Static response of Ferm i liquids with tensor interactions

E.Olsson

Department of Astronom y and Space Physics, Uppsala University, Box 515, 75120 Uppsala, Sweden and NORDITA, Blegdam svej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen , Denmark

P.Haensel

N icolaus Copernicus A stronom ical Center, Bartycka 18, 00-716 W arsaw, Poland

C.J.Pethick

NORDITA, Blegdam svej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen , Denmark (Dated: March 28, 2024)

We use Landau's theory of a norm al Ferm i liquid to derive expressions for the static response of a system with a general tensor interaction that conserves the total spin and the total angular momentum of the quasiparticle-quasihole pair. The magnetic susceptibility is calculated in detail, with the inclusion of the center of mass tensor and cross vector terms in addition to the exchange tensor one. We also introduce a new parametrization of the tensor Landau parameters which signi cantly reduces the importance of high angular harm onic contributions. For nuclear matter and neutron matter we nd that the two most important elects of the tensor interaction are to give a contribution from multipair states and to renorm alize the magnetic moments. Response to a weak probe may be calculated using similar methods, replacing the magnetic moments with the matrix elements of the weak charges.

I. IN TRODUCTION

For system s with central interactions, Landau's theory of norm al Ferm i liquids provides an econom ical way of characterizing m any low tem perature properties. The theory was applied to atom ic nucleiby M igdaland collaborators, and in that work it was generally assumed that the non-central contributions to the elective nucleonnucleon interaction were sm all [1]. The generalization of Landau theory to include elects of the tensor force was m ade by D abrowski and H aensel [2, 3, 4]. Subsequently, estimates of tensor contributions to the elective interaction were m ade in Refs. [5, 6, 7, 8].

The stimulus for the present work arose in the context of astrophysics. In the physics of collapse and the subsequent evolution of a neutron star, the properties of neutrinos in dense matter are a key ingredient [9]. As demonstrated in Refs. [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17], neutrino scattering and absorption rates are sensitive to nucleon-nucleon interactions, especially their spindependent parts. D irect calculation of e ective interactions is di cult, but for system s with only central interactions, information about the elective interaction at long wavelengthsm ay be obtained directly from a know hedge of static properties of the matter. For example, the spin susceptibility of a single-component Ferm i liquid with two spin states is given by

$$= \frac{\frac{2}{0}N(0)}{1+G_{0}};$$
(1)

where $_0$ is the magnetic moment of a particle in free space, N (0) = m $p_F = {}^2h^3$ is the density of states per unit volume at the Ferm i surface, m is the quasiparticle e ective mass, p_F is the Ferm i momentum and G₀ is the Landau parameter describing the isotropic part

of the spin dependent contribution to the quasiparticle interaction. Since calculations of the m agnetic susceptibility of neutron m atter exist [18], it is relevant to ask to what extent it is possible to deduce properties of the e ective interaction from such data. A related question is the extent to which tensor contributions to quasiparticle energies and interactions alter neutrino scattering rates, which were previously calculated neglecting tensor e ects in R ef. [11].

As shown in earlier work [19], the tensor force in uences the static response of a system in a number of dierent ways. One is that the magnetic moment of a quasiparticle is di erent from its value for a particle in vacuum. In particular, the magnetic moment is not a scalar, as it is for systems with central forces only. As long ago as 1951, M iyazaw a [20] calculated explicitly how the magnetic moment of a nucleon would be modied by the tensor interaction due to one pion exchange and m ore recent discussions may be found in e.g. the review [21] and Ref. [22]. A second e ect is that the quasiparticle interaction contains explicit tensor contributions. Following Refs. [2, 3, 4], it has generally been assumed that these have an exchange-tensor structure sim ilar to that of the one-pion exchange interaction. However, Schwenk and Frim an [7] have pointed out recently that the onepion exchange interaction, when acting in second order, can give rise to contributions to the elective interaction which have a dierent structure. In their paper they evaluated these induced interaction contributions to the quasiparticle interaction and found that the exchangetensor term in the quasiparticle interaction is much reduced, and that terms of a dierent structure can be of a comparable magnitude to the exchange-tensor ones. A third e ect of the tensor interaction is that there are multipair contributions to the magnetic susceptibility.

In this paper we begin by deriving a general expression for the magnetic susceptibility in Sec. III, taking into account tensor contributions to the magnetic moment, and tensor contributions to the e ective interaction which are completely general for an interaction which conserves the total angular momentum and the total spin of the quasiparticle-quasihole pair. This represents a generalization of the earlier calculation of the magnetic susceptibility by Haensel and Jerzak [23], who took into account the e ect of the exchange-tensor contribution to the quasiparticle interaction. Another issue that we address is how to param etrize tensor contributions to the quasiparticle interaction (Sec. IV). The scheme usually employed in the past su ers from the disadvantage that it is generally necessary to take into account high angularham onic contributions to the interaction. We present an alternative param etrization for which higher harm on ic term s play little role. In Sec. V we evaluate the matrix elements needed for the calculation of the susceptibility and estimate the magnitudes of the dierent contributions. In Sec. VI we extend the result to symmetric nuclearm atter and to responses with other spin and isospin properties, for example that to weak interactions. Sec. V II contains concluding rem arks.

II. BASIC FORMALISM

The reason for Landau's theory of norm al Ferm i liquids being particularly simple for systems with interparticle interactions that are central is that the energies of long-wavelength, low-lying states di ering little from the ground state may be described solely in terms of the quasiparticle distribution. The importance of conservation laws in determining the low-frequency, longwavelength behaviour of Ferm i system shas been stressed by Leggett [24] and a discussion in terms of Landau theory is given in Ref. [25]. Expressed in other terms, the only excitations of importance are ones with a single added quasiparticle and a single quasihole. W hen non-central interactions are present, the energy must include contributions from states with more than one quasiparticle-quasihole pair. To facilitate satisfying the conservation law for particle number, it is convenient to work with the therm odynam ic potential hhr $\sim \hat{N}$ i which, for brevity, we shall refer to simply as the energy. Here \hat{H} is the Ham iltonian operator, \hat{N} the particle number operator and ~ the chem ical potential. We write the change E in the energy when the system is excited from its ground state as the sum of a quasiparticle (Landau) contribution, E_L , and a multipair contribution, E_M :

$$E = E_{\rm L} + E_{\rm M} : \qquad (2)$$

The quasiparticle contribution to the energy may be expressed as the sum of two terms, the intrinsic kinetic and mutual interaction energies of the quasiparticles, and the energy of interaction with the magnetic eld:

$$E_{\rm L} = E_{\rm L}^{\rm int} + E_{\rm L}^{\rm H} : \qquad (3)$$

For simplicity, let us begin by considering a system of one species of fermions with spin 1/2. The change in the kinetic and interaction energies of the system when the quasiparticle distribution $n_{\rm p}$ changes by an amount

 n_p is given to second order by the standard expression [26, 27], which amounts to the statement that the quasiparticle contribution to the energy is given by

$$E_{L}^{int} = Tr \frac{d^{3}p}{(2 h)^{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p \end{pmatrix} \sim n_{p} ()$$

+ $\frac{1}{2}Tr Tr \cdot \frac{Z}{(2 h)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}p}{(2 h)^{3}} f_{pp} \cdot \cdot \cdot n_{p} () n_{p} \cdot () ()$
(4)

where $_{\rm p}$ is the quasiparticle energy. Note that $~n_{\rm p}$ () is a matrix in spin space. The quantity $f_{\rm pp^0}$ $_{\circ}$ is the Landau quasiparticle interaction, which we write in the form

$$f_{pp^{0}} \circ = f_{pp^{0}} + g_{pp^{0}} \circ + f_{pp^{0}}^{T} \circ ;$$
 (5)

where f_{pp^0} is the spin-averaged quasiparticle interaction, g_{pp^0} is the spin-exchange contribution and $f_{pp^0}^{\rm T}$ $_{0}$ is the tensor or, more generally, the non-central contribution to the interaction. We shall discuss the form of the tensor interaction, $f_{pp^0}^{\rm T}$ $_{0}$, in Sec. IV in the light of the new results of Schwenk and Frim an [7]. To begin, we derive an expression for the magnetic susceptibility, for a com letely general interaction that conserves the total spin and the total angular momentum of the quasiparticle-quasihole pair, and subsequently we shall discuss its speci c form in detail.

Non-central forces can alter the elective charges of the quasiparticles. When calculating the energy of interaction of the quasiparticles with an external eld it is therefore in portant to allow for the fact that the elective couplings (charges) of quasiparticles m ay have components which are not scalars under rotations of the momentum of the quasiparticle. For de niteness, we consider the case of response to a magnetic eld. The change in quasiparticle energy due to the application of a magnetic eld, H , is given by

$$E_{L}^{H} = Tr \begin{pmatrix} X & Z \\ ij & jH_{i} & n_{p} \end{pmatrix} () \frac{d^{3}p}{(2 h)^{3}}; \quad (6)$$

where ij, the magnetic moment matrix, is

$$_{ij} = _{ij} + \frac{3}{2} _{T} \frac{p_{i}p_{j}}{p^{2}} \frac{_{ij}}{3}$$
 (7)

where and $_{\rm T}$ are parameters. Let us now consider the change in the energy when the Ferm i surface is distorted. The distortion is specified by the function

$$(\hat{p}) = u(\hat{p})$$
 (8)

which corresponds to the change in the Ferm im on entum as a function of direction. At zero tem perature, the corresponding change in the distribution function n_p may

be expanded in powers of and to second order one has

$$(n_{\rm p}) = (p \ p_{\rm F}) (p)$$

 $\frac{1}{2} {}^{0} (p \ p_{\rm F}) (p) (p) (p) (p) (p) (p) (p) (p)$

It is advantageous to use spherical tensors so, following Refs. [5, 23], we write

$$(\hat{p}) = \begin{cases} X^1 \\ & () u (\hat{p}) \end{cases}$$
 (10)

and expand u in spherical harm onics

$$u = \sum_{lm}^{X} u_{lm} Y_{lm} (\mathfrak{O}): \qquad (11)$$

W e shall work with eigenstates of the total angular momentum of the quasiparticle-quasihole pair. The corresponding am plitudes are constructed by the transformation

$$C_{LJ}^{M} = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} X \\ () (lm 1 jJM)u_{lm}; \end{array}}$$
 (12)

where (lm 1 jJM) are C lebsch-G ordan coe cients.

The part of the quasiparticle energy not involving the external eld, Eq. (4), has been calculated in Refs. [5, 23] to be

$$E_{L}^{int} = \frac{p_{F}^{3}}{(2 \ h)^{3}m} \sum_{ll^{0}JM}^{X} C_{lJ}^{M} C_{l^{0}J}^{M} hl^{0}J A JJi; \quad (13)$$

where the matrix elements in the new basis are given by

$$hl^{0}J^{0} j_{A} j_{J}J_{I} = (J^{0}M^{0} j_{I}^{0}m^{0} 1^{0})$$

$$m; m; m^{0}; ^{0}$$

$$hl^{0}m^{0} j_{A} j_{I}m i(Im 1 j_{J}M); (14)$$

 hl^0J^0A jJi are the norm alized matrix elements, and

$$A = 1 + F;$$
 (15)

the unit coming from the rst term in Eq. (3), and F = N (0) $f_{pp^0} \circ com es$ from the interaction term . For a quasiparticle interaction containing only exchange tensor term s, the matrix elements hlj A j^0 Ji have been calculated for the conventional parametrization for the exchange tensor interaction in Ref. [5].

If we take the magnetic eld to be in the z-direction, $H = H_z$, we nd that the energy due to the application of this external eld is

$$E_{L}^{H} = \frac{2p_{F}^{2} p \overline{\frac{1}{4}}}{(2 h)^{3}} H_{z}$$
$$u_{00}^{0} + \frac{p \overline{\frac{1}{5}}}{p \overline{\frac{1}{5}}} u_{20}^{0} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{p \overline{\frac{1}{15}}}{p \overline{\frac{1}{15}}} u_{21}^{1} + u_{21}^{1} \quad :(16)$$

Rewriting this in term softhe coe cients C $_{\rm LJ}^{\rm M}$ and adding the intrinsic contribution to the energy leads to the following expression for the quasiparticle energy:

$$E_{L} = \frac{p_{F}^{3}}{(2 \ h)^{3}m} \sum_{\underline{l}\underline{l}^{0}JM}^{X} C_{\underline{l}J}^{M} C_{\underline{l}^{0}J}^{M} h\underline{l}^{0}JA J\underline{J}Ji$$

$$\frac{2m}{p_{F}}^{P} \overline{4}_{H_{z}}^{M} C_{01}^{0} \frac{p_{T}^{T}}{2}C_{21}^{0} :$$

At rst sight, one may be surprised that there are no terms with J = 3. However, this follows immediately from the observation that the magnetic moment operator $_{ij}$ j transforms as an axial vector under simultaneous rotations in momentum space and spin space, and consequently it contains only components corresponding to total angular momentum J = 1.

By m inimizing the quasiparticle contribution to the energy with respect to the coe cients C $_{LJ}^{M}$ we nd that all C $_{LJ}^{M}$ are zero except the following two,

$$C_{01}^{0} = \frac{P_{-}}{4} H_{z} \frac{m}{p_{F}} \quad h21 \not A \not P1i + \frac{P_{-}}{2} h01 \not A \not P1i \\ \frac{1}{h21 \not A \not P1i h01 \not A \not P1i \quad h01 \not A \not P1i \int_{z}^{z} h01 \not A \not P1i \end{pmatrix} (17)$$

and

$$C_{21}^{0} = \frac{p}{4} H_{z} \frac{m}{p_{F}} \quad h01 \not A \not P1i \quad \frac{p}{2} h01 \not A \not D1i \\ \frac{1}{h21 \not A \not P1ih01 \not A \not D1i \quad j01 \not A \not P1i j^{2}} :$$
(18)

III. STATIC RESPONSE FUNCTIONS

The magnetic susceptibility is de ned as the derivative of the magnetization with respect to the magnetic eld at zero eld,

$$= \frac{@M_{i}}{@H_{i}}_{H=0} :$$
 (19)

We write the magnetization, M , as the sum of two terms, one contribution from single quasiparticle-quasihole pairs, M $_{\rm L}$, and another contribution from the excitations of multipair states, which we denote by M $_{\rm M}$:

$$M = M_{L} + M_{M}$$
: (20)

The rst term, the Landau term, may be calculated by the sam em ethods as we used in the previous section, and in terms of the C_{1J}^{M} it is given by:

$$M_{L} = \frac{{}^{Z} X}{(2 h)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}p}{(2 h)^{3$$

By using Eqs. (17) and (18) for the C_{LJ}^{M} , and the relation between the susceptibility and the magnetization, we obtain the following expression for the susceptibility:

$$N (0) \frac{2}{h21 \cancel{A} \cancel{P}1i} \frac{h21 \cancel{A} \cancel{P}1i}{h21 \cancel{A} \cancel{P}1ih01 \cancel{A} \cancel{P}1i} \frac{h01 \cancel{A} \cancel{P}1i^{2}}{h21 \cancel{A} \cancel{P}1ih01 \cancel{A} \cancel{P}1i} \frac{h01 \cancel{A} \cancel{P}1i}{h21 \cancel{A} \cancel{P}1ih01 \cancel{A} \cancel{P}1i} \frac{h01 \cancel{A} \cancel{P}1i^{2}}{h21 \cancel{A} \cancel{P}1ih01 \cancel{A} \cancel{P}1i^{2}} + \frac{h}{m}; \qquad (22)$$

where $_{\rm M}$ is the contribution from transitions to multipair states. Here we have implicitly made the usual choice of phase for the states, in which case the matrix elements of A are real. If we take only the rst term and set $=_0$ in Eq. (22), this result reduces to that of Ref. [23]. Quantitative estimates of the di erent e ects will be described in Sec. V after calculation of the relevant matrix elements, but rst we shall discuss the form of the tensor interaction.

IV. THE TENSOR INTERACTION

The explicit form of the tensor interaction including all term sallowed by invariance under simultaneous rotations in coordinate space and spin space is [7]

$$f_{pp^{0}}^{T} = h_{pp^{0}}S(q) + k_{pp^{0}}S(p) + l_{pp^{0}}A(q;p) : (23)$$

Here

=

$$S(\hat{q}) = 3 \hat{q}^{0} \hat{q}^{0}$$
 (24)

is referred to as the exchange-tensor operator, $q = p p^0$ being the momentum transfer. The operator in the second term, which is referred to as the center of mass (cm) tensor, is given by

$$S(\hat{P}) = 3 \hat{P}^{0} \hat{P}^{0} \hat{P}^{0}$$
: (25)

It has the same form as the exchange-tensor, but with q replaced by the total momentum $P = p + p^0$. The nal term, referred to as the cross-vector term, is given by

The functions h_{pp^0} , k_{pp^0} and l_{pp^0} may be expanded in Legendre polynom ials of \cos , where is the angle between p and p^0 , e.g. for h_{pp^0} ,

$$h_{pp^{0}} = \int_{l=0}^{k} h_{l} P_{l} (\cos l):$$
 (27)

N ote that the choice of param etrization of the exchangetensor term in Eq. (23) is di erent than the one traditionally used. The conventional way to param etrize this term is to write [2]

$$h_{pp} \circ S(\hat{q}) = \frac{q^2}{p_F^2} \tilde{n}_{pp} \circ S(\hat{q}):$$
 (28)

The functions h and h contain the same physical information, but have di erent properties as far as their expansions in term sofLegendre polynom ials are concerned. W ewillnow com pare som e aspects of the di erent choices of param etrization.

W ith the conventional param etrization, \tilde{h}_{pp^0} , the explicit q^2 factor ensures that the tensor interaction vanishes identically for q ! 0, provided that \tilde{h}_{pp^0} is nite for $p ! p^0$. This is in agreement with the form of the one-pion-exchange contribution which is given by

$$f_{pp^{0}}^{T} = \frac{f^{2}}{3m^{2}} \frac{q^{2}}{q^{2} + m^{2}} S(\hat{q}); \qquad (29)$$

where f is the pion-nucleon coupling constant, and $f^2=(4 \text{ hc}) = 0.08$. M ore generally, if the tensor interaction is analytic for small q, one would expect h_{pp^0} to tend to zero for p ! p^0 , since otherwise the tensor interaction would depend on the way in which p approaches p^0 . Such nonanalytic contributions to the quasiparticle interaction do occur for system s with central interactions [28, 29], and there they are due to exchange of quasiparticle-quasihole pairs and collective modes with long wavelengths. The work of Schwenk and Frim an [7] indicates that such contributions do not occur in h_{pp^0} , although they do in k_{pp^0} (see Sec. V B). To ensure that h_{pp^0} ! 0 for p ! p^0 , the h_1 m ust satisfy the condition

$$x^{l}$$

 $h_{1} = 0$: (30)
 $h_{1} = 0$

If the series is truncated after a nite number of terms, this will inevitably lead to the sum nule being violated. However, this should not be very in portant as long as long-wavelength processes do not play a dom inant role.

The conventional param etrization su ers from the disadvantage that, when expanding \tilde{h} in terms of Legendre polynom ials (27), it is generally necessary to include m any terms in the sum in order to obtain a good representation of the interaction. Consider, for example, the one pion exchange contribution. Using the fact that $\cos~=~1~q^2 = (2p_F^2)$ one nds

 $\tilde{n}_{1}^{OPE} =$

$$= (21+1)\frac{f^2}{(3m^2)} \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{2p_F}{p_F^2} \frac{dq^2}{q^2+m^2} \frac{1}{q^2+m^2} P_1[1-q^2=(2p_F^2)]$$

$$= (21+1)\frac{1^{2}}{(3m^{2})}Q_{1}[1+\frac{m^{2}}{2p_{F}^{2}}]; \qquad (31)$$

where Q_1 is a Legendre function of the second kind. In

TABLE I: Tensor parameters for neutron matter with Ferm i wave vector $k_{\rm F}$ = 1:7 F $^{-1}$. Values for the tensor parameters by Schwenk [30], obtained taking into account the tensor interaction to inst order by the renormalization group method described in Refs. [6, 31], are presented in the inst and third columns, for the two choices of parametrization. For comparison we present the result for the one-pion exchange potential (Eq. (32)) in column two and four. All tensor parameters are calculated form =m = 0:8345.

1	H ₁	H ₁ ^{OPE}	Hĩ 1	H~ ^{0 p E} 1
0	0.529	0.403	0.665	0.751
1	0.150	-0.088	1,200	1.037
2	-0.0959	-0.079	1.150	0.935
3	-0.141	-0.064	0.933	0.753
4	-0.124	-0.049	0.703	0.575
5	-0.0944	-0.036	0.509	0.427
6	-0.0697	-0.026	0.359	0.310
7	-0.0494	-0.019	0.249	0.223
8	-0.0335	-0.013	0.171	0.158
9	-0.0225	-0.009	0.116	0.111
10	-0.0151	-0.007	0.0774	0.078

Table 1 we show results of calculations of the exchange tensor interaction, $H_1 = N$ (0) \tilde{h}_1 , by Schwenk [30], for $k_F = 1.7$ F ¹. Note that these were calculated taking the tensor interaction into account only in rst order. One sees that as lincreases from zero, H_1 rst increases and then decreases. It is therefore a poor approximation to truncate the expansion after the rst few terms. The reason for this is that typical Ferm i wave numbers at nuclear densities are of order 1.5 F ¹, which is large compared with the pion mass, m =hc 0.7 F ¹. In the lim it of the zero pion mass, all the \tilde{h}_1 diverge, the leading contribution being (21+ 1)f²=(3m²) ln (2p_F=m). This is due to the fact that \tilde{h}_1 q², which leads to a logarithm ic integral for \tilde{h}_1 .

The situation is quite di erent for the param etrization (27). For the one pion exchange potential (29) one nds

$$h_{1} = \frac{f^{2}}{(3m^{2})} \qquad \frac{m^{2}}{2p_{F}^{2}} (2l+1)Q_{1}[l+m^{2}=2p_{F}^{2}] : (32)$$

This shows that for small p_F , the leading term is

$$h_1 = \frac{f^2}{3m^2} \frac{2l+1}{2} = \frac{m}{p_F} = \ln (2p_F = m)$$
: (33)

In the lim it of zero pion m ass, $h_{pp^{\circ}}$ becomes a constant, and therefore the only nonvanishing coe cient in the expansion in Legendre polynom ial is h_0 . Note that for both h_1 and h_1 , the lim its m = p_F ! 0 and 1! 1 do not com - mute.

Let us now compare the expansions of h_1 and \tilde{h}_1 . Using the relation between h_{pp^0} and \tilde{h}_{pp^0} , Eq. (28) and that $1 \ \cos \ = \ q^2 = (2p_F^2)$, we not the following expression for

h_l:

$$h_1 = 2(21+1) \int_{1}^{2} \frac{d(\cos t)}{2} (1 \cos t) \tilde{h}(\cos t) P_1(\cos t); (34)$$

or

$$h_1 = 2 \quad \tilde{n}_1 \quad \frac{1+1}{21+3} \tilde{n}_{1+1} \quad \frac{1}{21-1} \tilde{n}_{1-1} :$$
 (35)

Thus, given the \tilde{h}_1 's, we can obtain the h_1 's. Next we show how to write the \tilde{h}_1 's, given by

$$\tilde{h}_{1} = \frac{(2l+1)}{2} \frac{2}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d(\cos \beta)}{2} \frac{h(\cos \beta)}{1} \frac{h(\cos \beta)}{1} P_{1}(\cos \beta)$$
(36)

in terms of the $h_1{}^\prime s.$ Expanding the function $h\left(\cos\right.$) in Legendre polynom ials, we get

$$\tilde{h}_{1} = \frac{(2l+1)^{Z}}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{d(\cos)}{2} \frac{X}{1^{0}} h_{1^{0}} P_{1^{0}}(\cos) \frac{P_{1}(\cos)}{1\cos};$$
(37)

Since the series on the right hand side is not uniform ly convergent for \cos in the closed interval [1;1], we cannot invert the order of the sum and the integral. How ever, because of the sum rule (30), h (= 0) vanishes, there is no divergence, and we can rewrite Eq. (36) as

$$\tilde{n}_{1} = \frac{(2l+1)^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{d(\cos \beta)}{2} \frac{(h(\cos \beta) - h(\beta = 0))}{1} P_{1}(\cos \beta);$$
(38)

Making use of the sum rule, we nd that

$$\mathfrak{h}_{1} = \frac{(2l+1)}{2} \frac{2}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d(\cos)}{2} \frac{X}{1^{0}} \mathfrak{h}_{1^{0}} \mathbb{P}_{1^{0}}(\cos) = 1 \frac{\mathbb{P}_{1}(\cos)}{1\cos};$$
(39)

This expression may be evaluated using a number of standard results. First

$$\begin{array}{c} Z & {}_{1} \\ & {}_{1} \\ \end{array} \frac{d(\cos \)}{2} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{1^{0}}(\cos \)}{z \cos} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{0}(z)}{\mathbb{P}_{1}(\cos \)} = \\ & \mathbb{P}_{1^{0}}(z) \mathbb{Q}_{1}(z) - \mathbb{P}_{0}(z) \mathbb{Q}_{1}(z); 1^{0} \quad 1; \quad (40) \end{array}$$

The $Q_1(z)$ may be expressed as

$$Q_{1}(z) = \frac{1}{2}P_{1}(z) \ln \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad W_{11};$$
 (41)

where

$$W_{11} = \frac{X^{1}}{k} P_{k1}(z) P_{1k}(z) \text{ and } (42)$$
$$W_{1} = 0: \qquad (43)$$

The Legendre polynom ial $\mathsf{P}_1(z)$ may be written in the following form :

$$P_{1}(z) = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ x & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 21 & 2k \\ k & 1 & k \end{bmatrix} z^{12k} : (44)$$

Letting z ! 1 in the integral, we do not that the logarithm ic divergence vanishes and the nal result is

$$\tilde{h}_{1} = -\frac{(2l+1)}{2} \frac{\chi^{k}}{\mu_{1^{0}}} \frac{\chi^{l^{0}}}{h_{1^{0}}} \frac{1}{k} : \qquad (45)$$

V. EVALUATION OF THE MATRIX ELEM ENTS

W e return to the calculation of the m atrix elements of A = 1 + F, Eq. (15), which we write as

~

$$h J_{J} j^{0} J_{i} = 1^{0} + h J_{F} j^{0} J_{i}$$
: (46) and

We further write hlJF jl⁰Ji as the sum of a number of contributions:

Here F $^{\rm c}$ is the central part, F $^{\rm ex}$ is the exchange tensor part, F $^{\rm cm}$ is the center of m ass tensor part and F $^{\rm cv}$ is the cross vector part.

A. Central and exchange tensor contributions

In Ref. [5] the central and exchange tensor part in the conventional parametrization were calculated to be

$$h \downarrow f^{c} j^{0} J i = \underline{u}^{0} \frac{G_{1}}{2l+1}; \qquad (48)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{hlj } \mathbf{f}^{\text{ex}} \mathbf{j}^{0} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{i} = \ _{11^{0}} \quad \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1\,1} \, \frac{21}{(21 \quad 1)} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{+}\,1} \, \frac{2(1+1)}{(21+3)(21+1)} \\ & \quad \mathbf{i}^{*}_{1} \, \frac{(21 \quad 1)}{(21+1)} \mathbf{j}^{*}_{1^{-}\,1} \, \frac{(21+1)}{(21+3)(21+1)} \\ & \quad \mathbf{i}^{*}_{1^{-}\,1} \, \frac{(21+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{0}} \, \frac{21^{0}+1}{21+1} \\ & \quad \mathbf{i}^{*}_{1^{-}\,1} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{0}} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{0}} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)} \\ & \quad \mathbf{i}^{*}_{1^{-}\,1} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{0}} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{0}} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} \\ & \quad \mathbf{i}^{*}_{1^{-}\,1} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{0}} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{0}} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} \\ & \quad \mathbf{i}^{*}_{1^{-}\,1} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{0}} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} \\ & \quad \mathbf{i}^{*}_{1^{-}\,1} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{0}} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} \\ & \quad \mathbf{i}^{*}_{1^{-}\,1} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{0}} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} \\ & \quad \mathbf{i}^{*}_{1^{-}\,1} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{0}} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} \\ & \quad \mathbf{i}^{*}_{1^{-}\,1} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{0}} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} \\ & \quad \mathbf{i}^{*}_{1^{-}\,1} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} + \mathbf{H}^{*}_{1^{0}} \, \frac{(1+1)}{(21^{0}+1)^{1}} \\ & \quad \mathbf{i}^{*}_{1^{-}\,1$$

					!				
Here		1	l	1	are	Wic	mer	3i-sym bols	and
(m	m ₂	m			5	-) -1	
노 고 ⁰	l2 L2	ц 19	are	W	igner 6j-:	sym b	ols.		

We can transform the matrix elements above to the new parametrization. In the expression (22) we can see that the only matrix elements that are necessary for the calculation of the susceptibility are h01 \AA J1i, h01 \AA J1i and h21 \oiint J21i. The contribution to these matrix elements from non-interacting quasiparticles and from the central part of the quasiparticle interaction is given by

$$hl^{0}JJl + F^{c}JJi = ll^{0} 1 + \frac{G_{l}}{2l+1}$$
 (50)

and the exchange tensor contributions by [5]

h01
$$f^{ex}$$
 D1i= 0;
h01 f^{ex} D1i= $\frac{p}{2}$ H'_0 $\frac{2}{3}$ H'_1 + $\frac{1}{5}$ H'_2 and

$$h21F^{\text{ex}}f^{21}i = \frac{7}{15}H_{1}^{*} + \frac{2}{5}H_{2}^{*} - \frac{3}{35}H_{3}^{*}:$$
(51)

Let us now express this result in terms of H₁. As an example we consider the combination H_0^{-} 2H₁=3+ H₂=5. This may be written in the form

$$= \frac{H_{0}^{\prime}}{2} \frac{\frac{2}{3}H_{1}^{\prime} + \frac{1}{5}H_{2}^{\prime}}{\frac{Z_{+1}^{\prime}d(\cos)}{2}H^{\prime}(\cos)P_{0}(\cos) - 2P_{1}(\cos) + P_{2}(\cos)]}{\frac{Z_{+1}^{\prime}d(\cos)}{2}H^{\prime}(\cos)\frac{P_{0}(\cos) - 2P_{1}(\cos) + P_{2}(\cos)]}{2(1 \cos)}$$

$$= \frac{2}{1} \frac{P_{0}(\cos)}{2}H^{\prime}(\cos)\frac{P_{0}(\cos) - 2P_{1}(\cos) + P_{2}(\cos)}{2(1 \cos)}$$
(52)

An interesting property of the fraction in Eq. (52) is that it is nite for ! 0, and therefore the integral converges if H (cos) is nite in this lim it, even though individual terms diverge. One nds sim ilar results for the other combinations of the H[']₁ that occur in Eqs. (51). The corresponding result written in terms of the H $_{\rm l}$ are

h01
$$f^{ex}$$
 $f^{01i} = 0;$
h01 f^{ex} $f^{21i} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{p}{2}}}$ (H₀ H₁) and
h21 f^{ex} $f^{21i} = \frac{H_2}{10} - \frac{1}{4}$ (H₀ + H₁): (53)

It remains to calculate the center of mass tensor and the cross vector contributions.

B. Center of m ass tensor

The calculation of the center of m ass tensor terms follows closely that of the exchange tensor. Let us study the operator F $^{\rm cm}$ given by

$$F_{pp^{\circ}}^{cm} = N (0)k_{pp^{\circ}}S(P) = K_{1}P_{1}(\cos)S(P):$$
 (54)

If we reverse one of the momenta, we nd that

$$F_{p}^{cm}{}_{p}^{o}{}_{0}^{o} = N_{X} (0)k_{p}{}_{p}{}_{0}^{o}S(q)$$

= (1)¹K₁P₁(cos)S(q): (55)

Thus we have a similar expression to the exchange tensor operator, with a difference of a factor of $(1)^1$. For the state vectors $jl^0 J^0 i$, reversing the momenta, gives

h
$$\hat{p}^{0} \, {}^{0} \, j^{0} \, j^{0} \, i = (1)^{1^{0}} h \hat{p}^{0} \, {}^{0} \, j^{0} \, j^{0} \, i$$
 (56)

Them atrix elements of F $^{\rm cm}\,$ is thus the same as those for F $^{\rm ex}$, apart from an overall factor of ($1)^{1^0}$ and that H $_1$ should be replaced by ($1)^{1}$ K $_1.$

However, when calculating the matrix elements of the center of mass tensor, it is necessary to be careful for

! , since the calculation of $k_{p\,p^0}$ by Schwenk and Frim an [7] showed that $k_{p\,p^0}$ does not vanish in this lim it, but rather tends to a constant when second order tensor contributions are included. However, we shall show , that for the matrix elements we consider, this will not pose a problem .

By writing k_{pp^0} as (P²=p_F^2)K_{pp^0} we can use the calculation for the exchange tensor given in Ref. [5], except that for the odd 1^0 states we have to include a factor (1)¹⁰, and H^{*}₁ should be replaced by (1)¹K^{*}₁, with K^{*}₁ = N (0)K₁. For the particular matrix elements that we are interested in we nd that

h01
$$\mathfrak{F}^{\text{cm}}\mathfrak{P}1\mathfrak{i}=\overset{P}{2}\mathfrak{K}_{0}+\frac{2}{3}\mathfrak{K}_{1}+\frac{1}{5}\mathfrak{K}_{2}$$
 and
h21 $\mathfrak{F}^{\text{cm}}\mathfrak{P}1\mathfrak{i}=\frac{7}{15}\mathfrak{K}_{1}+\frac{2}{5}\mathfrak{K}_{2}+\frac{3}{35}\mathfrak{K}_{3}$: (57)

Just as in the example (52), we nd that the matrix elements above give rise to combinations of Legendre polynomials which contain a factor $1 + \cos$, so the integral

will be nite for !, provided that K_{pp° is nite in this limit. Using the result of Schwenk and Frim an [7] that k_{pp° goes to a constant when !, we can see that K_1 , which is given by

$$\tilde{k}_{1} = \frac{2l+1}{2p_{F}^{2}} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{k_{pp}}{1+\infty} P_{1}(\cos \beta) d(\cos \beta); \quad (58)$$

will, in fact, have a logarithm ic divergence. To deal with this divergence, we replace the limit 1 by , and write K_1 as the sum of a regular part and a part that contains the logarithm ic divergence,

$$\tilde{K}_{1}() = \tilde{K}_{1}^{reg} + (1)^{1}(21+1) \ln(1+)C()$$
 (59)

where C () = k_{pp^0} ()=(2p_F^2) tends to a constant when

! 1 and the factor (1)¹ comes from $P_1(1) = (1)^1$. By inserting the expression above in the matrix elements of F^{cm}, Eq. (57), we obtain

$$h01 \mathbf{F}^{\text{cm}} \mathbf{P}1\mathbf{i} = \overset{p}{2} \mathcal{K}_{0}^{\text{reg}} + \mathcal{C} () \ln (1 +) \\ + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\text{reg}} 2\mathcal{C} () \ln (1 +)) \\ + \frac{1}{5} \mathcal{K}_{2}^{\text{reg}} + \mathcal{C} () \ln (1 +) \\ = \overset{p}{2} \mathcal{K}_{0}^{\text{reg}} + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\text{reg}} + \frac{1}{5} \mathcal{K}_{2}^{\text{reg}} (60)$$

and
h21 fr ^{cm} j21i =
$$\frac{7}{15}$$
 [K^{reg}₁ 3C () ln (1 +)]
+ $\frac{2}{5}$ K^{reg}₂ + 2C () ln (1 +)]
+ $\frac{3}{35}$ [K^{reg}₃ 7C () ln (1 +)]
= $\frac{7}{15}$ K^{reg}₁ + $\frac{2}{5}$ K^{reg}₂ + $\frac{3}{35}$ K^{reg}₃: (61)

This shows that in the combinations of parameters we need, the divergent contribution vanishes and therefore allows us to simply replace H_1 by $(1)^1 K_1$ in the expression (53) for the hlJ $f^{ex} j^0 J_1$ to obtain hlJ $f^{em} j^0 J_1$ in terms of the K_1 's:

h01 f ^{cm} pli= 0;
h01 f ^{cm} pli=
$$\frac{1}{2^{\frac{p}{2}}}$$
 (K₀ + K₁) and
h21 f ^{cm} pli= $\frac{K_2}{10} = \frac{1}{4}$ (K₀ K₁): (62)

C. The cross vector term

 ${\rm F}$ in ally, we turn to the cross vector term , which is proportional to

The calculations of R ef. [7] indicate that this term will be well behaved in the limits where sin ! 0, since $L_{pp^{\circ}} ! 0$ for both = 0 and =. This means that there will be sum rules like the one (30) for both = 0 and = :

x

which gives two sum rules, one for the odd coe cients and one for even ones:

$$X^{i} L_{21} = 0; \text{ and}$$

$$I = 0$$

$$h \ln 1 \quad \text{F}^{cv} \text{J}^{0} n^{0} 1 \quad {}^{0}\text{i} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ ()^{m^{00}} \text{E}_{1^{00}} \\ & & & \\ 1 & 1 & 1^{00} & 1^{0} & 1 & 1^{00} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \end{array}$$

Changing to the jlJi basis, we nd the matrix element to be

For $l^0 = l + 2$, the triangle conditions im ply that the 3-j sym bols are non-zero only if J = l + 1 and $l^{00} = l + 1$. W hen this happens the two terms are equal in magnutide but of opposite sign. Therefore all hlJ $f^{cv} j(l + 2)Ji$ are zero. The only non-zero matrix elements are when $l = l^0$. Then J can be either l + 1 or l = 1:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{hJ} \ensuremath{\vec{F}}^{\text{cv}} \ensuremath{\vec{J}} \ensuremath{\vec{I}} \ensuremath{\vec{J}} \ensuremath{\vec{I}}^{\text{cv}} \ensuremath{\vec{J}} \ensuremath{\vec{I}}^{\text{cv}} \\ + \ensuremath{\frac{2(l+1)^2}{(2l+3)^2(2l+2)}} \ensuremath{\frac{1}{(l+1)(2l+1)}} \ensuremath{\vec{I}} \ensuremath{\vec{I}}^{\text{cv}} \ensuremath{\vec{J}} \ensuremath{\vec{I}}^{\text{cv}} \ensuremath{\vec{J}} \ensuremath{\vec{I}}^{\text{cv}} \ensuremath{\vec{J}} \ensuremath{\vec{I}}^{\text{cv}} \ensuremath{\vec{J}} \ensuremath{\vec{I}}^{\text{cv}} \ensuremath{\vec{J}} \ensuremath{\vec{I}}^{\text{cv}} \ensurem$$

$$X^{l}$$

 $L_{2l+1} = 0$: (64)
 $l=0$

To simplify the calculation of the matrix elements, we de ne $\texttt{L}_{pp^0} = \texttt{L}_{pp^0} = sin$, and calculate the matrix element hLJ \texttt{F}^{cv} <code>jlU</code>i, which later will be transformed back to the original notation. The cross vector contribution to the quasiparticle interaction is

$$F^{cv} = N(0)\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}Tr^{0} dd^{0}u F^{cv}u^{0}$$
 (65)

We use the notation and method described in Sec. II, which gives for the matrix elements in the jlm 1 ibasis

(66)

$$! ! ! ! ! ! !#$$

 $1 1 1^{00} 1^{0} 1 1^{00} 1 1 1^{00} 1^{0} 1 1^{00}$
 $0 0 0 0 0 0 m ^{0} m^{00} m^{00} m^{00}$

$$+ \frac{1}{(2l \ 1)^2} \frac{1}{l(2l+1) \ 1} \tilde{\Gamma}_{1 \ 1}$$
$$\frac{2(l+1)^2}{(2l+1)(2l+2)(2l+3)} \tilde{\Gamma}_{l+1}; \text{ for } J = 1 \ 1 \ (69)$$

The matrix elements we need thus have the following contributions from the cross vector:

$$h01f^{cv} D1i = 0;$$

$$h21f^{cv} D1i = 0 \text{ and }$$

$$h21f^{cv} D1i = \frac{2}{10}L_1 - \frac{3}{35}L_3: \quad (70)$$

The only matrix element we need for the cross vector term is thus when $l = l^0 = 2$ and J = 1, and we transform this to our original parametrization by writing:

$$\begin{array}{c} h21 \mathbf{f}^{cv} \mathbf{f}^{21} \mathbf{i} = (71) \\ \mathbb{Z}_{1} \\ d(\cos) \frac{3}{5} \frac{X}{k} \frac{\mathbf{L}_{k} \mathbf{P}_{k} (\cos)}{\sin} \mathbb{P}_{1} (\cos) \mathbf{P}_{3} (\cos)] \end{array}$$

which is the integral

$$\frac{3}{2} \int_{1}^{2} dx \int_{n}^{1} L_{n} P_{n}(x) \int_{1}^{p} \frac{1}{1 - x^{2}} x = \frac{3}{2} \int_{n}^{X} L_{n} I_{n} : (72)$$

TABLE II: Landau parameters for neutron matter calculated by Schwenk [7].The Landau parameters are calculated for Ferm iwave vector k_F = 1:7 F 1 and the elective mass is m=m = 0.8345.

-	_			_
1	G ₁	H _l	K 1	L _l
0	0.842	0.070	-0.258	-0.060
1	0.412	0.163	0.146	-0.089
2	0.219	-0.301	0.124	-0.034
3	0.109	-0.002	0.048	0.025
4	0.051	-0.150	0.015	0.043
2 3 4	0.109 0.051	-0.002 -0.150	0.048 0.015	-0.03 0.025 0.043

W e calculate the integral I_n to be

$$I_{n} = \bigvee_{k=0}^{6} \frac{k^{2}}{k!(n-k)!(n-2k+1)} (n-2k+1) (n-2k+1)$$

D. M atrix elements and the susceptibility

C om bining Eqs. (50), (53), (62), (72) and (72) above, we nd

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{h01} \mathring{A} \ \mathring{D}1 \mathring{i} = \ 1 + G_{0}; \\ \text{h01} \mathring{A} \ \mathring{P}1 \mathring{i} = \ \frac{1}{2^{p} \frac{1}{2}} \left[\mathbb{H}_{0} \quad \mathbb{H}_{1} + \mathbb{K}_{0} + \mathbb{K}_{1} \right] \quad \text{and} \\ \text{h21} \mathring{A} \ \mathring{P}1 \mathring{i} = \ 1 + \frac{1}{5} \quad G_{2} + \frac{\mathbb{H}_{2} + \mathbb{K}_{2}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4} \left(\mathbb{H}_{0} + \mathbb{K}_{0} + \mathbb{H}_{1} \quad \mathbb{K}_{1} \right) \quad \frac{3}{2} \sum_{n}^{X} \mathbb{L}_{n} \mathbb{I}_{n}; \\ \end{array}$$

$$(74)$$

with I_n given by Eq. (73).

From these results one may calculate the magnetic susceptibility from Eq. (22). The e ects of the tensor interaction are generally small, so to estimate the various contributions to the susceptibility we expand the susceptibility to second order in the tensor interaction and T and nd

$$= N (0) \frac{2 \frac{1}{1 + G_0} + \frac{2 \frac{1}{(1 + G_0)^2} \frac{101 \frac{1}{7} \frac{1}{2} 1i^2}{1 + G_2 = 5}}{\pi^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1 + G_0) (1 + G_2 = 5)}} + \frac{2}{\pi^2 \frac{1}{1 + G_2 = 5}} + \frac{1}{M} :$$
(75)

It is not easy to obtain a reliable estim ate of the various term s, since di erent calculations of the tensor Landau param eters give di erent result. To exem plify this for pure neutrons, we rst use the Landau parameters obtained by Schwenk [30] listed Table I. These take into account the tensor interaction only to rst order, and consequently the only tensor term is the exchange tensor. We nd

We can now compare this with what we get if we use the Landau parameters of Ref. [7], listed in Table II. These were calculated including the tensor interaction to second order. We nd that the exchange tensor, center of m ass tensor and the cross vector terms are all quite sm all, so the m atrix elements are only slightly m odi ed from the value one obtains using only the central part of the interaction. In addition to being sm all, the tensor contributions have a di erent sign from the rst order result:

Since the calculation of tensor parameters in Ref. [7] is only to second order, and the second order e ects alm ost completely cancel the rst order ones, higher order e ects could be signi cant and should be calculated.

From the two sets of results above we can draw some conclusions regarding the elect of the tensor interaction on the susceptibility. The second term in Eq. (75) is clearly sm all compared to the st term, of the order of 1%, and may be neglected. The third and fourth term s, could be important, depending on the value of the tensor m agnetic m om ent. The corrections to the bare nucleon momentarise from two sources. The rst is con guration m ixing, which is due to the fact that a quasiparticle in the medium consists of a bare nucleon and a superposition of m ore complicated states involving a nucleon plus a number of particle-hole pairs. The second source is exchange currents, which are due to the fact that the external eld can interact with other degrees of freedom than nucleons, for example, interm ediate mesons in a nucleon-nucleon interaction. For de niteness, we shall use the results given by Arima et al. in Ref. [21] for the Ferm igas model. For neutrons in symmetric nuclear 0:08 _N, matter, they nd 1:62 $_{\rm N}$ and $_{\rm T}$ where N = eh=2M is the nuclear magneton. Calculations of the con guration mixing contribution to weak interaction m atrix elements m ade by C owell and P andharipande [32] for asymmetric nuclear matter indicate that the renorm alization of the magnetic moment does not depend strongly on proton fraction, and am ounts to a suppression by about 10%. We would thus suspect that renorm alization of the magnetic moment would be relatively insensitive to the proton fraction, and would be of a sim ilar order of m agnitude. How ever, we stress that it is in portant to make more detailed estimates of magnetic m om ents in the nuclear m edium .

The sm all magnitude of the tensor magnetic moment means that we may neglect also the third and fourth term in Eq. (75), both being less than one per cent of the rst term. Therefore, we conclude that, the most important e ects of the tensor force on the susceptibility is most likely the renorm alization of the isotropic part of the magnetic moment and to the presence of transitions to multipair states.

No reliable calculation of $_{\rm M}$ exists, but by using the sum rule argument presented in Ref. [19] we can put a lower bound on the multipair contribution to the susceptibility:

$$_{M}$$
 (q;0) $\frac{2n S(q)}{!};$ (q ! 0): (78)

Here S (q) is the static structure function, n is the density and ! is the mean excitation energy. In Ref. [19] we took the values of S (q) = 0.19 and ! = 63 M eV from the calculations of Ref. [33] and estimated the multipair contribution to the susceptibility to be more than 60% of the susceptibility calculated by Fantoni et al [18] (= 0.38 $_{\rm F}$). Here $_{\rm F}$ is the susceptibility of a free Ferm i gas of neutrons, $_{\rm F}$ = $3n=2 _{\rm F}$. New calculations of the static structure function and the mean excitation energy by C ow ell and P andharipande [34] give a sim ilar result, S (q = 0) = 0.187 and ! = 64 M eV. In order to com pare with symmetric nuclear matter in next section we calculate $_{\rm M} = _{\rm F}$, and we nd it to be 0.23 for neutron matter, if we use the calculations of Ref. [34].

F inally, we note that the calculation for the total susceptibility of Fantoniet al. [18] gives a result very close to the value one get by calculating G_0 from B nuckner theory and using Eq. (1) for the susceptibility, which does not take into account the renormalization of the magnetic moment. This would appear to indicate that the tensor correlations redistribute spectral weight between single pair and multipair excitations, leaving the total susceptibility unchanged. A sim ilar ect is familiar for the compressibility of electrons in metals when one takes into account the electron-phonon interaction [24].

VI. SYMMETRIC NUCLEAR MATTER

Sym m etric nuclearm atter can be treated by a straightforward generalization of the discussion above by introducing the isospin degree of freedom in addition to the spin one. Thus the generalization of Eq. (5) becomes

where is the isospin operator. Since the magnetic moments of neutrons and protons are dierent, a magnetic eld couples to both isoscalar and isovector com – ponents. Because the dierence between the magnetic moments of a neutron and a proton is much larger than

TABLE III: Landau param eters for sym m etric nuclearm atter calculated by [5]. The Landau param eters are calculated for Ferm i wave vector $k_{\rm F}$ = 1:35 F 1 and the e ective m ass is taken to be m =m = 1.

1	G 1	G ⁰ ₁	H~1	H_{1}^{0}
0	0.447	1291	0.65	-0,255
1	0.760	0.070	0.975	-0.359
2	0.276	0.090	0.829	-0.315
3	0.078	0.069	0.617	-0.233

the sum, the magnetic response will be dominated by the isovector term. The isoscalar spin response is given by Eq. (22), but the density of states to be used is N (0) = 2m $p_F = {}^2h^3$, the factor of two re ecting the two nucleon species. The isovector spin response is given by a sim ilar expression, but with G ;H ;K and L replaced by G 0 ;H 0 ;K 0 and L 0 . Since there is no calculation of the new tensor terms for symmetric nuclear matter, we estimate the matrix elements by using the exchange tensor Landau parameters for symmetric nuclear matter calculated in Ref. [5], which are listed in Table III. This gives the contribution to the matrix elements to be

$$h01^{A^0}$$
²1i 0.11 and
 $h21^{A^0}$ ²1i 1.08: (80)

The calculations of Ref. [21] give for the anisotropic contribution to the isovectorm agnetic moment the value

T 0:08 N and therefore the third and fourth terms s in the analogue of Eq. (75) for the isovectorm agnetic response m ay be neglected. The second term is also unim – portant, since it is on the order of 1% of the rst term. We now use sum -rule arguments [19] to put a bound on the fifth term, which comes from multipair excitations. Equation (78) applies to a Ferm i system with an arbitrary number of components, and recent calculations by C owell and Pandharipande [34] of the static structure function and mean excitation energy for the isovector spin response. Their calculations give S (q) = 0:155 and ! 253 M eV in the limit q ! 0. Comparing the contributions from multipair excitations with the susceptibility of a free Ferm i gas we nd

$$\frac{M}{F} \frac{(q;0)}{3!} + \frac{4 F S (q)}{3!};$$
(81)

where $_{\rm F} = 3n=2_{\rm F}$ is the susceptibility of a free Ferm i gas consisting of 2 species of spin-1=2 particles and $_{\rm F}$ is the Ferm i energy. At nuclear matter density n = 0.16 fm 3 , $_{\rm F}$ 37 MeV, gives that $_{\rm M}$ is at least 3% of $_{\rm F}$, which is much smaller than the corresponding bound for pure neutrons.

W e are not aware of recent calculations of the total susceptibility for symmetric nuclear matter similar to the calculations of Ref. [18], but we note that for neutron matter those calculations gave a susceptibility very close

to the result one obtains by calculating G₀ from B nuckner theory and using Eq. (1) to calculate the susceptibility. Therefore we compare $_{\rm M}$ with the analogue for symmetric nuclear matter of Eq. (1), which we call $_{\rm 0}$, and nd

$$\frac{M}{0} = \frac{4 FS(q)}{3!} \frac{(1 + G_0)}{m = m} = 0.09; \quad (82)$$

where we have taken m = 0.8, and G_0^0 from Ref. [5], as listed in Table III. This result indicates that the multipair contributions, being at least 9 % of the total susceptibility, can have some importance for symmetric nuclear matter, even though the bound is much smaller than the corresponding bound for neutron matter. It would be valuable to have explicit calculations of the multipair contributions to the susceptibility since it is unclear to what extent the bound provides a realistic estim ate of the value of the quantity.

VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper we have derived an expression for the m agnetic susceptibility of a Ferm i liquid for a general interaction which conserves the total angular momentum and the total spin of the quasiparticle-quasihole pair. Apart from the contribution from single quasiparticle-quasihole pairs, which may be calculated using Landau theory, the susceptibility also contains a contributions from excitation of multipair states. In addition to the exchange tensor term usually included, we have taken into account the new tensor terms found in Ref. [7]. We have also introduced an alternative parametrization of the exchange tensor interaction which has the advantage of reducing the importance of high angular harm onic contributions.

The form alism may easily be extended to calculate the response to weak probes: the only di erence being that the magnetic moments must be replaced by the corresponding matrix elements of the weak charges.

A cknow ledgm ents

We thank A chim Schwenk for interesting discussions and for providing us with his calculations of the tensor Landau param eters and Shannon Cowell and Viay Pandharipande for giving us the result of their calculations of the static structure factor and energy-weighted sum . W e are also grateful to Bengt Frim an and Andrew Jackson for useful discussions and to D an-O lof R iska for helpful correspondence. In addition, we thank ECT * and its director W olfram W eise for hospitality in Trento. One of the authors (PH) was partially supported by the KBN grant no. 2P03D .020.20, and of us (EO) acknow edges nancial support in part from a European Comm ission M arie C urie T raining Site Fellow ship under C ontract No. HPM T-2000-00100. This work has been conducted within the fram ework of the school on A dvanced Instrumentation and Measurements (A IM) at Uppsala University supported nancially by the Foundation for Strategic Research (SSF).

- [1] A.B.M igdal, Nuclear Theory: The Quasiparticle M ethod (Benjamin, N.Y., 1968).
- [2] J.D abrow skiand P.Haensel, Ann. Phys. 97, 452 (1976).
- [3] J. D abrowski and P. Haensel, Can. J. Phys 52, 1768 (1974).
- [4] P. Haensel and J. D abrowski, Nucl. Phys. A 254, 211 (1975).
- [5] S.-O. Backman, O. Sjoberg, and A.D. Jackson, Nucl. Phys.A 321, 10 (1979).
- [6] A.Schwenk, G.E.Brown, and B.Frim an, Nucl. Phys. A 703, 745 (2002).
- [7] A.Schwenk and B.Frim an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 082501 (2004).
- [8] W. H. Dickho, A. Faessler, H. M unther, and S.-S. W u, Nucl. Phys. A 405, 534 (1983).
- [9] H.-T. Janka, A strophys. J. 368, 527 (2001).
- [10] R.F.Sawyer, Phys.Rev.D 11, 2740 (1975).
- [11] N. Iwam oto and C. J. Pethick, Phys. Rev. D 25, 313 (1982).

- [12] G.Ra elt and D.Seckel, Phys. Rev. D 52, 1780 (1995).
- [13] G.Ra elt, D.Seckel, and G.Sigl, Phys. Rev. D 54, 2784 (1996).
- [14] G.Ra elt and G.Sigl, Phys. Rev.D 60, 023001 (1999).
- [15] A. Burrows and R. F. Sawyer, Phys. Rev. C 58, 554 (1998).
- [16] M. Prakash, J. M. Lattimer, R. F. Sawyer, and R. R. Volkas, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 51, 295 (2001).
- [17] S. Reddy, M. Prakash, and J. M. Lattim er, Phys. Rev. D 58, 013009 (1998).
- [18] S.Fantoni, A.Sarsa, and K.E.Schm idt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 181101 (2001).
- [19] E.Olsson and C.J.Pethick, Phys. Rev. C 66, 065803 (2002).
- [20] H.M iyazawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 6, 801 (1951).
- [21] A. Arima, K. Shimizu, W. Bentz, and H. Hyuga, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 18, 1 (1987).
- [22] K. Tsushima, D. O. Riska, and P. G. Blunden, Nucl. Phys. A 559, 543 (1993).

- [23] P. Haensel and A. J. Jerzak, Phys. Lett. B 112, 285 (1982).
- [24] A.J.Leggett, Phys.Rev.140, 1869 (1965).
- [25] G.Baym and C.J.Pethick, Landau Ferm i-liquid theory: concepts and applications (W iley N.Y., 1991), p.71.
- [26] L.D.Landau, Sov.Phys.JETP 3, 920 (1957).
- [27] L.D.Landau, Sov.Phys.JETP 5,101 (1957).
- [28] C.J. Pethick and G.M. Cameiro, Phys. Rev. A 7, 304 (1973).
- [29] C.J.Pethick and G.M.Cameiro, Phys.Rev.B 11, 1106

(1975).

- [30] A.Schwenk (2003), private communication.
- [31] A.Schwenk, B.Frim an, and G.E.Brown, Nucl. Phys. A 713, 191 (2003).
- [32] S. Cowell and V. R. Pandharipande, Phys. Rev. C 67, 035504 (2003).
- [33] A.Akmaland V.R.Pandharipande, Phys.Rev.C 56, 2261 (1997).
- $\ensuremath{\left[34\right] }$ S.C owell and V.R.P and haripande (2004), private com – m unication.