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#### Abstract

The problem of Freeze $O$ ut (FO) in relativistic heavy ion reactions is addressed. W e develop and analyze an idealized one-dim ensionalm odelofFO in a nite layer, based on the covariant FO probability. The resulting post FO phase-space distributions are discussed for di erent FO probabilities and layer thicknesses.


PACS num bers: 24.10 Nz z 25.75. -q

## I. IN T R O D U C T IO N

The hydrodynam icaldescription of relativistic particle collisior - as rst discussed $m$ ore than 50 years ago by Landau and now adays it is frequently used in di erent versions for sim ulations of heavy ion collisions. Such a sim ulation basically includes three $m$ ain stages. T he initialstage, the uid-dynam icalstage and the so-called Freeze $O$ ut ( $F O$ ) stagew hen the hydrodynam icaldescription breaks down. D uring this latter stage, the $m$ atter becom es dilute, cold, and non-interacting, the particles stream tow ard the detectors freely, their $m$ om entum distribution freezes out. T hus, the freeze out stage is essentially the last part of a collision process and the source of the observables.

T he usual recipe is to assum e the validity of hydrodynam ical treatm ent up to a shanp FO hypersurface, e.g. when the tem perature reaches a certain value, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}$ o . W hen we reach this hypersurface, all interactions cease and the distribution of particles can be calculated.

In such a treatm ent FO is a discontinuity where the properties of the $m$ atter change suddenly across som $e$ hypersurface in space-tim e. T he generaltheory ofdiscon-- ities in relativistic ow was rst discussed by Taub

T hat description can only be applied to discontinuIIles across propagating hypersurfaces, which have spacelike, ( $\mathrm{d} \mathrm{d}=1$ ), norm al vector. The discontinuities across hypersurfaces w ith tim e-like, (d d = 1), norm al vector were considered unplocal. The rem edy for this cam e only 40 years later in generalizing Taub's approach forboth tim e-like and space-like hypersurfaces. C onsequently, it is possible to take into account conservation law sexactly across any surface of discontinuity in relativistic ow.

As it was shown recenthon the frequently used C ooper $F$ rye prescription to calculate post FO particle spectra gives correct results only for discontinuities across tim e-like norm alvectors. T he problem ofnegative
contributions in the C ooper $F$ rye form ula wash a by a simple cut-o , (p d ), proposed by Bugaev How ever, this form ulation is still based on the existence of a sharp FO hypersurface, whic'a strong idealization of a FO layer of nite thickness Thus, by assum ing an im m ediate sharp FO process, the questions of nal state interactions and the departure from localequilibrium are left unjusti ed.
$T$ he recent paper form ulates the freeze out problem in the fram ew onk oIKinetic transport theory. T he dynam icalFO description has to be based on the M odi ed Boltzm ann Transport Equation (MBTE), rather than on the comm only used Boltzm ann Transport Equation ( $B T E$ ). The M BTE abandons the localm olecular chaos assum ption and the requirem ent of sm ooth variation of the phase-space distribution, $f(x ; p)$, in space-tim e. This m odi cation ofB TE, m akes it even $m$ ore di cult to solve the FO problem from rst principles. Therefore, it is very im portant to build phenom enologicalm odels, which can explain the basic features of the FO process.

The present paper aim sto build such a sim ple phenom enological model. The kinetic approach presented, is applicable for FO in a layer of nite thickness w th a space-like norm alvector. tion and generalization o

The kinetic m odel for $F C$ tim e-like direction was aiscussed in a recent paper however, the fully coverin $m$ odel analysis and the treatm ent are presented in

In present w ork we use stationary, one-dim ensionalFO $m$ odels for the transparent presentation. Such models can be solved sem ianalytically, what allow s us to trace the e ects of di erent $m$ odel com ponents, assum ptions and restrictions applied on the FO description. W e do not aim to apply directly the results presented here to experim ental heavy ion collision data, instead our purpose is to study qualitatively the basic features of the FO process. W e want to dem onstrate the applicability of the proposed covariant FO escape rate, and m ost im -
portantly, to see the consequences of nishing FO in a nite layer. Up to now, two extrem eways of describing FO were used: (i) FO on an in nitely narrow hypersurface and (ii) in nitely long FO in volum e em ission type of m odel. To our know ledge this is the rst attem pt to, at least qualitatively, understand how FO in nite space-tim e dom ain can be sim ulated and what w illbe its outcom e. In such stationary, one-dim ensionalm odels the expansion cannot be realistically included, therefore it is ignored.

In realistic sim ulations of high energy heavy-ion reactions the full 3D description of expanding and freezing out system should be included. This work is under initial developm ent.

## II. FREEZEOUT FROM K INETIC THEORY

A kinetic theory describes the tim e evolution ofa single particle distribution function, $f(x ; p)=f\left(t ; x ; p^{0} ; p\right)$, in the 6D phase-space. To describe freeze out in a kinetic ..........iplit the distribution function into tw o parts

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x ; p)=f_{i}(x ; p)+f_{f}(x ; p): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he free com ponent, $f_{f}$, is the distribution of the frozen out particles, while $f_{i}$, is the distribution of the interacting particles. Initially, we have only the interacting part, then as a consequence of FO dynam ics, $f_{i}$ gradually disappears, while $f_{f}$ gradually builds up. In this paper we convert the description of the FO process from a sudden FO, i.e. on a sharp hypersurface, into a gradualFO, i.e. in som e nite space-tim e dom ain.
F... out is known to be a strongly directed process where the particles are allowed to cross the FO layer only outw ards, in the direction of the nom al vector, $d$, of the FO hypersurface. M any dynam ical processes happen in a way, where the phenom enon propagates into some direction, such as detonations, de agrations, shocks, condensation w aves, etc. B asically, th is $m$ eans that the gradients of the described quantity (the distribution function in our case) in allpenpendicular directions can be neglected com pared to the gradient in the given direction d , i.e. 5 f d @ f. In such a situation these can be e ectively described as one-dim ensional processes, and the space-tim e dom ain, where such a proœess takes place, can be view ed as a layer.

T herefore, w e develop a one-dim ensionalm odel for the FO process in a layer of nite thickness, L. W e assum e that the boundaries of this layer are approxim ately parallel, and thus, the thickness of the layer does not vary much. This can be justi ed, for example, in the case when the system size is much larger than L. At the inside boundary of this layer there are only interacting particles, whereas at the outside boundary all particles are frozen out and no interacting particles rem ain. N ote that the nom alto the FO layer, d , can be both spacelike or tim e-like.

The gradual FO model for the in nitely long one-- FO process was presented in recent works W e are going to build a sim ilar m odel, but now we make sure that FO is com pletely nished w ithin a nite layer.

$$
\text { A. Freeze out in a } n \text { ite layer }
$$

In kinetic theory the interaction betw een particles is due to collisions. A quantitative characterization of collisions is given by the $m$ ean free path ( $m$.f.p.), giving the average distance betw een collisions. Them.f.p., $m$ fp, is inversely proportional to the density, mfp $1=n(x)$. If we have a nite FO layer, the interacting particles inside this dom ain $m$ ust have a nitem .f.p. D uring the FO process, as the density of the interacting particles decreases, they are entering into a collisionless regim $e$, where their nalm .f.p., tends to in nity, or at least, gets m uch larger than the system size L. T he realistic FO process for nucleons in a heavy ion collisions happens within a nite space-tim e FO dom aj hich has a thickness of a few initialm ean free paths Hence, one $m$ ust realize that the FO process cannot be rully exploited by the m eans of the $m$.f.p. conœept, since we have to describe a process where we have on average a few collisions per particle before freeze out. Therefore, this type of processes should be analyzed by having also another characteristic length scale di erent from the m...p. In our case it should be related to the thickness, L, of the FO layer.

Recent con jectures based on strong ow and relatively small dissipation nd that the state where collective ow starts is strongly interacting ${ }^{-1-1}$ strongly correlated while the viscosity is not large $\quad$ This indicates a sm allm ...p., in the interacting $m$ acter, while at the surface $m f p!1$. Several indications point out that in high energy heavy ion reactions freeze out and hadroniza. This could be m odeled in a way that prenaaron 10 m ation and clusterization starts gradually in the plasm a, and this process is coupled to FO in a nite layer. The FO is nished when the tem perature of the interacting phase drops under a critical value and all quarks cluster into hadrons, which no longer collide. $T$ his is the possible qualitative scenario $w$ th wellde ned nite thickness $L$ of the FO layer.
N ow, let us recall the equations tion in the sim ple kinetic FO m ode Starting from a fully equilibrated Juttner distmbution, $f_{J}(p)$, i.e. $f_{i}(s=0 ; p)=f_{J}(p)$ and $f_{f}(s=0 ; p)=0$, the two components of the $m$ om entum distribution develop in the direction of the freeze out, i.e. along d , according to the follow ing di erential equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
@_{s} f_{i}(s ; p) d s & =f_{i}(s ; p) W \text { esc }(s ; p) ; \\
@_{s} f_{f}(s ; p) d s & =+f_{i}(s ; p) W_{\text {esc }}(s ; p) ; \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $W$ esc ( $s ; p$ ) is the escape rate goveming the FO developm ent and $s=x \mathrm{~d}$. Here x is a 4 -vector having
its orioin ${ }^{1}$ at the inner surface, $S_{1}$, of the $F O$ layer, see

## Fig

 In order to obtain the probability to escape, for a particle passing from 0 till $s, P_{\text {esc }}(\mathrm{s})$, we have to integrate the escape rate along a tra jectory crossing the FO layer:$$
f_{i}(s ; p)=f_{J}(p) \exp \int_{1}^{Z_{\text {Pesc }}} d s^{0} W_{\text {esc }}\left(s^{0} ; p\right):
$$

The de given in where the FO for the escape probability w as previously nite layer FO description the quantity that de nes the escape probability is the escape rate.


FIG.1: Thepicture of a gradualFO process w ithin the nite FO layer, in $x$-direction, i.e. $d=(0 ; 1 ; 0 ; 0)$. The particles are $m$ oving in di erent directions outw ards, indicated by the angle. The inside boundary of the FO layer, $S_{1}$ (thick line) indicates the points where the FO starts. This is the origin of the coordinate vector, $x . W$ ithin the nite thickness of the FO layer, L, the density of the interacting particles gradually decreases (indicated by shading) and disappears at the outside boundary, $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ (thin line) of the FO layer.

To have a com plete physical FO nished at a nite distance/tim e, we require: $P_{\text {esc }}$ ! 1 , when $s$ ! L. In usual cascade $m$ odels the probability of collision never becom es exactly zero, and correspondingly $P$ esc neverbecom es exactly one, and the FO process lasts ad in nitum. T his is due to the fact that the probability of collision is calculated based on the them ally averaged cross section, which does not vanish for them al, e.g. G aussian, m om entum distributions. In reality the free or frozen

[^0]out particles have no isotropic them al distributions but these distributions can be anisotropic and strongly conned in the phase-space. This $m$ eans that the collision probability can be exactly zero and FO m ay be com pleted in a nite space-tim e dom ain.

It seem s reasonable to param eterize the escape rate, which has dim ension one over length, in term sof some characteristic FO length, ${ }^{0}$ (s;p),

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\text {esc }}(x ; p ; d) \frac{1}{{ }^{0}(s ; p)}\left(p^{s}\right) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the cut-o factor, ( $p^{s}$ ) ( $p$ d ), forbids ${ }^{\text {sh }}$ FO of particles $w$ ith $m$ om enta not pointing outw aro
This FO param eter, ${ }^{0}(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{p})$, is not necessarily an average distance in space or duration in tim e between two subsequent collisions, like the m .f.p. Them .f.p., tends to in nity as the density decreases, while the FO just becom es faster in this lim it. A ctually, the FO scale behaves in the opposite w ay to them .f.p. This can be seen, for exam ple, in a sim ple, purely geom etrical freeze out $m$ odel, which takes in count the divergence of the ow in a 3D expansion Both this and the phase transition or chusterization e ect described at the beginning of this section, lead to a nite FO layer $L$, even if the $m$.f.p., $m f p=1=n$ is still nite at the outer edge of this layer.
W e consider the thickness of the layer $L$ to be the "proper" thickness of the FO layer, because it depends only on invariant scalar $m$ atter properties like cross section, proper density, velocity divergence, phase transition or clusterization rates. $T$ hese should be evaluated in the LocalR est fram e ( $L R$ ) of the $m$ atter, and since the layer is nite, around the $m$ iddle of this layer. The proper thickness is analogous to the proper tim e, i.e. tim e m easured in the rest fram e of the particle, hence the proper thickness is the thickness of the FO layerm easured in the rest fram e attached to the freeze out front, that is, the Rest Fram e of the Front (RFF). Som e of the param eters like the velocity divergence and the phase transition rate describe the dynam icalchanges in the layer, so these can determ ine the properties, e.g. the thickness, of the nite layer. H ow ever, calculating $L$ from the above $m$ entioned properties is beyond the scope of this paper, and $L$ is treated as a param eter in the follow ing.

Let us consider the R est Fram e of the Front, where the nom al vector of the front points either in tim $e, t$, or in space, $x$, direction, introducing the follow ing notations ${ }^{2}$. Indeed, ifd is space-like the resulting equations can be transform ed into a fram e where the process is stationary (here d $=(0 ; 1 ; 0 ; 0)$ and correspondingly $s \quad x)$, while in the case of a tim e-like norm alvector the equations can
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be transform ed into a fram e where the process is uniform and tim e-dependent ( $\mathrm{d}=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$, $s \quad t$ ). For sake of transparency and sim plicity we w ill perform calculations only for FO in a nite layerw ith space-like nom al vector in this paper, but $m$ any interm ediate results can and w ill be obtained in Lorentz invariant way.

Inside the FO layer particles are separated into still colliding or interacting and not-colliding or free particles. $T$ he probability not to collide w ith anything on the way out, should depend on the num ber of particles, which are in the way of a particle $m$ oving outward in the dimetion $p=\dot{p} j$ across the $F O$ layer of thickness $L$, see $F$ ig If we follow a particle $m$ oving outw ard form the beginning, ( $x=0$ ), i.e. the inner surface of the FO layer, $S_{1}$, to a position x , there is still a distance

$$
\frac{\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{~s}}{\cos \mathrm{p}}
$$

ahead of us, where $p$ is the angle betw een the norm al vector and $p=j j$. As this rem aining distance becom es sm aller the probability to freeze out becom es larger, thus, we m ay assum e that the escape rate is in proportional to som e power, $a$, of this quantity

B ased on the above assum ptions we w rite the escape rate as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\text {esc }}=\frac{1}{L} \frac{L}{L}^{a}(\cos p)^{a} \quad\left(p^{s}\right) ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where this new ly introduced param eter, , is the initial, i.e. at $S_{1}$, characteristic FO length of the interacting m atter, $={ }^{0}(\mathrm{~s}=0 ; \cos \mathrm{p}=1)$. The power a is in $u$ encing the FO pro le across the front Indeed, calculating the escape probability, $P_{\text {esc }}$, eq. $w$ ith the escape rate, given by eq. we nd

$$
P_{\text {esc }}=1 \quad \frac{L \quad S^{\underline{L} \cos p}\left(\varphi^{s}\right)}{L}
$$

for $\mathrm{a}=1$, and

$$
P_{\text {esc }}=1 \quad \exp \frac{L}{L} \quad\left(p^{s}\right) \frac{(\cos p)^{a}}{(a \quad 1)} 1 \quad \frac{L}{L \quad s}{ }^{a!\#} ;
$$

for a 1. Thus, we see that for di erent $a$-values we have di erent FO pro les:
$a=1$ : power like FO,
a > 1: fast, exponential like FO,
$a<1$ : no com plete FO w thin the nite layer, since $\mathrm{P}_{\text {esc }}$ does not tend to 1 as s approaches L .
In papers the authors were using $a=1$, and were $m$ oderng FO in an in nite layer. In order to study the e ects of FO within a nite space-time dom ain, we w ould like to com pare the results of our calculations w ith those of earlier works, therefore we shall also take $\mathrm{a}=1$
in furthercalculations. It is easy to check that our escape rate, eq. equals the earlier expression

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{esc}}=\frac{\cos \mathrm{p}}{}\left(\mathrm{p}^{s}\right)
$$

in $L!1 \lim$ it. Thus, the $m$ odeldiscussed in this paper is a generaldescribed in and allow s us to study FO in a layer of nite inickness.

The angular factor, cos $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}$ axim ized the FO probability for those particles, which propagate in the direction closest to the nom alofth - layer. For the FO in tim elike directions, studied in the angular factor wac 1 $T$ his factor, and corresponaingly the escape rate, eq. are not covariant. Furthem ore, this earlier form ulation does not take into account either that the escape rate of particles should be proportional to the particle velocity (the conventionalnon-relativistic lim it of the collision rate contains the them al average $<\mathrm{v}>$ ). Let us consider the sim plest situation, when the Rest Fram e of the Front is the sam e as Rest Fram e of the G as (RFG), where the ow velocity is $u=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$. If freeze out propagates in spacolike direction, i.e. $d=(0 ; 1 ; 0 ; 0)$, as shown in $F$ ig. then $\cos p=p^{x}=j j$. Therefore, a straightforw ard generalization of the escape rate, based on the above argum ents, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos p \quad j \dot{j} \frac{p^{x}}{\dot{p} j} \frac{\dot{p} j}{p^{0}}=\frac{p d}{p u} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the r.h.s. of this equation is an invariant scalar in covariant form. N ow, we assum e that this sim ple generalization is valid for any space-like or tim e-i tion, even when RFG and RFF are di erent
$B$ ased on the abovo argum ents, we can write the total escape rate from eq. in a Lorentz invariant ${ }^{3}$ form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\text {esc }}=\frac{1}{L} \frac{L}{L} \frac{p^{s}}{p u} \quad\left(p^{s}\right) ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which now opens room for general study of FO in relativistic ow in layers of any

Form er FO calculations in
form ed in RFF. A im ing for sem zanalytical results and transparent presentation, as well as in order to com pare our results w ith form er calculations, we w ill also study the system evolution in RFF, but now this is only our preference. In principle calculations can be perform ed in any reference fram $e$. In $m$ ore realistic $m$ any dim ensional m odels, which will take into account the system expansion sim ultaneous w ith the gradualFO, it will be probably $m$ ore adequate to work in RFf or in Lab fram e, and our invariant escape rate, eq. can be directly used as a basic $F O$ ingredient of sucn $m$ odels.
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## B. The Lorentz invariant escape rate

In this section let us study this new angular factor, in $m$ ore detail. $W$ a will take the $p$-dependent part of the escape rate, eq. and denote it as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(p)=\frac{p d}{p u} \quad(p d \quad): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In RFG, where the ow velocity of the $m$ atter is $u=$ $(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)_{\text {RFG }}$ by de nition, $W$ ( p ), is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(p)=\frac{p d}{p^{0}}(p d)_{R F G} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is sm oothly changing as the direction of the norm al vector changes in RFG. This will be discussed in m ore detail in the rest of this section.

In the follow ing, we w ill take di erent typical pointc of the FO hypersurface, A , B , C , D , E , F, see Fig. At these points, the norm al vectors of the hypersurface, $\mathrm{d}=(\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{i} ; j ; \mathrm{j})_{\mathrm{RFG}}$, are given below.


FIG.2:A sim ple FO hypersurface in the Rest Fram e of the Gas (RFG: $t, x]$ ), where $u=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)_{R F G}$, including tim $e^{-}$ like and space-like parts. T he norm al vector of the FO front, $d$, is a tim e-like 4-vector at the tim e-like part and it is changing sm oothly into a space-like 4-vector in the space-like part. On these two parts of the hypersurface, in the Rest Fram e of the Front (RFF), d points into the direction of the $t^{0}\left(x^{0}\right)$-axis respectively. At points A, B, C, D, E, F, we have di erent Rest Fram $e(s)$ of the Front, (RFF: $\left.\left[t^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right]\right)$.

To in $F$ ig we sim ply $m$ ake use of the Lorentz transform ation. I ne norm al vector of the tim e-like part of the FO hypersurface $m$ ay be de ned as the local $t^{0}$-axis, while the norm al vector of the space-like part $m$ ay be de ned as the local $x^{0}$-axis. A s d is norm alized to unity, its com ponents $m$ ay be intenpreted in term $s$ of and $v$, where $=\frac{1}{1 \mathrm{v}^{2}}$. So, we have:
A) $d=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$, leads to $W(p)=1$,
B) $d=(1 ; v ; 0 ; 0)$, leads to $W(p)=\frac{\left(p^{0}+v p^{x}\right)}{p^{0}}$,
C) $\mathrm{d}=(1+; 1 \quad ; 0 ; 0)$, where $=(4)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, 1. $T$ his leads to $W(p)=\frac{\left(p^{0}+p^{x}\right)+\left(p^{0} p^{x}\right)}{p^{0}}$,
D) $\mathrm{d}=(1 \quad ; 1+; 0 ; 0)$, where $=(4)^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

1. This leads to $W(p)=\frac{\left(p^{0}+p^{x}\right)\left(p^{0} p^{x}\right)}{p^{0}}$

$$
\left(p^{0}+p^{x}\right) \quad\left(p^{0} \quad p^{x}\right),
$$

E) $\mathrm{d}=(\mathrm{v} ; 1 ; 0 ; 0)$, leads to
$W(p)=\frac{\left(v p^{0} p^{x}\right)}{p^{0}} \quad\left(v p^{0} p^{x}\right)$,
F) $d=(0 ; 1 ; 0 ; 0)$, leads to $W \quad(p)=\frac{p^{x}}{p^{0}} \quad\left(p^{x}\right)$.

The resulting phase-space escape rates are show $n$ in $F$ ig. or the six cases described above.
Sim ilar calculations can be done in RFF, where d = $(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$ for $A, B, C$ and $d=(0 ; 1 ; 0 ; 0)$ for $D, E, F$, leading the follow ing values for $W$ (p):
A) $u=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$, leads to $W(p)=1$,
B) $u=(1 ; v ; 0 ; 0)$, leads to
$W(p)=\frac{p^{0}}{\left(p^{0} p^{x}\right)}$,
C) $u=(1+;(1 \quad) ; 0 ; 0)$, where $=(4)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, 1. $T$ his leads to $W(p)=\frac{1}{\left(p^{0} p^{x}\right)+\left(p^{p}+p^{x}\right)}$,

D ) $u=(1+;(1 \quad) ; 0 ; 0)$, where $=(4)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, 1. This leads to $W(p)=\frac{1}{\left(p^{0} p^{x}\right)+\left(p^{0}+p^{x}\right)}$ ( $p^{x}$ ),
E) $u=\left(1 ; v^{2} ; 0 ; 0\right)$, leads to
$W(p)=\frac{p^{x}}{\left(p^{0} v p^{x}\right)} \quad\left(p^{x}\right)$,
F) $u=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$, leads to $W \quad(p)=\frac{p^{x}}{p^{0}} \quad\left(p^{x}\right)$.

For these cases, A , B , C , D , E , F, in RFF the resulting phase-space escape rates are show $n$ in $F$ ig

Figs in how that the $m$ om entum dependence of the escape rate, uniform in point $A$, becom es di erent at di erent points of the FO hypersurface, but this change is continuous, when we are crossing the light cone, from point C to point D. A though in RFF, Fig it seem S that there is a principaldi erence betw een space-like and tim e-likeFO directions, due to the cut-o (p d ) function, but this is only the consequence of the chosen reference fram e, i.e. RFF is de ned in a way to stress the difference betw een these tw o cases, since going from $C$ to $D$, the norm alvector has a jum p, i.e. $d=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$ goes over to $d=(0 ; 1 ; 0 ; 0) . N$ evertheless, $W$ ( p ) is a continuous function as we change $d$, and in other fram es, for exam ple in RFG, Fig we can see this clearly.


F IG . 3: The contour plots of the m of the escape rate, $W$ ( $p$ ), as in eq. ntum dependent part presented in six subplots at di erent points of the FO nypersurface. A 11 plots are in RFG. For region $A: d=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$, and $W$ (p) is one uniform ly, for $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{d}=(1 ; 0: 5 ; 0 ; 0), \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{d}=$
$(1: 01 ; 0: 99 ; 0 ; 0)$, ( rst row ), D : d = $(0: 99 ; 1: 01 ; 0 ; 0)$, $\mathrm{E}: \mathrm{d}=(0 ; 5 ; 1 ; 0 ; 0), \mathrm{F}: \mathrm{d}=(0 ; 1 ; 0 ; 0)$, (second row). The $m$ om enta are in units of particle $m$ ass, $[m$ ].


FIG. 4: The contour plots of the $m$ om entum dependent part of the escape rate, $W$ ( $p$ ), in RFF. For region $A$ : $u=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$, and $W(p)$ is one uniform ly, for $B: u=$
$(1 ; 0: 5 ; 0 ; 0), \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{u}=(1: 01 ; 0: 99 ; 0 ; 0)$, ( nst row), $\mathrm{D}: \mathrm{u}=(1: 01 ; 0: 99 ; 0 ; 0), \mathrm{E}: \mathrm{u}=(1 ; 0: 5 ; 0 ; 0), \mathrm{F}:$ $u=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$, (second row). In cases D, E, F the escape rate vanishes for $m$ om enta $w$ ith $p_{x}<0$. Them om enta are in units of particle mass, [m].

## C. The updated sim ple $k$ inetic model

N ow, using the new invariant escape rate can generalize the sim plem odelpresented in
i.e. eqs. for a nite space-tim e FO layer:

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{s} f_{i} d s=\frac{L}{L}=\frac{p^{s}}{p u} \quad\left(p^{s}\right) f_{i} \frac{d s}{} ; \\
& @_{s} f_{f} d s=\frac{L}{L \quad} \quad \frac{p^{s}}{p u} \quad\left(p^{s}\right) f_{i} \frac{d s}{} ; \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving the rst equation we nd for the interacting com ponent:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{i}(s ; p)=f_{J}(p) \frac{L \quad s^{\frac{L}{p^{s}}} \frac{p^{s}}{L}}{}\left(p^{s}\right) \\
s!!f_{J}(p)\left(p^{s}\right): \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

N ow, inserting this maste into the second di erential equation, from eqs. we obtain the FO solution, which describes the mom entum distribution of the frozen out particles:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{f}(s ; p)=f_{J}(p)^{4} 1 \quad \frac{L \quad S^{\frac{L}{p^{s}}}}{L} \quad{ }^{\left(p^{s}\right)^{3}}{ }^{3} \\
& s!\text { ! } f_{J}(p) \quad\left(p^{s}\right): \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

As s tends to $L$, i.e. to the outer boundary of the FO layer, this distribution, depending on the direction of the norm al vector (space-like) or tim e-like will tend to the (cut) Juttner distribution, $f_{J}(p)$. This m eans that (part of) the original Juttner distribution survives even
 rem edy this highly unrealistic result, in rethem alization in the interacting com ponent w as taken into account via the relaxation tim e approxim ation, i.e. we insert into the equation for the interacting com ponent a new term, which describes that the interacting com ponent approaches som e equilibrated (Juttner) distribution, $f_{e q}(s)$, w ith a relaxation length, 0 :

$$
\begin{align*}
@_{s} f_{i} d s & =\frac{L}{L} \quad \frac{p^{s}}{p u} \quad\left(p^{s}\right) f_{i} \frac{d s}{}  \tag{13}\\
& +\quad\left[f_{e q}(s) \quad f_{i}\right] \frac{d s}{0} ; \\
@_{s} f_{f} d s & =\frac{L}{L} \frac{p^{s}}{p u} \quad\left(p^{s}\right) f_{i} \frac{d s}{}: \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us concentrate on the equation for the interacting com ponent. H ere the rst term from eq. related to FO, m oves the distribution out of the equllorium, and decreases the energy m om entum density and baryon dencitr pfthe interacting particles. T he second term from eq. changes the distribution in the direction of the therm alnzation, while it does not e ect the conserved quantities. T he relative strength of the FO and retherm alization processes is determ ined by the tw o characteristic lengths, and 0 .

In general the evolution of the interacting com ponent can be solved num erically or sem ianalytically, at every
step of the integration. Then, the change of conserved quantities due to $F O$ should be evaluated using the actual distribution, $f_{i}(s ; p)$ at the corresponding point $s$. For the purpose of this w ork, nam ely for the qualltative study of the FO features, it is enough t roxim ate solution, sim ilarly as it w as done in

Thiswould also allow us to $m$ ake a direct com parnson $w$ th results of these older calculations. Thus, the evaluation of the change of the conserved quantities is done analytically, i.e. $f_{i}(s ; p)$ is approxim ated $w$ ith an equilibrium distribution function $f_{\text {eq }}(s) w$ ith param eters, $T(s) ; n(s) ; u(s)$.
$T$ his approxim ation is based on the fact that in $m$ ost physical situations the overall num ber of particle collisions vastly exceeds the num ber of those collisions, after which a particle leaves the system or freezes out. This allow s us to take that retherm alization ${ }^{4}$ happens faster than the freeze out, i.e. that $0<$ or 0 . Of course, this argum ent is true only at the beginning of the FO process, when the density of the interacting particles is still large. $W$ hen $s$ is close to $L$, io near the outer hypersurface, the rst term in eq. becom es $m$ ore im portant than the retherm alization term because of its denom inator, but as we shall see in the results section, particles freeze out exponentially fast and for large $s$, when say $99 \%$ of the $m$ atter is frozen out, the error we introduce w th our approxim ate solution can not really a ect the physical situation.


FIG. 5: Num erical solutions of eq. for di erent $o^{\prime} s$. This solution was obtained using, $f_{e q}=e^{s^{2}}$, test function, for $L=10$. The results show that $f_{i}(s)$ approaches $f_{e q}(s)$ when 0 .

For illustration, let us take a test function, $f_{\text {eq }}(s)=$ $e^{s^{2}}$, (we ignore $p$ dependence for the $m$ om ent), which is a sm oothly and fastly decreasing function ${ }^{5}$. In $F$ ig

[^2]we show the num erical solutions for the interacting com ponent for $0=$ and $0=0: 1$. The results show that for the latter ca fely take for the $f_{i}$ the approxim ate solution
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(s)=f_{e q}(s): \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

> D. C onservation Laws

The goal of the freeze out calculations is to nd the nalpost FO m om entum distribution, and then the corresponding quantities de ned through it, starting from the initial pre FO distribution. On the pre FO side we can have equilibrated $m$ attor or gas. Its local rest fram e de nes the RFG, see Fig $W$ e can also de ne the reference fram e , which is atrached to the freeze out front, nam ely the RFF, see Fig These choices are usually advantageous, but other choloes are also possible.


F IG. 6: The orientation of the freeze out front in RFG is given as, $\mathrm{d}=(\mathrm{v} ; 1 ; 0 ; 0)_{\mathrm{RFG}}$.

Furtherm ore, the conservation law s and nondecreasing entropy condition $m$ ust be satis ed

$$
\mathbb{N} \quad \mathrm{d} \quad]=0 ; \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{T} & \mathrm{~d} & ]=0 ;
\end{array}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{~d} & ] \tag{16}
\end{array}\right] 0 ;\right.
$$

where $\mathbb{A}]=A \quad A_{0}$. ThepreFO sidebaryon and entropy currents and the energy m om entum tensor are denoted by $\mathrm{N}_{0} ; \mathrm{S}_{0} ; \mathrm{T}_{0}$, while the post FO quantities are denoted by N ; S ; T .
$T$ he change ofconserved quantities caused by the particle transfer from the interacting $m$ atter to the free $m$ atter can be obtained in the follow ing way. For the conserved particle 4-current we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.d N=d N_{i}+d N_{f}=0\right) \quad d N_{i}=d N_{f}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]Then, using the kinotio do nition of the particle current, together w ith eqs. we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
d N_{i}(s) & =d s \frac{d^{3} p}{p^{0}} p\left[@_{s} f_{f}\right]  \tag{18}\\
& =\frac{d s}{L} \frac{L}{L} \frac{d^{3} p}{p^{0}} p \frac{p^{s}}{p u_{i}}\left(p^{s}\right) f_{e q}(s):
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilarly the change in the energy-m om entum is
$d T_{i}(s)=\frac{d s}{L} \frac{L}{L} \frac{d^{3} p}{p^{0}} p p \frac{p^{s}}{p u_{i}}\left(p^{s}\right) f_{e q}(s):$
T he param eters of the equilibrium (Juttner) distribution, $f_{\text {eq }}(s)$, have to be recalculn................... step, ds, from the conservation law s as in


FIG.7: The orientation of the freeze out front in RFF, i.e. in its own rest fram $e$, is $d=(0 ; 1 ; 0 ; 0)_{\text {RFF }}$. In this fram $e$ the gas has nonvanishing velocity in general.

The change of ow velocity, $\mathrm{du}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{s})$, can be calculated using E ckart's or Landau's de nition of the ow, i.e. from $\mathrm{dN}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{s})$ or $\mathrm{dT}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (s) correspondingly. Then the change of conserved particle density is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dn}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{dN}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the change of energy density we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{de}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{u}_{; i}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{dT}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{u}_{; i}(\mathrm{~s}): \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he change of the tem perature of interactincom ponent can be found from this last equation, eq. and from the Equation of State ( $\mathrm{E} O S$ ). This closes our system of equations.
Tfwe $x$ the FO direction to the $x$-direction, then eqs. can be rew ritten as:

$$
\begin{align*}
d N_{i}(x)= & \frac{d x}{L} \frac{L}{L}{ }^{Z} \frac{d^{3} p}{p^{0}} p  \tag{22}\\
& \frac{p \cos p}{\left(p^{0} \quad j u p \cos p\right)}(\cos p) f_{e q}(x ; p) ;
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
d T_{i}(x)= & \frac{d x}{L} \frac{L}{L}{ }^{Z} \frac{d^{3} p}{p^{0}} p p  \tag{23}\\
& \frac{p \cos p}{\left(p^{0} \quad j u p \cos p\right)}(\cos p) f_{e q}(x ; p) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where, the four $m$ om entum of particles is $p=\left(p^{0} ; p\right)$, $p=j j p^{x}=p \cos p$, the ow velocity of the interacting $m$ atter is $u_{i}=(1 ; v ; 0 ; 0), \quad=\frac{1}{\overline{1 v^{2}}}, u=j j$ and $j=\operatorname{sign}(v)$.
E. C hanges of the conserved current and
energy-m om entum ten sor

In this section we show new analytical results for the changes of the conserved particle current and energym om entu...n. The form ulae are analogous to those from ref. but now they are calculated w th the Lorentz invarmant angular factor from eq. W e show results for both $m$ assive and $m$ assless particles.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d N_{i}^{0}(x)=\frac{d x}{L} \frac{L}{L} \frac{n}{4 u^{2}{ }^{2}} \quad G_{1}(m)+\frac{b^{3}(0 ; b)}{3^{2}} \quad 2 b u(1+j) K_{1}(a) \quad K_{1}(a ; b) \\
& h \quad i \\
& u b^{h}(1+j) K_{0}(a) \quad K_{0}(a ; b)^{i}+u^{2}{ }^{2}(1+b)\left(u^{2} \quad \text { 3) } \frac{A(b)}{3^{2}} e^{b}\right. \\
& m=!\quad \frac{d x}{L} \frac{L}{x} \frac{n}{4} \frac{(3 \quad v)(1+v)^{3}}{3} 2^{\#} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d N_{i}^{x}(x)=\frac{d N_{i}^{0}(x)}{j u} \quad \frac{d x}{L u} \frac{L}{x^{2}} \frac{n}{4 u^{2}{ }^{2}} \quad 2 j b u^{h}(1+j) K_{1}(a) \quad K_{1}(a ; b)^{i} \\
& j b u^{2}{ }^{h}(1+j) K_{0}(a ; b) \quad K_{0}(a ; b)^{i} \quad j u u^{2}\left(1+u^{2}\right)(1+b) e^{b} \\
& m=!\quad \frac{d x}{L} \frac{L}{L} \quad \frac{n}{4} \frac{2(1+v)^{3}}{3}{ }^{2} \text {; } \\
& d T_{i}^{00}(x)=\frac{d x}{L} \frac{L}{L} \frac{n T}{4 u^{2} 2^{2}} \frac{\left(b^{4}(0 ; b)\right.}{4^{3}} \quad G_{2}(m) \quad u b^{2}\left(u^{2}+3\right)^{h}(1+j) K_{2}(a) \quad K_{2}(a ; b)^{i} \\
& u b^{3^{h}}(1+j) K_{1}(a) \quad K_{1}(a ; b)^{i}+3 \quad A(b)\left(3 u^{2}+1\right)+\frac{B(b)}{2^{6}} \frac{b^{2}(3+b)}{3^{6}} \\
& \frac{b^{2} u^{2}(1+b)}{6}+\frac{2}{3} b^{3} u^{4}\left(u^{2} \quad 3\right)+a^{2} e^{b} \\
& m=!\quad \frac{d x}{L} \frac{L}{L} \frac{n T}{4} \frac{(1+v)^{4}}{2}\left(64 v+v^{2}\right)^{3 \prime ;} ; \\
& d T_{i}^{0 x}(x)=\frac{d T_{i}^{00}(x)}{j u} \frac{d x}{L u} \frac{L}{L} \frac{n T}{4 u^{2}{ }^{2}} \quad j b\left(3 u^{2}+1\right)^{h}(1+j) K_{2}(a) \quad K_{2}(a ; b)^{i} \\
& h \quad i \quad h \quad i \\
& j b\left(b^{2} u^{2} \quad \text { 2) }(1+j) K_{1}(a) \quad K_{1}(a ; b)+j a b(1+j) K_{0}(a) \quad K_{0}(a ; b)\right. \\
& j u{ }^{h} A(b)\left(u^{2}+3\right)+(1+b)\left(u^{2} \quad 3\right)+\frac{4 b^{3}}{3} u^{2}{ }^{2} \quad b^{i} e^{b} \\
& m=0 \quad \frac{d x}{L} \frac{L}{L} \frac{n T}{4} \frac{(1+v)^{4}}{2}(4 \quad v)^{3} ; \\
& d T_{i}^{x x}(x)=\frac{d T^{0 x}(x)}{j u} \quad 2 \frac{T}{j u} d N_{i}^{x} \quad \frac{d N_{i}^{0}(x)^{\prime \prime}}{j u} \\
& \frac{d x}{L} \frac{L}{x} \frac{n T}{4 u^{2}{ }^{2}} \quad u b^{B}\left(3+u^{2}\right)^{h}(1+j) K_{2}(a) \quad K_{2}(a ; b)^{i} \\
& +\quad 3^{h} A(b)\left(3 u^{2}+1\right)+\frac{2}{3} b^{3} u^{4}\left(u^{2} \quad 3\right)+a^{2^{i}} e^{b} \\
& m=0 \quad \frac{d x}{L} \frac{L}{x} \frac{n T}{4} \frac{3(1+v)^{4}}{2}{ }^{\#} \text {; } \\
& d T_{i}^{y y}(x)=\frac{d T^{x x}(x)}{2} \quad \frac{d x}{L} \frac{L}{L} \frac{n T}{8 u^{2}{ }^{2}} \quad u b^{2}\left(3+u^{2}\right)^{h}(1+j) K_{2}(a) \quad K_{2}(a ; b)^{i} \\
& +3^{h} A(b)\left(3 u^{2}+1\right) \quad \frac{3 B(b)}{2^{6}}+\frac{\left(b^{2}+1\right)(1+b)}{2^{6}}+\frac{2}{3} b^{3} u^{4}\left(u^{2} \quad 3\right)+a^{2^{i}} e^{b} \frac{b^{4}(0 ; b)}{4^{3}}+H_{2}(m) \\
& m=!\quad \frac{d x}{L} \frac{L}{L} \frac{n T}{8} \frac{(1+v)^{3}}{4 v^{2}}\left(4+12 v \quad 9 z^{2}+3 v^{3}\right) \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dT}_{i}^{\mathrm{zz}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{dT}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{yy}}(\mathrm{x}) ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $a=\frac{m}{T}, b=a, A(b)=\left(2+2 b+b^{2}\right) e^{b}, B(b)=$ $\frac{1}{6}\left(6+6 b+3 b^{2}+b^{3}\right) e^{b}$ and $n=4 T^{3} a^{2} K_{2}(a) g \frac{e^{=T}}{(2 \sim)^{3}}$ is the particle density, $g$ is the degeneracy factor while, G ( $m$ ), H ( $m$ ), K (a;b), K (a), ( $0 ; b$ ) are de ned in Appendix $A$. N ote that the $x$-dependent factor $L=\left(\begin{array}{ll}L & x\end{array}\right)$ is just a multiplier in these calculations, and tends to are dealing with an in nitely long FO, as in

## III. RESULTSAND D ISCUSSION

In this section we calculate the post FO distributions ar he results to form er calculations presented in The ect of two m ain di emonces due to the new Lorentz invariant escape rate, eq. is to be checked:

- the in nite, (1 ), FO layer or nite, (L), FO layer,
- the sim ple angular escape rate, $P$, or the covariant escape rate, $W$.

W e perform ed calculations for a baryonfree $m$ assless gas, where we have used a simple EoS, $e=s$ s $T^{4}$, where $S B=\frac{2}{10}$. The change of tom perature is calculated based on this EoS and eq. There are no conserved charges in our system, con antly we use Landau's defintion of the ow velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
d u_{i ; L \text { andau }}(x)=\frac{i(x) d T_{i ;}(x) u_{i}(x)}{e_{i}(x)+P_{i}(x)} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i(x)=g \quad u_{i}(x) u_{i}(x)$ is a projector to the plane orthogonal to $u_{i}(x)$, while $e_{i}(x)$ and $P_{i}(x)$ are the local energy density and pressure of the interacting com ponent, i.e. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})=\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})\right) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ $P_{i}(x) g$. A de atm ent of Eckart's ow velocity can be found in

For such a system we nally obtain the follow ing set of di erential equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \ln \mathrm{~T} & =\frac{2}{4 \mathrm{sB}^{4}} \mathrm{dT}_{\mathrm{i}}^{00} \quad 2 \mathrm{vdT}_{\mathrm{i}}^{0 \mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{v}^{2} \mathrm{dT}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{xx}} \quad ;  \tag{27}\\
\mathrm{dv} & =\frac{3}{4 \mathrm{sB}^{4} T^{4}} \quad \mathrm{vdT}_{i}^{00}+\left(1+\mathrm{v}^{2}\right) \mathrm{dT}_{\mathrm{i}}^{0 \mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{vdT}_{i}^{\mathrm{xx}} \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

W e will present the results for four di erent cases:
$P_{1}$ : W e use the sim ple, but relativistically not invariant angular factor, $\cos p$, in the escape rate,

$$
P_{1}=\frac{\cos p}{}\left(\operatorname{pos}_{p}\right):
$$

The system is characterized by an in nite FO length (up to $x_{m a x}=300$ in aralations). The results are show $r$, This is the
$P_{\mathrm{L}}$ : Next , we are using the sim ple angular factor, but in this case inside a nite FO layer, $\mathrm{L}=10$,

$$
P_{L}=\frac{L}{L \quad x} \frac{\cos _{p}}{}(p \cos p):
$$

$T$ he results are show $n$ in $F$ igs.
$\mathrm{W}_{1}$ : Then, we are dealing w th the new Lorentz invariant angular factor in the escape rate and w ith an in nite FO length, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=300$,

$$
W_{1}=\frac{1}{p \operatorname{pos} p} \quad\left(p^{p o s} p\right):
$$

The results are shown in Figs.
$W_{\mathrm{L}}$ : Finally we present the prim ary results of this paper, using both our new imnmpem ents, i.e. the covariant escape rate of eq.

$$
W_{L}=\frac{1}{L} \frac{L}{L} \quad \frac{p \cos p}{p u} \quad\left(p^{p o s} p\right):
$$

The results are show $n$ in $F$ igs.
In the case ofF $O$ in the in nite layer the factor, $L=\left(\begin{array}{ll}L & x\end{array}\right)$, was replaced by 1. W e presented the situation at a distance of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ax}=300$, where the am ount of still interacting particles is negligible.

For the particular cases when we are dealing with an in nite FO, i.e. $P_{1}$ and $W_{1}$, or $w$ ith nite layer $F O$, i.e. $P_{L}$ and $W_{L}$, the results are plotted together. Thus, in one gure the focus is on the consequences caused by the di erent angular factors.

Thin lines alw ays denote the cases with sim ple relativistically not invariant angular factor, these correspond to $P_{1}$ and $P_{L}$. Thick lines alw ays correspond to cases w ith covariant angular factor, $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$.

All the gures are presented in the RFF.
A. The evolution of tem perature of the interacting com ponent

The rst set of gures, Figs show s the evolution of tem perature of the interacting com ponent, in fact the gradual cooling of the interacting $m$ atter, for the di erent cases, $\mathrm{P}_{1} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}} ; \mathrm{W}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$.
$F$ irst, on all gures $m$ atter $w$ ith larger (positive) ow velocity, $\mathrm{v}_{0}, \mathrm{cools}$ faster. This is caused by the m om entum dependence of the escape rate, which basically tells that faster particle in the FO direction, will freeze out faster. T hus, the rem aining interacting com ponent cools dow $n$, since the $m$ ost energetic particles freeze out $m$ ore often than the slow ones. O fcourse, for larger intitial ow velocity, $v_{0}$, in the FO direction, there are $m$ ore particles $m$ oving in the FO direction $w$ ith higher $m$ om enta in average, than for a sm aller ow velocity

di erence between nite and in nite FO dynam ics. In a nite layer the cooling of interacting $m$ atter goes increasingly faster as FO proceeds, while for FO in in nite layer the cooling gradually slow sdow $n$ as $x$ increases. T he reason is the factor, $L=\left(\begin{array}{ll}L & x\end{array}\right)$, which speeds up FO as $L \quad x$ decreases, and forces it to be com pleted w thin $L$.


FIG. 8: The tem perature of the interacting com ponent in RFF for a baryonfree $m$ assless gas, calculated $w$ ith the tw o escape rates: $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ (thin lines) and $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ (thick lines), for an in nitely long FO, ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=300$ ). The in itial tem perature is $\mathrm{T}_{0}=170 \mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{V}_{0}$ is the initial velocity in RFF.


FIG. 9: The tem perature of the interacting com ponent in RFF, calculated with the two escape rates: $P_{L}$ (th in lines) and $W_{L}$ (thick lines), for a nite, ( $L=10$ ), FO layer. The in itial tem perature is $T_{0}=170 \mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{v}_{0}$ is the in itial velocity in RFF.

The di erence betw een $P$ and $W$ escape rates com es from the denom inator, which is $p^{0}$ in case of $p$ and $p u$ in case of W. This di erence leads to a stronger cooling for the escape rate $P$ which is bigger than $W$, if $v_{0} \in 0$. Thiccan be seen well at later stages of in nitely long FO, Fig particularly for the positive initial ow velocity. In allother cases the di erence betw een old and new an-
gular factors is insigni cant, what supports our "naive" generalization of the angular factor.
B. The evolution of com $m$ on ow velocity of the interacting com ponent

The second set of gures, Figs show s the evolution of the ow velociy of the interacting com ponent.

In both cases the ow velocity of the interacting com ponent tends to 1 ,because the FO points to the positive direction and particles $w$ th positive $m$ om enta freeze out. $T$ hus, the $m$ ean $m$ om entum of the rest $m$ ust becom enegative.


FIG. 10: The ow velocity of the interacting com ponent in RFF for a baryonfree $m$ assless gas, calculated with the two escape rates: $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ (thin lines) and $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ (thick lines), for an in nitely long FO, ( $x_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $=300$ ). The in itial tem perature is $\mathrm{T}_{0}=170 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ is the in itial velocity in RFF.


FIG.11: The ow velocity of the interacting com ponent in RFF, calculated $w$ ith the tw $o$ escape rates: $P_{I}$ (thin lines) and $W_{L}$ (thick lines), for a nite, ( $L=10$ ), FO layer. The in itial tem perature is $T_{0}=170 \mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{v}_{0}$ is the initial velocity in RFF.

C om paring Fig. that in a nite layer the ith F ig. velocily we can see again decreases faster and faster as FO proceeds, while for FO in in nite layer the velocity change gradually slow s dow $n$ as $x$ increases. $T$ he reason is the $L=\left(\begin{array}{ll}L & x\end{array}\right)$ factor, as discussed above.

The di erence betw een the evolution of the ow velocity, due to the di erent angular factors, is again not signi cant, supporting its generalization.

## C. The evolution of the transverse $m$ om entum and contour plots of the post FO distribution

The next set of gures, F igs shows the evolution of the transverse $m$ om entum alstribution, while Figs. present the contour plots of the post FO $m$ om encum distribution, for $W_{1}$ and $W_{L} . W$ e have presented a one-dim ensionalm odelhere, but we assum e that it is applicable for the direction transverse to the beam in heavy ion experim ents. The presented plots should be qualitatively com pared to the transverse $m$ om entum distributions ofm easured pions.


F IG . 12: The local transverse $m$ om entum (here $p_{x}$ ) distribution for a baryon free $m$ assless gas at ( $p_{y}=0$ ), calculated $w$ ith the two escape rates: $P_{1}$ (thin lines) and $W_{1}$ (thick lines), for an in nitely long FO, ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=300$ ). The in itial param eters are $\mathrm{v}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{T}_{0}=170 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} . \mathrm{T}$ he transverse m om entum spectrum is obviously curved due to the freeze out process. $T$ he slope of the transverse $m$ om entum distribution increases as we are approaching in nity.

W hat we see is that all the nal post FO m om entum distributions are essentially the sam e. This is very im portant outcom e from our analysis, which we w ill discuss below. A lso, one can see that resulting post FO distributions are $r$ distributions, as it has been shown already in they strongly deviate from exponential form in the low m om entum region. The increase in the nalFO spectra over the them aldistribution for low m om enta is connected to the fact that at late stages of the FO process, the interacting com ponent is cold and its ow velocity is negative. So, it contributes only to the
low $m$ om entum region of the post FO spectra.


FIG. 13: The post FO distribution, $f_{f}(x ; p)$, in RFF. The calculations w ere done $w$ ith the Lorentz invariant escape rate, $W_{1}$, for an in nitely long FO , $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right.$ ax $\left.=300\right)$. The subplots correspond to $\mathrm{x}=1 ; 10 ; 300$ respectively. The initial param eters are $\mathrm{v}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{T}_{0}=170 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. C ontour lines are given at values represented on the grom $T$ he $m$ axim um is increasing with $x$ as indicated in F ig

T he distribution is asym $m$ etric and elongated in the FO arection. This may lead to a large-pt enhancem ent, com pared to the usualJuttner assum ption used in $m$ any earlier calculations as a post freeze out distribution. $N$ ote that $f_{f}(x ; p)$ does not tend to the cut Juttner distribution even at very large x .


FIG.14: The local transverse $m$ om entum (here $p_{x}$ ) distribution for a baryon free $m$ assless gas at $\left(p_{y}=0\right)$, calculated $w$ ith the tw o escape rates: $P_{L}$ (thin lines) and $W_{L}$ (thick lines) for a nite, ( $L=10$ ), FO layer. The in itial param eters are $\mathrm{v}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{T}_{0}=170 \mathrm{MeV}$.

These results were obtained in a stationary onedim ensionalmodelwith a single ow velocity. In real-止y di erent space-tim e sections of the overall FO layer are $m$ oving $w$ th respect to each other $w$ th considerable velocities, i.e. v 0:2 0:7. Therefore, the supenposition of these parts of the FO layer wash out the very sharp peaks at sm all m om enta, while the curvature at
higher $m$ om enta, although it is sm aller, $m$ ay persist even after supenposition. There are severale ects $m$ entioned in the literature, which can cause such a curvature. T he e ects discussed in this section, arising from kinetic description, $m$ ay contribute to the curvature of the spectra, but we need a m ore realistic full scale, nonstationary 3dim ensionalm odel to estim ate the expected shape of the $p_{t}$ spectra in $m$ easurem ents. C onsequently, both the contributions of space-like and tim e-like sections of the FO layer have to contribute.


F IG. 15: The post FO distribution, $f_{f}(x ; p)$, in RFF. The calculation $w$ as $m$ ade using the covariant escape rate, $W_{L}$ for a nite, ( $L=10$ ), FO layer. The subplots correspond to $\mathrm{x}=0: 1 ; 1$; 10 respectively. The initial param eters are $\mathrm{V}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{T}_{0}=170 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. C ontour lines are given at values represented on the gure.

## D. Freeze out in layers of di erent thickness

In this section we show the results of calculations perform ed w ith $W_{L}$ escape rate, for di erent nite FO layer thicknesses. Som mults of such an analysis have also been presented in

In F igs $\quad$ we present the evolution of the tem perature and ow velocity of the interacting com ponent for $L=2 ; 5 ; 10 ; 15 . W$ e plot the resulting curves as function of $x=L$, what allow $s$ us to present them all in one gure. W e clearly see, and this agrees also w ith our previous com parison to in nitely long FO, that by introducing and varying the thickness of the FO layer, we are strongly a ecting the evolution of the interacting com ponent.

W e can also study how fast the energy dencin of the interacting com ponent is decreasing, see F ig $\quad$ Since there is no expansion in our sim ple $m$ odel, the evolution of the energy density is equivalent to the evolution of the totalenergy of the rem aining interacting $m$ atter. W e can see that the decrease of the energy density of the interacting com ponent is exponentially fast, what justi es our
way of getting approxim ato on olution for the interacting com ponent, see sectios


FIG.16: The evolution of the tem perature of the interacting com ponent in RFF, for a baryon free $m$ assless gas, calculated w th the $W_{L}$ escape rate for di erent FO layer thicknesses $\mathrm{L}=2 ; 5 ; 10 ; 15$. The initial param eters are $\mathrm{v}_{0}=0: 5$ and $\mathrm{T}_{0}=170 \mathrm{MeV}$.


FIG.17: The evolution of the ow velocity of the interacting com ponent in RFF, for a baryonfree $m$ assless gas, calculated w th the $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L}}$ escape rate for di erent FO layer thicknesses $\mathrm{L}=2 ; 5 ; 10$; 15 . The initial param eters are $\mathrm{v}_{0}=0: 5$ and $\mathrm{T}_{0}=170 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$.

Figur show sthe nalpost $F O$ transverse $m$ om entum distrmbution for di erent L. D espite the di erences in the evolution of the interacting com ponent, all the nalpost FO distributions look the sam e and are practically indistinguishable. T he di erence betw een the result for a FO layer as thin as $L=2$ and that for $L$ ! 1 lim it shows up only in the low m om entum region, and it is not signi cant enough to allow us to resolve layers ofdi erent thicknesses from experim ental spectra. Thus, the thickness of the FO layer does not a ect, as we have


FIG. 18: The evolution of the energy density of the interacting com ponent in RFF, for a baryonfree $m$ assless gas, $\mathrm{si}_{\mathrm{B}}={ }^{2}=10$, calculated w ith the W L escape rate for di erent FO layer thicknesses $L=2 ; 5 ; 10 ; 15$. The initial param eters are $\mathrm{v}_{0}=0: 5$ and $\mathrm{T}_{0}=170 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$.
seen already in the previous section, the nal post FO distribution, which is in fact the $m$ easured quantity!

## IV. CONCLUSIONSAND OUTLOOK

In this paper we presented a sim pli ed, but still nontrivial, Lorentz invariant freeze out $m$ odel, which allow s us to obtain analytical results in the case of a m assless baryonfree gas. In addition the $m$ odel realizes freeze out $w$ ithin a nite freeze out layer.

W e do not aim to apply directly the results presented here to the experim entalheavy ion collision data, instead our purpose was to study qualitatively the basic features of the freeze out e ect, and to dem onstrate the applicabillty ofthic corrariant form ulation for FO in nite length.

In F igs and F igs we com pare results, $w$ ith the smple, cos $p$, anguiar Iactor, and $w$ ith the Lorentz invariant angular factor, $\frac{p d}{p u}$. The di erences are insigni cant, supporting our generalization.
$\pi-$ been indicated in the previous publications the nal post FO distributions are nonequmbrated distributions, which deviate from them al ones particularly in the low m om entum region. The nal spectra have a com plicated form and were calculated here num erically. In large scale (e.g. 3-dim CFD) sim ulation for space-like FO the $C$ ancelling Juttner distributior $m$ ay be a satisfactory analytical approxim ation.

O ur analysis shows that by introducing and varying the thickness of the FO layer, we are strongly a ecting the evolution of the interacting com ponent, but the nal post FO distributions, even for sm all thicknesses, e.g. $\mathrm{L}=2$, look very close $\quad$ is for an in nitely long FO , rst obtained in

The results suggest that ir the $m$ eacimed post $F O$ spectrum is curved, as shown in Fig. then it doesn't
$m$ atter how thick FO layer was, and we do not need to m odel the details of $F O$ dynam ics in sim ulations of collisions! O nce we have a good param etrization of the post FO spectrum (asym m etric, non-therm al), it is enough to w rite dow $n$ the conservation law s and non-decn ing entropy condition $w$ ith this distribution function (and probably $w$ ith som e volum e scaling factor to e ectively account for the expansion during FO). This C ooper Frye type of description can be view ed from two sides. From experim ental side, when we know the post FO spectra, we can extract inform ation about the conditions in the interacting $m$ atter before $F O$. In theoretical, e.g. uid dynam ical, sim ulations such a procedure would allow us to calculate param eters of the nal post FO distributions to be com pared w ith data. In this way our results $m$ ay justify the use ofFO hypersurface in hydrodynam icalm odels for heavy ion collisions, but w ith proper nonthem alpost FO distributions.

At the sam e tim e, while the nal distribution, $f(p)$, is not sensitive to the kinetic evolution, other $m$ easurables, especially the two particle correlation function $m$ ay be $m$ ore sensitive to the details and extent of the FO proœess.

The model can also be applied to FO across a layer with tim e-like norm al. W hile several of the conclusions can be extended t tim e-like case, it also requires still additional studies

For realistic sim ulations of igh energy heavy-ion reactions the full 3D description of expansion and FO of the system should be m odeled sim ultaneously. W e believe that our invariant escape rate, can be a basic ingredient of such m odels.
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## APPENDIX A

The de nition of $K_{n}(z ; w)$ function is:

$$
K_{n}(z ; w)=\frac{2^{n} n!}{(2 n)!} z^{n}{ }_{w}^{Z_{1}} d x e^{x}\left(x^{2} \quad z^{2}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{2} ; \text { (A 1) }
$$



FIG. 19: Final post FO transverse mom entum (here $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ) distributions for the FO layers of di erent thicknesses. C alculations w ere done for baryon frepm acones gas $w$ th escape rate $W_{L}$ for $L=2 ; 5 ; 15$ and $w$ ith $W_{1}$ (thick line). The in itialconditions are the same as in F igs $\quad \mathrm{T}_{0}=170 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{v}_{0}=0: 5$. D istributions for the di erent FO layer thicknesses are very sim ilar, w ith som e di erence in une low $m$ om enta region, which is show in $m$ ore detail in the "zoom in" subplot. The two thick lines correspond to som e e ective therm aldistributions, w ith the corresponding param eters displayed in the plot legend. These are show $n$ to illustrate the di erence betw een obtained post FO distributions and them al distributions.
w here in the case of $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{z}$ and $\mathrm{n}>\quad 1$ the above form ula w ill lead to the m odi ed B essel function of nd kind, $K_{n}(z)$. Furtherm ore, the inde nite integra: is

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \quad{ }^{1}(n ; z) d z=\frac{z}{}(n ; z) \quad(n+\quad ; z) ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(n ; z)$ is the incom plete gam $m$ a function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{z})=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{dtt}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{t}}: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The analytically not integrable functions $G_{n}(m)$ and $H_{n}(m)$ are de ned as:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G_{n}(m)=\frac{1}{T^{n+2}}{ }^{Z_{1}}{ }^{0} d p p{ }^{p} \frac{p^{2}+m^{2}}{}{ }^{n}  \tag{A4}\\
0 ; \bar{p}_{T}^{p^{2}+m^{2}} \frac{\text { jup }}{T} ;
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{n}(m)= & \frac{1}{T^{n+2}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{dpp}^{n+1}  \tag{A5}\\
& 0 ;-\frac{p}{T} \overline{p^{2}+m^{2}} \frac{\text { jup }}{T}:
\end{align*}
$$

In the $m$ assless lim it, we have the $G_{n}(0)=H_{n}(0)$.

Values of these functions for $(\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2)$ are given below :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}(0)={\frac{1}{T^{3}}}_{0}^{Z} \mathrm{dpp}^{2} \quad 0 ; \frac{1}{T} p(1 \quad j u) \quad \text { (A 6) } \\
& \left.=\frac{2}{3^{3}(1} \mathrm{zu}\right)^{3} ; \\
& G_{2}(0)={\frac{1}{T^{4}}}_{0}^{Z} \mathrm{dpp}^{3} \quad 0 ; \frac{1}{T} p(1 \quad j u) \quad(A 7) \\
& =\frac{3}{2^{4}}(1 \quad j u)^{4}:
\end{aligned}
$$

In the general calculation of the integrals in RFF, we change variables from $p$ to $z$ as given below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1} \\
& d p f(p) e e^{T} \overline{p^{2}+m^{2}} \text { jup) }  \tag{A8}\\
& { }^{0} \mathrm{Z} \text { a }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z=\sum^{p} \overline{p^{2}+m^{2}} \quad$ jup $)=T, a=m=T$ and $b=a$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A ny point of the inner surface, $S_{1}$, can be considered as an origin, since translations along $S_{1}$ do not change $s$, the pro jection of $x$ on the FO norm alvector, $d$, as long as $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are parallel, as assum ed. Of course, this latter assum ption can be ed only locally, in som e nite region, as it is clear from $F$ is

[^1]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ow, it is im portant that L is de ned as an invariant scalar, so W esc is also an invariant scalar.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ The words "im m ediate retherm alization" used in a few earlier publications, were badly chosen, $m$ isleading and inappropriate.
    5 In real calculations the s-dependence of $f_{e q}(s ; p)$ is calculated from the energy, $m$ om entum and baryon charge loss of the in-

[^3]:    teracting com ponent, where these losses are determ ined by the $m$ om entum dependence of the escape rate and the actual shape of $f_{i}(s ; p)$, as discussed here.

