First-Order Quantum Phase Transition in a Finite System

A. Leviatan

Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

(Dated: April 16, 2024)

The dynamics at the critical-point of a general rst-order quantum phase transition in a nite system is examined, from an algebraic perspective. Suitable H am iltonians are constructed whose spectra exhibit coexistence of states corresponding to two degenerate m inim a in the energy surface separated by an arbitrary barrier. Explicit expressions are derived for wave functions and observables at the critical-point.

PACS num bers: 21.60 Fw, 21.10 Re

Quantum phase transitions have become a topic of great interest in di erent branches of physics [1, 2, 3]. These are structural changes occurring at zero tem perature as a function of a coupling constant and driven by quantum uctuations. The phase transitions are said to be of second-order when the system changes continuously from one phase to another, and of rst-order when there is a discontinuous change between two coexisting phases. A dvanced experiments have identied such structural changes in a variety of mesoscopic system s, e.g., nuclei, m olecules and atom ic clusters, where the transitions are between di erent shapes or geom etric con qurations. An important issue in these systems is to understand the modi cations at criticality due to their nite number of constituents. In the present work we study this question in connection with nuclei exem plifying a nite system undergoing a general rst-order shape-phase transition.

The role of a nite number of nucleons can be addressed in the interacting boson model (IBM) [4], which describes low -lying quadrupole collective states in nuclei in terms of a system of N monopole (s) and quadrupole (d) bosons representing valence nucleon pairs. The model is based on a U (6) spectrum generating algebra and its three solvable dynam ical sym metry limits: U (5), SU (3), and O (6), describe the dynam ics of stable nuclear shapes: spherical, axially-deformed, and -unstable deformed. A geom etric visualization of the model is obtained by an energy surface de ned by the expectation value of the H am iltonian in the coherent (intrinsic) state [5, 6]

$$j; N i = (N !)^{1=2} (b_c^y)^N jD i;$$
 (1)

where $b_c^y = (1 + 2)^{1=2} [\cos d_0^y + \sin (d_2^y + d_2^y)] = \frac{p}{2} + s^y]$. For the general IBM H am iltonian with one- and two-body interactions, the energy surface takes the form

E (;) = E₀ + N (N 1)
$$\frac{a^2 b^3 \cos 3 + c^4}{(1 + 2)^2}$$
: (2)

The coe cients E $_0$; a; b; c involve particular linear com – binations of the H am iltonian's parameters [7, 8]. The quadrupole shape parameters in the intrinsic state characterize the associated equilibrium shape. For > 0 the intrinsic state is deformed and represents, in a variational sense [9], a ground band whose rotationalmembers

are obtained by standard angular momentum projection. Particularly relevant for the present work are states of good O (3) symmetry L projected from the prolatedeformed intrinsic state j; = 0; N i of Eq. (1),

$$j ; N ; L ; M \downarrow / {\stackrel{h}{_{N}}} (1) = \frac{1}{N!} \frac{Z_{1}}{_{0}} dx 1 + {^{2}P_{2}} (x) {^{N}P_{L}} (x) : (3)$$

Here $P_L(x)$ is a Legendre polynom ial with L even (0

L 2N) and $_{N}^{(L)}$ () is a normalization factor. The projected states with xed N and L, involve a mixture of components N; n_{d} ; ;Liw ith quantum numbers related to the U (6) U (5) O (5) O (3) chain. In general the L-projected states j; N; Li interpolate between the U (5) spherical ground state, j_{N}^{N} i N; $n_{d} = L = 0i$, at = 0, and the prolate-deform ed ground band with SU (3) character (;) = (2N; 0), at = $\frac{1}{2}$.

Shape-phase transitions in nuclei have been studied in the geometric framework of a Bohr Hamiltonian for macroscopic quadrupole shapes. Analytic solutions, based on in nite square-well potentials, called E (5) [1] and X (5) [2], have been shown to be relevant to the dynam ics at the critical-point of second- and storder transitions respectively, and empirical examples have been presented [10]. Phase transitions for nite N can be studied by an IBM Ham iltonian of the form, H₁+gH₂, involving term s from dierent dynam ical sym metry chains [6]. The nature of the phase transition is governed by the topology of the corresponding energy surface (2), which serves as a Landau's potential. IBM Ham iltonians of this type have been studied extensively [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19], revealing the nite-N attributes of the phase transitions. The critical U (5)–0 (6) Ham iltonian was found to exhibit a – independent at-bottom ed energy surface, characteristic of a second-order phase transition. The critical U (5)-SU (3) Ham iltonian displays an energy surface with degenerate m in in a separated by an extrem ely low barrier, hence corresponds to a very specic (and non-generic) rst-order phase transition. It is clearly desirable to explore, from an algebraic perspective, the nite-N proper-

ties of a general rst-order critical-point with an arbitrary barrier. This is the goal of the present investigation.



FIG.1: The energy surface, Eq. (4), at the critical-point of a rst-order phase transition. The position and height of the barrier are $_{+}$ = (1 + $\sqrt{1 + \frac{2}{0}}$)= $_{0}$ and h = f(+) = (1 + $\sqrt{1 + \frac{2}{0}}$)²=4, with f(0) = f(_0) = 0, f(1) = 1.

In a rst-order phase transition the Landau's potential has two coexisting m inim a which become degenerate at the critical-point. Such a structure involving spherical and prolate-deform ed m inim a can be accommodated in the energy surface of Eq. (2) provided a;b > 0 and $b^2 = 4ac$ at the critical-point. For = 0 the corresponding critical energy surface can be transcribed in the form

$$E_{cri}(; = 0) = E_0 + cN (N 1)f()$$

f() = ²(1 + ²)²(₀)²: (4)

 E_{cri} (; = 0), shown in Fig. 1, behaves quadratically near the minima at = 0 and = $_0 = 2a=b > 0$, and approaches a constant for large . The value of $_0$ determines the position (= $_+$) and height (h) of the barrier separating the two minima in a manner given in the caption. h and $_+$ increase as a function of $_0$, and hence both low and high barriers can be considered. To construct a critical H am iltonian with such an energy surface, it is convenient to resolve it into intrinsic and collective parts [7, 8],

$$H_{cri} = H_{int} + H_c :$$
 (5)

Such decomposition can be done exactly for any IBM H am iltonian, as elaborated in great detail in [7, 8]. A dapting this procedure to the critical H am iltonian, the intrinsic part (H $_{int}$) is de need to have the equilibrium condensate $j = _0$; = 0;N i, Eq. (L), as an exact zero-energy eigenstate and to have an energy surface with a structure as in Eq. (4). H $_{int}$ is found to have the form

$$H_{int} = h_2 P_2^{\gamma}(0) P_2(0);$$
 (6)

with P_2^y (₀) = $_0 s^y d^y + \frac{p}{7=2} d^y d^y$ (²⁾, P_2^z (₀) = (1) P_2 ; (₀). Its energy surface coe cients of Eq. (2) are $a = h_2 \frac{2}{0}$; $b = 2h_2 \frac{1}{0}$; $c = h_2$; $E_0 = 0$, hence satisfy, for $h_2 > 0$, the aforem entioned conditions of a rst-order

critical-point. Since H_{int} is rotational-scalar, it has, by construction, the L-projected states $j = _0; N$; Li of Eq. (3) as solvable deformed eigenstates with energy E = 0. It has also solvable spherical eigenstates: $N; n_d = = L = 0i$ $j^N i$ and $N; n_d = = L = 3i$ with energy E = 0 and E = $3h_2 \quad {}^0_2 (N \quad 3) + 5$ respectively. For large N the spectrum of H_{int} is harmonic, involving quadrupole vibrations about the sphericalm inim um, and both and vibrations about the deformed minim um with frequencies , and given by [8]

$$= h_2 {}_{0}^{2} N ; = 9(1 + {}_{0}^{2})^{-1} : (7)$$

For the acceptable range 0 1:4, the -band 0 is expected to be considerably higher than the -band. All these features are present in the exact spectrum of H int shown in Fig. 2, which displays a zero-energy deform ed (K = 0) ground band, degenerate with a spherical $(n_d = 0)$ ground state. The remaining states are either predom inantly spherical, or deform ed states arranged in several excited K = 0 bands below the band. The coexistence of spherical and deform ed states is evident in the right portion of Fig. 2, which shows the n_d decom position of wave functions of selected eigenstates of H int. The \deform ed" states show a broad n_d distribution typicalof a deform ed rotor structure. The \spherical" states show the characteristic dom inance of single n_d com ponents that one would expect for a spherical vibrator.

The collective part (H $_{\rm c}$) is composed of kinetic terms which do not a ect the shape of the energy surface. It can be transcribed in the form [7, 8]

$$H_{c} = c_{3} \stackrel{h}{C_{0}}_{(3)} \quad 6\hat{n}_{d} + c_{5} \stackrel{i}{C_{0}}_{(5)} \quad 4\hat{n}_{d} + c_{6} \stackrel{i}{\hat{C}_{0}}_{(6)} \quad 5\hat{N} + E_{0}; \quad (8)$$

where $\hat{N} = \hat{n}_d + \hat{n}_s$, \hat{n}_d and \hat{n}_s are the total-boson, dboson and s-boson number operators respectively. Here \hat{C}_{G} denotes the quadratic C asim ir operator of the group G as de ned in [8]. In general, the collective and intrinsic parts of the critical H am iltonian (5) do not com m ute. Table I shows the e ect of di erent rotational terms in H_c. For the high-barrier case considered here, $(_0 = 13)$ h = 0:1), the calculated spectrum resembles a rigid-rotor $a_N L (L + 1)$) for the c_3 -term, a rotor with centrifugal stretching (E $a_N L (L + 1) b_N [L (L + 1)]^2$) for the c_5 -term , and a X (5)-like spectrum for the c_6 -term . In all cases the B (E 2) values are close to the rigid-rotor A laga values. This behaviour is di erent from that encountered when the barrier is low, e.g., for the critical U (5)-SU (3) Ham iltonian (corresponding to $_0 = 1=2^{\circ} 2$ 10^{-3}), where both the spectrum and E2 rates and h are sim ilar to the X (5) predictions [19].

To gain more insight of the underlying structure at the critical-point, we focus the discussion to the lowest coexisting spherical and deformed states. The need for angular momentum projection from mean eld solutions in regions of shape-coexistence is well known, e.g., in



FIG.2: Left portion: spectrum of H_{int}, Eq. (6), with $h_2 = 0:1$, $_0 = 1:3$ and N = 10. Right portion: the number of d bosons (n_d) probability distribution for selected eigenstates of H_{int}.

m icroscopic calculations em ploying H artree Fock B ogoliubov states [20]. In the present case, the matrix elements of the critical H am iltonian (5) in the states (3), $E_{L}^{(N)}$ () = h; N; L H_{crij} ; N; Li = $E_{L}^{(N)}$ () + E_{0} , can be evaluated in closed form

$$E_{L}^{(N)}() = h_{2} (_{0})^{2} (_{2;L}^{(N)} + c_{3} L (L + 1) 6D_{1;L}^{(N)}^{(N)} + c_{5} D_{2;L}^{(N)} 4 S_{2;L}^{(N)} + c_{6} N (N 1) (1 + ^{2})^{2} S_{2;L}^{(N)} : (9)$$

Here $D_{1;L}^{(N)}$, $S_{2;L}^{(N)}$, $D_{2;L}^{(N)}$ and $2;L_{iL}^{(N)}$ denote the expectation values in the states j; N; Li of \hat{n}_d , \hat{n}_s (\hat{n}_s 1), \hat{n}_d (\hat{n}_d 1) and $\hat{n}_s \hat{n}_d$ respectively. All these quantities are expressed in terms of the expectation value of \hat{n}_s , denoted by $S_{1;L}^{(N)}$. Speci cally, $D_{1;L}^{(N)} = N \qquad S_{1;L}^{(N)}$, $S_{2;L}^{(N)} = S_{1;L}^{(N)} S_{1;L}^{(N)}$, $2(N) = N \qquad S_{1;L}^{(N)}$, $S_{2;L}^{(N)} = S_{1;L}^{(N)} S_{1;L}^{(N)} + S_{2;L}^{(N)}$. The quantity $S_{1;L}^{(N)}$ itself is determ ined by the norm alization factors of Eq. (3)

$$S_{1;L}^{(N)} = {}_{N-1}^{(L)} () = {}_{N}^{(L)} () :$$
 (10)

It also satis es the following recursion relation

$$S_{1;L}^{(N)} = \frac{(N L=2)(2N + L + 1)}{(4 + 4)(N 1) + 3 + (2 2)(1 + 2)S_{1;L}^{(N-1)}}$$
(11)

obtained from the fact that P_2 ($_0$) of Eq.(6) annihilates the states j;N;Li for $_0 =$. The mixing between the coexisting spherical and deform ed L = 0 states, j₁i j^{s^N} i and j₂i j;N;L = 0i, can be studied by rst transform ing to an orthonorm albasis

$$j_{1}i = j_{1}i; j_{2}i = (1 r_{12}^{2})^{1=2} j_{2}i r_{12}j_{1}i;$$

$$r_{12} = h_1 j_2 i = N ! N_N (i)^{(L=0)} (j)^{1=2};$$
 (12)

and then exam ining the 2 2 potential energy m atrix,

$$K_{ij}() = h_{ij}H_{cri}j_{j}i = E_{0 ij} + K_{ij}; \quad (13)$$

which reads

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{K}_{11} = \begin{array}{c} 0 ; \mathcal{K}_{12} = \begin{array}{c} c_6 \end{array}^2 N \left(1 \begin{array}{c} r_{12}^2 \right) \end{array}^{1=2} r_{12} ; \\ & \mathfrak{k}_{22} \end{array} ; \\ & \mathcal{K}_{22} = \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{E}_{L=0}^{(N)} () + 2c_6 \end{array}^2 N r_{12}^2 \left(1 \begin{array}{c} r_{12}^2 \right) \end{array}^1 ; \quad (14) \end{split}$$

with N = N (N 1). The derived eigenvalues of the matrix serve as eigenpotentials, $E_{L=0}^{(\)}$ (), and the corresponding eigenvectors, $j_{L=0}^{(\)}i$, are identi ed with the ground (0_1^+) and excited (0_1^+) L = 0 states. The deformed states j; N; Li of Eq. (3) with L > 0 are identi ed with excited members of the ground-band (L_1^+) with energies given by $E_L^{(N)}$ (), Eq. (9). E2 matrix elements between these states can be evaluated in closed form. For example, for the E2 operator, T (E2) = $d^ys + s^y\tilde{d} + (d^y\tilde{d})^{(2)}$, the necessary matrix elements for transitions involving the L = $0_1^+; 2_1^+; 0_1^+$ states are T_1 h; N; $L^0 = 2jjT$ (E2) jj; N; L = 0i and T_2 h; N; $L^0 = 2jjT$ (E2) jjs^N i,

with = $P \overline{2=7}$. The parameter in the indicated wave functions, energies, and E 2 matrix elements, plays the role of an electric deformation whose value is chosen at the globalm inimum of the low est eigenvalue, $E_{L=0}^{()}()$, of the matrix K_{ij}() (13). As is evident from Table I, this procedure leads to accurate nite-N estimates of observables at the critical-point. The rotational terms

TABLE I: Excitation energies (in units of E $(2_1^+) = 1$) and B (E2) values [in units of B (E2; $2_1^+ ! 0_1^+ = 1$)] for selected term s in the critical H am iltonian, Eqs. (5), (6), (8), with (i) $c_3 = h_2 = 0.05$, (ii) $c_5 = h_2 = 0.1$, (iii) $c_6 = h_2 = 0.05$, and N = 10, $_0 = 1.3$, E 2 parameter = $\sqrt{7}=2$. The entries in square brackets [:::] are estimates based on the L-projected states, Eq. (3), with (i) = 1.327, (ii) = 1.318, (iii) = 1.294, determined by the global minimum of the respective lowest eigenvalue of the potential matrix, Eqs. (13)-(14). The rigid-rotor and X (5) [2] parameter-free predictions are shown for comparison.

	c3=h2	= 0:05	c5=h2	= 0:1	c ₆ =h ₂	= 0 : 05	rotor	X (5)
E (4 ⁺ ₁)	3.32	[3.32]	3.28	[3.28]	2.81	[2.87]	3.33	2.91
E (6 ⁺ ₁)	6.98	[6.97]	6.74	[6.76]	5.43	[5.63]	7.00	5.45
E (8 ⁺ ₁)	11.95	[11.95]	11.23	[11.29]	8.66	[9.04]	12.00	8.51
E (10 ⁺ ₁)	18.26	[18,26]	16.58	[16.69]	12.23	[12.83]	18.33	12.07
E (0 ⁺ ₂)	6.31	[6.30]	6.01	[5 . 93]	4.56	[5.03]		5.67
B (E 2; 4_1^+ ! 2_1^+)	1.40	[1.40]	1.40	[1.40]	1.46	[1.45]	1.43	1.58
B (E 2;6 ⁺ ₁ ! 4 ⁺ ₁)	1.48	[1.48]	1.48	[1.48]	1.55	[1.53]	1.57	1.98
B (E 2;8 ⁺ ₁ ! 6 ⁺ ₁)	1.45	[1.45]	1.45	[1.45]	1.53	[1.51]	1.65	2,27
B (E 2;10 ⁺ ₁ ! 8 ⁺ ₁)	1.37	[1.37]	1.37	[1.37]	1.44	[1.42]	1.69	2.61
B (E 2; 0_2^+ ! 2_1^+)	0.003	[0.003]	0.003	[0.004]	0.24	[0.18]		0.63

in H_{c} (8) a ect the value of the elective deformation. This highlights the importance of the coupling between the order-parameter () and the soft- (rotational) modes at the critical-point of a quantum phase transition [21]. The characteristic spectra, discussed above, of these rotational terms can now be understood from their contribution to $E_{L}^{(N)}$ (), Eq. (9), and K_{ij} (), Eqs. (13)-(14). As observed from Eq. (11), $S_{1,L}^{(N)}$ shows an L (L + 1) behaviour near the SU (3) value = 2 and, consequently, the contribution of the c_3 -term to E $_{\rm L}^{~(N~)}$ () is linear in L (L + 1) while that of the c_5 -and c_6 -term s is parabolic. The rigid- (non-rigid) rotor-like spectrum of the c_3 - (c_5 -) term sthen follows from the fact that they contribute only to the diagonalm atrix-element K $_{22}$ () in Eq. (14). The c6-term contributes both to diagonal and non-diagonal matrix elements, thus controls the mixing which is essential for obtaining an X (5)-like spectrum.

To sum marize, we have considered properties of a rstorder quantum shape-phase transition in a nite system from an algebraic perspective. A suitable critical-point H am iltonian was constructed by resolving it into intrinsic and collective parts. The intrinsic part generates an energy surface with two degeneratem inim a separated by

an arbitrary barrier, and its spectrum exhibits coexistence of spherical and deform ed states. The collective part contains kinetic rotational terms which a ect the rotational splittings and m ixing. Spectral signatures for the case of a high-barrier have been shown to dier from those of a low-barrier. The dynam ics at the criticalpoint can be described by an e ective deform ation determ ined by variation after projection, combined with two-level mixing of L = 0 states. W ave functions of a particular analytic form can be used to derive estimates for energies and quadrupole rates at the critical-point. The intrinsic-collective resolution constitute an e cient method for studying shape-phase transitions, since the derived Ham iltonian is tailored to reproduce a given energy surface which, in-turn, governs the nature of the phase transition. A lthough we have treated explicitly the IBM with one type of bosons, the tools developed are applicable to other nite system s described by sim ilar algebraic models, e.g., the proton-neutron version of the IBM for nuclei [4] and the vibron model for molecules [22]. This work was supported by the Israel Science Foundation.

- [1] F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3580 (2000).
- [2] F. Lachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 052502 (2001).
- [3] M. Vojta, Rep. Prog. Phys. 66, 2069 (2003).
- [4] F. Iachello and A. Arima, The Interacting Boson Model, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1987.
- [5] J.N.G inocchio and M.W. K irson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 1744 (1980).
- [6] A.E.L.Dieperink, O.Scholten and F.Iachello, Phys.

Rev.Lett.44,1747 (1980).

- [7] M W .K irson and A.Leviatan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2846 (1985).
- [8] A. Leviatan, Ann. Phys. (NY) 179, 201 (1987).
- [9] K. Hagino, G F. Bertsch, and P.-G. Reinhard, Phys. Rev. C 68, 024306 (2003).
- [10] R F.Casten and N V.Zam r, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3584 (2000); 87, 052503 (2001).

- [11] F. Iachelb, N.V. Zam r and R.F. Casten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1191 (1998).
- [L2] R F.Casten, D.Kusnezov and N.V.Zam r, Phys.Rev. Lett.82, 5000 (1999).
- [13] N.V. Zam r, P. von Brentano, R.F. Casten and J. Jolie, Phys. Rev. C 66, 021304 (R) (2002).
- [14] A. Leviatan and J. N. G inocchio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 212501 (2003).
- [15] JM .Arias, J.Dukelsky and JE.Garc a-Ram os, Phys. Rev.Lett.91,162502 (2003).
- [16] F.Iachello and N.V.Zam r, Phys.Rev.Lett.92,212501 (2004).

- [17] D J. Rowe, P.S. Turner and G. Roænstæl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 232502 (2004).
- [18] S. Dusuel, J. Vidal, JM. Arias, J. Dukelsky and JE. Garc a-Ramos, Phys. Rev. C 72, 011301 (R) (2005).
- [19] A. Leviatan, Phys. Rev. C 72, 031305 (R) (2005).
- [20] R. Rodr guez-Guzman, JL. Egido and LM. Robeldo, Phys.Lett.B 474, 15 (2000).
- [21] D. Belitz, T.R. Kirkpatrick and T. Vojta, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 579 (2005).
- [22] F. Iachello and R.D. Levine, Algebraic Theory of Molecules, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford 1995.