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#### Abstract

W e give general expressions for the vector asym $m$ etry in the angular distribution of protons in the nonm esonic weak decay of polarized hypemuclei. From these we derive an explicit expression for the calculation of the asym m etry param eter, $a$, which is applicable to the speci c cases of ${ }^{5} \mathrm{He}$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ described w th in the extrem e shell m odel. In contrast to the approxim ate form ula w idely used in the literature, it includes the e ects of three-body kinem atics in the nalstates of the decay and correctly treats the contribution of transitions originating from single-proton states beyond the $s$-shell. This expression is then used for the corresponding num erical com putation of a within several one-m eson-exchange $m$ odels. Besides the strictly local approxim ation usually adopted for the transition potential, we also consider the addition of the nst-order nonlocality term s. We nd values for a ranging from $0: 62$ to $0: 24$, in qualitative agreem ent w ith other theoreticalestim ates but in contradiction $w$ ith som e recent experim ental determ inations.


PACS num bers: $21.80 .+\mathrm{a}, 13.75 \mathrm{Ev}, 21.60 . \mathrm{n}$
K eyw ords: hypemuclear decay; asym $m$ etry param eter; one-m eson-exchange $m$ odel

## I. IN TRODUCTION

W hile the hyperon, in free space, decays $99.7 \%$ of the tim e through the $m$ esonic $m$ ode, ! N , inside nuclei this is P auli-blocked, and, already for A > 5, the weak decay is gradually dom inated by the nonm esonic channel, N ! $\mathrm{N} N$, where the large m om entum transfers involved ( $400 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{c}$ ) put the two em itted nucleons above the Ferm isurface. This decay $m$ ode is interesting since it o ers a unique opportunity to probe the strangeness-changing weak interaction betw een hadrons. For a recent review of hypemuclear decay, see Ref. [1] ].

For a long tim e, the experim entaldata for th is process $w$ as restricted to the fullnonm esonic decay rate, $n m$, and, in som e cases, also the partial ones, $n=(n!n n)$ and $p=(p!n p)$. M ore recently, the rst results, obtained at KEK a, are becom ing available. This is experim entally $m$ ore dem anding, as it requires $m$ easuring the asym $m$ etry in the angular distribution of protons em itted in the decay of polarized hypemuclei. On the theoretical side, how ever, a carries im portant new inform ation, since it is determ ined by the interference term $s$ betw een the parity-conserving (PC) and the parity-violating (PV) proton-induced transitions to nal states with di erent isospins. In opposition to that, the decay rates depend only on the square $m$ oduli of the separate com ponents of the transition potential, being dom inated by the PC ones. O ne expects, therefore, that the asym $m$ etry param eter, besides being m ore sensitive to the PV am plitudes, will have more discrim inating power to constrain the proposed mechanism $s$ for nonm esonic hypemuclear decay.
$M$ ost of the theoreticalw ork on this decay $m$ ode constructs the transition potentialby $m$ eans ofone-m eson-exchange ( O M E ) m odels, the m ost com plete ones including up to the whole ground pseudoscalar and vectorm eson octets (, ,
 the di erence betw een the lam bda and nucleon $m$ asses and the rst-order nonlocality tem $S$ [pll. There are also OME
 In all these cases, to which we will refer below as strict OME m odels, the weak coupling constants for the pion are em pirically determ ined from the free $m$ esonic decay, and those of the rem aining $m$ esons by $m$ eans of unitary-sym $m$ etry
argum ents
A 11 such $\bar{m}$ odels reproduce quite easily the total nonm esonic decay rate, ${ }_{\mathrm{nm}}={ }_{\mathrm{n}}+{ }_{\mathrm{p}}$, but seem to strongly underestim ate the experim ental values for the $n=p$ branching ratio, $n=p\left[\begin{array}{l}11]\end{array}\right]$. H ow ever, there are recent indications, based on the intranuclear cascade $m$ odel, that th is $m$ ight be due to contam ination of the data by secondary nucleons unleashed by nal state interactions (FSI) while the prim ary ones are traversing the residual nucleus [1] [1]. A nother serious discrepancy betw een theory and experim ent in nonm esonic decay concems the asym $m$ etry param eter. T he $m$ easurem ents favor a negative value for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and a positive value for ${ }^{5} \mathrm{He}$. H ow ever, all existing calculations based
 are available in the same $\bar{m}$ odel, they are very sim ilar to those for ${ }^{5} \mathrm{He}$, as expected, since the intrinsic asym $m$ etry param eter, a , has been de ned [13] in such a way as to subdue its dependence on the particular hypemucleus considered. A recent attem pt [1] ] to explain this discrepancy along sim ilar lines to those used for the n=p problem has failed. A smight be expected, the FSI do have an e ect in attenuating the asym $m$ etry, but show no tendency to reverse its sign. The only theoretical calculations that attain som e agreem ent $w$ ith the experim ental data for a are a rst application of e ective eld theory to nonm esonic decay [ind and a very recent extension of the direct-quark interaction $m$ odel to inchde sigm a-m eson exchange [1] []. H ow ever, in both cases, one or $m$ ore coupling constants are speci cally adjusted to reproduce the experim ental value of a for ${ }^{5} \mathrm{He}$.
$M$ ost calculations of the asym $m$ etry param eter $m$ ake use of an approxim ate formula (Eq. (43른), below) which, how ever, is valid only for s-shell hypemuclei. Since an essential aspect in the asymm etry puzzle presented above concems the com parison of its values for ${ }^{5} \mathrm{He}$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$, it would be of great interest to have a sim ple expression that is applicable to both cases. This is the $m$ ain ob jective of the present paper, in which a general form alism for the asym $m$ etry param eter in nonm esonic decay is derived and subsequently particularized to these tw o hypemuclei. W e start by presenting, in Section II, the $m$ ain steps in the derivation of the general expression, Eq. (G), of the vector hypemuclear asym $m$ etry in term $s$ of decay strengths. This is equivalent to Eq . (27) of Ref. [13]. H ow ever, we deviate considerably from that reference from this point onwards. The $m$ ain di erence is that we do not $m$ ake use of spectroscopic factors, but rather rely on spectroscopic am plitudes, which can then be com puted in the nuclear structure $m$ odel of choice. This has, in our view, tw o great advantages. $F$ irstly, the spectroscopic am plitudes can be determ ined w ithout any am biguity as to their phases. T his is particularly im portant for the asym m etry param eter, where, di erently from the case of the decay rates, one is dealing $w$ ith an interference phenom enon. Secondly, since, due to the large value of the $m$ om entum transferred in the fundam ental process, nonm esonic decay is not signi cantly a ected by details of nuclear structure, one can choose to work in the extrem e shell model. D oing this, $m$ uch of the sum $m$ ation over the nalstates of the residualnucleus can be explicitly perform ed, leading to very sim ple expressions for the asymm etry. (See, for instance, Eq. (42).). The schem efor com puting the decay strengths by $m$ eans of an integration over the available phase space is presented in Section and the sum $m$ ations needed for the asym $m$ etry param eter are perform ed in Section $\mathbb{I V} \bar{V}_{1}$. F inally, the num erical results obtained by applying this form alism to the calculation of a for, both ${ }^{5} \mathrm{He}$, and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$, in several strict OM E m odels, are presented and discussed in Section $\sqrt{V 1}$, $w$ here we also sum $m$ arize our $m$ ain conclusions. D etails of the derivation of the nal expression for a are given in A ppendiges ${ }^{\prime}$

## II. VECTOR HYPERNUCLEAR ASYMMETRY

Single- hypemuclei produced in a ( ${ }^{+} ; \mathrm{K}^{+}$) reaction, under favorable kinem atical conditions, are known to end up with considerable vector polarization along the direction norm al to the reaction plane, $\hat{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\mathrm{p}+\quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{K}}+\right.$ )=jp+ $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{K}}+j$ of $w$ hich they retain a signi cant am ount, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}$, even after they have cascaded dow $n$ to their ground states by
 decay w eakly can be described by the density $m$ atrix [1] Eq.(929)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{I}\right)=\frac{1}{2 J_{I}+1} 1+\frac{3}{J_{I}+1} P_{V} J_{I} \hat{n} \quad ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{I}$ is the hypemuclear spin.
$T$ he angular distribution of protons em itted in the proton-induced nonm esonic decay, $p!n p$, of the pure initial hypemuclear state $j_{J_{I}} M_{I}{ }^{i}$ is given by Ferm i's golden rule as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left(J_{I} M_{I}!\hat{p}_{2} t_{p}\right)}{d p_{2}}=d_{p_{1}}^{Z} d F{ }_{s_{1} s_{2} M_{F}}^{Z} \quad \ddagger p_{1} s_{1} t_{n} p_{2} s_{2} t_{p} J_{F} M_{F} j V j_{I} M_{I} i \jmath^{2}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere, $p_{1} s_{1}$ and $p_{2} s_{2}$ are the $m$ om enta and spin projections of the em itted neutron and proton, respectively, and we
have introduced the com pact notation ( $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{c}=1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ZF}:::=2^{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{~F} J_{\mathrm{F}}}_{Z}^{\frac{p_{2}^{2} d p_{2}}{(2)^{3}}} \frac{p_{1}^{2} d p_{1}}{(2)^{3}} \quad \frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2 M}+\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{2 M}+\frac{p_{1}+p_{2}{ }^{3}}{2 M_{F}} \quad F_{F} \quad::: ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ being the nucleon $m$ ass; $M_{F}$, that of of the residual nucleus, which is left in state $j_{F} J_{F} M_{F}$ i where $F$ speci es the rem aining quantum num bers besides those related to the nuclear spin; and ${ }_{F} J_{F}$, the liberated energy. (To avoid confusion, we $w i l l$ be using $R$ om an font ( $M, m$ ) for $m$ asses and italic font ( $M, m$ ) for azim uthal quantum num bers.) A lso indicated in Eq. (ZZ) are the isospin pro jections $t_{n} \quad 1=2$ and $t_{p} \quad+1=2$ of the neutron and proton, respectively. The transition am plitude includes both the direct and the exchange contributions, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{hp}_{1} s_{1} t_{n} p_{2} s_{2} t_{p} \quad J_{F} M_{F} j J j J_{I} M_{I} i \\
& \quad=\left(p _ { 1 } s _ { 1 } t _ { n } p _ { 2 } s _ { 2 } t _ { p } \quad F J _ { F } M _ { F } j V \text { j } j _ { I } M _ { I } i \quad \left(p_{2} s_{2} t_{p} p_{1} s_{1} t_{n} \quad F J_{F} M_{F} j V j J_{I} M_{I} i ;\right.\right. \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the round bras stand for sim ple (nonantisym $m$ etrized) product states for the em itted nucleons and the transition potential, $V$, is extracted from the Feynm an am plitude for the direct process $\left[\frac{10}{1}\right]$.

It is then possible to show $[1], i \overline{1}]$, by taking the appropriate average of Eq . ( $\overline{2}$ ), that the angular distribution of protons from the decay of the polarized $m$ ixed state described by Eq. [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left[\left(J_{I}\right)!\hat{p}_{2} t_{p}\right]}{d p_{2}}=\frac{p}{4}\left(1+P_{V} A_{V} \hat{p_{2}} \hat{r}\right) ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is the fiull proton-induced decay rate, and the vector hypemuclear asym $m$ etry, $A_{V}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{V}=\frac{3}{J_{I}+1} \frac{P}{M_{I} M_{I} M_{I}\left(J_{I} M_{I}\right)}\left(J_{I} M_{I}\right) \quad: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The new quantities introduced above are the decay strengths,
where the subscript p in :f: indicates that one is dealing here w ith the transition am plitude in the proton helicity fram e, in which the direction for angular $m$ om entum quantization is that of the proton $m$ om entum. Equivalently, one can choose, for the calculation of the decay strengths, a coordinate system having the $z$-axis pointing along the proton m om entum, and proceed as usually. This is depicted in Fig. $\mathrm{I}_{1} 1 \mathrm{i}$.

It is clear that, w th the help of Eq. (SG), one can extract the value of the product $P_{V} A_{V}$ from the counting rates parallel and opposite to the polarization direction, by taking the ratio of their di erence to their sum . A ssum ing that $P_{V}$ can also be independently $m$ easured, or calculated, this experim entally determ ines the vector hypemuclear asym m etry, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{v}}$.

## III. DECAY STRENGTHS

To com pute the decay strengths, it is convenient to rew rite the transition am plitudes in Eq. $\left(\overline{7}_{1}\right)$ in the total spin ( $\mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) and isospin ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) basis. W e start from the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\dot{p}_{1} s_{1} t_{n}{\underset{X}{x}}^{p_{2}} \mathrm{~s}_{2} t_{p}\right) \quad \dot{p}_{2} s_{2} t_{p} p_{1} s_{1} t_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{SM}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{TM}_{\mathrm{T}} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have also changed the representation to relative and totalm om enta, given respectively by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{p} & =\frac{1}{2}\binom{\mathrm{p}_{2}}{\mathrm{p}_{1}} ; \\
\mathrm{P} & =\mathrm{p}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{2}: \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$



F IG.1: C oordinate system for the calculation of decay strengths.

Since we are taking $t_{n} \quad 1=2$ and $t_{p} \quad+1=2$, we can w rite

$$
\left(1=2 t_{n} 1=2 t_{p} \not M_{T}\right)=P_{\overline{2}}^{1}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\text { т } 1 & \text { т } 0 \tag{10}
\end{array}\right) M_{M_{T}} 0 ;
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{1} s_{1} t_{n} p_{X} s_{2} t_{p} \quad J_{F} M_{F} J V j_{I} M_{\underset{X}{I}}^{i} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have de ned

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { hpP SM } S_{S} T J_{F} M_{F} J V j_{I} M_{I} i \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2}\left(p P S M _ { S } T \quad F J _ { F } M _ { F } j V j _ { I } M _ { I } i \quad ( ) ^ { S + T } \quad \left(p P \quad S M_{S} T \quad F J_{F} M_{F} j V j_{I} M_{I} i \quad ;\right.\right. \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

 and $m$ aking use of the orthogonality of the $C$ lebsch-G ordan coe cients in spin space, we are left w ith

For the integration in Eq. (13), there are 6 m om entum variables involved, nam ely, the com ponents of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. $T$ hese, how ever, are not all independent. T he choice of $z$-axis in $F$ ig. 1111 l elim inates two angular variables. A lso, the
energy conservation condition in Eq. $\left[\begin{array}{l}\overline{3} \\ \hline\end{array}\right)$ gives one relation to be satis ed. This leaves $6 \quad 3=3$ independent variables. A convenient choice is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { independent variables: } \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} \text { : } \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ple trigonom etry, applied in Fig. . $\overline{1}$, leads to the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
4 \mathrm{p}^{2} & =\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{p}_{2}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2} \cos \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \\
\mathrm{p}^{2} & =\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{p}_{2}^{2}+2 \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2} \cos \mathrm{p}_{1} ; \\
\cos \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}} & =\frac{\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{p}_{1} \cos \mathrm{p}_{1}}{2 \mathrm{p}} ; \\
\cos \mathrm{p} & =\frac{\mathrm{p}_{2}+\mathrm{p}_{1} \cos \mathrm{p}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}} ; \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

which, together with the energy conservation condition, determ ine all m om entum variables in term s of the set in Eq. (14'). N otice that the azim uthal angles of the severalm om enta are related as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}_{1}+; \quad \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}_{1}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the transition am plitude, we expand the nal state in term s of the relative and center-ofm ass partialw aves of the em itted nucleons $[\underline{1} 1,(2.5)]$, getting,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.=(4)^{2} \quad i^{1 L^{L}} Y_{1}\left(p ; p_{1}+\right) \quad Y_{L}\left(p ; p_{1}\right)\right] \\
& \text { L J } \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where the values of and $M_{J}$ are xed by the relations $M_{I}=M_{J}+M_{F}=+M_{S}+M_{F}$. Due to the rotational invariance ofV , the last $m$ atrix elem ent in Eq. (17) is independent of $M$, and this labelhas, therefore, been om itted. For the sam e reason, the subscript pin:f: has also been dropped. Notice that, from Eq. (1) and the well known behavior of the spherical harm onics under parity, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hplP} L \quad S J T J_{F} ; J_{I} \forall V J_{I} i=\frac{P_{\overline{2}}}{1} 1 \quad()^{1+S+T} \quad\left(p \mathbb{P} L \quad S J T J_{F} ; J_{I} j V J_{I} i:\right. \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

U pon integration on the angle $p_{1}$, Eq. (13) gives, then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ( } \left.S M_{S} j J M_{J}\right)\left(J M_{J} J_{F} M_{F} j_{I} M_{I}\right) h p l P L \quad S J T J_{F} ; J_{I} N j J_{I} i^{2} \text { : } \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be shown quite generally that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left[Y_{1}(p ; p) \quad Y_{L}(p ; p)\right] \quad Y_{1^{0}}(p ; p) \quad Y_{L} 0(p ; p)\right] 0 \\
& =\stackrel{(4}{\mathrm{X}})^{1}()^{1^{0}+\mathrm{L}^{0}} \frac{100}{10 \mathrm{~L}} \hat{\mathrm{~L}}^{0} \wedge^{0} \\
& \text { ^ ( } \left.101^{0} 0 \mathrm{jk} 0\right)\left(\mathrm{L} 0 \mathrm{~L}^{0} 0 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{~K} 0\right)\left({ }^{0} 0 \mathrm{j}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\mathrm{k}_{8}^{\mathrm{K}}}{<} \mathrm{l} \mathrm{l}^{0} \mathrm{k} \stackrel{9}{=} \\
& \left.: \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{L}}^{0}{ }_{0}^{0} \mathrm{~K} \text {; } \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{p}) \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{p})\right] 0 \text {; } \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{I}=\mathrm{P} \overline{2 l+1}$ and sim ilarly for other angular m om entum labels. Therefore, upon opening the square and perform ing the sum $m$ ations on $M_{S}$ and $M_{F}$, Eq. (1-1 $)$ becom es

$$
\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(4)^{4} \quad \mathrm{dcos} \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{ZF}_{\mathrm{STT}^{0}}^{\mathrm{X}}()^{\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{T}^{0}}
$$


IV. ASYMMETRYPARAMETER

In order to carry out the sum $m$ ations on $M_{I}$ needed in Eq. (G), we rst rew rite it in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{V}=3 \overline{\frac{r}{J_{I}}} \frac{1\left(J_{I}\right)}{0\left(J_{I}\right)} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced the decay $m$ om ents

Then we take advantage of the particular values

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}} 00 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=1 ;  \tag{25}\\
& \left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}} 10 \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}}=\overline{J_{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{I}}+1\right)} ;
\end{align*}
$$

and use the orthogonality relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X \\
& M_{I}
\end{aligned}\left(J_{I} M_{I} 0 j j_{I} M_{I}\right)\left(J_{I} M_{I}{ }^{0} 0 j_{J} M_{I}\right)=0 \hat{J}_{I}^{2} \wedge 2
$$

to get, for $=0$ and 1 ,

From $\left[\bar{T}_{1},(2.13)\right]$ (see also [1] [1] $)$ :
where $j \quad n \quad j$ and $\dot{p} \quad n_{p} l_{p} j_{p}$ are the single-particle states for the lam bda and proton, respectively, and


$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { hplP L SJT }{ }_{F} J_{F} ; J_{I} J_{X} j_{I} i \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(J_{I}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(4)^{4}{\hat{J_{I}}}^{3} \wedge 1^{Z} d \cos p_{p_{1}}^{Z} d F{ }_{S T T 0}^{X}()^{T+T^{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{X}}{} \mathrm{~J}^{10} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{O}^{0} \mathrm{O} 0 \\
& \left(101^{0} 0 \mathrm{jk} 0\right)\left(\mathrm{L} 0 \mathrm{~L}^{0} 0 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~K} 0\right)\left[Y_{k}(\mathrm{p} ;) \quad Y_{K}(\mathrm{p} ; 0)\right] 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0\left(J_{I}\right)={ }^{X} \quad\left(J_{I} M_{I}\right) \text {; }  \tag{23}\\
& { }_{1}\left(J_{I}\right)={\frac{1}{J_{I}\left(J_{I}+1\right)}}_{M_{I}}^{X} M_{I}\left(J_{I} M_{I}\right): \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X X }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{~T}} \text { J } \mathrm{I}^{0} \mathrm{~L}^{0}{ }^{0} \mathrm{~J}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

W e are working in the weak－coupling model（W CM ），where the hyperon is assum ed to stay in the $j=1 s_{1=2}$ single－ particle state，and the initial hypemuclear state $j_{I} i$ is built by the simple coupling of this orbital to the core，taken as the ${ }^{A}{ }^{1} Z$ ground＿state $j_{C} i$ ，i．e．，$j_{I} i \quad j\left(j J_{C}\right) J_{I} i$ ．U nder these circum stances，the two－particle spectroscopic


To continue，we will adopt the extrem e shell model（ESM）and restrict our attention to cases where the single－ proton states are com pletely led in $j_{C} i$ ．This is so，$w$ ithin the E SM，for the cores of，both ${ }^{5} \mathrm{He}\left(J_{I}=1=2\right.$ ；$\left.J_{C}=0\right)$ ， and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\left(J_{I}=1 ; J_{C}=3=2\right)$ ．In such cases，the nal nuclear states take the form $j_{F} J_{F} i \quad j\left(j^{1} J_{C}\right) J_{F}$ i，and we can associate the extra label $F$ with the occupied single－proton states，$\dot{j}$ ．C onsequently，on one hand，only one term contributes to the sum in Eq．（281），and，on the other，the corresponding single－proton spectroscopic am plitude in Eq．（3 $\mathrm{ZO}_{1}$ ）is given by
$N$ otioe also that，within this description，the liberated energies are independent of $J_{F}$ ，i．e．，${ }_{F} J_{F}$ ！in This suggests rew riting Eq．（⿳亠二口阝刂⿱亠䒑口阝）as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dF}:::={\frac{1}{(2)^{5}}}_{\dot{\dot{j}}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{dF}_{\dot{\dot{j}}}^{\mathrm{Z}}{ }_{\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{F}}}^{\mathrm{X}}::: ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

Putting all this together and perform ing the summ ation on $J_{F}$ ，we nally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \quad(p l P L \quad S J T ; j \dot{p}) M \quad\left(p l^{0} P L^{0}{ }^{0} S J^{0} T^{0} ; j \dot{p}\right): \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$


$T$ hus，$w$ ithin the fram ew ork of the $W$ CM，one frequently introduces the intrinsic asym metry param eter，$a$ ， de ned as

$$
a=\begin{array}{cll}
A_{V} & \text { for } & J_{I}=J_{C}+1=2 ;  \tag{36}\\
\frac{J_{I}+1}{J_{I}} A_{V} & \text { for } & J_{I}=J_{C} \quad 1=2 ;
\end{array}
$$

which does not depend on the hypemuclear spin，as we have just shown within the ESM and for core states having no open proton subshells．For such cases，we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{!_{1}}{!_{0}} ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r \frac{}{3 J_{I}} \begin{array}{ccc}
1=2 & J_{I} & J_{C} \\
J_{I} & 1=2 & 1
\end{array}=\quad 1 \quad \text { for } \quad J_{I}=J_{C}+1=2 \text {; } \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(101^{0} 0 \mathrm{jk} 0\right)\left(\mathrm{L} 0 \mathrm{~L}^{0} 0 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{~K} 0\right)\left[Y_{k}(\mathrm{p} ;) \quad Y_{K}(\mathrm{p} ; 0)\right] 0
\end{aligned}
$$

w th, for $=0$ and 1 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~J} \quad \mathrm{l}^{0} \mathrm{~L} 0 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{o}^{0} \\
& \text { ( } \left.\left.101^{0} 0-\mathrm{k} 0\right)\left(\mathrm{~L} 0 \mathrm{~L}^{0} 0 \mathrm{j} \mathrm{~K} 0\right) \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{p} ;) \quad \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{p} ; 0)\right] 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& M \quad(p l P L \quad S J T ; j \dot{p}) M \quad\left(p l^{0} P L^{0}{ }^{0} S J^{0} T^{0} ; j \dot{p}\right) \text { : } \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

It can_be shown $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[0]}\end{array}\right]$ that $!0=p$. Therefore, the new inform ation carried by a com es from the num erator in Eq. $\left(\overline{3} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$, i.e., from ! ${ }_{1}$.

The orbital angular m om enta in Eq. (3)') obey the restrictions:

$$
\begin{align*}
()^{1+1^{0} k} & =+1 ; \\
()^{L+L^{0} K} & =+1 ; \\
()^{k+K} & =+1: \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst two follow from well known properties of $C$ lebsch $-G$ ordan coe cients $w$ ith vanishing azim uthal quantum num bers. T he last one can be obtained by rst deriving the general relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[Y_{k}(p ; p) Y_{K}(P ; p)\right]=()^{k+K}+\left[Y_{k}(p ; p) Y_{K}(P ; p)\right]: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, recalling that any spherical harm onic with azim uthal angle equal to, either 0 , or , is real, one gets,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[Y_{k}(p ;) \quad Y_{K}(p ; 0)\right] 0=()^{k+K} \quad\left[Y_{k}(p ;) \quad Y_{K}(p ; 0)\right] 0 ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $m$ ay seem disquieting at rst sight. H ow ever, by taking the com plex conjugate of that equation, interchanging the
 m om entum coupling and recoupling coe cients, one easily gets the relation ! $=$ ! , show ing that these quantities are real, as they should be by de nition.

To com pute the two-body m atrix elem ents de ned in Eq. $\left[\overline{2}_{1}^{9}\right)$, we resort to a $M$ oshinsky transform ation $\left[\overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$ of the initial state, and phenom enologically add initial and nal short-range correlations. (For more detail on this and
 and one can put $L=L^{0}=K=0$. On the other hand, for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$, also the $1 p_{3=2}$ state contributes, in which case $L$ and $L^{0}$ can each take the values 0 and 1 . C onsequently one could, in principle, have $\mathrm{K}=0 ; 1$ and 2 in Eq. (3 3 ). But we prove in A ppendix 'A', that the contribution $w$ th $K=2$ vanishes identically, both for $=0$, and for $=1$. Sim ilarly, we prove in A ppendix ' that the contribution with $\mathrm{K}=1$ vanishes for $=0 . \mathrm{We}$ do not have an analytical proof that the contribution with $K==1$ vanishes, but we show in A ppendix ici that it is, in any case, negligibly sm all. $T$ herefore, only the term w ith $K=0$ survives in Eq. $[\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1})$, and it reduces to the follow ing expression, that can be used for the tw o hypemuclei:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& !=() \frac{8}{2} \wedge_{1} X^{Z} d \cos p_{p_{1}}^{Z} d F_{\dot{j}} Y o(p ; 0) \\
& \mathrm{X} \quad()^{T+T^{0}} \mathrm{X} \stackrel{\dot{\text { B }}}{ } \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{i}^{1 l^{0}}()^{+{ }^{0}+S+L+j_{p}+\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \text { TT0 LS lu lo ojo }
\end{aligned}
$$

w th $\mathrm{L}=0$ for the $1 \mathrm{~s}_{1=2}$ state, and $\mathrm{L}=0$ and 1 for the $1 \mathrm{p}_{3=2}$ state.
It is interesting to observe that the presence of the $C$ lebsch $-G$ ordan coe cient in Eq. ( $\overline{4} 2$ ) , for $=1$, ensures that 1 and $l^{0}$ have opposite parities. Since the in itial state in the two $m$ atrix elem ents has a de nite parity, this im plies that all contributions to $!_{1}$ com efrom interference term $s$ betw een the parity-conserving and the parity-violating parts of the transition potential. Furtherm ore, the antisym $m$ etrization factor in Eq. (2d) show $s$ that the two nal states have $T \in T^{0}$. These are general properties of the asym $m$ etry param eter, as $m$ entioned in the introduction.
V. NUMERICALRESULTSAND CONCLUSIONS

TABLE I: Results for the asym m etry param eter, a , based on the nonm eson ic decay of ${ }^{5} \mathrm{He}$. See text for detailed explanation.


Shown in Tables $\ddagger$ and expressions of the previous section applied to ${ }^{5} \mathrm{He}$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. The values of ! 0 and $!_{1}$ are in units of the free decay constant, ${ }^{(0)}=2: 50 \quad 10{ }^{6} \mathrm{eV}$, and, in the case of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$, we give in separate colum ns the contributions of the $1 s_{1=2}$ and $1 p_{3=2}$ proton states. A lso included are the $m$ easured values for a obtained from som e recent experim ents on the nonm esonic decay of these tw o hypemuclei. The value for a in ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ extracted from experim ent KEK PS E 508 and given in Table $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { is explicitly reported in the published version, its value being } & 0: 20 & 0: 26 & 0: 04 & \text {. }\end{array}$

We consider several OME m odels, and for each one we give the results of two di erent calculations. First, are those corresponding to the strictly local approxim ation for the transition potential, usually adopted in the literature. Secondly, are the ones obtained when we add the corrections due to the kinem aticale ects related to the lam bdanucleon $m$ ass di erence and the rst-order nonlocality term $s$ that we have discussed in Ref. $\bar{p} \boldsymbol{p}$. The rst thing to notice is that these corrections act system atically in the direction of increasing the absolute values of all the tabulated quantities. The e ect is typically in the range of $20\{30 \%$ for! but only $5\{10 \%$ for a , tending to be larger in the
$+\quad \mathrm{m}$ odel and sm aller in the com plete m odel. To put it shortly, if one w ants precise values for the asym m etry param eter, the correction term s should be included in the transition potential, but, in view of the present level of indeterm inacy in the $m$ easurem ents, they can be dispensed $w$ ith for the $m$ om ent.

In the case of ${ }^{5} \mathrm{He}$, we have also included, between parentheses, in Table ${ }_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{t}$, the values for a obtained w ith the approxim ate form ula usually adopted in the literature [lll nam ely,

TA BLE II: R esults for the asym $m$ etry param eter, $a$, based on the nonm esonic decay of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$. See text for detailed explanation.


${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ See text.
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& d=h n p ;{ }^{3} D_{1} J j p ;{ }^{3} S_{1} i ; \quad e=i h n p ;{ }^{1} P_{1} J V j p ;{ }^{3} S_{1} i ; \quad f=\quad i n p ;{ }^{3} P_{1} J V j p ;{ }^{3} S_{1} i: \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

The extra factors in the transition am plitudes in Eqs. (44) are due to di erences in phase conventions, as explained
 corresponding expression for the two-body reaction pn! $p$ in free space [21. As such, it ignores the fact that the nal state of nonm esonic decay is a three-body one and the ensuing kinem atical com plications should be properly dealt with, which requires a direct integration over the available phase space as done in the expressions used here. $M$ ore im portantly, E q. (43') does not include the fill contribution of the transitions com ing from proton states beyond the $s$-shell, being therefore of only lim ited validity, and should not be used for p-shell hypemuclei such as ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$, or, even w orse, for heavier ones. This being said, com parison of the corresponding values for a in $T$ able $\ddagger$ show s that the form ula works well w ithin its range of validity. This conclusion is in agreem ent with our prelim inary result reported elsew here [2].], which was restricted to one-pion-exchange only.

C om ing now to ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$, it is evident in Table in that the p -shell contributions to $!_{0}$ and $!_{1}$ are by no m eans negligible, being in fact of the sam e order as those of the s-shell. H ow ever, they are also in approxim ately the sam e ratio, so that the e ect on a , given by Eq. (37), is much sm aller. T his cormborates the theoretical expectation that the intrinsic asym $m$ etry param eter, a should have only a m oderate dependence on the particular hypemucleus considered. P resently we are investigating to which degree this rem ains true for $m$ ore general cases, such as that of ${ }^{11}$ B [2]ill. $N$ otice that in the previous section we have explicitly proven that a is independent of the hypemuclear spin. How ever this does not, by itself, exclude the possibility that it $m$ ight depend on other aspects of hypemuclear structure.

In closing, let us rem ark that we have derived sim ple form ulas for the evaluation of the asymm etry param eter, which exactly include the e ects of three-body kinem atics in the nal states of nonm esonic hypemuclear decay and correctly treat the contribution of transitions originating from proton states beyond the $s$-shell. A s to our num erical results, let us rst of all observe that the calculated values of a in the four OME m odels considered here vary from
$0: 62$ to $0: 24$. This broad spectrum of values indicates that the asym $m$ etry param eter can indeed be a pow erfultool to discrim inate betw een di erent theoreticalm echanism sfor nonm esonic decay, requiring for this punpose, how ever, a
$m$ ore precise experim entaldeterm ination of this observable than those presently available. Secondly, the fact that, for each of these OM E m odels, the results for ${ }^{5} \mathrm{He}$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ are very sim ilar is com patible w th the general expectation that a should depend little on the hypemucleus. Finally, the negative value system atically obtained for a for the tw o hypemuclei indicates, once again, that it will be hard to get a positive or zero value for it in the rst case, at least $w$ thin strict OME m odels. The puzzle posed by the experim ental results for a in $s$-and $p$-shell hypemuclei rem ains unexplained.

$$
\text { APPENDIX A:K }=2 \text { CONTRIBUTIONSTO }!_{0} A N D!_{1}
$$

 M oshinsky transform ation of the initial p state $\underline{p}_{1}^{1}$, Eq.(A.1)],
where $n l N L$ jn $l n_{p l o l} l_{0}$ are the $M$ oshinsky brackets $w$ ith their phases adapted so as to conform with our convention for the relative coordinate as discussed in A ppendix A of Ref. [8] 1 . W e have put bars over 1 , and $S$ to distinguish them from the analogous angular $m$ om enta in the partial waves of the nalNN state. This is not necessary for $L$ and $J$, since all transitions are diagonal in these two quantum num bers. Introducing Eq. (All) into Eq. (2q), one gets
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(p \mathbb{P} L \operatorname{SJT;nlN} \quad S)=\frac{1}{2} 1 \quad()^{1+S+T} \quad(p l P L \quad S J T j V n l N L \quad S J \quad: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transition potential can be decom posed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V_{i}^{X} V_{i}(r) I_{i} \quad i ; \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the isospin factor $I_{i}$ is equal to 1 or $l_{2}$, for isoscalar or isovector interactions, respectively, and the $i$ are rotationally invariant operators having de nite spin and spatial ranks, i.e., operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{i}=\left[A_{i}{ }^{i}\left({ }_{1} ; 2\right) B_{i}{ }^{i}(r ; r)\right]_{00}: \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

D ue to the algebraic properties of the P aulim atrices, the rank $i$ can be at $m$ ost equal to 2 . For the OME m odels we consider, including eventual kinem atical and nonlocality corrections, the severalpossibilities are:

> n
> $P V$ term $s \quad i=1 \quad$ for all kinds :

A s can be seen in Eqs. (A.3) to (A.15) of R ef. [8/10 , the di erent term $s$ have $m$ atrix elem ents of the general form
where ( $P$ L $\mathcal{N} L$ ) are the overlaps of the $c m$. radial wave functions and the $\hat{d_{i}}(r)$ are, either unity, or one of the $e$ ective di erential operators de ned in Eq. (A.16) of that reference. The im portant point is that the $G_{i}$ are purely geom etrical factors, involving, at m ost, 3 j and $6 j$ sym bols. Scrutinizing these equations $m$ ore closely, one notices that the dependence on , and $J$ can be isolated as follow s
$T$ his result is com pletely general and depends only on the application of the $W$ igner $\mp$ ckart theorem to operators of the form given in Eq. (A 5il). (See, for instance, C hapter 7 of Ref. [25] or Section 1A -5 of Ref. [2G].)

Taking these ideas into account in Eq. (38i), it is clear that the sum mation over , J, ${ }^{0}$ and $J^{0}$ can be perform ed rst, leading to a rem aining sum $m$ and that is proportional to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}()^{+J+J^{0} \wedge 2} \wedge^{2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{2} \hat{\mathcal{J}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

A ctually, the is alw ays in the $1 s_{1=2}$ state, and one can $m$ ake use of Eq. (6.4.14) of Ref. [2] ${ }^{[1]}$ to replace the above expression by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { S 1=2 1=2 i lo i lo } \\
& \left.\left.\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
j_{p} & l_{p} & J \\
S^{0} & 1=2 & 1=2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & L & l
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{array}{cccc}
S & J & S
\end{array}\right) \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have dropped an irrelevant factor.
For a proton in the $s$ shell, the $M$ oshinsky transform ation requires that $L=L^{0}=0$, and the $9 j$ sym bolin Eq. (A $\overline{1} \overline{1}$ ( $)$ selects $K=0$ as the only possibility. For a proton in the $p$ shell, there are tw 0 altematives for the relative and cm . angular $m$ om enta, nam ely,

$$
\text { p shell } \begin{align*}
& \text { altemative 1: } \quad l=1 \text { and } L=0 ; \\
& \text { altemative 2: } \quad l=0 \text { and } L=1 ; \tag{A11}
\end{align*}
$$

and sim ilarly for the prim ed quantities. In principle, therefore, there are three possibilities for K , nam ely, $\mathrm{K}=0 ; 1$ and 2.

It is clear from this discussion that a contribution $w$ ith $K=2$ in Eq. (3q) can only com efrom the altemative 2 in
 get

$$
\mathrm{X}\left(\dot{\mathrm{p}}=1 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}_{p}} ; \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}^{0}=1\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{lllll}
j \\
j & J & J^{0} & S & 0
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & K
\end{array} \\
& \text { ( ) ( ) } \\
& \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{S} & 1=2 & 1=2 \\
j p & 1 & \mathrm{~J}
\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{~J} & \mathrm{~S}
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{lllll}
S^{0} & 1=2 & 1=2 & 0 & l^{0} \\
1
\end{array} \tag{A12}
\end{align*}
$$

To proceed, it will be unavoidable to perform som em anipulations with 12 j sym bols, and the needed identities are collected in A ppendix 'D.' for convenience. W th the help of $w$ ell known sym $m$ etry properties of $6 j$ and $9 j$ sym bols
 that over ${ }^{0}$, in Eq. ( $(\bar{A} \overline{1} 2)$ ), getting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X\left(\dot{p}=1 p_{j_{p}} ; L=L^{0}=1\right)(
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=2 \quad 1=2 \quad S \quad 1=2 \quad 1=2 \\
& \begin{array}{cccccc}
j_{p} & J & J^{0} \\
S^{0} & 1=2 & 1=2 \\
j_{p} & 1 & J^{0} & & & \\
j_{p} & & & \\
\end{array} \tag{A13}
\end{align*}
$$

Repeating the sam e procedure, we can now perform, rst the sum $m$ ation over $J$, and then that over $J^{0}$, to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X\left(\dot{q}=1 p_{j_{p}} ; L=L^{0}=1\right) \\
& =\frac{()^{K+k+1^{0}+S^{0}}}{3 \hat{11}^{0}}{ }^{i 1} \quad i^{0} 1^{1^{0}} \quad \begin{array}{llll} 
& k & S & S^{0} \\
S & l^{0} & 1
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The 9j, as well as the last 6j, in E q. ( $\bar{A} \overline{1} \overline{4})$ restricts $K$ to 0 and 1 , and we conclude that the contribution $w$ ith $K=2$ in Eq. (38) vanishes identically, both for $=0$, and for $=1$. N otioe that this result holds, not only for $\ddagger=1 p_{3=2}$, $w$ hich is of direct interest for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$, but also for $\dot{\bar{p}}=1 p_{1=2}$, which m ay be relevant for other p -shell hypemuclei.
APPENDIX B:K=1CONTRIBUTION TO!
 the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
\left.!{ }^{(j ;} ; K=1\right) \\
=\operatorname{loss}_{p_{1}} \quad p_{2}^{2} d p_{2} \quad p_{1}^{2} d p_{1} \quad \frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2 M}+\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{2 M}+\frac{\dot{p}_{1}+p_{2}{ }^{2}}{2 M_{F}}
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{Y}_{1}\left(\mathrm{p} ; \quad \mathrm{Y}_{1}(\mathrm{P} ; 0)\right]_{00} \text {; } \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{P})$ represents the rest of the integrand in $\mathrm{Eq} .[\overline{3} \overline{\underline{q}})$, the im portant point being that it depends on the $m$ om enta only through $p$ and $P$.

From the explicit expressions of the spherical harm onics, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[Y_{1}(p ;) \quad Y_{1}(p ; 0)\right]_{00}=\frac{p_{\overline{3}}}{4} \cos (p+p) ; \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, $m$ aking use of the last tw o equations in (1) $\mathbf{1}_{1}$ ), this becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[Y_{1}(\mathrm{p} ;) \quad Y_{1}(\mathrm{p} ; 0)\right]_{00}=\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\overline{3}}}{4} \frac{\mathrm{p}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{pP}}: \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing this result in Eq. (B-1), we are left w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{cc}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { i } \\
\mathrm{K} \\
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{Z}
\end{array}\right. & \mathrm{Z}
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{Z} \\
& ={\frac{p_{\overline{3}}}{4}}^{Z} \operatorname{doss}_{p_{1}}^{Z} p_{2}^{2} d p_{2} \quad p_{1}^{2} d p_{1} \quad \frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2 M}+\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{2 M}+\frac{\dot{p}_{1}+p_{2}{ }^{2}}{2 M_{F}} \quad \dot{p} \\
& \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{P}) \frac{\mathrm{p}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{pP}} \text { : } \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now perform the interchange of dum $m y$ variables $p_{1} \$ p_{2}$ in $E q$. ( $\mathrm{B}_{4}^{4}$ ), keeping cos $p_{1}$ xed. Then, noticing that, according to the rst two equations in (151), $p$ and $P$ are invariant under this transform ation, we arrive at the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
!0(j ; K=1)=\quad!0(\dot{q} ; K=1) ; \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follow s that the contribution with $K=1$ in Eq. (3q) vanishes for $=0$.

$$
\text { APPENDIX C:K }=1 \text { CONTRIBUTION TO }!_{1}
$$

TABLE III: R esults for the $K=1$ contribution to $!1$ in the nonm esonic decay of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$. See text for detailed explanation.

| M odel/C alculations | $!_{1}\left(1 p_{3=2} ; \mathrm{K}=1\right)$ | $j a=a j(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strictly local | 0:0007 | 0:18 |
| P lus corrections | 0:0010 | $0: 20$ |
| ( ; ; K ) <br> Strictly local | 0:0005 | 0:15 |
| P lus corrections | 0:0007 | $0: 16$ |
| Strictly local | 0:0007 | $0: 34$ |
| P lus corrections | 0:0008 | $0: 26$ |
| ( ; ; K ) + (; ; ; <br> Strictly local | 0:0003 | 0:06 |
| P lus corrections | 0:0006 | 0:12 |

W e have not been able to nd an analytical proof that the $K=1$ contribution in Eq. (38i, vanishes also for $=1$. $H$ ow ever, for the cases we are dealing $w$ th, th is contribution can only arise from the $\dot{1}=1 p_{3=2}$ proton state in ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$, and we have num erically com puted its values in the several OME m odels we are considering. They are given, in
units of ${ }^{(0)}$, in Table' ${ }^{(1)}$. The nonzero values $m$ ay be due to truncation and roundo errors. For instance, we have com puted, w th the same routine, the analogous contribution w th $=0$ in the case of the com plete OME m odel plus kinem atical and nonlocality term s. Even though it has been proved in A ppendix 'B' that this is exactly zero, the num erical result cam e out as 0.0004 , which is com parable to the value obtained for $!_{1}\left(1 p_{3=2} ; K=1\right)$ in the sam $e$ m odel.

Com parison of Tables, Furtherm ore, in the last colum n of Table alw ays stays below $0: 4 \%$. W e conclude that, even if this contribution is not exactly zero, it can be safely neglected.

## APPENDIX D: SOMEPROPERTIESOF12jSYMBOLS

The 12 j sym bols arise in the recoupling of ve angular $m$ om enta $[2 \overline{1}, 2,10$. They are not unique, but here we shall need only those of the rst kind,
 sum of all the angularm om entum labels in the 12j sym bol.

These sym bols obey the recursion relation [2", Eq.(A.6.13)]

and have several sym $m$ etry properties, am ong which [2]-1, Eq.(17.4)]

There are also reduction form ulas, such as $\overline{2}_{10}^{1}$, Eq. (A .6.39)]
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