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#### Abstract

E xperim ental nuclear $m$ om ents of inertia at high spins along the yrast line have been determ ined system atically and found to di er from the rigid-body values. T he di erence is attributed to shell $e$ ects and these have been calculated microscopically. The data and quantal calculations are interpreted by $m$ eans of the sem iclassical Periodic $O$ no it $T$ heory. From th is new perspective, features in the $m$ om ents of inertia as a fiunction of neutron num ber and spin, as well as their relation to the shell energies can be understood. G ross shelle ects persist up to the highest angular m om enta observed.


PACS num bers: $21.10 \mathrm{Re}, 21.60 \mathrm{Ev}, 23.20 \mathrm{Lv}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

$M$ icroscopic calculations based on the rotating $m$ ean eld (self-consistent cranking $m$ odel) describe the rotational energies well. A though there are num erous detailed com parisons betw een experim ent and calculations in the literature, a qualitative understanding of the rotational response has yet been reached. Two idealized m odels have been studied in detail: the Ferm i gas and the harm onic oscillator. The Ferm igas does not take into account the shell structure. Its yrast line is characterized by $J_{\text {rig }}$, the $m$ om ent of inertia of a rigidly rotating $m$ ass distribution (the rigid-body m om ent of inertia). The harm onic oscillator has a very speci c shell structure, which is di erent from the one of the nucleus. At m oderate angularm om entum and equilibrium deform ation it has also the rigid-body m om ent of inertia. H ow ever, we know from experim ent that the m om ent of inertia along the yrast line is in general di erent from $J_{\text {rig }}$, indicating that there are currents in the rotating fram e . T he m om ents of inertia observed at low spin reach only pair correlations. If the nucleus were a m acroscopic super uid it would have the irrotational ow pattem, which corresponds to strong counter currents in the rotational fram e and $m$ om ents of inertia $J_{\text {irrot }}$ that are substantially $s m$ aller than $J_{\text {rig }}$. The observed $m$ om ents of inertia lie in betw een $J_{\text {irrot }}$ and $J_{\text {rig }}$. $T$ his can be explained by the fact that the coherence length of nuclear pairing is larger than the nuclear size, which prevents the nucleus from fully developing the irrotational ow pattem of a $m$ acroscopic super uid.

H ow ever, them om ents of inertia observed at high spin also substantially deviate from the rigid-body value, although the pair correlations are expected ts ${ }^{1}$. This observation is corroborated by self-consistent cranking calculations that assum e zero pairing (see e. g. , which nd strong deviations from $J_{\text {rig }}$ in accordance w ith experim ent. $N$ evertheless, the question of long stanaing interest in nuclear physics, how is angularm om entum generated in nuclei, is not yet answ ered in a satisfactory way. Shell e ects (i.e., tounching of single anticle energy levels) play an im portant $r^{-1}$ at high angular $m$ om entum. Superdeform ation band term ination and uniform rotation about a tilted axis are dram atic $m$ anifestations of shelle ects. The snelle ects determ ine $m$ om ents of inertia along the yrast line, the im portance of which was pointed out by P ashkevich and Frauendor long ago. The deviations from the rigid-body $m$ om ent of inertia im ply that the ow pattem $m$ ust substantially deviate from the current of a rigidly rotating $m$ ass distribution, i. e. there are strong net currents in the fram e rotating with the density of the nucleus. In the present paper we investigate the deviations of the $m$ om ents of inertia from $J_{r}$ a a system atic way and give a qualitative interpretation in the fram e of the sem iclassical Periodic $O$ nbit $T$ heory which relates the shell structure to classical periodic orbits in the nuclear potential. This approach becom es a very instructive tool for interpretation if one is interested in the gross structure, which is determ ined by only the few shortest onbits. W e take a fresh lool at the angularm om entum generation in nucleithrough this perspective.

In sectio we extract the experim entalm om ents of inertia at high angularm om entum, where the pair correlations are expectea to be negligible or at least drastically reduced and calculate their deviations from the rigid-body value. $W$ e nd substantial deviations from the rigid-body $m$ om ents of inertia. In section these experim ental deviations are com pared with mean-eld calculations, which assum e zero pairing and em ploy the shell-correction version of the self-consistent cranking $m$ odel (Strutinsky-type calculations in a rotating $W$ oods-Saxon potential). $W$ e nd that the calculated deviations from the rigid-body $m$ om ents of inertia are rather sim ilar to the experim_ontal ones. W e also extract experim ental shell energies which are com pared with the calculated ones. In section we review the elem ents of the Periodic $O$ rbit $T$ heory which we need to explain som efeatures of the gross she川 structure at high angularm om entum . We nd that the shell energies and the shell $m$ om ents of inertia are correlated and determ ined
by the contributions from the shortest classical periodic orbits. The com petition and interference betw een the orbits in the $m$ eridian planes and the equatorialplane of the deform ed potential account for the $m$ ost conspicuous features of the shell energies and of the deviations of the $m$ om ents of inertia from the rigid-body value. They also explain the appearance of regions of high-spin isom ers. T he deviations of the $m$ om ents of inertia from the rigid-body value indicate the presence of currents in addition to the sim ple ow pattem of a rigidly rotating $m$ ass distrib in, i.e., of net currents in the rotating body- xed fram e of reference. A rst report of our results was given in ref.

## II. EXTRACTION OFMOMENTSOFINERTIAAND SHELLENERGIESFRM THEDATA

W e are interested in the gross shell structure, not in the details of the dependence of the energy on the particle numbers $Z$ and $N$ and the angular $m$ om entum I. A ccordingly, we characterize the yrast line (sequence of states $w$ ith $m$ inim al energy at a given I) by only one param eter, the $m$ om ent of inertia $J$, assum ing for the energy the I-dependence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(I)=E_{\circ}+\frac{I(I+1)}{2 J}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $m$ om ent of inertia $J$ we want to determ in each nucleus is the yrast $m$ om ent of inertia in the term inology introduced by B ohr and M ottelson (see Fig. 1 in . It characterizes the increase in energy of the yrast levels w ith spin I and represents the global characteristics of the generation of angular $m$ om entum in the nucleus. It should be em phasized that the yrast line does not necessarily coincide with ono rotationalband. It $m$ ay be com posed of pieces of crossing bands or not contain bands at all. Since the expression describes well the average I dependence of the yrast at high spin (see below), there is no need to distinguish between kinem aticaland dynam icalm om ents of inertia. In $F$ ig exam ples of the yrast energies as functions of $I\left(I+1\right.$ ) are given for a "rotational" nucleus (top, ${ }^{160} E$ r) and for a "non-rotational" nucleus (bottom, ${ }^{150} \mathrm{D} y$ ). W hile the plot is sm ooth for the rotational nucleus, due to the presence of long rotationalbands, there are irregularities for the non-rotational nucleus, which re ect alignm ents of individual nucleons $w$ ith di erent angular $m$ om entum. Besides these uctuations, there is an approxim ate linear relationship at hian spin in both cases. Since we are interested in the average behavior along the yrast line, we $t$ the linear relation to the yrast line at the highest spins, which $w$ ill give the yrast $m$ om ent of inertia. We do not $t$ separate bands, which w ould give a band $m$ om ent of inertia. This procedure is applied to the rotational nuclei and to the non-rotational nuclei for which the yrast line is irregular.
A. Q uenching of the pair correlations at high spin

G enerating angular $m$ om entum destroys the pair correlations. This is in analogy to the transition from the paired to the norm al phase of a superconductor in a m agnetic eld. In a nite nucleus, the transition is less distinct and stretched over a substantialintervalofangularm om entum. H ence, there is a question as to what extent one can extract from the high-spin data $m$ om ents of inertia that characterize the rotation of the unpaired nucleus
of pairing by rotation has been studied in a num ber of publications. See e.g. the recent studies
which refer to the extensive earlier work. The follow ing picture em erges. The typical pairing e ects, whicn incluae the large reduction of the $m$ om ent of inertia at low spin, are caused by strong correlations in the occupation of a few levels near the Ferm i surface. They are well accounted for by the m ean- eld (H artree Fock B ogoljubov) description of pairing. These so-called static pair correlations are destroyed by breaking few pairs. The destruction of the static correlations is seen as a change of the slope of the yrast sequence, which typically appears in the range $14<\mathrm{I}<26$ for the considered nuclei. On top of the static pairing there are the dynam ic pair correlations, which involve the levels far from the Ferm i surface. They decrease slow ly with increasing angular $m$ om entum, rem aining substantial in the experim entally accessible spin range. T hey reduce the $m$ om ents of inertia by about $5 \%$, where the reduction is not sensitive to th tailed structure of the states near the Ferm i surface. This explains why unpaired cranking calculations (see e.g. are so successfiul in describing the level structure in the yrast region at high spin. H ence, we try to extract the $m$ om ents of inertia from the region where the static pairing is destroyed. These values are expected to be close to the $m$ om ents of inertia of the unpaired nucleus, w ith a slight overall reduction. In particular, the experim entalm om ents of inertia $w$ ill show the shell structure of the unpaired nucleus.
B. The extraction $m$ ethod

As seen in Fig the experim ental excitation energies $E(I)$ when plotted vs $I(I+1)$ are roughly linear at high spin, where the static pairing is destroyed. The experim ental curve bends sharply down at low spins (I < 15 and m ost often I < 10, especially in lighter nuclei). This is caused by the onset of the static pair correlations, which reduce the m om ent of inertia. The exam ples are typical for all the studied nuclei. Since we want to elim inate the pairing e ects, we $t$ the yract lines w ith a straight line for the highest 10~ where possible, including both odd and even spins, as shown in Fig If negative parity levels enter the yrast line they are accepted. To avoid interference from low-spin pairing e ects, we include only nuclei that are known up to su ciently high spins, using the follow ing criteria: the highest known spins should be greater than 15~ for nucleiw ith neutron num ber $N<50$, greater than $16 \sim$ for $50<N<82$, and greater than $17 \sim$ for $N>82$. Them inim um spins entering the $t$ should be greater than $7 \sim$ for $N<50$, greater than $10 \sim$ for $50<N<82$, and greater than $12 \sim$ for $N>82$. Generally the $m$ axim um spins are considerably higher than ${ }^{1 / 2}$ its above. The experim entalyrast energies are taken from the EN SD $F$ les using the Isotope Explorer program for nucleiw th even $Z$ in the $m$ ass range from 80 to 220 . From the straight line of the
$t, E(I)_{f i t}=E_{\circ}+I(I+I)=\angle J_{\text {es }}$ we derive the experim entalm om ent of inertia $J_{\text {exp }}$ and the ground-state energy $E_{\circ}$ of the unpaired nucleus. $F$ ig show $s$ the di erence $E(I) \quad E_{f i t}(I)$ for a representative selection of nuclei. At high spin, the curves largely atten out near the zero line. There is a distinct drop of the yrast levels w ith decreasing I, which is interpreted as the gain in energy caused by the static pair correlations. The onset of this correlations is seen both in rotational and sphericalnuclei. The di erence E $\quad \mathrm{E}(0) \mathrm{m}$ ay be interpreted as the experim entalground state pair correlation energy. It tums out to be rather di erent for the exam ples. It would be interesting to see if theory can reproduce these variations in a system atic way. The deviation of the upper portion of $E$ (I) $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}$ it (I) from the zero line re ects the uncertainty of the experim entalm om ents of inertia.
$T$ he rigid-body $m$ om ent of inertia is calculated using the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\text {rig }}\left[\sim^{2}=\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}\right]=0: 01253 A^{5=3}\left(1+0: 5^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{5=4} \mathrm{~g}\right)+0: 048225 A \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the ground-state deform ation as calculated by $M$ oller et $a l$. Since the rigid-body $m$ om ents of inertia are rather insensitive to the deform ation, taking the ground-state deform ation instead of the actual deform ation at high spin $w$ ill have only a sm alle ect. $W$ e then calculate $J_{\text {yrast }} J_{\text {rig }}$, the deviation from the rigid-body $m$ om ent of inertia, and plot it as a function of neutron num ber. T he experim entalm om ents of inontia and their deviation from rigid-body values for the nucleiw ith $s m$ all or norm al deform ation are show $n$ in $F$ igs an espectively. The superdeform ed bands of the $A=150$ region are shown in F ig
$T$ he shellenergies at spin I are calculated in the Strutinsky sense by $m$ eans of the form uala

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{s h}(I)=E(I) \quad B \quad E_{L D}+\frac{I(I+1)}{2 J_{\text {rig }}} \quad: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

wher is the oxnerim entalbinding energy and $E_{L D}$ is the liquid-drop energy at the ground-state deform ation taken from $F$ ig how sthe ground-state shell energies and $F$ ig the shell energies at $I=20$. The energy $E_{\text {sh }}$ also contains the uctuations of the pair correlation energy since the sm ooth pair energy is included in $E_{\text {LD }}$.

A s seen in F ig the deviations of the m om ents of inertia from the rigid-body value are dram atic for sm all and nom aldeform ation. R ough num bers for the experim ental deviations are $-25 \%$ for $N \quad 75,+20 \%$ for $N \quad 86,-15 \%$ for $\mathrm{N} \quad 95,-40 \%$ for N 100, and $-65 \%$ for $\mathrm{N} \quad 120 . \mathrm{N}$ ote the positive value, which cannot possibly be attributed to pair correlations. $W$ e are going to interpret the deviations in term $s$ of the shell structum, $H$ ere, we want to argue that they are not caused by the lim ited angular $m$ om entum reached in experim ent. $F$ in in $s$ the $m$ axim alvalue of I of each nucleus used in the analysis. There is no obvious correlation with Fig except poar the closed shells $\mathrm{N}=82$ and 126 , where both the $m$ om ents ofinertia and the $m$ axim alvalues if $I$ are small. In $F$ ig we show only the nuclides for which the $m$ axim um spin is larger than 20 . T hey represent approxim ately $65 \%$ of all nuclei considered. $T$ he rirerall picture of deviations of the $m$ om ents of inertia from the rigid-body value is very $m$ uch the sam $e$ as in Fig Since for the subset of nuclei w ith the highest spins the pairinc complations are, in any case, expected to be weaker than at low er spin, the sim ilarity of the structures in $F$ igs and ndicates that neither the variations in experim ental spins nor pairing correlations are creating that structure. Hence we conclude that F igs the contribution of the shell structure to the $m$ om ent of inertia and are not related to pairing e ects.
III. COMPARISON W ITH QUANTUM MECHANICALCALCULATIONS

In this section we dem onstrate that the experim ental shell-energies and $m$ om ents of inertia can be accounted for by a quantal treatm ent of the rotating $m$ ean eld $w$ thout pair correlations.

## A. C ranked W oods-Saxon-Strutinky calculations

For a realistic quantum $m$ echanical calculation we use the Strutinsky-type shell correction for rotating nucle: $T$ he single-particle levels are calculated at the rotational frequency ! by diagonalizing the cranked deform ed W ooasSaxon potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0}=t+V(; 4) \quad \downarrow \tilde{\jmath} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\jmath}$ is the single-particle angular m . For the single-particle potential a sim ple generalization of the sphericalW oods-Saxon potential is used surface $l_{d}(x)$. It is supposed that the potential depends on the distance from the

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(x)=V_{0}=\left[1+\exp \left(I_{d}(x)=a\right)\right] ;  \tag{5}\\
& V_{0}=\quad V_{m \text { ean }}\left(1 \quad c^{i s o} \frac{N \quad Z}{A}\right) ; \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the upper (low er) sign refers to protons (neutrons). The distance $l_{d}(x)$ is determ ined num erically. The sign of $l_{d}(x)$ is taken to be negative inside the nucleus and positive outside. In case of the sphere $l_{d}(x)=r_{\text {sph }} \quad R_{0}$, where $R_{0}=r_{0} A^{\frac{1}{3}}$. The spin-orbit interaction is expressed in term $s$ of the centralpotential $V$ as follow $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\text {so }}=\overline{\sim^{2}} r V \quad[p]: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he param eters of the potential are given in tabThey are taken th of the four sets of the param eters given for the rare earth region in ref. e for all nuclei considered as the averaged $T$ he param eter $r_{0}$ enters in the de nition of the potential through the volum e conservation condition.

The axially sym $m$ etric shape is characterized in the $m$ eridian 7 in the coordinate system in which one of the tw o fam ilies of the coordinate lines are the con-focalC assini ovals. For sm all deform ations the shapes are alm ost spheroidal and the param eters and 4 are close to the usual quaarupole and hexadecapole deform ation param eters " and " ${ }_{4}$. The shellenergy (Strut shell correction) $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{sh}}(; 4 ;!)$ is obtained from the single-particle levels by the Strutinsky's averaging procedure $\quad$ The deform ation param eters are determ ined by $m$ in im izing $w$ ith respect to and 4 the totalR outhian (energy in the rotating fram e)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}^{0}(; 4 ;!)=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{sh}}(; 4 ;!)+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{LD}}\left(; 4_{4}\right) \frac{!^{2}}{2} J_{\mathrm{rig}} ;(; 4) ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the given rotational frequency!. W e consider the tw o possibilities that the rotational axis $!$ is perpendicular to the sym $m$ etry axis ( $=$ ? ) and that it is parallel ( $=k$ ). The angular $m$ om entum is the expectation value of its projection on the rotationalaxis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(!)=<!\text { 元 j! }>\text {; } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=$ ? ork and $j!>$ is the low $\in^{-1}$ the liquid-drop energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{LD}}$ is given in
guration in the cranked $W$ oods-Saxon potentia . The expression for $T$ he calculated $m$ om ent of inertia at the frequency ! is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(!)=\frac{J(!)}{!}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to em phasize the role of shelle ects, we call the deviation of the $m$ om ent of inertia from the rigid-body value in absence of pairing,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mathrm{sh}} ;(!)=J(!; ~ ; ~ 4) \quad J_{\text {rig }} ;(; 4) ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

the shell m om ent of inertia. W e calculate the shell m om ents of inertia for $!=0: 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}=\sim$ in the range of nuclei for which there is experim ental data. T he nucleus is allow ed to rotate around the sym $m$ etry axis and around an axis perpondiqular to the sym $m$ etry axis, and the shape param eters are optim ized separately for prolate and oblate shape. $F$ igs show $s$ the four types of shell $m$ om ents of inertia. O ut of the four calculations, the $m$ ode $w$ th the low est totalenergy is choon to male the optim al shell energies and the optim al shell m om ents of inertia. The results are show $n$ in $F$ igs

The experim ental shell m om ents of inertia are show n in F ig as functions of neutron num ber N . The nmoton num bers $Z$ are represented by the di erent sym bols. The calculated shellm om ents of inertia are show in $F$ ig In both cases the yrast $m$ om ents of inertia deviate substantially from the rigid-body values. The variation of the snell m om ents of inertia w th neutron num ber is very sim ilar in the experim ent and in the calculation: there are dips at the spherical neutron $m$ agic num bers 50, 82 and 126 and peaks just above and below $N=82$ then low er values around $\mathrm{N}=90$ where the deform ation sets in, then another peak around $\mathrm{N}=110 \mathrm{w}$ hich is the region of high-K isom ers. W e w ill see later that these features can be related to particular properties of the nucleus (such as deform ation, closed shells and axis of rotation). O verall, the experim ental shellm om ents of inertia are shifted by about 10\% to the negative side com pared to the calculated ones. W e attribute this shift to the residualpair correlations, which are m ostly dynam ical.
$T$ he sim ilarity betw een the $m$ om ents of inertia extracted from the experim ent and from the calculations that do not include pairing $e$ ects is a con $m$ ation that the di erences, $J J$ rig, are not due to pairing e ects, but are the $m$ anifestations of shell e ects. In fact, the present com parison is just another exam ple of the observation that the details of the rotational response at high spin are well reproduced by calculations w ithout pair correlations, which often give $m$ om ents of inertia that con pery di erent from the rigid-body value. O ne case is the $m$ om ents of inertia in high K multi quasiparticle bands The low m om ent of inertia is due to the presence of onbitals at the Ferm i surface that are very strongly couplea to the deform ed potential, which $m$ akes the generation of collective angular m om entum costly. A nother case is the sm ooth term inating ban-1- which typically have a m om ent of inertia lower than $J_{\text {rig }}$ that is well reproduced by calculations w ithout pairing . The reduction is explained by shelle ects, i.e., gaps in the single-particle leveldensity for certain nucleon num bers. T he angularm om entum is generated by gradual alignm ent of the individual angularm om enta of the particles and holes in incom plete shells, which becom es $m$ ore and $m$ ore di cult $w$ ith increasing I causing the decrease in $m$ om ent of inertia. W ithout any doubt, there are $m$ any $m$ ore exam ples of substantial deviations of the experim ental $m$ om ents of inertia from the rigid-body value that have been reproduced by cranking calculations w thout pair correlations. W e consider these results as further evidence that the deviations are caused by the shell structure and not pair correlations. $T$ his interesting fact, which has not been pointed out enough, will be studied in a system atic w ay in what follow s.

Fig how s the experim entalground-state shellenergies. T hey are well reproduced by the calculations in $R$ ef. and otherm ean- eld calculations $T$ he $N$ dependence is govemed by the chell structure of the unpaired single-particle levels. B oth the calculated ( $F$ ig, and experim ental ( $F$ igs shell m om ents of inertia show a structure sim ilar to that of the shell energies at zero spin, nam ely: (1) m In $m$ a at the sam em agic num bers; (2) higher values just below and above the m agic num bers than further aw ay; (3) a shoulder or peak around $\mathrm{N}=110$. The shell energies re ect the leveldensity at the Ferm isurface. T hey are negative if the leveldensity is low er than the average and positive if it is larger. The relation betw een thevel density and the m om ent of inertia appears directly in the statistical estim ate for the $m$ om ent of inertia (cf. $\quad J=g<l^{2}>$, where $g$ is the level density and $<l^{2}>$ average single-particle angular $m$ om entum, both taken near the Ferm isurface. The Inglis $m$ om ent of inertia (sec for collective rotation, $p$ ph $j_{\mathrm{ph}} \xrightarrow{\jmath}=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ph}}$, can be estim ated by the sam e expression if $w e$ assum $e$ that the particle-nole energy $e_{p h} \quad 1=g$ and $\left.p h\right]_{\mathrm{ph}}{ }^{?}\left\langle l^{2}>\right.$. U sing for $<l^{2}>$ the classical value and for $g$ the Ferm i gas value, one obtains the rigid-body value $\quad$ The deviations from this value are $m$ ostly due to the deviations of $g$ from its sm ooth (Ferm igas) value, i.e. due to the shell structure in the level density. This just $m$ eans it is hard to generate angular $m$ om entum if the level density is low, so that the $m$ om ent of inertia is $s m a l l$; and vice versa. Since both the ground-state shell energw the shell m om ent of inertia are proportional to the level density, their correlation is not a suprise. In sectio, we shalldiscuss the relationship betw een the gmund-stato chellenergies and the shell part of the $m$ om ents of mertia in a $m$ ore quantitative $w$ ay. $N$ ote that in $F$ ind the shell energy has been $m$ ultiplied by a factor $A^{4=3}$ where $A$ is the $m$ ass of each nucleus. In sectios which $m$ akes the correlation particularly obvious
$T$ he experim ental shellenergies at spin 20 (F is $N$ are less rapid. The $m$ inim a around the $m$ ain snell ( pronounced than in the ground state (see Fic. The sam e is true for the calculated shellenergies at $\sim!=0: 3 \mathrm{M}$ eV ( $F$ ig as com pared to the calculated ones at zero frequency (not shown). The reason for the dam ping of the shell structure is the correlation betw een the zero-spin shell energy and the $m$ om ent of inertia, which im plies an anticorrelation betw een the rotational and the zero-spin shell energy. W hen the zero-spin choll energy is sm all the rotationalenergy is large and vige versa. A quantitative estim ate w ill be given in Sectiol

C oncluding this discussion we claim that the deviations of the $m$ om ents of inertia from the rigid-body value at high spin are determ ined by the shell structure of a system of independent Ferm ions con ned by a leptoderm ous potential. This implies analogies w ith no clear system s of con ned Ferm ions. M etallic clusters and quantum dots in a magnetic eld are exam ples (see for a review of the analogies), which we shall refer to in the general discussion of the shelle ects in the next section. T he strong deviations of the $m$ om ents of inertia from the rigid-body
value imply that the ow pattem of the $m$ ass current $m$ ust be rather di erent from the one of a rigidly rotating $m$ ass distribution. In the case of clusters and quantum dots, the di erence betw een the quantal and the rigid-body currents is the electric rrent carried by the conduction electrons, which gives rise to an unusually large $m$ agnetic susceptibility (see e.g. . Its nam $e$ \norm alpersistent current" alhudes to the fact that the large m agnetic $m$ om ent is generated by persistent currents like in a superconductor ( $M$ eissner e ect), but that the origin of the currents is quite di erent because the system is in the norm al state. The analogy is beautiful. The nuclear m om ents of inertia at high spin $m$ ay be substantially $s m$ aller than the rigid - body value as if the nucleus were super uid. H ow ever, it is in the norm al state and the reduction is caused by the shell e ects. In the next section we use the Periodic $O$ rbit Theory to obtain $m$ ore insight into the nature of the shell $e$ ects and the $m$ ass currents that occur in the rotating fram e at high angular $m$ om enta.

## IV. IN TERPRETATION IN TERMS OF THE PERIOD IC ORBIT THEORY

In the preceding section the shelle ects in the rotational $\quad$ w were calculated from the quantal levels in the rotating potential using Strutinsky's shell correction method. Now, we want to study them from a di erent perspective. U sing the sem iclassical Periodic $O$ rbit $T$ heory (POI), which does not require full quantal calculations, we can understand som e globalcharacteristics of the rotating $m$ any-ferm ion system. P O T has been successfiully used to explain various aspects of structure in ferm ion system s. The shell structure of a spherical cavity was rst analyzed by Balian and Bloch They could relate the spacing between the shells to the length of the shortest orbits (the triangle and square) ana they predicted a long w avelength m odulation whinalts from the intrennces betw een these orbits. This supershell structure was recently found in m etal clusters . Strutinsky et al. rst explained how the deform ation of nuclei is determ ined by the classical orbits in the $m$ eridian planes of a spneroidal cavity.

## A . B asics of the P eriodic O rb it Theory

A detailed presentation of the P eriodic $O$ roit $T$ heory ( $\mathrm{P} O T$ ) was given in the book by B rack and B hadur H ere we review som e basic facts needed for our discussion. For a given potential, the level density is decom posea into an oscillating part, which represents the shell structure, and a sm ooth background. The oscillations show up in related quantities such as the energy and the $m$ om ents of inertia, and they are the origin ofm any structural features of nuclei. POT calculates the oscillating part of the level density and of the derived quantities in term s of classical periodic orbits in the sam e potential. M ore speci cally, it aim s at the oscillating part of the level density that is averaged over a certain energy interval. For a su ciently w ide averaging interval, only the gross structure rem ains, which is described by a few short orbits. This trem endous sim pli cation makesPOT a powerfultool for intenpreting the gross
 only for the special cases of rotation about the sym $m$ etry axis and the ham onic oscillator

Let us start w ith the Ferm i gas that describes the sm ooth Denavior w thout the shell structure. W e consider N Ferm ions of one kind in a spherical cavity of radius

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}=r_{0} N^{1=3} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

tw o of which occupy one orbital state. It is useful to introduce the wave num ber, $k=P \overline{2 m e=\sim^{2}}$, where e is the level energy. The relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{F} R_{\circ}=\frac{9}{4} N^{1=3} \quad \mathrm{bN}^{1=3} ; \quad b=1: 92 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

determ ines the Ferm i w ave num ber $k_{F}$. U sing we have $k_{F} r_{O}=b$. The Ferm i energy $e_{F}=\left(\sim k_{F}\right)^{2}=2 m$ is a convenient energy unit. T he sm ooth level density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(e)=\frac{3 N k}{2 e_{F} k_{F}}=\frac{3 N e^{1=2}}{2 e_{\mathrm{F}}^{3=2}}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sm ooth level density in $k$ space is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(k)=d N=d k=g(e)(d e=d k)=\frac{3 N k^{2}}{k_{F}^{3}}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expressions for the Ferm igas do not depend on the shape of the cavity. T hey also apply to a deform ed cavity w ith the volum e. H ow ever, they describe the sm ooth behavior only correctly in leadinornder of $k$. The next order (see , which accounts for the surface e ects, som ew hat $m$ odi es the relations. These shape dependent m odl cations are not im portant for the qualitative discussions of the shell structure in the follow ing.
$T$ he level density $g$ is decom posed into the sm ooth part $g$ and an oscillating part $g_{s h}$, which contains the shell structure,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=g+g_{s h}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The oscillating part is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{s h}={ }^{X} g \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where labels the periodic orbits that contribute.
$T$ he shell structure of the levels in a spherical cavity was studied by B alian and B loch. The classical system corresponds to a point $m$ ass inside a hollow sphere bouncing elactically from the walls. I he periodic orbits are polygons in a plane that contains the center of the sphere. Fig (top) shows the sim plest, the triangle and the square. E ach polygon generates a fam ily , which consists of all omentations such a planar orbit can have within the sphere. T he fam ily is characterized by the num ber of vertiges $v$ (num ber of re ections on the surface) and the $w$ inding num ber $w$ (num ber of tums around the center until the orbit is closed), i.e., $=f v ; w . T$ he triangle is $f 3,1 \mathrm{~g}$, the square $f 4,1 \mathrm{~g}$ and the ve-point star $f 5,2 \mathrm{~g}$ (see Fig. The explicit contribution from each fam ily is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(k)=A \quad(k) \sin (L k+\quad) D \frac{k L}{R_{0}}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

To be brief, we shall refer to the whole fam ily of such degenerate orbits as the triangle, square, etc.
A s a function of $k$, each term in the sum oscillates $w$ ith the frequency given by the length $L$ of the orbital

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{w}}=2 \mathrm{v} \sin ^{\prime} \mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{w} \mathrm{R}_{\circ} ; \quad \prime_{\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{w}}=\frac{\mathrm{w}}{\mathrm{v}} ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ' ${ }_{\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{w}}$ is half the opening angle of one polygon segm ent. The M aslov index

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{w}=\frac{3 \mathrm{v}}{2}+\mathrm{w}+\frac{3}{4} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a constant phase, which tak ito account that each bounce at the surface and each tum around the center changes the phase by a constant (see . The amplitude A depends on the degeneracy of the periodic orbit: the $m$ ore sym m etries a system has, the greater the degeneracy, and the more pronounced are the uctuations of the level density. In the case of the spherical cavity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{V ; w}=2 b^{5=2} N^{5=6} \frac{k^{3=2}}{k_{F}^{5=2}} \sin \left(2^{\prime}{ }_{v ; w}\right) \frac{r}{\frac{\sin ^{\prime}{ }_{v ; w}}{v}}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relative am plitude of shell oscillations com pared to the sm ooth leveldensity $g(e)$ is $\left.\mathbb{N}^{N}\right)^{1=6}$, that is they are com parable for nuclei. T he am plitude decreases $w$ ith the opening angle ' ${ }_{v ; w}$ of the polygon sections, i.e., it decreases as the num ber of vertices $v$ increases. H ence the triangle and the square have the largest am plitudes.

The dam ping factor $D\left(k L=R_{0}\right)$ is a decreasing function of its argum ent. Its concrete form depends on how the level density is averaged over k . The wider the averaging interval the $m$ ore rapidly long orbits are suppressed. In the present paper, we do not explicitly average. W e consider only the rough dependence of several quantities on the particle num ber. This gross shell structure can be thought of as the result of averaging over a fraction of a shell. H ence, it can be understood by considering the interplay of the shortest onbits, which are the triangle and the square in the case of the sphere. N ote, we have disregarded the diam eter orbit, although it is the shortest. It gives only a sm all contribution, because it has a low er degeneracy (two angles are needed to $x$ a line) than the planar orbits (three angles are needed to $x$ a polygon). M oreover, it does not play a role for the $m$ om ents of inertia, which are the $m$ ain concem of this paper.
$T$ he shell corrections to the particle num ber, $\mathrm{N}_{\text {sh }}$, and to the energy, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sh }}$, are given by the integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\text {sh }}\left(k_{F}\right)=Z_{k_{F}} g_{\text {sh }}(k) d k ; \quad E_{\text {sh }}\left(k_{F}\right)=^{Z_{k_{F}}} \frac{\sim^{2}}{2 m}\left(k^{2} \quad k_{F}^{2}\right) g_{s h}(k) d k: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

C arrying out the integrations one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{s h}\left(k_{F}\right)=\quad X \quad \sim \quad \sim \quad\left(k_{F}\right) \cos \left(L k_{F}+\quad D \quad \frac{k_{F} L}{R_{0}}\right. \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\operatorname{sh}}\left(k_{F}\right)=\sim^{X} \sim^{2} A\left(k_{F}\right) \sin \left(L k_{F}+\right) D \frac{k_{F} L}{R}=\sim^{2} g\left(k_{F}\right): \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The period of revolution of a particle $m$ oving $w$ ith the Ferm im om entum $\sim k_{F}$ on the orbit is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\sim}=\frac{\sim^{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}}{\mathrm{~b}} \frac{\mathrm{R}_{\circ}}{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~N}^{1=3}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The shell onomy is $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sh }} \quad e_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{N}^{1=6}$ as com pared w ith the sm ooth part of the Ferm igas, which is $e_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{N}$.
Eqs. should be understood in the follow ing way. B oth $N_{s h}$ and $E_{\text {sh }}$ depend on the particle num ber via $R_{\circ}=r_{0} N^{\prime}$, where we have introduced the \sm ooth" particle num ber for a clean notation. The actual particle num ber $N=N^{N}+N_{\text {sh }}(\mathbb{N})$ and the shellenergy $E_{\text {sh }}(\mathbb{N})$ are functions of the param eter $\mathbb{N}$, which then de nes $E_{\text {sh }}(\mathbb{N})$ in a param etric form. H ow ever when discussing the extrem a of $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sh }}$, we need not resort to th is soph istication, because $\cos \left(\mathrm{L} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}+\quad\right)=0 \mathrm{where} \sin \left(\mathrm{L} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}+\quad\right)=1$ 。

B . B asic shell and supershell structu re
Let us discuss the $m$ ain features of the shell structure of the spherical cavity as an educational exam ple. The m ost im portant orbits are the triangle $(=4)$ and the square ( $=$ ), to whion we restrict the sum sover. They are the shortest onbits $w$ ith the length $L_{4}=5: 19 R_{\circ}$ and $L=5: 66 R_{0}$. Since, $L_{4} \quad L$, one has 4 $\mathrm{A}_{4} \quad \mathrm{~A} \quad \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4} \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{D} . \mathrm{U} \operatorname{sing}$ the addition theorem for the sine function one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{S H}=2 \sim^{2} A \sin \left(k_{F} L+\right) \cos \left(k_{F} L+\right) D ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $L=\left(L_{4}+L\right)=2=5: 42 R$ and $L=\left(L \quad L_{4}\right)=2=0: 24 R$ and the analogous de nitions for and . A well known phenom enon is encountered: the superposition of tw o oscillations with sim ilar frequency results in a beat le. The fast oscillation represents basic shell structure and the slow beat $m$ ode $w$ as called supershell structure
he phenom enon of supershell structure w as observed in N a cluster: alm ost three decades after it w as predicted It is realistic to assum e that the conduction electrons $m$ ove in a cavity. T he basic shell closures correspond to the $m$ inim a of the sine function, which lie at $k_{F} L+\quad=(2 n+3=4)$. W ith $L=5: 42 R_{0}$ and $k_{F} r_{0}=b$ one nds that the closed shells appear at $\mathrm{N}^{1=3}=0: 60 \mathrm{n}+\quad$ verall, the experim entalm agic num bers in N a chusters are well reproduced by the relation $N^{1=3}=0: 61 \mathrm{n}+0: 50 \quad$ Them agic num bers $58,92,138,198$ give $92^{1=3} \quad 58^{1=3}=0: 64$, $138^{1=3} \quad 92^{1=3}=0: 65$, and $198^{1=3} \quad 138^{1=3}=0: 00$. T he som ew hat longer period for the sm all chusters as com pared to the POT value for the cavity is due to the assum ption of a cavity instead of a potential of nite depth and surface thickness.
$T$ he slow oscillation is the supershellstructure and has halfperiod ofl $\quad T=$, which correspondsto $L=2 \mathrm{~L} \quad 12$ shells. In the experim ent, the beat $m$ in im um appears around $n=15$, W hen the cosine function of the slow oscillation changes sign, the $m$ axim a of the fast oscillation becom e m inm a, i.e., the new shell closures, whid shifted by half a shell as com pared w ith the ones in the rat. T his phase shift w as also observed at $\mathrm{n}=15$ A m ore carefiulapplication ofP O T than given here (see rem oves the discrepancy betw een the calculated and observed shell num ber where the beat $m$ inim um appears.

> C. D eform ation

In the $m$ iddle betw een the closed shells, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sh }}>0$ for spherical shape. Nuclei and alkali clusters reduce this shell energy by taking a non-spherical shape, i.e., they avoid a high level density near the Ferm ilevel. This is analogous to the Jahn-T eller e ect in $m$ olecules. D ue to sym $m$ etry, the electronic levels of a $m$ olecule $m$ ay be degenerate. If such
a degenerate level is incom pletely lled, the m olecule changes its shape such that the degeneracy is lifted. W hereas the nal shape of the $m$ olecule is determ ined by the balance betw een this driving force and the restoring force of the chem icalbonds, in the case of nuclei and clusters a shape is attained that $m$ in im izes the level densit r the Ferm isurface. The corresponding gaps in the single-particle spectrum are referred to as $\backslash$ deform ed shells" . The optim al shapes are described by the few low est m ultipoles. T his has been known for a long tim e for nuclei, where it is experim entally con m ed. A lso in the case of li chusters, severalm ultipoles are needed to describe the equilibrium shapes (see, for exam ple the calculations in . The calculations of the shapes in the present paper include the m ultipoles necessary for a com pletely relaxed axial shape. POT pem its us to understand some basic features of the deform ation. H ow evr- full understanding of the intenplay betw een the $m$ ultipoles has not yet been reached (conceming octupoles see

O ur present interpretation of deform ed shapes m s of POT is based on the analysis of the spheroidal cavity. Strutinsky and cow orkers did the pioneering work by discussing $E_{\text {sh }}$ as function of the particle num ber $N$ and the ratio of the long and short sem i-axes, which are, respectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}=r_{0} N^{1=3} \quad 2=3 \quad R_{s}=r_{0} N^{1=3} \quad 1=3 \text { prolate } \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}=r_{0} N^{1=3} \quad 1=3 \quad R_{s}=r_{0} N^{1=3} \quad 2=3 \quad \text { oblate: } \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The volum e of the cavity is deform atin dependent. F ig (top) show sthe shell energy contours $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sh }}$ as fiunction of the deform ation param eter (see , calculated for the $W$ oods-Saxon potential $w$ th a very thin di useness ( $a=0.05$ ) and no spin-orbit coupling, wnich is practically identicalw ith the cavity. In this case, to reach the sam e accuracy the integration $m$ esh for calculating the $H$ am iltnnian $m$ atrix elem ents $w$ as taken 10 tim es ner than for the usual calculation w ith the param eters from the $T$ ab For the considered deform ation range the tw o deform ation param eters are related by $\quad \overline{(1+j} \bar{j})=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & j\end{array}\right)$.
$T$ he $m$ ost im portant onbits are the polygons lying in the $m$ eridian planes, because they have a tw o-fold degeneracy: all polygons in one $m$ eridian plane have the sam e length and all $m$ eridian nlanes are equivalent. A gain, the triangle and the rhom bus are the portant onbits, which are shown in $F$ ig $A$ for the sphere, their interference generates a beat pattem i.e., the shell correction $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sh }}$ has the form $\quad$ where $A$ is di erent. The basic shell structure is govemed by $\sin \left(k_{F} L_{\text {? }}+\right)$; where $L_{\text {? }}=\left(L_{4}+L_{\}}\right)=2$ and ? Indicates the $m$ eridian plane. (T he reason for the labeling becom es clear ${ }^{1}$ v in the context of rotation.) In order to keep the expressions sim ple, we approxim ate $L_{\text {? }} \quad L_{\}}$, follow ing $R$ ef. The length of the rhom bi is:

$$
L_{\}}=4 N^{1=3} r_{0}{ }^{p} \xlongequal[2=3+4=3]{4=3} \begin{gather*}
\text { prolate }  \tag{29}\\
1
\end{gather*}
$$

The equilibrium shape corresponds to the $m$ in $\dot{m} u m$ of $E$ sh , i.e. to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~L}_{?}+\quad=\left(2 \mathrm{n}+\frac{3}{4}\right): \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hese lines, $L$ ? $(\mathbb{N} ;)=$ const, are also show $n$ in $F$ io A s seen, the valleys and ridges follow the lines, $L$ ? $(\mathbb{N}$; ) = const. They are nearly horizontal on the oblate side, because the function $\overline{2=3}+\quad{ }^{4=3}$ is roughly constant in the interesting range of . H ow ever, on the prolate side, constant length corresponds to approxim ately $\mathrm{N} / 1$, which results in the dow n-sloping curves. If one starts from a closed shell and spherical shape ( $=1$ or $=0$ ) tal-ine particles aw ay, it is energetically favorable to follow the valley on the prolate side. T h is is H . Frisk's explanation for the preponderance of prolate oumlate nuclei. The sm ooth increase of the deform ation with decreasing iv is another experim ental fact (see e.g., which is explained by the down-sloping of lines, $L(\mathbb{N}$; ) = const, on the prolate side. If $N$ decreases further, the spherical shape in the next low er valley eventually becom es energetically favored. Since the two valleys are separated by a ridge, the deform ation decreases abruptly, when the valley near spherical shape takes a low er energy. T his explains the sullo onset of nuclear deform ation when the open shell is entered. B oth features have been rst pointed out. ef. The traverse of the $m$ eridian ridge is clearly seen in the shell energies of $N$ a clusters calculated in $R$ ef. (cf. F Ig. 2 therein, $m$ inim ization $w$ ith respect to only).

Let us elaborate on the above analysis of deform ation from previous work. The N landscape contains m ore structure than the sequence of ridges and valleys generated by the $m$ eridian orbits. It show $s$ an interference pattem w ith a second set of valleys and ridges that is generated by the orbits that lie in the equator plane and its neighborhood. $T$ he equator orbits are regular polygons as in the sphericalcavity. In contrast to the m eridian orbits, they are only onefold degenerated $w$ ith respect to a rotation around the center. T herefore the valley-ridge structure is less pronounced than for the two-fold degenerated $m$ eridian orbits. A gain, the valleys and ridges correspond to a constant length
$L_{k}=\left(L_{4}+L\right)=2$, where $k$ indicates the equator plane. For $\operatorname{sim}$ plicity we approxim ate $L_{k} \quad L$, which on the prolate side is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=4^{p} \overline{2} r_{0} N^{1=3} \quad{ }^{1=3}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fig liso show sthe lines, $L_{k}(\mathbb{N} ;)=$ onst. The ridges start at the $m$ axim a of the spherical cavity and the valleys (not snow $n$ ) at the respective $m$ inim $a$. This is analogous to the $m$ eridian orbits, how ever the slope of the lines of constant length is positive, since $N$ / . B oth types of orbits originate from the sam e fam ily at spherical shape. T he equator ridges and valleys $m$ odulate the bottom of the $m$ eridian valleys. If one starts from a closed shell at $=1$ taking particles aw ay and moves along the bottom of a meridian valley one passes a hum $p$ that is generated by an equator ridge and continues into a depression generated by an equator valley. The depression is the deform ed shell closure. There, the $m$ eridian and equator orbits interfere co, ctively. The equator hum $p$ and depression are clearly seen in the shell energies of N a clusters calculated in R ef. (cf. F ig. 2 therein, m inim ization w ith respect to only).

## D . Superdeform ation

$M$ atsuyanagiand cow orken studied the relation betw een the periodic orbits and the appearance of a pronounced shell structure in a prolate cavily $w$ ith an axes ratio of about $2: 1$, which corresponds to the nuclear superdeform ed shape. They found that di erent orbits are responsible for the shell gaps causing superdeform ation. The $m$ eridian orbits lose im portance w ith increasing deform ation, because they becom e longer. The radius of the equator plane shrinks w ith increasing deform ation. Therefore, the equator orbits becom e shorter and more im portant Most prom inent are the orbits that close only after two tums around the armmetry axis (w $=2$ in Eq. . The
ve-point star is the sim plest orbit of this type, which is shown in Fig B etw een $=1: 5$ and 2, these planar orbits becom e unstable. They give birth (bifurcation) to three-dim ensionalonolls w ith the follow ing path: during the rst tum the orbit is above the emor plane and during the second tum it is below. P ictures of these three-dim ensional orbits can be found in Ref. The ve-point ctar and the double-traverse triangle determ ine the superdeform ed shellstructure. The butter y onoit show n in F ig sprom inent in the deform ation intervall:4\ll 2. It bifurcates from the double-traverse diam eter orbit in the equator plane. T hese onbits becom em ore im portant than the shorter orbits w ith only one w inding around the center, because the am plitude strongly increases near the bifurcation points. In fact, the am plitude goes to in nity if one uses the standard stationary phase approxim ation. A quantitative description of the ancem ent $m$ ust be based on a $m$ ore accurate treatm ent, which $M$ agner et al. w orked out for the spheroidal cavity

The lines of constant length of the ve-point star orbit in the equator plane are also included in $F$ ig $T$ he change from the low-deform at ine high-deform ation shell structure is m ore clearly seen in gures that extend to larger deform ation (see Refs. .H ow ever, som e of the superdeform ed shell structure is visible on the right fringe of the gure. T he fact that double-traverse orbits are responsible for the superdeform ed shell structure, is $m$ ost directly re ected by the shorter w avelength of tha coillations of the shell energy. The period of the spherical shell structure is $N^{1=3}=2=(5: 4 \mathrm{~b})=0: 60$ (cf. Sect The double triangle has the length $10: 4 r_{0} N^{1=3} \quad 1=3$, which gives a
 Refs. give a ratio of about 1.5 for the periods of the spherical and superdeform ed shells.

A closer inspection of F ig how $s$ that at norm aldeform ation ( $0: 3$ ) the ridges and valleys from the $m$ eridian orbits seem to bem odu lated by both the single- (triangle, square) and double- (ve-point star, double traverse triangle) traverse orbits in the equatorplane. Thus, our discussion in sectio versim pli ed the interplay betw een m eridian and equator orbits by disregarding the dpuble-traverse onbits, the inclision of which accounts for details of the N landscape in the upper panel of F ig (e. $g$. the bum p at $\mathrm{N}=90$ and $=0: 3$ ).

## E. Application to nuclei

The cavity model di ers from nuclei in three im portant aspects: i) the nuclear potential does not jump to in nity at the surface; ii) there is spin-orbit coupling; and iii) there are protons and neutrons, which lle shells di erently. W ewill dich of these brie $y$.
i) Refs. com pared the shellenergies of the cavity $w$ ith the ones of the $W$ oods-Saxon potential. They found that the qualrative features agree rather well. W e are using as a cavity-like $m$ odel the W oods-Saxon potential w ith the sam e depth, but w ith very sm all di useness ( $a=0: 05$ ) and no spin-orbit coupling. The results for such a $m$ odel are close to the true cavity $m$ odel.
ii) Incorporating the spin-orbit coupling into POT (cf. is com plicated because the spin cannot be treated sem iclassically. Sim ple interpretations as for the spinless PU I have not been found yet. H ow ever, the shell energies calculated from $W$ oods-Saxon potentials $w$ ith and $w$ thout a spin-onbit part show strong sim ilarities if one com pamathem at the sam e fractional lling of the spherical shells, as seen by com paring the upper and low er panel of F ig In the follow ing we will assum e that this also holds for the rotationalenergies.
iii) D epending on the nuclide, protons and neutrons $m$ ay $l l$ a di erent fraction of the shell and contribute in a di erent w ay to the net shellstructure. W e show the experim entaldata and the calculations as functions of the neutron num ber $N$, because the neutron shell structure is m ore clearly visible. A round the spherical shell closure at $N=126$, $Z$ is not too di erent from 82, i.e., the proton and neutron shell contributions are in phase. They get progressively out of phase with decreasing N . At $\mathrm{N}=82, \mathrm{Z}$ is around 64, i.e., about m id shell. For $50 \mathrm{~N} \quad 82$ the protons are out of phase w th the neutrons. The neutron shell contributions are stronger than the proton contributions in the heavy nuclei. Therefore, the neutron shell structure show $s$ up in the $N$ dependence of the energies and $m$ om ents of inertia. H ow ever, it is som ew hat $m$ odi ed due to the proton shell contribution, which depends on $Z$. For our qualitative discussion, we $m$ ay com pare the total shell contributions in nuclei at a given fractional lling $N$ of the neutron shellw ith the ones calculated for the cavity at the sam e fractional lling N . For $\mathrm{N}>100$, where the neutron shell contribution are not too m uch out of phase $w$ th the proton contribution, one expects that the N dependence of the shelle ects is about the sam e as in the cavity that contains only one kind of ferm ions. For $N<100$ one expects a weaker $N$ dependence, because the protons no longer enhance the neutron shell structure. In fact, the proton shell structure $m$ ay counteract and modify the neutron shell structure by changing the deform ation. A detailed discussion of this interplay goes beyond the scope of this paper. H ow ever, som $e$ features of the shell structure that are a consequence of th is interplay w ill be discussed below.

Them agic num bers $50,82,126$ (and 184 predicted) correspond to periods of the sphericalshells $82^{1=3} \quad 50^{1=3}=0: 66$, $126^{1=3} \quad 82^{1=3}=0: 67,184^{1=3} \quad 126^{1=3}=0: 67$, which are the sam e ac in the sm all clusters and som ew hat longer than the period $\mathrm{N}^{1=3}=0: 60$ given by POT for the cavity (cf. section . The closed superdeform ed shells appear at $\mathrm{N}=112$ and 88 , which corresponds to noriod of $112^{1=3} \quad 88^{1=0}=0.3$. The POT period of the superdeform ed shells in the cavity is $N^{1=3}=0: 40$ (cf and superdeform ed shells in nuclei is som ew nat larger than the POT prediction of 1.5 . The ratio re ects the di erent lengths of single-traversed onbits in the sphere and the double-traversed onbits in the equator plane of the spheroid w th the axes ratio 2:1.

The experim ental shellenergies at zero spin in Fig how quite clearly the interference betw een the equator and $m$ eridian orbits at norm al deform ation. Going dow n rrom the closed shell at $\mathrm{N}=126$ one clim bs up the bottom of the valley generated by the $m$ eridian orbits, crossing the ridge generated by the equator orbits, and reaches the deform ation region generated by the constructive interference of both the $m$ eridian and equator valleys $(\mathbb{N}=98)$. O ne then follow s the valley generated by the equator orbits. A long the bottom of this valley one has to go over the ridge generated by the $m$ eridian orbits $(\mathbb{N}=90)$ in order to reach the sphericalm inim um at $N=82$. Though less pronounced, the sam e pattem is seen in the shell 50 N 82.

The Ferm i gas relations are m odi ed for the nucleus because each orbital state is occupied by four ferm ions (proton, neutron, spin up, spin dow $n$ ). The radius is $R=r_{0} A^{1=3} w$ ith $r_{0}=1: 2 \mathrm{fm}$. Assum ing $N=Z=A=2$ we have $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{b}=2^{1=3}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}=34 \mathrm{MeV}$. Hence, for the action one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{R}_{\circ}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{~A}^{1=3}=\mathrm{b} \frac{\mathrm{~A}}{2}{ }^{1=3}=\mathrm{bN}^{1=3} ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in the case of a cavity w ith only one kind of ferm ions. Studying the rotation below, we encounter the inverse energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{R}^{2}}{\sim^{2}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~m} r_{0} A^{1=3} k_{F}^{2}}{\left(\sim k_{F}\right)^{2}}=\frac{b(A=2)^{1=3^{2}}}{e_{F}}=\frac{\mathrm{bN}^{1=3^{2}}}{e_{F}}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the heavy nuclei, where $N>A=2$, there appears the am biguity, whether one should use for $N$ the neutron num ber or $A=2$. T he di erence in the estim ates is not signi cant in the context of our qualitative discussion. In order to be de nite, we use $N=A=2$ whenever we refer to $A$ and the actual neutron num ber whenever we refer to $N$.

> F. In uence of rotation

R otation is taken into account by applying POT to the R outhian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{0}=\mathrm{H} \quad!l_{i} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is the $H$ am iltonian of the deform ed cavity, ! the angular velocity and lthe pro jection of the onbitalangular $m$ omentum on the axis of rotation. The spin is disregarded. If! is intenpreted as the Larm or frequency, the $R$ outhian agrees w ith the $H$ am iltonian of a system of electrons in a weak $m$ agnetic eld. H ence, we can directly use several results from studies of a cavity in a magnetic eld.
$T$ he $s m$ ooth part of the $m$ om ent of inertia is the rigid-body value which is for the spherical cavity containing only one kind of ferm ions

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\text {rig }}=\frac{2}{5} m R^{2} N=\frac{b^{2} \sim^{2}}{5 e_{F}} N^{5=3}: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of nuclei it becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\text {rig }}=\frac{2}{5} m R^{2} A=\frac{b^{2} \sim^{2}}{2^{2=3} 5 e_{F}} A^{5=3}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The classical orbits in the cavity are $m$ odi ed by the rotation. T he particle $m$ oves on a curved tra jectory betw een the re ections on the surface. At the Ferm i level, the deviation from the straight line is proportional to the ratio of the velocity of the cavity $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}=r$ ! and the particle velocity in the non-rotating cavity $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{m}$. U sing the m axim al value of $v_{r}$ at the surface, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}}{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{F}}}=\frac{\mathrm{mR} \mathrm{!}}{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{F}}}=\frac{\mathrm{m} r_{0} \mathrm{~N}^{1=3}!}{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{F}}}=\frac{\mathrm{b} \sim!}{2 \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}} \mathrm{~N}^{1=3} ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the Ferm igas estim ates. From them axim alangularm om entum $I_{m}$ ax $w e$ consider in this paper (c.f. $F$ ig. and the experim entalm om ents of inertia $J_{\text {exp }}$ one has $\sim!<I_{m}$ ax $=J_{\exp }$, which $m$ ear~th the ratio $V_{R}=V_{F}<0: 1$. 1 nerefore, the C ranking tem ! l can be treated in turbative way. K olom. et al applied the perturbation theory to a rotating spherical cavity. Tanaka et al and Frauendorf et al used II for studies of the $m$ agnetic response of electrons in a spherical cavity. C reagh form ulated the pert acive approach in a generalway. The $m$ ethod and the treatm ent of a weak $m$ agnetic ela are exposed in detail in which we follow here.
$T$ he change of the action due to rotation is given in rst order by
Z
$\mathrm{S}=!\quad \mathrm{ld} \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{l} \quad \mathrm{l} \sim$ :

The integration runs over the unperturbed orbit in the non rotating cavity. In the case of the spherical cavity, the angular $m$ om entum $l$ of the orbit is conserved and the integration is trivial. In case of the spheroidal cavity, $l$ is conserved for the equator orbits but not for the m eridian. For the latter, $l$ is the average angularm om entum of the orbit which m ust be found by evahating the integra_ $\quad \mathrm{Z}$. Since $!l_{Z}=m\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & \forall\end{array}\right) \quad \downarrow$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim()=m \quad(x \quad \mathrm{~d} s) \quad t=2 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{df} \quad t=2 \mathrm{~mA}!\cos \quad \sim \quad \cos ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where A is the area enclosed by the onbit and is the angle betw een the nom alof its plane and the axis of rotation. Hence, is the \rotational ux" in units of $\sim$, of the vector eld $2 m \stackrel{4}{4}$ enclosed by the orbit. In the analogous case of particles in a magnetic eld, is the $m$ agnetic ux in units of the elem entary ux quantum.
$T$ he rotation manifests itself in the annearance of an additional modulation factor $M$ in the expressions for the leveldensity and the shellenergy . The m odulation factor is given by the arm....fexp (i ) over all orbits of the sam e length, which belong to the ram ily. In the case of a spherical cavity the m odulation factor becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \quad(\quad)=j_{0}(\quad) ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the ux through the orbit perpendicular to the rotationalaxis (or the magnetic eld) and jo the spherical B essel function.

In the case of the spheroidal cavity, we distinguish betw een the rotational axis being parallel or perpendicular to the sym $m$ etry axis. For parallel rotation, only the equator fam ily carries rotational ux. It consists of all polygons rotated around the center. Since is independent of the rotational angle one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{M}_{k}(\quad)=\cos \left({ }_{k}\right)=\cos (2 \mathrm{~mA} \quad!=\sim)=\cos (!\quad 1=\sim): \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cosine function appears because in each fam ily the onbits appear in tim e reversed pairs (the particles run clockw ise and counterclockw ise on the orbit). The case is analogous to spherical quantum dots (two-dim ensional circular
potential pockets that con ne electrons on a surface) in a perpendicular magnetic eld. The modulation factor has been observed as oscillations of the electric current through the dot, which $\ldots$ ns with the $m$ agnetic eld strength, where the period of the oscillations is given by the area of the triangle (see

For the $m$ eridian orbits

$$
\begin{equation*}
M ?(\quad)=\frac{1}{2}_{0}^{Z} e^{i} \quad \cos d=J_{0}(\quad) ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $J_{0}$ is the Bessel function and the ux through the orbit penpendicular to the ax is of rotation. Since the area enclosed by the fam ily $m$ em bers lying in ononlane di ers for the $m$ eridian orbits one $m$ ust average the $m$ odulation factor over all these orbits (see below). Fig show s the three types ofm odulation factors.

In the analysis of the experim ent and the $m$ Icroscopic calculations we assum e that the rotational energy has the form $!^{2} J=2$, which corresponds to an expansion of $M$ up to second order in.$U$ sing the expansions of cos( ), $J_{0}(\mathrm{r})$ and $j_{0}(\mathrm{r}$, one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=1 \quad a^{2} ; \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here, respectively, $a_{k}=1 / 2$ and $a_{?}=1 / 4$ for the equator and $m$ eridian onbits in the spheroidalcavity and $a=1 / 6$ for the spherical cavity. O ne $m$ ay derive this result directly by expanding exp (i cos ) before integration. The leading term is quadratic, because the linear term becom es zero when averaging. The next term is ( cos $)^{4}=24$, which can be neglected in our qualitative discussion as long as $<1: 5={\overline{(\cos )^{4}}}^{1=4}$. A s seen in F ig $\quad 1 \mathrm{M} \quad$ deviates from its quadratic approxim ation by less than $35 \%$ for $<2$, where the quadratic approxim ation is better for $J_{0}($ ) and $j_{0}(\quad)$ than forcos( ).

Inserting the quadratic approxim ation of the m odulation factor into eq. one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{s h}^{0}\left(k_{F}\right)=E_{s h}\left(k_{F}\right) \quad \frac{!^{2}}{2} J_{s h}\left(k_{F}\right) ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{s h}\left(k_{F}\right)={ }^{X} \quad 2 a l^{2} A\left(k_{F}\right) \sin \left(L k_{F}+\quad\right) D \frac{k_{F} L}{R}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

This low -! version has been used in by Frauendorfet al for analyzing the $m$ agnetic response of sphericalm etal clusters and circular quantum dots. In the present paper we nave added the $m$ eridian onbits.
$T$ he angular $m$ om entum of the onbit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\frac{2 \mathrm{~mA}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\frac{2 \sim \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~A}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\frac{2 \sim \mathrm{~A}}{\mathrm{RL}} \mathrm{bN}^{1=3} ; \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\overline{\mathrm{A}^{2}}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{A}^{2}}$ denotes the average over the degenerate orbits in one and the sam e plane. T he exact form of this average $w$ ill not be derived in this paper, because it is not im portant for our qualitative discussion, as seen below. W orking it out, w ould dem and a substantial sophistication of P O T.

The area of the regular polygons in one of the planes of the sphere is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{v ; w}=A_{v ; w}=\frac{v}{2} \sin \left(\frac{2 \mathrm{w}}{\mathrm{v}}\right) \mathrm{R}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{v}}{2} \sin \left(\frac{2 \mathrm{w}}{\mathrm{v}}\right) r_{\mathrm{o}}^{2} \mathrm{~N}^{2=3}: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he area of the polygons in the equator plane of the prolate spheroid is given by the sam e expression contain ing $R_{s}$ instead of $R$. A veraging over the di erent orientations in the equator plane does not give anything new because the area is constant. For the basic shell structure, we take the $m$ ean of the contribution from the triangle and the square,

$$
A_{k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{4}+A \quad\right)=1: 64 r_{0}^{2} N^{2=3} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
2=3 & \text { prolate }  \tag{48}\\
2=3
\end{array} \text { oblate } ;
$$

$w$ here $k$ indicates that the rotational axis is parallel to the sym $m$ etry $\cdots$. In the case of the $m$ eridian onbits, the areas are rather com plicated expressions in term $s$ of the half-axes (see . Let us consider the rhom boidal orbits. The orbit, whose diagonals coincide w ith the axes of the ellipse, encloses tne area

$$
A_{\}}=2 R_{1} R_{s}=2 r_{0}^{2} N^{2=3} \quad \begin{align*}
& 1=3
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { prolate }  \tag{49}\\
& \text { oblate }
\end{align*}:
$$

The area enclosed by the orbit consisting of lines parallel to the axes of the ellipse di ens from by the factor $2=\overline{1++1=}$. The areas of the other rhom bifall in betw een these lim its. Since for $<1: 5$ the di erences between the areacame less than $2 \%$, we use We assum e that the area of the triangles changes $w$ ith deform ation as given by Eq. and use the approxim ation

$$
A_{?}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{4}+A_{\}}\right)=1: 64 r_{0}^{2} N^{2=3} \quad \begin{align*}
& 1=3
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { prolate } ;  \tag{50}\\
& \text { oblate }
\end{align*} ;
$$

where ? indicates that the axis of rotation is penpendicular to the sym $m$ etry axis.
Let us now discuss the accruacy of the quadratic approxim ation for the modilation factor in the case of nuclei, which is illustrated in Fig The rotational ux in the sphere is (cf.

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}\left(1: 64 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right) \sim!}{\sim^{2}}=\frac{1: 03 \mathrm{~b}^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2=3} \sim!}{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}}: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the m icroscopic calculations, we use $\sim!=0: 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, which correspondsto uxes of $=0: 6$ and 1.1 for $\mathrm{A}=80$ and 200, respectively. H ence, the quadratic approxim ation is rather accurate. In the case of the experim ent, we extract the shell contributions to the $m$ om ent of inertia $m$ ostly from the last 10~, i.e., the average spin $I \quad I_{m}$ ax $5 . U$ sing the experim entalm om ents of inertia, wem ay estim ate the frequency as $\sim!=I=J$ exp, which gives $\sim!=1: 0 ; 0: 5 ; 0: 4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ and $=2: 0 ; 1: 6 ; 1: 5$ for the regions $A=80 ; 160 ; 200$, respectively. H ence, for the bulk of the data the quadratic approxim ation is not very accurate, but still acceptable for our rst system atic analysis. It becom es problem atic for the light nuclei and for som e the cases that reach very high spin (cf. Fir. Including the deform ation reduces the ux for rotation about the sym $m$ etry axis. $W$ th $=1: 3$, one $n d s k=1: 34$ for $A=160$, for which the quadratic approxim ation of $\cos ()$ becom es quite reasonable. The deform ation increases the ux for rotation penpendicular to the sym $m$ etry axis. $W$ th $=1: 3$, one nds $?=1: 8$ for $A=160$. A parabola that approxim atoc $M$ ( ) at large values of has a low er curvature than the parabola that approxim ates the low - part (see Fig. . H ence, the deviations of M ( ) from the quadratic form will tend to reduce the shell contributions to the $m$ om ents of inertia that we derive from the data.
G. M om ents of inertia

The $m$ om ents of inertia are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{s h k}=\frac{1}{k}_{2} A\left(k_{F}\right) \sin \left(L_{k} k_{F}+\right) D \frac{k_{F} L_{k}}{R} ; \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{s h} ?=\frac{1}{2} I_{?}^{2} A\left(k_{F}\right) \sin \left(L_{?} k_{F}+\right) D \frac{k_{F} L_{?}}{R}: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ratio (c.f. Eqs.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J_{\text {sh }}}{J_{\text {rig }}} \quad I^{2} A \Theta_{\mathrm{F}} N \quad \quad 5=3 \quad\left(\mathbb{N}^{1=3}\right)^{2} N^{5=6} N \quad \quad 5=3=N \quad 1=6 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

is of the order of one, i.e. the shell contribution to the $m$ om ent of inertia is com parable $w$ ith the sm ooth rigid-body value.
O ne m ay rew rite Eqs. as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\text {shk }}=\frac{1}{k}_{k}^{2} g_{\text {shk }}\left(k_{F}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mathrm{sh} ?}=\frac{1}{2} \beth_{?}^{2} g_{\mathrm{sh}} ?\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}\right): \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is a $m$ ore quantitative statem ent of the relation betw een the level density and the $m$ om ent of inertia, which we discussed in Sect $T$ he deviation of the $m$ om ent of inertia from the rigid-body value is not determ ined by the total deviation of the level density from the Ferm i gas value but only by the part generated by the orbits carrying
rotational ux. This is indicated by the subscripts $k$ and ? for the parallel and penpendicular orientation of the rotationalaxis.

Taking into account Eq. one $m$ ay also relate $\square$ to the ground-state shell energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{s h k}=\frac{\sim^{2}}{e_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{shk}} ; \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{s h ?}=\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 e_{F}^{2}}\left(k_{F}^{2} A_{?}\right)^{2} E_{s h} \text {; } \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

 the shell energy w as scaled by a factor $A^{4=3}$. The scaling factor accounts for respective scales on the plots of $J_{\text {sh }}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sh }}$, which is rem arkable considering the qualitative nature of our argum ents. A $s$ in the case of the leveldensity, only the part of the shell energy originating from the orbits enclosing rotational ux contributes to the shell m om ent of inertia.
$T$ he term $s$ in the sum giving $J_{\text {sh }}$ contain the additional factor $(1)^{2}$ (as com pared to the term $s$ in the sum giving $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{sh}}$ ) which is proportional to $\mathrm{A}^{2}$, the square of the area enclosed by the classicalorbits (cf. discussion in the preceding paragraph). This factor tends to favor the contribution of the longer orbits to the $m$ om ent of inertia as com pared to the shell energy, which $w i l l$ introduce $m$ ore uctuations in the variation of the shell $m$ om ent of inertia as a function of neutron num ber. These uctuations would be dam ped by averaging over nuclei (which we have not done) because the dam ping factor in the sum swould suppress the longer orbits.
The relations allow us to discuss the gross shell structure for m om ents $\mathrm{o}^{\text {f }}$ ritia w ith the help of F ig. The shell structure of the $m$ om ent of inertia was rst discussed in $\quad$ ig. 2 of $R$ ef. show s the neutron part of $J_{\text {? }}$ for $=0: 3$. There is a maxim um below $\mathrm{N}=90$, a minim um near $\mathrm{N}=106$, and anotnermaxim um at $\mathrm{N}=120$, which correspond, respectively, to the ridge, valley, and ridge, generated by the meridian onbits in $F$ if fottom ).
F ig. 3 of the sam e paper show s the proton part of $J$ ? for $=0: 3$. There is a maxim um at $Z=58$ a minim um at $Z=68$ and another $m$ axim um ahove $Z=80$, which correlate $w e l l w^{\text {th }}$ the sequence ridge, valley, ridge, generated by the $m$ eridian onbits in $F$ ig (bottom ). The interpretation of $F$ ig and its experim ental counterpart $F$ ig $m$ ore com plicated, because the detorm ation and the orientation of the rotationalaxis are optim ized. For this reason, we rst discuss the four subsets fon culations shownin Fig in each of which the axis of rotation and the sign of
are xed, w ith the help of $F$ ig
For prolate deform ation and rotation perpendicular to the sym $m$ etry $a x$ is the $m$ eridian orbits determ ine the shell m om ent of inertia, because only they enclose rotational ux. Starting at the $\mathrm{N}=82 \mathrm{~m}$ in im um and follow ing the east path of lowest elevation around the $N=100 \mathrm{~m}$ ountain, one goes over the $m$ eridian pass, which show s up as the $m$ axim um of the $J_{s h}$ ?, arriving at the deform ed $m$ inim um around $N=98$. Then one follow sthe valley to the $\mathrm{N}=126 \mathrm{~m}$ in im um, realizing that there is no $\mathrm{N}=106$ ridge, which is generated by the equator onbits. A ccordingly, $J_{s h}$ ? decreases until the $m$ inim um at $N=126$ is reached.

For rotation about the sym $m$ etry axis, $J_{\text {shk }}$ is determ ined by the equator orbits. Follow ing the sam e path, one goes through a vallar to a m in im um at $N=98$ and over a hum $p$ at $N=106$ (the ridges generated by the equator orbits are dashed in F ig , which determ ine the m inim um and $m$ axim um of $J_{\text {shk }}$, respectively. The behaviour near $N=82$ w ill be explainea below. For oblate deform ation one goes on the west path around the $\mathrm{N}=100 \mathrm{~m}$ ountain ( F ig where reaches values betw een -0.15 and -0.20 . The $m$ axim um of $J_{s h}$ ? at $N \quad 105$ is caused by the $m$ eridian mage and the $m$ axim um of $J_{\text {shk }}$ at $N=90$ re ects the equator ridge.

A ll four calculations look sim ilar around $N=82$. There is a minim um at $N=82$ enclosed by two spikes at $N=80$ and $N=86 ; 88$. Inside this interval the shape is spherical. $W$ hen $N$ goes outside the interval, the deform ation suddenly jum ps to a substantial razhe and the shell contribution drops. The deform ation jump is a consequence of the topology of the map, i.e., Fig $N$ ear the closed shell, the equipotential lines are ellipse-like $w$ ith the center at the sphericalm inim um. For given $\mathbb{N}$, the energy $m$ inim um lies at $=0$. In the $m$ iddle of the shell, the equipotential lines becom e ellipse-like around the $m$ ountain top. H ence, when $N$ increases from 82, stays zero as long as the contour lines curve dow nw ards. W hen the curvature changes sign, jumps to a substantial value ( $j 00: 15$ ). The analog happens when N decreases from its m agic value, 82. H ence w thin the interval $80 \mathrm{~N} \quad 88$, the m om ents of inertia re ect the parabolic $N$-dependence of the shellenergy for spherical shape. O utside, the deform ed shell structure show $s$ up. The distinct structure around $N=82$ is due to the interplay betw een the proton and neutron shell contributions, which are out of phase. The isotones around $N=82$ have $60 \quad Z \quad 70$, i.e., they have a large positive proton shell energy for $=0$. A ccordingly, the protons $m$ ake a large positive shell contribution to the $m$ om ent of inertia, $w$ hich $m$ ostly com pensates the negative neutron contribution. Therefore, the total shell contribution to the $m$ om ent
of inertia is only slightly negative at $N=82$ and becom es positive on both sides. $W$ hen the deform ation jum ps to nite values, the positive proton shell contribution is drastically reduced, which ends the spikes.
A round $N=126$, the protons and neutrons are in phase and the total shell contribution stays negative. The m om ents of inertia re ect the shellenergy. Goincolow $n$ on the prolate side, the ridge due to the equator orbits show $s$ up in $J_{\text {shk }}$ as the bum p near $N=106$ in $F$ ig which is not seen in $J_{\text {sh }}$, because the equatorialonbits enclose no ux. Going down on the oblate side, the $m$ aximum of $J_{s h}$ ? at $N \quad 100$, re ects the upper side of the $m$ ountain in the shell energy, which is generated by the $m$ eridian orbits. Them axim um of $J_{\text {shk }}$ at $N \quad 90$ re ects the lower side of the $m$ ountain in the shell energy, which is generated by the equatorial orbits.

For $N$ increasing from 126, the calculations assum ing 0 result in a spherical equilibrium shape. The same is true for the calculation constrained to 0 and perpendicular orientation of the rotational axis. In these cases the shell m om ent of inertia has the deep m in $\mathrm{m} u m$ at $\mathrm{N}=126$ characteristic for spherical shape. In contrast to the $\mathrm{N}=82$ region, where protons and neutrons are not in phase, the proton number here is $Z \quad 82$, in phase w ith the neutrons. T he com bined contribution to $J_{\text {sh }}$ leads to the strongly negative values, which reach alm ost the rigid-body value ( $\mathrm{J}_{\text {calc }}$ becom es nearly zero). The calculation that assum es 0 and parallel orientation of the rotational axis gives $0: 15 \ll 0: 20$ for $m$ ost nuclei. The shell m om ente ofinertia are m uch less negative than for a spherical shape, as the com parison w ith the other calculations in F ig how s . Only for $\mathrm{Z}=82$ and $\mathrm{N} \quad 120$ is the shape spherical, and then the shell $m$ om ent of inertia decreasecemncly. W e discuss the com petition betw een the shapes and di omont orientations of the rotational axes in section

Fig s a combination of F igs $\mathrm{Near} \mathrm{N}=82$, II snows the two spikes at $\mathrm{N}=78$ and $\mathrm{N}=86$, which are caused by the sudden transition from spherical to deform ed shape. For $90 \mathrm{~N}<100$ the shape is prolate and the rotationalax is penpendicular to the sym $m$ etry axis. $T$ he shell $m$ om ent of inertia $J_{s h}$ ? gradually decreases from about $20 \sim^{2}=\mathrm{M}$ eV to $-10 \sim^{2}=\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. Above $\mathrm{N}=102$, rotation about the sym $m$ etry axis is preferred, and the peak of $J_{\text {shk }}$ at $\mathrm{N}=106$ show sup. Above $\mathrm{N}=110$, the shape is oblate and the rotationalaxis parallel to the sym $m$ etry axis. Only the $Z=82$ chain has a spherical shape at $\sim!=0: 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$.
$T$ he experim ental shell m om ents of inertia have a $\operatorname{sim}$ ilar N dependence as the calculated ones. T he $\mathrm{N}=106$ peak is less pronounced than in the calculations. This can be understood as follow s. In the calculation it is assum ed that the rotational axis is parallel to the sym $m$ etry axis, i.e., the yrast line is a sequence of high K band heads. The experim ental yrast lines contain only few such states. M ost of the states entering the $t$ are band $m$ em bers $w$ ith I > K . For such states, the rotational axis is neither parallel nor perpendicular to the symmetry axis, rather it has an interm ediate orientation. A s a consequence, the shell $m$ om ent of inertia should be betw een $J_{\text {shk }}$ and $J_{\text {sh }}$ ?,
 perpendicular to the sym $m$ etry axis. For a tilted rotational axis the rigid - body value $w$ ill be sm aller and $J_{\text {sh }}$ larger.

## H . Shell energies at $n$ ite spin

Fig, show sthe shell energies of a cavity rotating penpendicular to itcsym $m$ etry axis. Lets us rst consider spherical snape $(=0)$. The m odulation factor $M \quad()$ given by eq. dam ps the shell structure of the nonrotating cavity. The ux through the orbits that govem the basic shell structure ( $m$ ean of the triangle and square) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1: 64 \mathrm{~b}^{2} \mathrm{~N}^{2=3} \sim!=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{F}}=0: 178(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV})^{1}{ }^{1}{ }^{2=3} \sim!; \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives 126 for $N=115$ and $\sim!=0: 3 \mathrm{M}$ eV . As seen in $F$ in the $m$ odulation factor $M \quad(1: 25)=0: 76$. The top of the $\mathrm{N}=115 \mathrm{~m}$ ountain is at 18 M eV for ! = 0 (cf. F ig ana 11 M eV for $\sim!=0: 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, which corresponds to a reduction of 0.6. For $\sim!=0: 6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ one has $=2: 5 \mathrm{which}$ gives $\mathrm{M} \quad(2: 5)=0: 24$. The above $m$ axim um energy is 2 M eV for this frequency, corresponding to a reduction factor of 0.1 . Sim ilar qualitatire arreem ent holds for the other $m$ inim a and $m$ axim a at $=0$. In the case of the realistic potential ( $\mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{F}$ igs an the reduction of the shellenergy in the quantal calculation is $0.81,0.70$, and 0.73 for $\sim!=0: 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{N}=82,100$, and 126 , which is to be com pared w th the POT estim ates $0.84,0.79$, and 0.73 , manectively.
$C$ om paring the experim ental shell energy at $I=20$ ( F ig $\quad$ w ith the ground-state shell energy ( F ig one sees that the depth of the minim at neutron num ber $N=126$ is reduced by about a factor of 2 . A ux of $=1: 9$ is needed to m ake the m odulation factor equal to 0.5 . T he estim ate of the rotational frequency is not very accurate, because of the irregular sequence of the yrast levels. N ear $\mathrm{N}=126$, the experim entalm om ents of inertia scatter betw een 30 and $45 \sim^{2}=\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, which gives $\sim!=0: 66 \quad 0: 44 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ and $=2: 95 \quad 1: 96$ for $\mathrm{I}=20$. A nother possibility to estim ate the frequency is to average the level spacings $(E)(I) \quad E\left(\begin{array}{ll}I & 2\end{array}\right)=2$ over several spins near $I=20$. The sam ple of nuclides, for which enough data exist, is $(Z ; N)=(82,120),(82,122),(86,123),(86,126),(86,128),(88,126)$, $(88,128)$, $(88,129)$, and $(88,130)$. The distribution of the frequencies is $\sim!=0: 360: 22 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, which corresponds to
the ux $=1: 60: 9$. Hence, the estim ated frequencies and reduction of the shell structure are consistent. H ow ever, $m$ ore data are needed to im prove the statistical signi cance.

Let us now consider a substantial deform ation. O nly the orbits that carry ux enter the modulation factor, and their contribution to the shell energy changes $w$ ith !. The contribution of the other onbits rem aincthe cam- $T$ his sim ple observation is the key for understanding the evolution of shellenergies with frequency. Figs un lower panels) show the shell energy for rotation perpendicular to the sym $m$ etry axis. $W$ ith increasing rrequency !, the valley-ridge system generated by the $m$ eridian orbits is attenuated and mostly gone at $\sim!=0: 6 \mathrm{M}$ eV . W hat rem ains is the upsloping valley-rideo avstem generated by the equator orbits. For $\mathrm{N}=100,=0: 3$, and $\sim!=0: 6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, the ux ? $=2: 5$ (cf. Eqs. which gives $M$ ? $2: 5$ ) = 0:06 (cf. Fig . The contribution of the m eridian onbits has essentially vanished. For rotation parallel to the sym $m$ etry axis, only the contribution from the equator onbits is modi ed Forn $=100$, $=0: 3$, and $\sim!=0: 6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, the ux $k=1: 9$ (cf. Eqs. $\quad$ which givesM $k(1: 9)=0: 3$ (cf. F ig $\quad \mathrm{N}$ ow the equator orbits contribute w ith the opposite sign, i. e. valleysioecom e ridges and vice versa. $T$ he $N=100 \mathrm{~m}$ ountain, which for $!=0$ is generated by the constructive interference of the $m$ eridian ridge and the equator ridge, becom es a saddle due to the destructive interference. For the sam e reason, the $\mathrm{N}=126 \mathrm{~m}$ in im um also becom es a saddle. The topology does not change for $N=50$ because the uxes are sm aller.

In principle, the argum ent given in the preceding paragraph is not applicable to the region of sm all, because it is based on the assum ption of the tw o isolated fam ilies of equator and m eridian orbits. N evertheless, it describes the behavior in this region properly. The reason will be given in the ampendix, where we shall discuss the region of $s m$ all deform ation. It $w$ ill be show $n$ that the $m$ ain features of $F$ igs yan be understood in term $s$ of one fam ily of tetragonal orbits, if the integral over the di erent orientations of these orbits is exactly evaluated.
$W$ ithout rotation, the $m$ inim and $m$ axim a of the shellenergy lie on the $=0$ axis, because the sphericalsym $m$ etry correcnond to maxim al degeneracy of the orbits which is re ected by a maxim al am plitude of the oscillating term s. $F$ igs. and show that for nite rotational frequency the m inim a and m axim a are shifted to slightly negative values oI and som ew hat sm aller values of N . W e could not nd an explanation for this shift within our sim ple version of POT.

In the deform ed nucleus the shelle ects depend on the orientation of the rotationalaxisw ith respect to the deform ed potential. $W$ e have studied the $m$ ost im portant possibilities that the rotationalaxis is parallelor penpendicular to the sym $m$ etry axis. A s discussed above, the shell contribution to the rotational energy depends on the ux through the onb立. Them om ents of inertia for rotation about the sym $m$ etry axis are determ ined by the equator onbits and the ones for rotation han the an axis perpendicular to the sym $m$ etry axis by the $m$ eridian orbits. $W$ e indicated this in the expressions which we refer to as the parallel and penpendicular mom ent of inertia. The nucleus rotates about the axis $w$ ith the largerm om ent of inertia because the rotationalenergy is sm aller. R otation about the sym $m$ etry axis corresponds to the generation of angularm om entum by sequentialparticlehole excitations. The spacings betw een the levels are irregular, and $m$ any isom ens appear in the yrast line. If the rotationalaxis is perpendicular to the sym $m$ etry axis, the yrast levels organize into regularly spaced rotationalbands. H ence, rotation selects the contributions of one of tw o types of orbits, which are com bined in the ground state shellenergy.

T he correlation betw een the ground state shellenergy and the shell contribution to the $m$ om ent of inertia cause a com petition betw een the rotational and the ground-state shellenergies, which tend to have the opposite sign. Let us discuss tw o exam ples of this com petition.
$T$ he equator orbits cause the hum $p$ (positive shellenergy) around $N=106$ and $=0.2$ seen in the $m$ eridian valley (negative shell energy) of $F$ ig $\quad T$ his would correspond to a positive $J_{s h k}$ and a negative $J_{s h}$ ? . In this case, the di erence is large enough to $m$ ake $J_{k}$ larger than $J_{\text {? }}$ so that the rotation about the sym $m$ etry axis is preferred. In fact, the $N=106$ region is know $n$ to be rich in $K$-isom ers, which are states $w$ th the angular $m$ om entum parallel to the sym $m$ etry axis. Experim entally, the parallel rotation is not quite as favored as in the calculations. T he two m om ents of inertia are about the sam $e$, and the yrast line contains high $-K$ bands, for which the rotational axis has an interm ediate orientation.

The other exam ple is the region above $\mathrm{N}=126 . \mathrm{F}$ ig lem onstrates that oblate shape and parallel rotation is energetically favorable as com pared to prolate shape and perpendicular rotation (see the right panels, which exaggerate). The reason is as follow S . F ig how $s$ that positive contributions to $J_{\text {sh }}$ com e from the parallel rotation on the oblate side and penpendicular mtation on the prolate side. The $J_{\text {shk }}$ is larger because the factor $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}=1=2$ is lamor than $a_{?}=1=4$ in expression or, equivalently, the -dependence of $M \quad k$ is stronger than for $M$ ? (cf. Fig $T$ he $m$ acroscopic $m$ om ents of inertia reinforce this choige. In fact, this region is $w e l l$ know $n$ to for high spin isom ers, which have an oblate shape and the angular $m$ om entum aligned $w$ th the sym $m$ etry axis. A nother such region lies above $\mathrm{N}=82$.

## I. R otation of superdeform ed nuclei

The orbits that cause shell structure of (prolate) superdeform ed nuclei (cf. Sed do not carry rotational ux if the rotational axis is pemondicular to the sym $m$ etry axis. This is evident for the orolls in the equator plane. For the butter $y$ orbit in $F$ ig one $m$ ust take into account that the rotational ux has a sign. If the rotational ax is points out of the page, it is positive if the particle runs counterclockw ise around the enclosed area and it is negative if it runs clockw ise. Therefore, the ux has opposite sign for the two wings of the butter y and the total ux is zero. $T$ he analogous com pensation takes place for the three-dim ensional orbits. The projections of the 3D ve-point star and of the 3D dour raversed triangle on a meridian plane consist of two equal areas contributing w ith opposite sign (cf. Fig. 7 of cancelling each other. In the observed superdeform ed rotationalbands the axis of rotation is perpendicular to the sym $m$ etry axis. Therefore, their $m$ om $\quad$ inertia chould be equal to the rigid body value, which is the result ofnum erousm ean-eld calculations (see e.g. . F ig how sthat the experim entaldeviation from the rigid-body value is about $5 \%$ or less in the $A=150$ region. A sm lar analysis of the $A=190$ region is not possible, because the $m$ om ents of inertia are not constant, which likely $m$ eans the angular $m$ om entum where the pairing is $s m$ all has not yet been reached. O ther regions of "superdeform ed" nuclei have not been included in that analysis because the deform ation is sm aller and it is not clear that the equatorialonbits dom inate. E xceptions are for the nuclei ${ }^{91} \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{c}$ and ${ }^{108} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{d}$ which have an axis ratio close to 2 and indeed have a rigid-body m om ent of inertia.

If the axis of rotation is parallel to the sym $m$ etry axis the equator orbits carry rotational ux. Since they cause the strongly negative shell energy of the superdeform ed nuclei, their shell contribution to the $m$ om ent of inertia $w$ ill also be strongly negative. Therefore, sm all m om ents of inertia for rotation about the sym m etry axis are expected. $T$ his $m$ eans that the appearance of high $K$ isom ers and bands is strongly disfavored in superdeform ed nuclei. So far no evidence for this type of rotation has been reported.

C onceming the zero-spin shell structure, sphericaland superdeform ed nucleiare sim ilar. In both cases the periodic orbits generate a strongly negative contribution to the shell energy, which generates the shell gap. H ow ever, their rotational response is di erent. In spherical nuclei no direction is preferred. There is only one mom ent of inertia , which is roughly proportional to the level density near the Ferm i surface and, hence, sm all. The sym m etry axis and the short axes play a di erent role in superdeform ed nuclei. The angular $m$ om entum has alw ays the direction of the short axes, which have the largest $m$ om ent of inertia. For this orientation, the onbits that cause the shell energy do not carry rotational ux. Therefore, the $m$ om ent of inertia takes the rigid-body value and the shell structure is not dam ped by rotation as in the case of the spherical closed shells. This exam ple dem onstrates that the sim ple relation betw een the level density and the $m$ om ent of inertia holds only for not too large deform ation. In superdeform ed nuclei, the $m$ om ent of inertia takes the rigid-body value, although there is a substantial shell gap.

## J. $F$ in ite tem perature and unresolved spectra

The study of the unresolved -continua perm its us to explore regions of nite tem perature and large elongation. Since the in form ation on the rotationalresponse is less direct than in the resolved spectra, one has to $m$ ake assum ptions about the m om ents of inertia in the evaluation of the data. T herefore, one should know to what extent the m om ent of inertia is expected to deviate from the rigid-body value.
$F$ in ite tem perature causes additionaldam ping of the shell structure, which is taken into account by m ultiplying the zero-tem perature dam ping factor $D \mathrm{w}$ th the tem perature dam ping factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{\mathrm{T}=\sim}{\sinh (\mathrm{T}=\sim)} ; \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where T is the tem perature $\quad$ Its argum ent is $\mathrm{T}=\sim=\mathrm{mLT}=\left(\sim^{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)=5: 4 \quad$ bT N ${ }^{1=3}=\left(2 e_{\mathrm{F}}\right)=$ $0: 38 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}]{ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}{ }^{1=3} \mathrm{~T}$ for the onoII length $\mathrm{L}=5: 4 \mathrm{R}$, which determ ines the basic shell oscillations. The -cascades of high-spin experim ents on nuclei in the m ass region 170 are characterized by tem peratures of 0.5 M eV or less, which corresponds to $0: 84<\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}<1$, i. e. the m om ents of inertia are not very di erent from their zero-tem perature values. $T$ he them aldam ping becom es im portant for $T=1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, where $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}=0: 52$, and the shell structure is w iped out at $\mathrm{T}=3 \mathrm{M}$ eV , where $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}=0: 02$. T hese estim ates agree ${ }^{-17} \mathrm{w}$ th the m icroscopic calculations of the shell contribution to the $m$ om ents of in at nite tem perature in $R$ ef.

In the experim ents rotational frequencies betw een 0.4 and 0.8 M eV are reached. For m oderate deform ation ( = 1:3), the m eridian orbits carry rotational uxes of 1.35 and 2.7 , which give m odulation factors of 0.6 and -0.1 , respectively (cf. Fi H ence, w ithin the frequency interval the shell structure is expected to go to zero and retum weakly inverted. $W$ Ith Increasing angular $m$ om entum the nuclei reach the transition to very elongated shapes. T hen the class of orbits discussed for superdeform ation takes over and the $m$ om ents of inertia take the rigid-body value.
$T$ he experim ent the unresolved spectra are consistent $w$ ith $w e a k$ deviations of the $m$ on ents of inertia from the rigid-body value

## K . Currents in the rotating fram e

$T$ he deviations of the $m$ om ents of inertia from the rigid-body value indicate that there $m$ ust be net currents in the body- xed fram e. By the correspondence principle, one expects that these currents are generated by the nucleons on the classicalperiodic onbits. W e have not studied the currents in any detail, leaving this interesting question for later. In this paper, we give only a heuristic argum ent.

For sim plicity, let us consider the equator orbits and rotation parallelto the sym $m$ etry axis. C lassically, the particles revolve the sym $m$ etry axis, where we consider only square onbits for sim plicity (to be brief we om it the subscript ). $T$ here are tw o orbits: the particle runs counterclockw ise or clockw ise, which correspond to $l>0$ and $1<0$, respectively. $T$ he shell contribution to leveldensity associated $w$ ith the square orbits is $g$, therefore each of the tw o contributes $g=2$. $W$ ithout rotation, there is the sam e num ber of particles on both types of onbits, and the net current is zero. W hen we rotate the fram e, a particle w ith angular mom entum l gains the energy $1!$. Particles w ill redistribute from the unfavored ( $1<0$ ) to the favored ( $1>0$ ) onbits, and the net angularm om entum and current will no longer be zero. For a given chem ical potential , the energy takes a minim um if all particles within the energy intervall! above ip from 1 to 1 . Hence, the number of ipped particles is $11 \Omega=2$. Since each gains 21 of angular $m$ om entum, the total angularm om entum gain is $l^{2}!g$. In agreem ent with Eq. this corresponds to the shell $m$ om ent of inertia of $J_{s h}=g l^{2}$.
$T$ his show $s$ that the $m$ ass current, and therefore the shell contribution to the $m$ om ent of inertia, com es from nucleons circulating on the contributing classicalperiodic orbits w ith the Ferm ivelocity. Let us consider the current distribution. Since the classicalm ass current of a particle on the square orbit is $m=p=L$, the totalm ass current due to all particles on the square orbit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mathrm{sh}}=\frac{\mathrm{m}}{-} 1!g_{\mathrm{sh}}=\frac{p_{\mathrm{F}}}{\mathrm{~L}} 1!g_{\mathrm{sh}}: \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

anscurrent is distributed betw een the outer circle and the inner circle tangent to the sides of the orbits in F ig. $T$ hese circles lim it the region accessible for a classical orbit. An exponentially decreasing tail will reach into the classically forbidden region.

The current density is $j_{s h}=J_{s h}=$, where is the cross section of the area in which $j_{s h}$ is strong. Benpendicular to the sym $m$ etry axis the classically accessible area extends betw een the surface ( $r=R_{s}$ ) and $r=R_{s}=\overline{2}_{2} \quad 0: 7 R_{s}$, i.e., about $0.3 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$. For an estim ate of extension parallel to the sym $m$ etry axis a m ore profound analycic in the fram ew ork of POT is needed. As an order of $m$ agnitude estim ate we take $0.3 R_{1}$. U sing the expression for for the totalm ass current and considering $m$ axim al shell contribution (sine function equal to $m$ inus one) the current density j sh $3: 74 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{1=6}$ ! for $=1: 3$. The current density for the rigid ow is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\text {rig }}=m \quad r!\quad 0: 8 m R_{s}!\frac{3}{4 r_{0}^{3}} \quad 0: 175 m r_{0}^{2} A^{1=3}!; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here we used $r=0: 8 R_{s}$, which is a rough estim ate of the average value of $r$ of the particle on the classical onbit. $T$ he large ratio $j_{s h}=j_{\text {rig }} \quad 20 \mathrm{~N} \quad{ }^{1=6}$ indicates strong surface currents, which are needed to contribute to the total angular $m$ om entum an am ount com parable to the contribution from the rigid-body ow, which is distributed over the whole nucleus. The sam e type of currents will also be generated by the other polygon-type orbits, both in the equator and $m$ eridian planes. The shell currents circulate opposite to the rotation if $g_{s h}<0$, i. e. they reduce the angular $m$ om entum. For $g_{s h}>0$ they circulate $w$ ith the rotation and increase the angularm om entum .

## V. CONCLUSION

W e have show that the nuclear $m$ om ents of inertia at high spins along the yrast line di er substantially from the rigid-body value. T he di erences cannot be attributed to pair correlations, rather they $m$ an ifest the shell structure. $C$ om paring experim ental data $w$ th quantum $m$ echanical $m$ ean-eld calculations assum ing zero pairing we nd a sim ilar dependence on the neutron number, which is strongly correlated $w$ ith the well known shell energy at zero angular $m$ om entum. The data and the quantal calculations can be interpreted using the sem iclassical Periodic $O$ rbit $T$ heory, which relates the quantal shelle ects to the characteristics of classical periodic orbits in the sam e potential. A number of features, such as the $s m$ all $m$ om ents of inertia near closed shells, $m$ om ents of inertia that exceed the
rigid $-b o d y$ value around neutron num ber 90 , the appearance of isom ers near neutron num ber 106, the correlation betw een the deviations of the $m$ om ents of inertia from the rigid-body values and the ground-state shellenergies, and the dam ping of shell structure $w$ ith increasing angularm om entum are explained from this new perspective. The gross shell e ects persist along the yrast line up to the highest observed angular $m$ om enta. The deviation of the $m$ ass current from the rigid-body ow pattem is generated by nucleons on classicalperiodic onbits near the nuclear surface. $T$ he $P$ eriodic $O$ rbit $T$ heory provides a qualitative description of these shelle ects in term $s$ of classicalm ass currents in the rotating fram $e$.
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## A P PEND IX

$H$ ere we dem onstrate that the salient features of the shell structure form oderate deform derive from a sim ple integral over the fam ily of tetragonal orbits. We use the perturbative approach by C rea ${ }^{\text {an }}$ aking into account the change of the length of the orbit in linear order of the deform ation param eter (see the contributions of deform ation and rotation to the action are given by

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}=\sim= & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~kL} & \mathrm{P}_{2}(\cos ) & \cos \\
\mathrm{S}_{?}=\sim= & \frac{1}{2} k L & \mathrm{P}_{2}(\cos ) & \sin  \tag{A2}\\
\cos ;
\end{array}
$$

where the Euler angles ; ; describe the orientation of the tetragon. A s usual, we denote the length of the square in the sphere by $L$ and the ux through it by . The changes of the action due to deform ation and rotation give rise to the $m$ odulation factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{Z} e^{Z} e^{i s(;)=\sim} \sin d d ; \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which icnum erically evaluated.
how s the shell contribution to the leveldensity calculated
$s$ oovious. At large deform ation, one $m$ ay evaluate the integral
way. The sim ilarity w ith F igs using the stationary phase approxm ation. I he derivative of the Legendre polynom ial $P_{2}(\cos )$ is zero for $=\quad=2$, which corresponds to the m eridian orbits, and for $=0$; , which corresponds to the equator orbits. The structure of the level density is the consequence of the interference of these two fam ilies, as discussed in the $m$ ain text. H ow ever, the interference pattem is still recognizable at m oderate deform ations, where the stationary phase approxim ation becom es problem atic. This justi es our interpretation of the shell structure in term $s$ of the interference of the $m$ eridian and equator orbits.
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FIG. 1: Y rast lines for a rotational nucleus ${ }^{160} \mathrm{Er}$ (top) and for a non-rotational nucleus ${ }^{150} \mathrm{D} y$ (bottom ) together with their $t$ for the ten $h$ ighest spins.

FIG. 2: Y rast lines for a selection of nuclei relative to their $t$ for the ten highest spins: ${ }^{160}$ Er (diam onds), ${ }^{168} \mathrm{H} f(\mathrm{squares})$, ${ }^{170} \mathrm{H}$ f (triangles) and ${ }^{214} \mathrm{R}$ a (crosses).

FIG. 3: Experim entalmoments of inertia as a function of neutron number for sm all and norm al deform ation. The sym bols shown at right indicate the proton num ber and are the sam efor all follow ing gures except gure 5.

F IG . 4: Experim ental deviations from the rigid body $m$ om ents of inertia as a function of neutron num ber for sm all and norm al deform ation.

FIG. 5: Experim entaldeviations from the rigid-body $m$ om ents of inertia as a function of neutron num ber for superdeform ation.

FIG. 6: Experim ental shell energies at spin 0 as a function of neutron num ber.

F IG . 7: Experim ental shell energies at spin 20 as a function of neutron num ber.

FIG.8: M axim um angular m om entum observed in each nuclei as a function of neutron num ber.

FIG.9: Sam e as Fig out for the subset of nucleiw ith m axim um spin greater than $20 \sim$.

F IG . 10: C alculated deviations of the $m$ om ent of inertia from the rigid $-b$ ody value as a fiunction of neutron num ber for di erent shapes (oblate - left panels, prolate - right panels) and di erent orientations of the rotationalax is $w$ ith respect to the sym $m$ etry axis (perpendicular - low er panels and parallel - upper panels).

F IG . 11: C alculated deviations of the $m$ om ent of inertia from the rigid-body value as a function of neutron num ber for optim al orientation of the rotational axis.

F IG . 12: C alculated shell energies at spin 30 as a function of neutron num ber.

FIG.13: C lassical orbits in the equator plane (upper panel) and the m eridian plane of a norm ally deform ed spheroidal cavity.

FIG. 14: C lassical onbits in the equator (upper panel) and the m eridian plane of a super deform ed spheroidal cavity.

FIG. 15: Shell energy at zero rotational frequency for two $W$ oods-Saxon potentials. Upper nonel: Cavity-like with sm all di useness $a=0: 05 \mathrm{fm}$, no spin-orbit potential. Low er panel: R ealistic param eters from $T a b$ spin-orbit potential. It is assum ed that for each $N$ corresponds $Z=$ int $(78=104)$. The lines or constant action for the cavity are included. The downsloping lines show the mombi in the meridian plane. The upsloping lines starting at $=0.2$ show the squares in the equator plane and the upsloping lines starting at $=0.2$ show the ve-point star in the equator plane. T he dashed lines correspond to $m$ axim a and the full lines to $m$ in im a of the respective shell energies. The values for the action are chosen such that for the equator and $m$ eridian onbits the lines go through the $m$ in im a or maxim a of the shellenergy at $=0$. In the upper panel the action for the ve-point star orbit is chosen such that $L$ ? $k_{F}+\quad ?=(2 n+1=2)$, $n$ integer, i.e., such that the sin-function in is equal to one. In the lower panel a constant is added, which is chosen such that the $m$ agic num ber $\mathrm{N}=88$ for superdelorm ed shape falls halfw ay betw een two lines at $=0: 6$.

FIG. 16: M odulation factors as functions of the rotational ux. The dashed lines show the quadratic approxim ation.

FIG.17: Shell energy at nite rotational frequency for a $C$ avity-like potential rotating penpendicular to the sym $m$ - $n$ axis. U pper panel: $\sim!=0: 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, Lower panel: $\sim!=0: 6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. The lines of constant action are the sam e as in F ig pper panel.

FIG.18: Shellenergy at nite rotational frequency for a realistic $W$ oods-Saxon potentialw ith spin-orbit coupling. Left panels: $\sim!=0: 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{R}$ ight panels: $\sim!=0: 6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, U pper panels: rotation parallel to the symm atry axis, Lower panels: rotation perpendicular to the sym $m$ etry axis. $T$ he lines of constant action are the sam e as in $F$ ig ower panel.

FIG. 19: POT level density (arbitrary units) generated by a the fam ily tetragonalonbits in a rotating spheriodal cavity. Only term $s$ of rst order in are taken into account in orbit length.

TABLES

TABLE I: Param eters of the $m$ ean- eld potentia

|  | $r_{0}(\mathrm{fm})$ | a (fm) | (fm ${ }^{2}$ ) | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ean }}(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV})$ | $C^{\text {iso }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protons | 1245 | 0.599 | 0.341 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 522 | 0.746 |
| $N$ eutrons | 1260 | 0.614 | 0.412 |  |  |
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