta (z) \dot{p}_1 > = f_1(z) + V (p_2; p_1);$$
 (A3)

with

$$f_{1}(z) = \frac{4}{m^{\frac{3}{2}}P_{z}} \frac{16^{2}}{m^{3}C_{0}^{(R)}z}:$$

The solution of Eq.(A 2) can be represented in the form

$$< p_{2} J_{u} (z) \dot{p}_{1} > = t_{0}^{(u)} (z) + t_{1}^{(u)} (z; p_{1}) + e_{1}^{(u)} (z; p_{2}) + t_{2}^{(u)} (z; p_{1}; p_{2}):$$
 (A4)

Substituting this representation in Eq.(A2) yields the following equations for  $t_0^{(u)}(z)$ ,  $t_1^{(u)}(z;p)$ ,  $\mathfrak{E}_1^{(u)}(z;p_2)$  and  $t_2^{(u)}(z;p_1;p_2)$ :

$$t_{0}^{(u)}(z) = f_{1}(u) + (u \quad z)f_{1}(u)$$

$$Z \quad \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{t_{0}^{(u)}(z) + t_{1}^{(u)}(z;k)}{(z \quad E_{k})(u \quad E_{k})}; \quad (A5)$$

- [1] S.W einberg, Physica A 96 327 (1979).
- [2] E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 122 109 (1977).
- [3] S.W einberg, Phys. Lett. B 251 288 (1990); Nucl. Phys. B 363 3 (1991).
- [4] C. Ordonez, and U. van Kolck, Phys. Lett. B 291 459 (1992).
- [5] U.van Kolck, Phys. Rev. C 49 2932 (1994).
- [6] C.O rdonez, L.Ray, and U.van Kolck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 1982 (1994).
- [7] D B.Kaplan, M J. Savage, and M B.W ise, Phys. Lett. B 424 390 (1998); Nucl. Phys. B 534 329 (1998).
- [8] P F. Bedaque, M J. Savage, R. Seki, U. van Kolck (Eds.), Nuclear Physics with E ective Field Theory II, (World Scientic, Singapore, 1999); R. Seki, U. van Kolck, M J. Savage (Eds.), Nuclear Physics with E ective Field Theory, (Word Scientic, Singapore, 1998).
- [9] U. van Kolck, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 43, 409 (1999).
- [10] G P. Lepage, W hat is Renorm alization?, (W ord Scien-

- ti c, Singapore, 1990); nucl-th/9706029.
- [11] J.Gegelia, Phys. Lett. B 463 133 (1999).
- [12] R Kh.Gainutdinov, J.Phys.A 32, 5657 (1999).
- [13] R P. Feynman, Rev. Mod. Phys. 20, 367 (1948); R P. Feynman and A R.H ibbs, Quantum M echanics and Path Integrals, (M cG raw H ill, New York, 1965).
- [14] U. van Kolck, Nucl. Phys. A 645, 273 (1999)
- [15] C.Ordonez, L.Ray, and U.van Kolck, Phys. Rev. C 53 2086 (1996).
- [16] R Kh.G ainutdinov and A A.M utygullina, Yad. Fiz. 62, 2061 (1999).
- [17] R.Kh.Gainutdinov, hep-th/0107139.
- [18] F.W. J.O lver, Introduction to A symptotics and SpecialFunctions, (A cadem ic P ress, N ew York and London, 1974).
- [19] S.W einberg, hep-th/9702027.