## Evaluation of the scattering amplitude in the -channel at nite density

D.Cabrera, E.Oset and M.J.Vicente Vacas

Departamento de F sica Teorica and IFIC, Centro M ixto Universidad de Valencia-CSIC, Ap. Correos 22085, E-46071 Valencia, Spain

#### A bstract

The scattering am plitude in the -channel is studied at nite baryonic density in the fram ework of a chiral unitary approach which successfully reproduces the meson meson phase shifts and generates the  $f_0$  and resonances in vacuum. We address here a new variety of mechanisms recently suggested to modify the interaction in the medium, as well as the role of the s wave selfenergy, in addition to the p wave, in the dressing of the pion propagators.

## 1 Introduction

In the last years, several theoretical approaches have predicted strong m edium e ects on the pion pion interaction in the scalar isoscalar () channel.

In Ref. [1], H atsuda et al. studied the propagator in the linear m odel and found an enhanced and narrow spectral function near the 2 threshold caused by the partial restoration of the chiral symmetry, where m would approach m. The same conclusions were reached using the nonlinear chiral Lagrangians in Ref. [2].

Similar results, with large enhancements in the amplitude around the 2 threshold, have been found in a quite dierent approach by studying the s wave, I = 0 correlations in nuclearmatter [3, 4, 5, 6]. In these cases the modi cations of the channel are induced by the strong p wave coupling of the pions to the particle-hole (ph) and -hole (h) nuclear excitations. It was pointed out in [7, 8] that this attractive selfenergy induced by the renormalization in the nuclearm edium could be complementary to additional s-wave renormalizations of the kind discussed in [1, 2] calling for even larger e ects.

On the experimental side, there are also several results showing strong medium e ects in the channel at low invariant masses in the A (;2) [9, 10, 11, 12, 13] and A (;2) [14] reactions. At the moment, the cleanest signal probably corresponds to the A (;2<sup>o</sup>) reaction, which shows large density e ects that had been predicted in both shape and size in Ref. [15], using a model for the nal state interaction along the lines of the present work. Note, however, that a part of the spectrum modi cation could be due to quasielastic collisions of the pion [16].

Our aim in this paper is to study the scattering in the scalar isoscalar () channel at nite densities in the context of the model developed in [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. These works, which provide an econom ical and successful description of a wide range of hadronic phenom enology, use as input the lowest orders of the Lagrangian of Chiral Perturbation Theory (PT) [23] and calculate meson meson scattering in a coupled channels unitary way. Some nuclear medium e ects, namely the p wave coupling of the pions to the particle hole (ph) and D elta hole (h) excitations, were in plemented in this fram ework in Refs. [6, 24]. As in other approaches, large medium e ects were found as rejected in the imaginary part of the scattering am plitude which showed a clear shift of strength towards low energies as the density increases. A though this model was able to predict the size of the medium e ects on the (;2) reaction [15], it was pointed out that some probably large contributions related to nucleon tadpole diagram s [2] and som e vertex corrections [30] were m issing. In this work, we will include those pieces and analize its in uence in the scattering am plitude at nite nuclear densities.

In the next section we present, for the sake of completeness, a brief description of the model used for the interaction both in vacuum and in a dense medium, which is already published elsewhere [6, 24]. In Section 3 we consider further contributions to the interaction in the nuclear medium, associated to higher order terms in the chiral Lagrangian than those included in Refs. [6, 24], and some baryonic vertex corrections advocated in Ref. [30].

## 2 interaction

In this section we summarize the method of Ref. [22] for interaction in vacuum and Refs. [6, 24] for the nuclear medium elects. Additional information on this and related approaches for dierent spin isospin channels can be found in Refs. [22, 19, 20, 25].



Figure 1: Diagram m atic representation of the Bethe Salpeter equation.

#### 2.1 Vacuum

The basic idea is to solve a Bethe Salpeter (BS) equation, which guarantees unitarity, matching the low energy results to PT predictions. We consider two coupled channels, and KK and neglect the channel which is not relevant at the low energies we are interested in.

The BS equation is given by

$$\Gamma = V + V G T :$$
 (1)

Eq. (1) is a matrix integral equation which involves the two mesons one bop divergent integral (see Fig. 1), where V and T appear o shell. However, for this channel both functions can be factorized on shell out of the integral. The remaining o shell part can be absorbed by a renorm alization of the coupling constants as it was shown in Refs. [22, 26]. Thus, the BS equation becomes purely algebraic and the VGT term, originally inside the bop integral, becomes then the product of V, G and T, with V and T the on shell am plitudes independent of the integration variables, and G given by the expression

$$G_{ii}(P) = i \frac{d^{4}q}{(2)^{4}} \frac{1}{q^{2} m_{1i}^{2} + i} \frac{1}{(P q)^{2} m_{2i}^{2} + i}$$
(2)

where P is the momentum of the meson meson system. This integral is regularized with a cut-o () adjusted to optimize the t to the phase shifts (= 1.03 GeV). The potential V appearing in the BS equation is taken from the lowest order chiral Lagrangian

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{12f^2}h(0 \qquad 0)^2 + M^4 i$$
 (3)

where the symbol hi indicates the trace in avour space, f is the pion decay constant and , M are the pseudoscalar m eson and m ass SU (3) m atrices. This model reproduces well phase shifts and inelasticities up to about 1.2 GeV. The and  $f_0$  (980) resonances appear as poles of the scattering am plitude in L = 0, I = 0. The coupling of channels is essential to produce the  $f_0$  (980) resonance, while the pole is little a ected by the coupling of the pions to K K [22].

#### 2.2 The nuclear m edium

As we are mainly interested in the low energy region, which is not very sensitive to the kaon channels, we will only consider the nuclear medium e ects on the pions. The main changes of the pion propagation in the nuclear medium come from the p wave selfenergy, produced basically by the coupling of pions to particle-hole (ph) and Delta-hole (h) excitations. For a pion of momentum q it is given by

$$(q) = \frac{\frac{D+F}{2f}^{2} q^{2} U(q)}{1 \frac{D+F}{2f}^{2} q^{2} U(q)}$$
(4)

with  $g^0 = 0.7$  the Landau-M igdalparam eter, U (q) the Lindhard function and (D + F) = 1.257. The expressions for the Lindhard functions are taken from Ref. [27].

Thus, the in-medium BS equation will include the diagrams of Fig. 2 where the solid line bubbles represent the ph and h excitations. In fact,



Figure 2: Term s of the m eson m eson scattering amplitude accounting for ph and h excitation.

as it was shown in [28], the contact terms with the ph (h) excitations of diagrams (b-d) cancel the o -shell contribution from the meson meson vertices in the term of Fig. 2(a). Hence, we just need to calculate the diagram s of the free type (Fig. 1) and those of Fig. 2(a) with the amplitudes factorized on shell. Therefore, at rst order in the baryon density, we are left with simple meson propagator corrections which can be readily incorporated by changing the meson vacuum propagators by the in medium ones.

The scattering am plitude obtained using this model exhibits a strong shift towards low energies. In Fig. 3, we show the imaginary part of this am plitude for several densities. Quite similar results have been found using di erent models [5] and it has been suggested that this accumulation of strength, close to the pion threshold, could reject a shift of the pole which would approach the mass of the pion. O ther pion selfenergy contributions related to 2ph excitations, and thus proportional to  $2^{\circ}$ , can be incorporated in



Figure 3: Im aginary part of the scattering am plitude at several densities.

the pion propagator. As we are most interested in the region of low energies we can take as estimation the corresponding piece of the optical potentials obtained from pionic atoms data, following the procedure of Ref. [6] and substituting in Eq. (4)

$$\frac{D + F}{2f} U(q) ! \frac{D + F}{2f} U(q) 4 C_0^2$$
(5)

with the nuclear density and  $C_0 = (0.105 + i0.096)m^{-6}$ . Its e ects are small except at large densities as can be appreciated by comparing Fig. 3, with Fig. 7 of Ref. [6] where this piece is included.

## 3 Further contributions

### 3.1 Higher order tadpole and related term s

The chiral Lagrangian generates tadpole terms that could contribute to the pion selfenergy and also in the form of vertex corrections as in Fig. 4. At

the lowest order these terms vanish in isospin symmetric nuclear matter [24]. However, at next order there are terms which provide some contribution. The complete structure of the higher order Lagrangian adapted to the N system can be seen in [29]. The medium corrections associated to these new Lagrangian terms in the nucleus interaction were studied in [40] and interpreted in term s of changes of the time and space components of f and changes of the pion mass in the medium. Further developments in this direction are done in [30].

The repercussion of these terms in x scattering in the nuclear medium has been considered in [2] and we follow here the same steps. We start from the second order N Lagrangian relevant for the isoscalar sector

$$L_{N}^{(2)} = c_{3}N (u u)N + (c_{2} \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{8m_{N}})N (v u)^{2}N + c_{1}N N Tr(U^{Y} + {}^{Y}U) + ;$$
(6)

where  $u = iu^{y}$  (Uu<sup>y</sup>, with U = u<sup>2</sup> = exp(i <sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup>=f) in the SU(2) form alism used there, v is the four velocity of the nucleon,  $g_{A}$  the axial charge of the nucleon and = diag(m<sup>2</sup>;m<sup>2</sup>). The pion nucleon am plitude obtained from the Lagrangian in Eq. (6) is

$$t_{N} = \frac{4c_{1}}{f^{2}}m^{2} \frac{2c_{2}}{f^{2}}(q^{0})^{2} \frac{2c_{3}}{f^{2}}q^{2}$$

$$= (\frac{4c_{1}}{f^{2}}m^{2} \frac{2c_{2}}{f^{2}}!(q)^{2} \frac{2c_{3}}{f^{2}}m^{2})$$

$$\frac{2c_{2} + 2c_{3}}{f^{2}}(q^{2} m^{2}) = t_{N}^{on} + t_{N}^{off}; \qquad (7)$$

where in the last part of the equation we have separated what we call the on-shell part and the o-shell part of the amplitude (term with  $(q^2 m^2)$ ). This s wave N interaction produces a modi cation of the pion propagator which we shall consider later in the solution of the Bethe Salpeter equation in the medium.

In [2] and [40] the medium e ects are recast at the mean eld level in terms of a medium Lagrangian given by

$$hLi = \left(\frac{f^{2}}{4} + \frac{c_{3}}{2}\right) Tr[0 U 0 U^{y}] + \left(\frac{c_{2}}{2} - \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{16m_{N}}\right) Tr[0_{0}U 0_{0}U^{y}] + \left(\frac{f^{2}}{4} + \frac{c_{1}}{2}\right) Tr(U^{y} + {}^{y}U) :$$
(8)

The di erent corrections to the scattering amplitude coming from the  $OUOU^{y}$ ,  $O_{0}UO^{0}U^{y}$  terms and the mass term in Eq. (8) (c<sub>3</sub>, c<sub>2</sub> and c<sub>1</sub> terms) are given by

$$t^{(t)} = \frac{1}{f^2} f \frac{2c_3}{f^2} (s - \frac{4}{3}m^2) + \frac{2c_2}{f^2} (s - \frac{1}{3}m^2) + \frac{c_1}{f^2} \frac{5}{6}m^2g + \frac{1}{f^2} f \frac{2c_3}{f^2} \frac{1}{3}m^2 (q_1^2 - m^2) + \frac{2c_2}{f^2} \frac{1}{3}m^2 (q_1^2 - m^2)g ; \qquad (9)$$

where we have also separated the on-shell part from the o-shell part. These are the corrections coming from the many body tadpole diagram of Fig. 4, which are included in the dependent terms of Eq. (8). Note that in the chiral unitary approach that we follow, the external legs are placed on shell  $(q_1^2 = m^2)$ . This is the case even when the diagram s appear in loops, as in Fig. 5, since the underlying physics is the use of a dispersion relation using the N=D method [41, 39] which determ ines the diagram contribution in terms of its imaginary part. In the case of Fig. 5 the cut corresponds to two free pions on shell, like in the vacuum . Hence, we shall use only the on shell part of the correction of Eq. (9).



Figure 4: Nucleon tadpole term correction to the interaction.

A sm entioned before, at the same time, when solving the Bethe-Salpeter equation, we have also to take into account the s wave selfenergy insertion from the Lagrangian of Eq. (6) in the pion propagators as depicted in Fig. 6. This is easily accounted for, at lowest order in , adding to each pion propagator, D , the correction D t<sub>N</sub> D . A technically simple way to account for that is to add to the scalar isoscalar vertex from  $L_2$ , t , the correction

$$t^{(s)} = (t_N^{on} + t_N^{off}) \frac{1}{q^2 m^2} t$$
 (10)

for the two pion propagator lines to the left of the vertex. Now the separation of the on-shell and o -shell parts of t $_{\rm N}$  is most useful since the



Figure 5: rescattering diagram with tadpole vertex correction showing the cut.

pion propagator in Eq. (10) is cancelled out by the (q² m²) factor of the o -shell part of t  $_{\rm N}$  . Thus we have

$$t^{(s)} = t_N^{on} \frac{1}{q^2 m^2} t \frac{2c_2 + 2c_3}{f^2} t :$$
 (11)

This means that with the Lagrangian used, on top of the corrections in the loops from the s wave (on-shell) pion selfenergy, we have an additional correction (second term of Eq. (11)) of the same topology as the tadpole term considered before. Considering the pion selfenergy insertion in either of the two pion propagators, we obtain for this

$$t^{(st)} = \frac{4c_2 + 4c_3}{f^2} \quad t \quad : \tag{12}$$

Thus, we are left with the usual contribution in the pion bops of the ordinary on-shells wave pion selfenergy, plus the tadpole correction of Eq. (9), plus the tadpole equivalent of Eq. (12).

There are still further contributions belonging to the same family. Indeed, the t am plitude in the scalar isoscalar channel,

$$t = \frac{1}{f^2} (s - \frac{m^2}{2} - \frac{1}{3} x_i (q_i^2 - m^2)) ; \qquad (13)$$

is also split in on- and o -shell parts. In [6, 42] it was shown that the o - shell pieces could be removed from the loop calculations for both the free pion case and the pion with a p wave selfenergy. However, the diagram s in Fig. 6(a) have one free pion and a pion with a s wave medium selfenergy



Figure 6: Nucleon tadpole correction in the pion propagator.

insertion, hence the imaginary part of the two-pion loop is not the same as in the mentioned cases. It is again easy to take into account this correction and we have, from the s wave selfenergy insertions in the pion propagators

$$t^{(so)} = t_{N} \frac{1}{q^{2} m^{2}} \frac{1}{3f^{2}} (q^{2} m^{2}) \frac{1}{3f^{2}} t_{N}$$
(14)

for each pion line. Next we separate the on-shell and o -shell parts of t  $_{\rm N}$  . For the on-shell part we get

$$\frac{1}{3f^2} \left( \frac{4c_1}{f^2} m^2 - \frac{2c_2}{f^2} ! (q)^2 - \frac{2c_3}{f^2} m^2 \right) ; \qquad (15)$$

which compared at threshold to the free t am plitude, t =  $\frac{1}{f^2} \frac{7}{2} m^2$ , gives

$$\frac{t}{t} ' \frac{1}{21f^2} (8c_1 \ 4c_2 \ 4c_3) ; \qquad (16)$$

which with respect to Eq. (12) gets a reduction of a factor 21, plus an extra reduction from the near on-shell cancellation of the isoscalart  $_{\rm N}$ . Hence, this correction is negligible and we take advantage of this large reduction factor 21 to also neglect the part involving simultaneously the o-shell parts of t and t $_{\rm N}$ .

In order to proceed we have to decide upon the  $c_i$  coe cients to be used. It is well known that the Lagrangian of Eq. (6) leads to a part of p wave pion selfenergy [43], but we are explicitly taking a p wave selfenergy insertion accounting for ph and h excitations. There is a work which uses the same Lagrangian of Eq. (6), and in addition takes into account explicitly the degrees of freedom [44]. Thus, we stick to the values of the  $c_1 \cos e$  - cients obtained there from two ts, with and without using the term as a constraint, shown in Table 1. For comparison, the values of the coe cients  $c_1$  without including the are of the order of  $c_1 = 1.53$  GeV  $^1$ ,  $c_2 = 3.22$  GeV  $^1$  and  $c_3 = 6.20$  GeV  $^1$  [45]. As stressed in [45] the values of the

coef.	set I (G eV $^1$ )	set II (G eV $^1$ )
Cl	0:35	0:32
C <sub>2</sub>	1:49	1:59
C <sub>3</sub>	0 <b>:</b> 93	1:15

Table 1:  $c_i$  coe cients from Ref. [45].

coe cient  $c_i$  with the explicit contribution of the are of natural order, while those obtained without its consideration are too large and a source of problem s in chiral perturbative calculations [46]. But in our case, as pointed above, the choice is m and atory.

We can estimate the size of the correction of Eq. (9) at pion threshold, and taking advantage of the reduction factor 1=3 in the term  $\frac{1}{3}!_{i}^{2}(q)$  in front of s' 4m<sup>2</sup>, we approximate  $!_{i}(q)$ ' m. So we get

$$\frac{t}{t} = \frac{32}{21f^2} (c_2 + c_3) + \frac{10}{21f^2} c_1 \quad ; \tag{17}$$

which for the two sets of parameters of Table 1 gives

$$\frac{t}{t} = 0:154 = 0 \text{ (set I)}$$
$$= 0:124 = 0 \text{ (set II)} : (18)$$

Let us note that the correction is negative, reducing e ectively the strength of the ! vertex in the medium. Note that should we have used the values of  $c_i$  without explicit we would obtain a value for the ratio of Eq. (17) of  $0.80 = _0$ , certainly too large, but also negative.

Next we consider the contribution from Eq. (12). This correction has opposite sign to the form er one. W hen adding the two corrections we nd, again taking the threshold for comparison,

$$\frac{t}{t} = \frac{52}{21f^2} (c_2 + c_3) + \frac{10}{21f^2} c_1 \quad ; \tag{19}$$

which for the two sets of values of Table 1 gives

$$\frac{t}{t} = 0:18 = 0 (set I)$$
  
= 0:14 = 0 (set II) : (20)

We can see that the sign of the correction is now reversed and, altogether, we nd now an elective increase of the vertex in the medium by a moderate amount.

A part from the vertex corrections, we need to include the e ect of the on-shells wave pion selfenergy in the pion propagators in the loops, produced by the nucleon tadpole diagram. Since we have a broad range of pion energies in the loop, we have used thet approximation for the s wave pion selfenergy and the amplitude t has been taken from the experimental t to data [37]. This is a more realistic approach than to take the expression from the model used here which gives a too large s wave scattering amplitude at high energies, and in any case produces a minor e ect.

The considered corrections are included in the am plitude by m odifying the kernel of the BS equation with the on-shellpart of Eq. (9) and Eq. (12), namely

$$T = \frac{V + t^{(t) \text{ on}}}{1 (V + t^{(t) \text{ on}} + t^{(st)}) G} ;$$
 (21)

and modifying the pion propagators in the calculation of the two-pion loop function, G, as explained in Section 2.2.

#### 3.2 Vertex corrections from baryonic loops

In the previous sections we have considered relevant medium e ects, according to the pion nucleus phenom enology, which describe correctly the pion in the medium in a wide range of energies. These mechanisms lead to s and p wave pion selfenergies in the propagators of the BS equation and som e associated vertex corrections. In Ref. [30], other vertex corrections to the am plitude which could provide som e e ect at low energies, where the leading p wave pion selfenergy is not so strong, were studied.

The mechanisms considered in [30] relevant for s wave scattering, modifying the kernel of the Bethe Salpeter equation in the interaction, are shown in Fig. 7. The isoscalar contribution in the s channel for the ph excitation in the t channel in Fig. 7(a) is given, with the unitary norm alization, by

$$it = \frac{1}{4f^2} \left( p_1^0 + k_1^0 \right) \left( p_2^0 + k_2^0 \right) U(q) = tU(q)$$
 (22)



Figure 7: (a) ph bubble exchange in the t channel; (b) Box diagram.

where U (q) is the ordinary Lindhard function for ph excitation, including a factor 2 of isospin (see Appendix of [27]). The second equation in Eq. (22) de nes  $\mathfrak{t}$ . We have neglected the isoscalar N amplitude in Eq. (22) since it is very small compared with the isovector one [31].

The magnitude of twas shown in [30] to be comparable to the s wave V of the lowest order chiral Lagrangian at densities of the order of the nuclear density. Yet, there are some observations to be made: First, at pion threshold the diagram of Fig. 7 (a) is proportional to U ( $q^0 = 0; q = 0$ ). This quantity is evaluated in [30] using the ordinary lim it of the Lindhard function at  $q^0 = 0$  and jqj! 0, which is nite and larger in size than for any nite value of jqj. This lim it is however quite di erent from the value of the response function at q = 0 in nite nuclei which is strictly zero, as already noted in [30, 32, 33].

We take into account the fact that the isovector N amplitude relects the exchange of a in the t channel [34] and multiply  $(4f^2)^2$  by a factor relecting the two propagators, F (q) =  $(M^2 = (M^2 + q^2))^2$ .

In order to estim ate the importance of this contribution as compared to the p wave pion selfenergy insertions, we have evaluated the diagram s (a-e) in Fig. 8. Details of the calculation are given in Appendix II. The results are shown in Fig. 9 for the imaginary part of the resulting amplitude. We nd that the contribution of diagram s (d,e) is smaller than the changes produced by the insertion of the p wave pion selfenergy in the pion propagators. Sim ilar results are found for the real part of the amplitude.

The consideration of this mechanism in the BS equation proceeds by adding the tree level term and modifying the kernel in the loop terms with an elective potential V de ned as

$$\frac{V(s; )}{V} = \frac{F(s; )}{V G V}; \qquad (23)$$



Figure 8: Loop contributions to the Bethe Salpeter equation at rst order in the nuclear density, including the t channel ph excitation.



Figure 9: Im aginary part of the am plitude from the term s in Fig. 8, as indicated in the legend. The calculation for the dashed and dotted lines is done for  $= _0=2$ .

where F (s; ) is the amplitude corresponding to diagram (b) and VGV gives the amplitude of diagram (a) in Fig. 8. In this sense, by substituting V by V + V in the vertex, the loop function of diagram in Fig. 8(a) would account correctly for all the diagram s (a-e) at the rst order in the nuclear density.

One of the reasons for the small size of this contribution is that the Lindhard function behaves roughly as  $q^2$  for large values of q and we should expect a large cancellation of this piece in the loops. This would be in contrast with the ph excitations leading to the p wave selfenergy in Fig. 8 (b,c), since there one has the combination  $q^2U(q)$  and a priori this type of ph excitation should be m ore important, as it is indeed the case. Thus, the t channel ph exchange mechanism leads to a sizeable correction to the tree level scattering amplitude and a small vertex correction in the calculation of the loops appearing in the unitarization procedure<sup>1</sup>.

Next we consider the box diagram of Fig. 7(b). This term was found to be smaller in strength than the ph exchange in [30], particularly at small energies, where the p wave character of the vertices made the contribution negligible. The consideration of this mechanism at the pion loop level, necessary to include it in the BS equation, makes its contribution small since, apart from the reduction of the box diagram for large values of q, there is a further cancellation of terms as we show in Appendix III. Sim ilar analytic treatments are done in [35, 36]. For all these reasons this contribution should be even smaller than the one previously evaluated and one can safely neglect it for practical purposes.

## 4 Results

We solve the BS equation including the corrections discussed in Sec. 3.1, as they appear in Eq. (21). The results are shown in Fig. 10. The new terms considered modify little the results from Ref. [6]. In comparison, the imaginary part of the amplitude exhibits a small increase of strength at low invariant energies whereas the real part decreases over all the calculated range of energies. A ltogether, the basic e ect of the nuclear medium, as found in Ref. [6], is a strong depletion of the interaction at energies around 500 M eV, where the vacuum pole is found, and some accumulation of strength close to the 2 threshold, as it can be seen in Fig. 11, where the squared modulus of the amplitude is depicted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This mechanism would play an even smaller role in the position of the pole [47], which is determined by the vanishing of the denom inator of the BS solution, where the tree level term does not appear.



Figure 10: Real and in aginary parts of T, as obtained in Eq. (21), for the two sets of parameters in Table 1 (set I, dash-dotted line, set II, dashed line) and  $= _0=2$ . The solid line corresponds to the result of the model in Sec. 2.2 and the dotted line is the result in vacuum.



Figure 11: Squared m odulus of T. Lines as in Fig. 10.



Figure 12: Imaginary part of T including the mechanism described in Sec.  $3.2 \text{ at} = _0=2$  (dashed line). The solid line corresponds to the result of the model in Sec. 2.2 and the dotted line is the result in vacuum.

The contribution of the term s discussed in Sec. 3.2 is shown in Fig. 12 for the imaginary part of the amplitude. We nd a strong reduction of the amplitude at energies close to the 2 threshold, basically produced by the repulsive tree level term in Fig. 7 (a). At these energies the amplitude stays closer to the vacuum case. A similar reduction of the nuclear medium e ects as compared to the results of Ref. [6] is found in the real part of the amplitude.

Finally, we have included together the contributions of the tadpole term s, Section 3.1, and the t channel ph exchange, Section 3.2, in the BS equation, and the results are depicted in Fig. 13 for the real and in aginary parts of the am plitude. We observe, compared to the model of Ref. [6] in which the basic medium elect is due to the p wave pion selfenergy, a considerable reduction of strength close to the two pion threshold. The global elect in both calculations is still a sizable depletion of the interaction at higher energies and a certain accumulation of strength below the pole position in vacuum which, as suggested in [47], could be relecting a change in the pole position to lower energies as a function of the nuclear density.



Figure 13: Realand in aginary parts of T including them echanism s described in Secs. 3.1 and 3.2 at  $= _0=2$ , using set I (dashed line). The solid line corresponds to the result of the model in Sec. 2.2 and the dotted line is the result in vacuum.

## 5 Conclusions

In summary, we have considered in this work the contribution of some new terms to the interaction in the scalar isoscalar channel at nite densities, starting from a previous work [6, 24] in which only medium e ects associated to the p wave pion selfenergy had been accounted for.

Tadpole insertions, som etim es advocated as a possible source of a large attraction, have been shown to a ect little the am plitude once the Bethe Salpeter equation is solved. This is partly due to certain cancellations which take place between vertices and internal pion propagator insertions.

We have also taken into account new terms in the driving kernel of the Bethe Salpeter equation, which have been found in portant in a study based on a chiral power counting in the many body problem. We could see that these new terms, although large at tree level, when appearing inside loops were not as in portant as one could guess from their comparison with the lowest order chiral amplitude in the case that all pions are on shell. As a consequence, their consideration barely changed the results for the interaction in the medium.

A ltogether, the nal results are quite similar to those obtained previously in [6, 24], namely a strong reduction of the interaction at energies around 400 M eV and beyond, and some increase of strength around the 2 threshold. This con m s the leading role of the strong p wave pion selfenergy in the medium modi cation of the interaction in the scalar isoscalar channel. These results are also satisfactory because a prediction on the (;2) reaction in nuclei [15] based on the previous calculation [6, 24] of the twopion nal state interaction has been later con med by experimental data [14]. The much larger medium e ects obtained at threshold energies in other approaches are incompatible with the observed e ect in the (;2) reaction.

## A cknow ledgem ents

This work is partly supported by DGICYT contract no. BFM 2003-00856. D.Cabrera adknowledges nancial support from MEC.

# Appendix I

W e quote in this section the Lindhard function, with an energy gap , separated into the direct and crossed contributions,  $U = U_d + U_c$ . From [33] we have

$$U_{d}(q^{0};q;;) = 4^{2} \frac{d^{3}p}{(2)^{3}} \frac{n(p)[1 n(p+q)]}{q^{0} + "(p) "(p+q) + i}$$
(24)

and  $U_{\rm c}(q^0\,;q;\,\,;\,\,)$   $U_{\rm d}(q^0\,;q;\,\,;\,\,).$  In the following we shall use the de – nitions

$$x = \frac{q}{k_{\rm F}} ; = \frac{2M q^0}{k_{\rm F}^2}$$
$$= \frac{2M}{k_{\rm F}^2} ; = \frac{2}{3^2} k_{\rm F}^3$$
(25)

with M the mass of the nucleon,  $k_F$  the Ferm imomentum and q jqj. Once the integration in Eq. (24) is done, the real part of  $U_d$  reads, for x 2,

$$\operatorname{ReU}_{d}(\mathbf{q}^{0};\mathbf{q};;) = \frac{2M \, k_{\mathrm{F}}}{2} \frac{1}{2^{2}x} \frac{x}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2^{2}} \ln \frac{1}{4^{2}} + \frac{1}{2^{2}} \ln \frac{1}{4^{2}} + \frac{1}{4^{2}} \frac$$

and, for x > 2,

ReU<sub>d</sub> (q<sup>0</sup>; q; ; ) = 
$$\frac{2M k_F}{2} \frac{1}{2x} + \frac{1}{2x}$$



Figure 14: Loop contribution of the ph exchange in the t channel.

$$+\frac{1}{2}^{4}1 \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{1}{x} \quad x^{5} \ln \quad \frac{x^{2}}{x^{2} + 2x} \quad : \qquad (27)$$

The imaginary part of  $U_d$  is given by Im  $U_d$   $(q^0;q;\;;\;)$  = Im  $\tilde{U}$   $(q^0\;\;;q;\;)$  (q  $^0$  ), with

$$\operatorname{Im} \ \mathcal{U} \left(q^{0}; \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{q}\right) = \frac{3}{4} \quad \frac{M}{\mathbf{q}\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{F}}} \left[ (1 \quad z^{2}) \quad (1 \quad \mathbf{\dot{z}}_{\mathbf{j}}) \quad (1 \quad z^{02}) \quad (1 \quad \mathbf{\dot{z}}_{\mathbf{j}}) \right] \frac{q^{0}}{\mathbf{\dot{y}}^{0} \mathbf{j}}; (28)$$

where is the H eaviside step function and the z,  $z^{0}$  variables are de ned as

$$z = \frac{M}{qk_{\rm F}} q^0 \quad \frac{q^2}{2M} ; z^0 = \frac{M}{qk_{\rm F}} q^0 \quad \frac{q^2}{2M} ; (29)$$

## Appendix II

The amplitude corresponding to the diagram in Fig. 14 is given by

$$iT = \frac{d^{4}q}{(2)^{4}} (iT) \frac{i}{(q+p)^{2} m^{2} + i} \frac{i}{(q-p^{0})^{2} m^{2} + i} (iV(s)) iU(q) :$$
(30)

In order to perform the integral it is most useful to separate U (q) into the direct and crossed parts, U (q) =  $U_d(q) + U_c(q)$ , given their di erent analytical structure.

In Fig. 15 we depict the pole and cut structure for the di erent terms and the path followed for the integration in the complex plane. The poles are located at

$$q^{0} = p^{0} + ! (p + q) \quad i ; q^{0} = p^{0} ! (p + q) + i$$
$$q^{0} = p^{0} + ! (p + q) \quad i ; q^{0} = p^{0} ! (p + q) + i :$$
(31)



F igure 15: A nalytical structure of the integrand in Eq. (30). The poles of the pion propagators are represented by 'x' and 'o' symbols, and the dotted lines correspond to the analytical cuts of the Lindhard function. The arrows indicate the circuit used for the integration of each term.

The integration over the  $q^0$  variable is done by closing the contour in the complex plane in the upper half plane for the U<sub>d</sub> part and in the lower half plane for the U<sub>c</sub> part.

The result of the integration is

$$T = \frac{1}{4f^{2}} \left[ (2p^{0})^{2}V(s) \right]^{Z} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{4!^{2}} \frac{U_{c}(p^{0} + !;q)}{p^{0} + !} \\ \frac{U_{d}(p^{0} !;q)}{p^{0} !+i} + \frac{U_{d}(p^{0} !;q)}{p^{0}} \frac{U_{c}(p^{0} + !;q)}{p^{0}} - \frac{M^{2}}{M^{2} + q^{2}} \right]^{2}; \quad (32)$$

where ! ! (p + q) and we have explicitly written the meson exchange form factor arising from each NN vertex.

Let us note that we have factorized the N ! N vertex on shell. This is done in analogy to what is done in [38] where one shows that the o shellpart can be cast into a renorm alization of the low est order diagram (no m eson loop in this case). An alternative justi cation using dispersion relations, which require only the on shell inform ation, is given in [39].

## Appendix III

W e evaluate the loop function of Fig. 16 containing the box diagram of Fig. 7 (b) plus all the di erent time orderings, which we can see in Fig. 17. In all



Figure 16: Box diagram with two of the pions as a part of a loop.

the diagram s the internal nucleon lines are particle lines. This means we are taking only the term s of order , which are obtained when the external lines are folded to give a single hole line in Fig. 17.

D iagram s (a), (b). For diagram s (a), (b) in Fig. 17 for  $P = p_1 + p_2 = 0$  the interm ediate nucleon line after the two pion vertices has the same momentum as the hole line (belonging to the Ferm i sea) and hence they both vanish.

Next we observe some strong cancellations in the other diagram s. The set of the two meson propagators, which is common to all of them, can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2P^{0}!} \frac{1}{q^{0} + i} \frac{1}{q^{0} + P^{0} + i} \frac{1}{q^{0} + P^{0} + i} \frac{1}{q^{0} + i} \frac{1}{q^{0} + P^{0} + i} ;$$
(33)

 $w \pm h ! = ! (q).$ 

D iagram (c). The diagram (c) contains three nucleon propagators. By m aking a heavy baryon approximation and neglecting the kinetic energy of the nucleons we nd for the product

$$\frac{1}{p_1^0} \frac{1}{q^0 + p_2^0 + i} \frac{1}{q^0 + i}$$
 (34)

Hence, multiplying this by the pion propagators and closing the contour on the upper half plane to perform the  $q^0$  integration, we not that the integral is

$$A\frac{1}{p_1^0} = \frac{1}{p_1^0 + !} \frac{1}{P^0 + !} + \frac{1}{!} \frac{1}{p_2^0 ! + i} :$$
(35)

There, the rst term, which comes from the negative energy components of the mesons, is small and has no imaginary part. The second term can lead to an imaginary part and a more sizeable realpart from the principal value.



Figure 17: Set of di erent time orderings of diagram in Fig. 16. The initial and nal nucleon lines correspond to a hole propagator.

D iagram (e). The set of nucleon propagators in the heavy baryon approximation is now

$$\frac{1}{p_2^0} \frac{1}{p_2^0 + q^0 + i} \frac{1}{p_1^0}$$
(36)

and hence by closing the contour in the upper half of the complex  $q^0$  plane we nd for the  $q^0$  integration

$$A \frac{1}{p_1^0} \frac{1}{p_2^0} \frac{1}{p_1^0 + !} - \frac{1}{p_2^0} \frac{1}{p_2^0 - ! + i} ; \qquad (37)$$

with the same A as in Eq. (35). The rst term is again small, coming from the negative energy components of the pions, and has opposite sign to the rst term from diagram (c). The second term above is the same but with opposite sign to the second term of diagram (c) at  $! = p_2^0$ , which is the singular point. Hence there are strong cancellations in the principal part of the integral and the imaginary part from this source vanishes.

Diagram (d). Repeating the same arguments as above we nd now

$$A\frac{1}{!} \frac{1}{p_1^0 + !} \frac{1}{P^0 + !} + \frac{1}{P^0 + ! + i} \frac{1}{p_2^0 + ! + i}$$
(38)

Diagram (f). For this diagram we nd

$$A\frac{1}{p_{1}^{0}} \frac{1}{!} \frac{1}{p_{2}^{0} + !} \frac{1}{P^{0} ! + i} \frac{1}{p_{1}^{0} ! + i}$$
(39)

Once again the rst two terms from (d), (f), coming from the negative energy part of the pion propagators, give a small contribution and partly cancel, and the second terms which provide an imaginary part and a larger real part from the principal value, also show cancellations. Indeed for  $p_1^0 = p_2^0 = !$  the imaginary parts corresponding to the poles  $p_1^0 = p_2^0 = !$  cancel and the real parts from the principal value would also largely cancel. At the P<sup>0</sup> = ! pole the cancellation would only be partial.

We thus see that when considering all the time orderings for the coupling of the two pions and the loop with the two pion propagators there are large cancellations of terms. In addition we have the  $(p_i=M)^2$  factor of the p wave couplings for the initial pions, which make this contribution small at small m om enta of the pions. We have looked at strong cancellations of terms in the heavy baryon approximation, which holds for small values of m om enta. At large m om enta we must note that we have two extra nucleon propagators which bring two extra powers of q in the denom inator, with respect to the ordinary Lindhard function. This makes up for the two extra p wave vertices, and hence we have a similar behaviour altogether as the one of Fig. 14 which lead to small contributions when evaluated into the loop. All these elements discussed above would render this piece far smaller than the ones of Fig. 8 (d,e) and, given the smallness of the elects found there, this can also be neglected.

## References

- T. Hatsuda, T. Kunihiro and H. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 2840.
- [2] D. Jido, T. Hatsuda and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 011901 [arX iv hep-ph/0008076].
- [3] P.Schuck, W. Norenberg and G. Chanfray, Z. Phys. A 330 (1988) 119.
- [4] R.Rapp, J.W. Durso and J.W am bach, Nucl. Phys. A 596 (1996) 436 [arX iv nucl-th/9508026].
- [5] Z.Aouissat, R.Rapp, G.Chanfray, P.Schuck and J.W am bach, Nucl. Phys.A 581 (1995) 471 [arX iv nucl-th/9406010].

- [6] H.C.Chiang, E.Oset and M.J.Vicente-Vacas, Nucl. Phys. A 644 (1998) 77 [arX iv nucl-th/9712047].
- [7] Z.Aouissat, G.Chanfray, P.Schuck and J.W am bach, Phys. Rev.C 61 (2000) 012202.
- [8] D. Davesne, Y. J. Zhang and G. Chanfray, Phys. Rev. C 62 (2000) 024604 [arXiv:nucl-th/9909032].
- [9] F.Bonutti et al. [CHAOS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 603.
- [10] F.Bonuttietal. [CHAOS Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. A 638 (1998) 729.
- [11] P.Camerini, N.Grion, R.Ruiand D.Vetterli, Nucl. Phys. A 552 (1993)
   451 Erratum ibid. A 572 (1993) 791].
- [12] F. Bonutti et al. [CHAOS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C 60 (1999) 018201.
- [13] A. Starostin et al. [Crystal Ball Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 5539.
- [14] J. G. Messchendorp et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 222302 [arXiv:nuclex/0205009].
- [15] L.Roca, E.O set and M.J.Vicente Vacas, Phys. Lett. B 541 (2002) 77 [arX iv:nucl-th/0201054].
- [16] P.Muhlich, L.A lvarez-Ruso, O.Buss and U.Mosel, Phys. Lett. B 595 (2004) 216 [arX iv nucl-th/0401042].
- [17] A.Dobado, M.J.Herrero and T.N.Truong, Phys.Lett.B 235 (1990) 134.
- [18] A.Dobado and J.R.Pelaez, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 4883 [arX iv hepph/9301276].
- [19] J.A.Oller, E.Oset and J.R.Pelaez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 3452 [arX iv:hep-ph/9803242].
- [20] J.A.Oller, E.Oset and J.R.Pelaez, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 074001
   Erratum -ibid. D 60 (1999) 099906] [arXiv:hep-ph/9804209].
- [21] J.A.Oller and E.Oset, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 074023 [arX iv hepph/9809337].

- [22] J.A.Oller and E.Oset, Nucl. Phys. A 620 (1997) 438 [Erratum -ibid. A 652 (1997) 407] [arX iv:hep-ph/9702314].
- [23] J.Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B 250 (1985) 517.
- [24] E. Oset and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Nucl. Phys. A 678 (2000) 424 [arXiv:nucl-th/0004030].
- [25] J.Nieves and E.Ruiz Arriola, Nucl. Phys. A 679 (2000) 57 [arXiv:hepph/9907469].
- [26] J.Nieves and E.Ruiz Amiola, Phys. Lett. B 455 (1999) 30 [arXiv nuclth/9807035].
- [27] E.Oset, P.Fernandez de Cordoba, L.L.Saleedo and R.Brockmann, Phys.Rept. 188 (1990) 79.
- [28] G. Chanfray and D. Davesne, Nucl. Phys. A 646 (1999) 125.
- [29] V.Bernard, N.Kaiser and U.G.Meissner, Int.J.Mod.Phys.E 4 (1995) 193 [arX iv:hep-ph/9501384].
- [30] U.G.Meissner, J.A.Oller and A.Wirzba, Annals Phys. 297 (2002) 27 [arXiv:nucl-th/0109026].
- [31] H.C.Schroder et al, Phys. Lett. B 469 (1999) 25.
- [32] E.O set and D. Strottm an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 146.
- [33] E.Oset, D.Strottm an, H.Tokiand J.Navarro, Phys. Rev.C 48 (1993) 2395.
- [34] T.E.Ericson and W.Weise, OXFORD, UK: CLARENDON (1988) 479 P. (THE INTERNATIONAL SERIES OF MONOGRAPHS ON PHYSICS, 74).
- [35] M. Herrmann, B. L. Frim an and W. Norenberg, Nucl. Phys. A 560 (1993) 411.
- [36] D.Cabrera, E.O set and M.J.Vicente Vacas, Nucl. Phys. A 705 (2002) 90 [arX iv nucl-th/0011037].
- [37] R.A.Amdt, I.I.Strakovsky, R.L.W orkm an and M.M. Pavan, Phys. Rev.C 52 (1995) 2120 [arX iv nucl-th/9505040].

- [38] E. Oset and A. Ramos, Nucl. Phys. A 635 (1998) 99 [arXiv nuclth/9711022].
- [39] J.A.Oller and U.G.Meissner, Phys.Lett.B 500 (2001) 263 [arX iv:hepph/0011146].
- [40] V.Thorsson and A.W irzba, Nucl. Phys. A 589 (1995) 633 [arX iv nucl-th/9502003].
- [41] J.A.Oller and E.Oset, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 074023 [arXiv:hepph/9809337].
- [42] G. Chanfray and D. Davesne, Nucl. Phys. A 646 (1999) 125.
- [43] M.Kirchbach and A.Wirzba, Nucl. Phys. A 604 (1996) 395 [arXiv nucl-th/9603017].
- [44] N.Fettes and U.G.Meissner, Nucl. Phys. A 679 (2001) 629 [arX iv hepph/0006299].
- [45] N.Fettes, U.G.Meissner and S.Steininger, Nucl. Phys. A 640 (1998) 199 [arX iv:hep-ph/9803266].
- [46] E. Epelbaum, W. Gloeckle and U.G. Meissner, Eur. Phys. J. A 19 (2004) 125 [arX iv:nucl-th/0304037].
- [47] M.J.Vicente Vacas and E.Oset, arXiv:nucl-th/0204055.