New Relations for Coe cients of Fractional Parentage | the Redm ond Recursion Form ula with Seniority

L. Zamick and A. Escuderos

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey USA 08854 (Dated: April 16, 2024)

Abstract

We nd a relationship between coe cients of fractional parentage (cfp) obtained on the one hand from the principal parent method and on the other hand from a seniority classic cation. We apply this to the Redmond recursion formula which relates n ! n + 1 cfp's to n 1 ! n cfp's where the principal-parent classic cation is used. We transform this to the seniority scheme. Our formula diers from the Redmond formula inasmuch as we have a sum over the possible seniorities for the n ! n + 1 cfp's, whereas Redmond has only one term. We show that there are useful applications of both the principal parent and the seniority classic cation.

PACS num bers:

I. IN TRODUCTION

The wave function of a system of identical particles is antisymmetric in space and spin. It is, however, often convenient to single out a given particle. This can be done by means of a fractional parentage expansion. For n identical particles in a single j shell, the expansion is as follows

$$(1 \quad {}^{T}_{n}) = [j^{n} \; {}^{1}_{J_{1}} \; {}_{1} \; {}_{j} \; {}^{j}_{g} \; {}^{j^{n}}_{I} \; I \;] \; (1 \quad n \; {}^{J}_{I})^{1} \; (n)^{j^{1}} \; ; \qquad (1)$$

where the curly brackets designate a C lebsch {G ordan coupling and the quantities in square brackets are the coe cients of fractional parentage. A lthough the total wave function is antisymmetric, each term in the expansion is not. A given term is antisymmetric in the rst n 1 particles, but not in the n-th. In some sense, with cfp's we have our cake and we eat it too.

Such an expansion enables one to single out a certain particle despite the fact that the total wave function is antisymmetric. A simple example for the use of a cfp involves transfer reactions. The cross section for the pickup of a neutron from a shell which has n neutrons and no protons is proportional to a spectroscopic factor, the value of which is

$$S = n[j^{n-1}(J_{f-f}) jjgj^{n}J_{i-i}]^{2};$$
(2)

where $(J_i \ i)$ refer to the (n + 1)-neutron system and $(J_f \ f)$ to the n-neutron system. The sum m ed pickup strength over all $(J_f \ f)$ is equal to n, the num ber of particles available to be picked up.

One method of calculating coe cients of fractional parentage is by the principal-parent technique. An explicit example for a system of three identical particles is given by de Shalit and Talm i [2] (see Eq. 26.11 on page 271) and will be repeated in this introduction in order to establish notation.

To get a cfp for three identical particles, one rst combines two of them to a total angular momentum $J_0: [jj]^{J_0}$. We call this the principal parent. We then add a third particle and, after antisymmetrizing and normalizing, the resulting wave function is

$$^{J}[J_{0}] = N[J_{0}](1 P_{12} P_{13}) [12]^{J_{0}} 3^{J};$$
 (3)

where N $[J_0]$ is the normalization factor. We then expand (3) as per Eq. (1), obtaining

$$[j^{2}(J_{1})jJjgj^{3}[J_{0}]J] = N [J_{0}]^{4} _{J_{0}J_{1}} + 2^{p} \frac{8 \quad 9 \ 3}{(2J_{0}+1)(2J_{1}+1)} \leq j \ j \ J_{0}^{=} 5; \qquad (4)$$

where

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 4}^{2} 3 + 6(2J_{0} + 1) = 4 + 6(2J_{0} + 1) = 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 3 = 1 = 2 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in J_{0}} 1 = 2}^{2} 5 = 1 = 2$$

Note the relationship between the cfp and the norm alization factor:

$$[j^{2}(J_{0})jJjj^{3}[J_{0}]J] = \frac{1}{3N[J_{0}]};$$
(6)

A recursion form ula for cfp's due to Redm ond [1] is presented in the books of Talm i and de Shalit [2] on page 528, and Talm i [3] on page 274. It can be written as follows

$$(n + 1) [j^{n} (_{0}J_{0}) jJ jg j^{n+1} [_{0}J_{0}]J][j^{n} (_{1}J_{1}) jJ jg j^{n+1} [_{8^{0}}J_{0}]J] = 9$$

$$= {}_{1 \ 0 \ J_{1}J_{0}} + n (_{1})^{J_{0}+J_{1}} P \underbrace{(2J_{0}+1) (2J_{1}+1)}_{2J_{2}} X \overset{<}{J_{2}} j J_{1} = 9$$

$$= {}_{1 \ 0 \ J_{1}J_{0}} + n (_{1})^{J_{0}+J_{1}} P \underbrace{(2J_{0}+1) (2J_{1}+1)}_{2J_{2}} X \overset{<}{J_{2}} j J_{1} = 9$$

$$= {}_{1 \ 0 \ J_{1}J_{0}} + n (_{1})^{J_{0}+J_{1}} P \underbrace{(2J_{0}+1) (2J_{1}+1)}_{2J_{2}} X \overset{<}{J_{2}} j J_{1} = 9$$

$$= {}_{1 \ 0 \ J_{1}J_{0}} + n (_{1})^{J_{0}+J_{1}} P \underbrace{(2J_{0}+1) (2J_{1}+1)}_{2J_{2}} X \overset{<}{J_{2}} j J_{1} = 9$$

$$= {}_{1 \ 0 \ J_{1}J_{0}} (J_{0}) J_{0} J_{0$$

In the above, square bracket designates the principal parent used to calculate the cfp. A ctually, the principal parent som etim es looses its signi cance because in som e cases more than one principal parent can yield the sam e cfp. In tables of cfp's, the principal parent is usually not listed. The quantities in parentheses ($_0J_0$) are listed. The cfp with ($_0J_0$) is the probability amplitude that a system of (n + 1) identical particles can be separated into a system of n particles with quantum numbers ($_0J_0$) and a single nucleon.

Note that the n 1! n cfp's on the right-hand side of Eq. (7) do not have principalparent quantum numbers. Indeed they are not fully specified, i.e., the quantum number is not de ned. A lthought not necessary, we shall assume stands in part for the seniority quantum number and that the n 1! n cfp's form a complete orthonorm al set.

One problem with the principal-parent method is that one gets more cfp's than there really are. One can see this, for example, from Eq. (4). For j = 7=2 in ${}^{43}Ca$, the allowed states have total angular momentum I = 3=2;5=2;7=2;9=2;11=2, and 15=2, all occurring

only once. Consequently, there is only one set of cfp's for each angular momentum. If we construct the cfp's for the unique I = 7=2 state of the $f_{7=2}^3$ conguration, using rst $J_0 = 2$ and then $J_0 = 4$ as principal parents, we get exactly the same cfp's for $J_1 = 0;2;4$, and 6 in the two cases. There is a redundancy.

For the j^3 con guration with j = 9=2, I = 9=2, there are two states. In the Bayman { Lande scheme [4], the states are classified by the seniority quantum number. Of the two I = 9=2 states above, one has seniority 1 and the other has seniority 3. However, in the principal parent scheme, there are ve sets of cfp's corresponding to $J_0 = 0;2;4;6$, and 8. This is clearly an overcom plete set.

In Table I we show the results of the two schemes for the example above: I = j = 9=2.

Of course, one can have more than one state of a given seniority. For example, for j = 15=2, $(j^3)^{I=15=2}$, there is one state of seniority 1 and two of seniority 3.

The discussion of seniority (a topic introduced by G.Racah [5,6]) is given extensively in text books [2, 3, 7], so we will be very brief on this. To simplify the discussion, let us consider a closed shell of protons and focus only on the open-shell system of neutrons, i.e., deal only with identical particles. For an even number of neutrons, there is a tendency for their spins to be paired. This corresponds to a seniority v = 0 state with total angular momentum 0 (note that all even {even nuclei have angular momentum 0). To form a 2^+ state, one must break at least one pair. As noted by Lawson, the seniority v of a nuclear state \is the number of unpaired nucleons in the eigenfunction describing the state" [7]. He also mentions that the delta-function potential conserves seniority in a single j shell. For an even number of neutrons, the seniority v must be an even integer; for an odd number of neutrons, it must be an odd integer. In the case of a sem in agic nucleus with an open shell of, say, neutrons, whereas the I = 0 ground state has dom inantly v = 0, the rst 2^+ state is dominantly v = 2. However, for the I = 4 state in ${}^{44}Ca$ ($f_{7=2}^4$), the seniority v = 4 state is slightly lower than the seniority v = 2 state. One can understand this by noting that the v = 2 state consists of one broken pair with J = 4, while the seniority v = 4 state can be constructed from two J = 2 pairs. For a two-particle system, the J = 2 pair energy is lower than the J = 4 pair energy often by a factor of two orm ore.

| | | | | = | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| Principal-parent schem e | | | | _ | Seniority scheme | |
| J ₀ | . = 0 | | | | v = 1 | |
| $J_1 = 0$ | 0.516398 | | | | $J_1 = 0$ | 0:516398 |
| $J_1 = 2$ | 0288675 | | | | $J_1 = 2$ | 0,288675 |
| $J_1 = 4$ | 0:387298 | | | | $J_1 = 4$ | 0.387298 |
| J ₁ = 6 | 0 : 465475 | | | | J ₁ = 6 | 0.465475 |
| J ₁ = 8 | 0:532291 | | | | J ₁ = 8 | 0.532291 |
| $J_0 = 2$ | | $J_0 = 4$ | | | | |
| $J_1 = 0$ | 0 : 437384 | $J_1 = 0$ | 0262994 | | V = | = 3 |
| $J_1 = 2$ | 0.340825 | $J_1 = 2$ | 0:008910 | | $J_1 = 0$ | 0.000000.0 |
| $J_1 = 4$ | 0:019881 | $J_1 = 4$ | 0.760475 | | $J_1 = 2$ | 0.181186 |
| J ₁ = 6 | 0.764610 | $J_1 = 6$ | 0:362496 | | $J_1 = 4$ | 0 : 654463 |
| $J_1 = 8$ | 0.327887 | $J_1 = 8$ | 0.470138 | | J ₁ = 6 | 0.696673 |
| $J_0 = 6$ | | $J_0 = 8$ | | | $J_1 = 8$ | 0231293 |
| $J_1 = 0$ | 0286884 | $J_1 = 0$ | 0 : 473618 | - | | |
| J ₁ = 2 | 0.311026 | J ₁ = 2 | 0.192553 | | | |
| $J_1 = 4$ | 0:329013 | $J_1 = 4$ | 0.616034 | | | |
| $J_1 = 6$ | 0.837866 | $J_1 = 6$ | 0.149270 | | | |
| $J_1 = 8$ | 0.103396 | $J_1 = 8$ | 0.580370 | | | |

TABLE I: Coe cients of fractional parentage in the principal-parent scheme and in the seniority scheme (results from Bayman (Lande) for the I = 9=2 states of the $g_{9=2}^3$ con guration.

II. RELATION BETW EEN PRINCIPAL PARENT CFP'S AND THOSE IN THE SEN IORITY SCHEME

We here note a relationship between the overcom plete set of principal-parent coe cients of fractional parentage and those with the seniority classi cation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} j^{n} (v_{0}J_{0}) jJ jj j^{n+1} v_{0}J_{0} J \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} j^{n} (v_{1}J_{1}) jJ jj j^{n+1} v_{0}J_{0} J \end{bmatrix} = X$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} j^{n} (v_{0}J_{0}) jJ jj j^{n+1} Jv \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} j^{n} (v_{1}J_{1}) jJ jj j^{n+1} Jv \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

In the left-hand side above, the rst principal parent is form ed by adding the (n + 1)-th nucleon to an n-nucleon antisymmetric system with good seniority and angularmomentum $(v_0 J_0)$, then coupling the combined system to a total angularmomentum J, and then antisymmetrizing and normalizing the total wave function. On the right-hand side, the sum over v is a sum over all the possible seniorities of the combined (n + 1) system and, for a given seniority, over all states with that seniority.

A proof of the above result will be given in Appendix A.

We can verify the result of Eq. (8) for speci c examples. Consider rst a system of three identical particles in a j = 15=2 shell with total angular momentum J = 15=2. Take the principal-parent angular momentum J_0 to be equal to 2, and also $J_1 = 2$. U sing the explicit form use of Eqs. (4) and (5), we obtain

$$[j^{2}(J_{0})jJjgj^{3}[J_{0}]J^{2} = \frac{1}{3}41 + 2(2J_{0} + 1) \begin{cases} 8 & 9 & 3 \\ < j & j & J_{0} \\ \vdots & J & j & J_{0} \end{cases} = 0.153945:$$
(9)

From Bayman and Lande [4] we nd

$$[j^{2}(2)jJ = 15=2jgj^{3}v = 1] = 0:172516;$$
(10)

$$(j^{2} (2) jJ = 15 = 2 jg j^{3}v = 3; = 1] = 0:153452;$$
 (11)

$$[j^{2}(2)jJ = 15=2jgj^{3}v = 3; = 2] = 0:317231:$$
 (12)

W e easily verify that the sum of the squares is 0.153945.

As a second example, consider the case j = 9=2, J = 9=2, with $J_0 = [J_0] = 2$ and $J_1 = 4$. The left-hand side of Eq. (8) is given by

$$\ln s = \frac{4p}{3} \frac{4p}{45} \frac{4p}{45} \frac{9}{9=2} \frac{9}{9=2} \frac{2}{4} = 0.00677596; \quad (13)$$

The right-hand side has contributions from v = 1 and v = 3. Using the Baym an {Lande

$$v = 1$$
 0.288675 0.387598 (14a)

v = 3 0:181166 0:654463 (14b)

III. AN EXAMPLE OF THE USE OF THE OVERCOMPLETE CFP'S

Ironically, one can get the most useful information from principal parent cfp's by calculating them for states which do not exist. We use Eq. (4) to illustrate this point. As noted by Racah [5, 6], de Shalit and Talm i [2] and Talm i [3], there are no states of the j^3 con guration with total angularm on entur J = 3j 4. If in Eq. (4) we choose the principal parent $J_0 = 2j$ 1 and $J_1 = 2j$ 3, then the fact that the cfp does not exist leads to the relation

For a di erent choice, $J_0 = J_1$, one gets

$$\begin{cases} 8 & 9 \\ < j \ j \ J_0^{=} \\ \vdots \\ J \ j \ J_0^{-} \end{cases} = \frac{1}{2(2J_0 + 1)}$$
(16)

for certain states J that do not exist in the j^3 con guration. Note that, for these select J values, this 6j symbol does not depend on what J is. For example, for j = 7=2, Eq. (16) holds for J = 1=2;13=2;17=2, and 19/2, but not for the allowed ($f_{7=2}^3$) states mentioned previously.

An interesting use of these 6j-sym bol relations has been found by R obinson and Zam ick [8] for a system of two neutrons and one proton (or two protons and one neutron), e.g., 43 Sc (43 T i) for j = 7=2. To perform a shell model calculation, one uses as input two-body matrix elements $h(j_1 j_2)^{JT} j_2 j(j_3 j_4)^{JT}$ i, where J is the total two-particle angular momentum and T is the isospin. Of course, T can only be either zero or one for a two-particle system. The resulting wave function for 43 Sc in the single j shell can be written as

$${}^{I} = \sum_{J_{N}}^{X} D^{I} (j; J_{N}) [j (j^{2})^{J_{N}}]^{I};$$
(17)

where, for a state of total angular momentum I, $D^{I}(j;J_{N})$ is the probability amplitude that the neutrons couple to J_{N} ($J_{N} = 0;2;4, \text{ or } 6$).

W thout going into detail (these are given in Ref. [8]), the authors considered a model in which the two-body matrix elements with isospin T = 0 were set equal to zero. Only the T = 1 two-body matrix elements entered into the calculation. When this was done, an interesting partial dynamical symmetry was found for the previously mentioned angular momenta I which cannot occur for a j^3 conguration of identical particles, namely I =1=2;13=2;17=2, and 19/2. It was found for these states that J_N was a good quantum number for the wave functions, i.e., a given state wave function was of the form $[j \ J_N]^I$. As an example, for I = 13=2 the matrix element h[j 4]¹³⁼² jV j[j 6]¹³⁼² i was zero. This is explained by the vanishing of the 6j symbol of Eq. (5)

which we remember was obtained by completely di erent considerations.

There were also degenerate states, such as I = 1=2 and $13=2_1$, whose wave functions were of the form $[j = 7=2; J_N = 4]^I$. Likewise, $13=2_2; 17=2$, and 19=2 were all degenerate with wave functions $[j = 7=2; J_N = 6]^I$. These degeneracies follow from Eq. (16).

We call the above a partial dynam ical symmetry because it applies only to states of angular momentum I which can occur for a system of two neutrons and a proton, but cannot occur for a system of three neutrons (or three protons).

IV. THE REDMOND RECURSION RELATION IN THE SENIORITY SCHEME

W e here present the equivalent of the Redm ond recursion relation, but for cfp's classi ed by the seniority quantum number v and for which there are no redundacies. Here is our formula

$$(n + 1) \int_{v_{s}} (j^{n} (v_{0}J_{0}) jI_{s} jj j^{n+1} v_{s}I_{s}] (j^{n} (v_{1}J_{1}) jI_{s} jj j^{n+1} v_{s}I_{s}] = = \int_{J_{0}J_{1}} (v_{0}v_{1}) + n (1)^{J_{0}+J_{1}} p \frac{8}{(2J_{0}+1)(2J_{1}+1)} X \stackrel{<}{(2J_{0}+1)(2J_{1}+1)} X \stackrel{<}{(J_{2}} j J_{1}] = J_{0} (j^{n-1} (v_{2}J_{2}) jJ_{0} jj j^{n} v_{0} J_{0}] (j^{n-1} (v_{2}J_{2}) jJ_{1} jj j^{n} v_{1} J_{1}] :$$

$$(19)$$

This di ers from the Redmond formula inasmuch as there is now a sum on the left-hand side of the equation over v_s . Note that I_s is xed. Basically, then, the sum is over all states that are present which have angular momentum I_s for the (n + 1)-particle system.

Of course, the xed values of (v_0J_0) and (v_1J_1) will lead to some restrictions on the possible values of v_s .

We give now an example. Consider the case n = 3, j = 9=2; for the three-particle system s, take $J_0 = 9=2$; $v_0 = 3$ and $J_1 = 11=2$; $v_1 = 3$; and for the angular momentum of the four-particle system, take $I_s = 2$. Taking into account that, in this case, we have two values for the seniority v_s , the result of the left-hand side of Eq. (19) is

| Sum (Ihs) | | | 0 | :873087 |
|------------------------|---|-------------|------------|-------------------|
| $I_{s} = 2; v_{s} = 4$ | 4 | (0:265908) | 0:666200 = | 0 : 708592 |
| $I_{s} = 2; v_{s} = 2$ | 4 | (0:128118) | 0:320983 = | 0:164495 |

For the right-hand side of Eq. (19), we obtain

$$J_2 = 2 0:119633$$
$$J_2 = 4 0:789733$$
$$J_2 = 6 + 0:199694$$
$$J_2 = 8 0:163415$$
Sum (rhs) 0:873087

As can be seen, we get the sam e result.

For the same case as above but with $v_0 = 1$ and $v_s = 3$, we nd that

$$lhs = rhs = 0.78674$$
: (20)

V. THE SPECIAL CASE n = 2. APPLICATION OF THE SENIORITY RED-MOND RELATION TO THE NUMBER OF STATES OF ANGULAR MOMENTUM I_s FOR THREE IDENTICAL PARTICLES IN A SINGLE JSHELL

For n = 2 the two cfp's on the right-hand side of Eq. (19) are equal to 1 and $J_2 = j$, i.e., the sum over J_2 consists of only one term. We nd

$$\begin{cases} 8 & 9 \\ < j \ j \ J_{1}^{=} \\ 2 \end{cases} (1)^{J_{0} + J_{1}} p (2J_{0} + 1) (2J_{1} + 1) = \\ \vdots I_{s} \ j \ J_{0}; \\ = \int_{J_{0}J_{1}} v_{0}v_{1} + 3 \int_{v_{s}}^{X} [j^{2} (v_{0}J_{0}) jI_{s} jjj^{3}v_{s}I_{s}] [j^{2} (v_{1}J_{1}) jI_{s} jjj^{3}v_{s}I_{s}];$$
(21)

For $J_1 = J_0$ we get

$$\frac{2}{3} + \frac{9}{J_{0}} = \frac{2}{J_{0}} + \frac{1}{J_{0}} = \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{J_{0}} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{J_{0}} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{J_{0}} + \frac{1}{3} +$$

If we sum over J_0 (even) on the right-hand side, we obtain

$$\sum_{J_0}^{X} [j^2 (v_0 J_0) j I_s j g j^3 v_s I_s]^2 = 1:$$
 (23)

And then, the sum over $v_{\rm s}$ gives us the number of states with total angularm om entum $\rm \,I_{s}$.

For $I_s = j$ we get a result previously obtained by Rosensteel and Rowe [9] using a quasispin formulation

$$\frac{1}{3} 4 \frac{(2j+1)}{2} + 2 X_{J_0 \text{ even}} (2J_0 + 1) + 2 \frac{X}{j \text{ j } J_0^{=}} = \# \text{ of states with } I_s = j; \quad (24)$$

G inocchio and H axton [10] showed this quantity to be equal to [(2j+3)=6], where the square brackets m can the largest integer less than what is inside them .

For $I_s = j + 1$ we get the Zhao{A rim a result [11]

$$\frac{1}{3}4\frac{2j}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{$$

which can be shown to be [j=3]. The present authors have presented an alternative derivation of the above two results by using an m scheme [12]. A recent preprint by Talmialso uses the m scheme to go beyond the above two examples and to prove many conjectures of Zhao and Arima [13]. VI. ISOSPIN CONSIDERATIONS. APPLICATION OF THE PRINCIPAL-PARENT REDMOND RELATION TO PROBLEMS INVOLVING NEUTRONS AND PROTONS

In a previous work, \Interrelationship of isospin and angular momentum " [14], we considered the following simple interaction in a single j shell of neutrons and protons

$$h(j^2)^{J_A} V (j^2)^{J_A} i = a \frac{1}{2} (1)^{J_A} i$$
 (26)

Since in a single j shell when J_A is even the isospin T_A is 1, and when J_A is odd T_A is 0, we see that this interaction acts only for $T_A = 0$ states, i.e., only for the neutron {proton interaction in the $T_A = 0$ channel. The interaction vanishes for two neutrons or for two protons | they have isospin 1.

W hen applied to the I = 0 states of the even {even T i isotopes with con guration $[(j^2)^J (j^n)^J]^{I=0}$, the authors found the following expression for the interaction matrix elements:

$$h[J^{0}J^{0}]^{0} H [JJ]^{0} i=a = n_{JJ^{0}} n^{(2J+1)(2J^{0}+1)} \\ X \\ [j^{n} J_{0} jjjj^{n} J] [j^{n} J_{0} jjjj^{n} J^{0}]^{<}_{J_{0}} j J^{0} ; j J^{i} ;$$
(27)

By using the principal-parent Redm ond form ula [Eq. (7) of this work], one obtains

$$h [J^{0} J^{0}]^{0} H [J J]^{0} i = (n + (1)^{J + J^{0}})_{J J^{0}}$$

$$(n + (1)^{J + J^{0}}) [j^{n} J j j j j^{n+1} j] [j^{n} J^{0} j j j j^{n+1} j]:$$
(28)

However, this can be simplied because, if we have a system of 2 protons, then both J and $J^0 m$ ust be even.

The above result was coupled with the fact that one could also write the same interaction in the isospin space as a (1=4 t(1) t(2)). This also vanishes for T = 1 and is equal to a constant a for T = 0.

From the isospin point of view, it is trivial to obtain the eigenvalues for a system of 2 protons and n neutrons:

$$hV i = (n + 1)a \quad \text{for } T = T_{m in} = N \quad Z \neq 2,$$

$$hV i = 0 \qquad \text{for } T = T_{m in} + 2.$$

The angular momentum expression [14] did not involve seniority. From what we have seen in the previous sections, the generalization is not too di cult

$$h [J^{0} (J^{0} v^{0})]^{0} H [J (J v)]^{0} i = (n + 1)_{J J^{0} vv^{0}}$$

$$(n + 1)_{v_{f}} [j^{n} (J v) j j j j^{n+1} (j v_{f})] [j^{n} (J^{0} v^{0}) j j j j^{n+1} (j v_{f})]:$$
(29)

The eigenvalue equation for this Ham iltonian is

However, from the isospin point of view, the eigenvalue for $T = T_{m \text{ in}}$ is equal to (n + 1). Hence, for $T = T_{m \text{ in}}$ we obtain

$$X \qquad [j^{n} (Jv) jjgj^{n+1} (jv_{f})] \qquad X \qquad [j^{n} (J^{0}v^{0}) jjgj^{n+1} (jv_{f})] D (J^{0}; J^{0}v^{0}) = 0:$$
(31)
$$V_{f} \qquad J^{0} \qquad (31)$$

We can multiply by $[j^n (Jv) jjg j^{n+1} (jv_x)]$ and sum over v. Thus, using the property

X

$$[j^{n} (Jv) jjgj^{n+1} (jv_{x})][j^{n} (Jv) jjgj^{n+1} (jv_{f})] = v_{f}v_{x};$$
(32)

we nd

X

$$[j^{n} (J^{0}v^{0}) jjgj^{n+1} (jv_{x})]D^{T_{m in}} (J^{0}; J^{0}v^{0}) = 0$$
(33)

for each v_x state.

W hat is the signi cance of Eq. (33)? W e will now show, by a generalization of a result of Zam ick and D evi [15], that this equation expresses the fact that states with isospin $T = T_{m in} + 2$ are orthogonal to states with isospin $T = T_{m in}$.

States of 2 protons and n neutrons with isospin $T_{max} = T_{min} + 2$ are double analogs of states of (n + 2) identical particles. This leads to the fact that the values of the wave-function components for $T = T_{max}$ are two-particle coe cients of fractional parentage [16, 17]

$$D^{T_{max};I=0,v_{f}}(J;Jv) = [j^{n}(Jv)j^{2}(J)jgj^{n+2}I = 0 v_{f}]:$$
(34)

For a system of n + 2 identical particles (neutrons), we can write

$$jj^{n+2}i^{Iv_{f}} = \begin{cases} X \\ J_{0}v_{0}v_{1} \end{cases} (J_{0}v_{0})j^{2} (J_{0}v_{1})jj^{n+2}Iv_{f}] j(j^{n})^{J_{0}v_{0}} (j^{2})^{J_{0}v_{1}}i^{Iv_{f}} : \end{cases} (35)$$

W e can, however, reach this result in two stages with successive one-particle cfp's:

$$jj^{n+2}i^{Iv_{f}} = \sum_{J_{0}v_{0} v_{3}}^{X X} [j^{n+1}(jv_{3})jjgj^{n+2}Iv_{f}][j^{n}(J_{0}v_{0})jjgj^{n+1}jv_{3}]$$

$$U(J_{0}jIj;jJ_{0})j(j^{n})^{J_{0}v_{0}}(j^{2})^{J_{0}}i^{Iv_{f}}:$$
(36)

In the above, U is a unitary R acah coe cient. For I = 0 the value of U is 1.

So farwe have

$$[j^{n} (J_{0}v_{0})j^{2} (J_{0}v_{1})jgj^{n+2}I = 0 v_{f}] = \bigvee_{v_{3}} [j^{n} (J_{0}v_{0})jgj^{n+1} (jv_{3})] [j^{n+1} (jv_{3})jgj^{n+2}I = 0 v_{f}]:$$

$$(37)$$

However, it can be shown, e.g. in Bayman and Lande [4], that the (n + 1)! (n + 2) cfp above to a nalstate with I = 0 is 1 for $v_3 = v_f$ 1 and 0 otherwise, except when $v_f = 0$, in which case $v_3 = 1$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} [j^{n} (J_{0}v_{0})j^{2} (J_{0}v_{1})jgj^{n+2}I &= 0 v_{f}] &= [j^{n} (J_{0}v_{0})jgj^{n+1} (j;v_{f} 1)]; & \text{for } v_{f} > 0; \quad (38) \\ &= [j^{n} (J_{0}v_{0})jgj^{n+1} (j;v=1)]; & \text{for } v_{f} = 0: \quad (39) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in Eq. (33) we can replace the one-particle cfp n ! (n + 1) by the two-particle cfp n ! (n + 2). The latter is more obviously idential ed with the wave function of a state with I = 0 and $T = T_{max}$.

VII. CLOSING REMARKS

We have here discussed both principal-parent coe cients of fractional parentage and those obtained by seniority schemes. The former are easier to calculate, but form an overcomplete set; while the latter form a complete orthonormal set. The original Redmond formulation gives n + 1 cfp's which are obtained by a principal-parent classication via the n + 1 cfp's which are obtained by a principal-parent classication via the n + 1 cfp's which are obtained by a principal-parent classication via the n + 1 cfp's with no clear classication. Our main result in this work was to obtain Redmond-type relations (see Eqs. (8) and (19)) in which we have seniority cfp's on both sides of the equation. A new feature is that on the left-hand side of Eq. (19) we have, for xed nal angular momentum, a sum over all possible nal seniorities.

We have noted that both principal parent and seniority cfp's have their uses. For the form er, we noted earlier works which showed that, by constructing cfp's to non-existent states, e.g., $(f_{7=2}^3); J = 13=2$, we obtain conditions on 6j symbols. In turn, the vanishing of these cfp's was put to use in a completely di erent problem by Robinson and Zam ick [8], namely to explain a partial dynam ical symmetry for a system of two neutrons and one proton (likewise two protons and one neutron) when the T = 0 two-body interaction is set to zero and only T = 1 two-body matrix elements are used.

W e applied our new Redmond relation to the problem of the number of states of a given angular momentum in a (j^3) con guration. Previously, we had results only up to j 7=2, but with the new Redmond relation we have it for all j. We also used this relation to generalize a relation by Zam ick, Mekjian and Lee [14], again for j 7=2, to higher j values, where states of a given angular momentum occur more than once for a three-particle system. We thereby obtain conditions on the wave functions of states of mixed neutrons and protons which boil down to the fact that states of higher isospin are orthogonal to states of lower isospin.

W hile our new Redm ond relation at rst sight appears more complicated than the original one, because of the sum over nal seniorities on the left-hand side, we nd that this sum can be used to obtain closure and ultimately can lead to simple results.

14

We here o er a proof of Eq. (8). We will do it for a $(g_{9=2}^3)$ three particle system. The proof for any number of particles and other congurations is essentially the same.

The wave function for the three-particle system with a principal parent $[J_0]$ for the two particles is [see Eq. (3)]

$$J[J_0] = N[J_0](1 P_{12} P_{13}) [12]^{J_0} 3^{J};$$
 (A1)

where J is the total angular momentum of the three particles. These J [J₀]'s are an overcom – plete set; e.g., for J = 9=2 there are ve J [J₀]'s, but only two independent wave functions. A lthought not necessary, we can separate the two into states with de nite seniority v = 1 and v = 3.

The following relation must hold between the principal parent wave functions and the seniority wave functions

$$^{J}[J_{0}] = C[J_{0}]^{-J}(v = 1) + D[J_{0}]^{-J}(v = 3);$$
 (A2)

or in more detail

X

$$[j^{2} (J_{1}) j J j j j^{3} [J_{0}] J] [12]^{J_{1}} 3^{J} =$$
 (A 3a)
 $J_{1} = 0$

X II
C
$$[J_0][j^2(J_1)jJjgj^3v = 1;J]$$
 $[12]^{J_1}3^{J}$ (A 3b)
 J_1

+ D
$$[J_0][j^2(J_1)jJjgj^3v = 3;J] [12]^{J_1}3^{J_0}$$
: (A 3c)

This leads to the following relation between cfp's

$$[j^{2} (J_{1}) j J j j]^{3} [J_{0}] J] = C [J_{0}] [j^{2} (J_{1}) j J j j]^{3} v = 1; J] + D [J_{0}] [j^{2} (J_{1}) j J j j]^{3} v = 3; J];$$
 (A 4)

with C and D independent of J_1 .

By taking overlaps, we see

$$C[J_0] = N[J_0]h^{J}(v = 1)j(1 P_{12} P_{13} [12]^{J_0}3^{J}i:$$
 (A5)

Since (v = 1) is totally antisymmetric, this leads to

$$C [J_0] = 3N [J_0]h (v = 1)j [12]^{J_0} 3^{J_1}i$$

= 3N [J_0][j² (J_0)jJjgj³v = 1;J]: (A 6)

Likewise

D
$$[J_0] = 3N [J_0] [j^2 (J_0) j J j j j^3 v = 3; J]$$
: (A 7)

Thus, we have

$$[j^{2} (J_{1}) j J j j j^{3} [J_{0}] J] = 3N [J_{0}] \sum_{v=1;3}^{X} [j^{2} (J_{0}) j J j j j^{3} v J] [j^{2} (J_{1}) j J j j j^{3} v J] :$$
 (A 8)

But, from Eq. (6), we see that

$$3N [J_0] = \frac{1}{[j^2 (J_0) j J j g j^3 [J_0] J]};$$
 (A 9)

By cross multiplication, we get the result we are after Eq. (8).

Once this has been shown, the Redmond relation of Eq. (19) follows because, as discussed in the text after Eq. (8), the cfp's on the right-hand side of Eq. (19) for the n-particle system (from n 1 to n) have been constructed with de nite seniority.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

We thank Igal Talm i for his explanation of the Redm ond form ula and Ben Baym an for his comments. This work was supported by the U.S.Dept.of Energy under Grant No.DE-FG 0105ER 05-02. A E. is supported by a grant nanced by the Secretar a de Estado de Educacion y Universidades (Spain) and conanced by the European Social Fund.

- [1] P.J.Redmond, Proc.R.Soc.London A 222, 84 (1954).
- [2] A. de Shalit and I. Talmi, Nuclear Shell Theory, A cademic Press, New York (1963).
- [3] I. Talm i, Sim ple M odels of C om plex Nuclei, H arwood A cadem ics P ress, Switzerland (1993).
- [4] B.F.Baym an and A.Lande, Nucl. Phys. 77, 1 (1966).
- [5] G.Racah, Phys. Rev. 63, 367 (1943).
- [6] G.Racah, Phys. Rev. 76, 1352 (1949).
- [7] R.D.Lawson, Theory of the Nuclear ShellM odel, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1980).
- [8] S.J.Q.Robinson and L.Zamick, Phys.Rev.C 63, 064316 (2001); S.J.Q.Robinson and L.Zamick, Phys.Rev.C 64, 057302 (2001).

- [9] G.Rosensteel and D.J.Rowe, Phys.Rev.C 67, 014303 (2003).
- [10] JN.G inocchio and W C.Haxton, Symmetries in Science VI, ed.by B.G ruber and M.Ramek, Plenum, New York (1993).
- [11] Y.M. Zhao and A. Arima, Phys. Rev. C 70, 034306 (2004).
- [12] L.Zam ick and A.Escuderos, Phys.Rev.C 71, 054308 (2005).
- [13] Y.M. Zhao and A. Arim a, Phys. Rev. C 68, 044310 (2003).
- [14] L.Zam ick, A.Z.Mekjian, and S.J.Lee, J.Korean Phys. Soc. 47, 18 (2005).
- [15] L.Zam ick and Y.D.Devi, Phys.Rev.C 60,054317 (1999).
- [16] L. Zamick, A. Escuderos, S. J. Lee, A. Z. Mekijan, E. Moya de Guerra, A. A. Raduta, and P. Sarriguren, Phys. Rev. C 71, 034317 (2005).
- [17] L.Zam ick and A.Escuderos, Phys. Rev. C 71, 014315 (2005).