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#### Abstract

The dynam ics at the critical－point of a general rst－order quantum phase transition in a nite system is exam ined，from an algebraic perspective．Suitable H am iltonians are constructed whose spectra exhibit coexistence of states corresponding to two degenerate $m$ in $m$ in the energy surface separated by an arbitrary barrier．E xplicit expressions are derived for w ave functions and observables at the critical－point．


PACS num bers：21．60 Fw，21．10Re

Q uantum phase transitions have become a topic of great interest in di erent branches of physics［1，2，3］． $T$ hese are structural changes occurring at zero tem per－ ature as a function of a coupling constant and driven by quantum uctuations．The phase transitions are said to be of second－order when the system changes contin－ uously from one phase to another，and of rst－order when there is a discontinuous change between two co－ existing phases．Advanced experim ents have identi ed such structural changes in a variety of $m$ esoscopic sys－ tem s ，e．g．，nuclei，m olecules and atom ic clusters，where the transitions are betw een di erent shapes or geom et－ ric con gurations．A $n$ im portant issue in these system $s$ is to understand the modi cations at criticality due to their nite num ber of constituents．In the present work we study this question in connection with nuclei exem－ plifying a nite system undergoing a general rst－order shape－phase transition．

The role of a nite number of nucleons can be ad－ dressed in the interacting boson m odel（IBM）［4］］，which describes low－lying quadrupole collective states in nuclei in term sof a system of N m onopole（ s ）and quadrupole （d）bosons representing valence nucleon pairs．T hem odel is based on a $U$（6）spectrum generating algebra and its three solvable dynam ical sym m etry lim its：U（5），SU（3）， and $O$（6），describe the dynam ics of stable nuclear shapes： spherical，axially－deform ed，and－unstable deform ed．A geom etric visualization of the $m$ odel is obtained by an energy surface de ned by the expectation value of the Ham iltonian in the coherent（intrinsic）state［5，6］

$$
\begin{equation*}
j ; \quad ; N i=(\mathbb{N}!)^{1=2}\left(b_{C}^{y}\right)^{N}-j i ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{c}^{y}=\left(1+{ }^{2}\right)^{1=2}\left[\cos o_{f}^{d}+\sin \left(d_{2}^{z}+d_{2}^{y}\right)={ }^{p} \overline{2}+\right.$ $\left.s^{y}\right]$ ．For the generallBM H am iltonian w ith one－and tw o－ body interactions，the energy surface takes the form

$$
E(;)=E_{0}+N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) \frac{a^{2} b^{3} \cos 3+c^{4}}{\left(1+\mu^{2}\right)^{2}}:(2)
$$

The coe cients $\mathrm{E} 0 ; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$ ；c involve particular linear com－ binations of the $H$ am iltonian＇s param eters［7，8］．T he quadrupole shape param eters in the intrinsic state char－ acterize the associated equilibrium shape．For $>0$ the intrinsic state is deform ed and represents，in a varia－ tionalsense［9］，a ground band whose rotationalm em bers
are obtained by standard angular $m$ om entum projec－ tion．P articularly relevant for the present w ork are states of good O（3）sym m etry L projected from the prolate－ deform ed intrinsic state $j ;=0 ; \mathrm{N}$ i of Eq．（⿴囗⿱一一 ），

$$
\begin{align*}
& j ; N ; L ; M \text { i } /{ }_{N}^{\text {(L) }}()^{i=2} \hat{P}_{L M} j ; \quad=0 ; N i \\
& { }_{N}^{(L)}()=\frac{1}{N!}{ }_{0}^{Z} d x 1+{ }^{2} P_{2}(x)^{N} P_{L}(x): \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $P_{L}(x)$ is a Legendre polynom ialw ith $L$ even（ 0 $\mathrm{L} \quad 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ）and ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(\mathrm{L})}($ ）is a norm alization factor．The projected states $w$ ith $x e d N$ and $L$ ，involve a $m$ ixture of com ponents N ； $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ；；Liw ith quantum num bers related to the $U(6) \quad U(5) \quad O(5) \quad O(3)$ chain．In general the L－pro jected states $j ; N ; L$ i interpolate betw een the $\mathrm{U}(5)$ sphericalground state，$\dot{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{d}}=\quad=\mathrm{L}=0 \mathrm{i}$ ， at $=0$ ，and the prolate－deform ed gropnd band with $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ character $(;)=(2 \mathrm{~N} ; 0)$ ，at $=\overline{2}$ ．

Shape－phase transitions in nuclei have been stud－ ied in the geom etric fram ew ork of a Bohr Ham ilto－ nian for $m$ acroscopic quadrupole shapes．A nalytic so－ lutions，based on in nite square－w ell potentials，called $E$（5）［1］and $X$（5）［2］，have been show $n$ to be relevant to the dynam ics at the critical－point of second－and rst－ order transitions respectively，and em pirical exam ples have been presented［10］．Phase transitions for nite N can be studied by an $\mathbb{B M} \mathrm{H}$ am iltonian of the form， $\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{gH}_{2}$ ，involving term s from di erent dynam icalsym－ $m$ etry chains［6］．The nature of the phase transition is govemed by the topology of the corresponding en－ ergy surface（2），which serves as a Landau＇s potential． IBM H am iltonians of this type have been studied exten－ sively $11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19]$ ，revealing the nite $N$ attributes of the phase transitions．The crit－ ical U（5）－O（6）H am iltonian was found to exhibit a－ independent at－bottom ed energy surface，characteristic of a second－order phase transition．The critical U（5）－ SU（3）H am iltonian displays an energy surface $w$ th de－ generate $m$ inim a separated by an extrem ely low barrier， hence corresponds to a very speci c（and non－generic） rst－order phase transition．It is clearly desirable to ex－ plore，from an algebraic perspective，the nite－N proper－ ties of a general rst－ordercritical－point $w$ ith an arbitrary barrier． T his is the goal of the present investigation．


F IG . 1: The energy surface, Eq. (4), at the critical-point of a rst-order phase transition. The position and height of the barrier are $+=\left(1+\sqrt{1+{ }_{0}^{2}}\right)=0$ and $h=f(+)=$ $\left(1+\sqrt{1+{ }_{0}^{2}}\right)^{2}=4$, with $f(0)=f(0)=0, f(1)=1$.

In a rst-order phase transition the Landau's potential has two coexisting $m$ inim a which becom e degenerate at the critical-point. Such a structure involving spherical and prolate-deform ed $m$ inim a can be accom $m$ odated in the energy surface of Eq. (2) provided $a ; b>0$ and $b^{2}=$ 4 ac at the critical-point. For $=0$ the corresponding critical energy surface can be transcribed in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cri}}(;=0) \\
& \mathrm{f}()=\mathrm{E}_{0}+\mathrm{CN}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) \mathrm{f}()  \tag{4}\\
&{ }^{2}\left(1+{ }^{2}\right)^{2}(0)^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{E}_{\text {cri }}(; \quad=0)$, shown in F ig. 1 , behaves quadratically near the m inim a $=0$ and $=0=2 a=b>0$, and approaches a constant for large. . T he value of 0 determ ines the position ( $=+$ ) and height ( h ) of the barrier separating the tw o m inim a in a m anner given in the caption. $h$ and + increase as a function of 0 , and hence both low and high barriers can be considered. To construct a criticalH am iltonian w ith such an energy surface, it is convenient to resolve it into intrinsic and collective parts [7, 8],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cri}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{int}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}} \text { : } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such decom position can be done exactly for any $\mathbb{B M}$ H am iltonian, as elaborated in great detail in [7, 8]. A dapting this procedure to the criticalH am ittonian, the intrinsic part ( $H_{\text {int }}$ ) is de ned to have the equilibrium condensate $j=0 ;=0 ; \mathrm{N}$ i, Eq. (1), as an exact zeroenergy eigenstate and to have an energy surface $w$ ith a structure as in Eq. (4). H int is found to have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\text {int }}=\mathrm{h}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}}(0) \mathrm{P}_{2}(0) ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $P_{2}^{y}(0)=0 S^{y} d^{y}+{ }^{p} \overline{7=2} d^{y} d^{y}(2), P_{2}^{r}(0)=$ (1) $P_{2}$; ( o). Its energy surface coe cients of $\mathrm{q} q$. (2) are $a=h_{2}^{2} ; b=2 h_{2} ; \quad ; \quad=h_{2} ; E_{0}=0$, hence satisfy, for $h_{2}>0$, the aforem entioned conditions of a rst-order
critical-point. Since $H$ int is rotational-scalar, it has, by construction, the L-projected states $j=0 ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{Li}$ of Eq. (3) as solvable deform ed eigenstates $w$ th energy $E=0$. It has also solvable spherical eigenstates: $\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{d}}=\quad=\mathrm{L}=0 \mathrm{i} \quad \dot{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i}$ and $\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{d}}=\quad=\mathrm{L}=3 \mathrm{i}$ $w$ ith energy $E=0$ and $E=3 h_{2} \quad{ }_{0}^{2}(\mathbb{N} \quad 3)+5$ respectively. For large $N$ the spectrum of $H$ int is harm onic, involving quadrupole vibrations about the sphericalm inim um, and both and vibrations about the deform ed m in im um w ith frequencies, and given by [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
==h_{2}{ }_{0}^{2} \mathrm{~N} ; \quad=9\left(1+{ }_{0}^{2}\right)^{1}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the acceptable range $0 \quad 0 \quad 1: 4$, the -band is expected to be considerably higher than the boand. All these features are present in the exact spectrum of $H$ int shown in $F$ ig. 2, which displays a zero-energy deform ed ( $K=0$ ) ground band, degenerate $w$ th a spherical $\left(n_{d}=0\right)$ ground state. $T$ he rem aining states are either predom inantly spherical, or deform ed states arranged in severalexcited $\mathrm{K}=0$ bands below the band. The coexistence of spherical and deform ed states is evident in the right portion of $F$ ig. 2, which show $s$ the $n_{d}$ decom position of wave functions of selected eigenstates of H int . The \deform ed" states show a broad $n_{d}$ distribution typical of a deform ed rotor structure. The \spherical" states show the characteristic dom inance of single $n_{d}$ com ponents that one would expect for a spherical vibrator.
$T$ he collective part ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) is com posed of kinetic term S which do not a ect the shape of the energy surface. It can be transcribed in the form [7, 8]

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}= & \mathrm{C}_{3} \hat{\mathrm{C}} \hat{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{O}(3)} \quad 6 \hat{\mathrm{~A}}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{c}_{5} \hat{\mathrm{C}_{0(5)}} \quad 4 \hat{\mathrm{~A}}_{\mathrm{d}} \\
& +\mathrm{C}_{6} \hat{\mathrm{C}_{\overline{0(6)}}} \hat{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{E}_{0} ; \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathrm{N}}=\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{d}}+\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{s}}, \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are the total-boson, $\mathrm{d}-$ boson and $s$-boson num ber operators respectively. H ere $\hat{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{G}}}$ denotes the quadratic C asim ir operator of the group G as de ned in [8]. In general, the collective and intrinsic parts of the criticalH am iltonian (5) do not com $m$ ute. Table I show s the e ect of di erent rotational term $s$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$. For the high-barrier case considered here, ( $0=1: 3$, $h=0: 1$ ), the calculated spectrum resem bles a rigid-rotor ( $\left.E \quad a_{N} L(L+1)\right)$ for the $c_{3}$-term, a rotor $w$ ith centrifugalstretching ( $\left.E \quad a_{N} L(L+1) \quad b_{N}[L(L+1)\}^{2}\right)$ for the $c_{5}$-term, and a X (5)-like spectrum for the $c_{6}$-term. In all cases the $B(E 2)$ values are close to the rigid-rotor A laga values. This behaviour is di erent from that encountered when the barrier is low, e.g., for the critical $\mathrm{U}(5)-\mathrm{SU}$ (3) Ham ittonian (corresponding to $0=1=2 \overline{2}$ and $h \quad 10{ }^{3}$ ), where both the spectrum and E2 rates are sim ilar to the $X$ (5) predictions [19].

To gain $m$ ore insight of the underlying structure at the critical-point, we focus the discussion to the low est coexisting spherical and deform ed states. T he need for angular $m$ om entum projection from $m$ ean eld solutions in regions of shape-coexistence is well known, e.g., in


F IG . 2: Left portion: spectrum of H int, Eq. (6), with $h_{2}=0: 1,0=1: 3$ and $N=10$. R ight portion: the num ber of d bosons $\left(n_{d}\right)$ probability distribution for selected eigenstates of $H$ int .
m icroscopic calculations em ploying H artree Fock B ogoliubov states [20]. In the present case, the $m$ atrix ele$m$ ents of the critical H am iltonian (5) in the states (3), $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}(\mathrm{l})=\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{L} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cri}} \mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{Li}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{N})}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{E}_{0}$, can be evaluated in closed form

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\mathrm{C}_{5}^{\mathrm{h}} \underset{\mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{D}_{2 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{N})}} \quad{ }^{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{N})^{i}} \\
& +\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~N}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) \quad\left(1+{ }^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{N})^{1}} \text { : } \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{D}_{1 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}, \mathrm{S}_{2 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}, \mathrm{D}_{2 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ and $\underset{2 ; \mathrm{L}}{(\mathbb{N})}$ denote the expectation values in the states $j ; N ; L i$ of $\hat{n}_{d}, \hat{n}_{s}\left(\hat{r}_{s} 1\right)$, $\hat{n}_{d}\left(\hat{r}_{d} \quad 1\right)$ and $\hat{n}_{s} \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{d}}$ respectively. A ll these quantities are expressed in term $s$ of the expectation value of $\hat{n}_{\mathrm{S}}$, denoted by $\mathrm{S}_{1 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Speci cally, $\mathrm{D}_{1 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}=\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{S}_{1 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}$, $S_{2 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}=S_{1 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})} \mathrm{S}_{1 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N} 1)},{ }_{2 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbb{N} & 1) \mathrm{S}_{1 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})} \\ \mathrm{S}_{2 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}, \mathrm{D}_{2 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}\end{array}=\right.$ $N\left(\mathbb{N} \quad\right.$ 1) $2(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) S_{1 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}+S_{2 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}$. The quantity $\mathrm{S}_{1 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ itself is determ ined by the norm alization factors of Eq . (3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{N})}={ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(\mathrm{L})}{ }_{1}()={ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(\mathrm{L})}(): \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It also satis es the follow ing recursion relation
obtained from the fact that $P_{2}(0)$ ofEq. (6) anninilates the states $j ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{Li}$ for $0=$. Them ixing between the coexisting spherical and deform ed $L=0$ states, $j_{1 i}$ $\dot{\beta}^{N} i$ and $j_{2} i \quad j ; N ; L=0 i$, can be studied by rst transform ing to an orthonorm albasis

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{1} i & =j_{1} i ; j j_{2} i=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & r_{12}^{2}
\end{array}\right)^{1=2} j_{2} i \quad r_{12} j_{1} i ; \\
r_{12} & \left.=h_{1} j_{2} i=\mathbb{N}!{ }_{N}^{(L=0)}()\right]^{1=2} ; \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

and then exam ining the 22 potential energy $m$ atrix,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i j}()=h_{i j} H_{c r i} j{ }_{j} i=E_{0}{ }_{i j}+K_{i j} ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{K}_{11}=\underset{\mathrm{h}}{0} ; \mathrm{K}_{12}=\mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(1 \quad{\underset{\mathrm{r}}{12}}_{2}^{2}\right)^{1=2} \mathrm{r}_{12} ; \\
& \mathrm{K}_{22}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}=0}^{(N)}(\mathrm{N})+2 \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Nr}_{12}^{2} \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \left.\mathrm{r}_{12}^{2}\right)^{1} ;
\end{array}\right. \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$. The derived eigenvalues of the $m$ atrix serve as eigenpotentials, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}=0}^{(1)}(\mathrm{)}$, and the cor-
 ground ( $0_{1}^{+}$) and excited ( $0_{i}^{+}$) $\mathrm{L}=0$ states. The deform ed states $j$; N ;Li of Eq. (3) with $\mathrm{L}>0$ are identi ed with excited $m$ embers of the ground-band ( $L_{1}^{+}$) w th energies given by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{(N)}$ ( ), Eq. (9). E 2 m atrix ele$m$ ents betw een these states can be evaluated in closed form. For example, for the E 2 operator, $T(\mathbb{E} 2)=$ $d^{y} s+s^{y} \approx+\left(d^{y} \tilde{d}\right)^{(2)}$, the necessary $m$ atrix elem ents for transitions involving the $\mathrm{L}=0_{1}^{+} ; 2_{1}^{+} ; 0_{i}^{+}$states are $\mathrm{T}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{L}^{0}=2$ 方 $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{E} 2) \ddot{\mathrm{j}} ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{L}=0 \mathrm{i}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ h ; $N ; L^{0}=2 \ddot{j} T(E 2) \mathcal{J i s}^{N}$ i,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{1}=\frac{\left[_{\mathrm{N}}^{(0)} \mathrm{N}^{(0)}()+(1 \quad)_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~N}_{1}^{(2)}(\mathrm{l})\right]}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}^{(2)}()_{\mathrm{N}}^{(0)}()^{\mathrm{I}_{1=2}}} ; \\
& \left.\mathrm{T}_{2}=\mathrm{N}=\mathbb{N}!{ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(2)}()\right]^{1=2} \text {; } \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $=\mathrm{P} \overline{2=7}$. The param eter in the indicated wave functions, energies, and E 2 m atrix elem ents, plays the role of an e ective deform ation whose value is chosen at the globalm inim um of the low est eigenvalue, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}=0}^{(1)(),}$ of the $m$ atrix $K_{i j}()$ (13). As is evident from Table $I_{\text {, }}$ this procedure leads to accurate nite-N estim ates of observables at the critical-point. The rotational term $s$

TABLE I: Excitation energies (in units of $E\left(2_{1}^{+}\right)=1$ ) and $B(E 2)$ values [in units of $B\left(E 2 ; 2_{1}^{+}!0_{1}^{+}=1\right.$ )] for selected term $s$ in the critical H am iltonian, Eqs. (5), (6), (8), w ith (i) $c_{3}=h_{2}=0: 05$, (ii) $c_{5}=h_{2}=0: 1$, (iii) $c_{6}=h_{2}=0: 05$, and $N=10,0=1: 3$,
 (i) $=1: 327$, (ii) $=1: 318$, (iii) $=1: 294$, determ ined by the globalm in $\dot{m} u m$ of the respective low est eigenvalue of the potentialm atrix, Eqs. (13)-(14). The rigid-rotor and X (5) [2] param eter-free predictions are shown for com parison.

|  | $\mathrm{c}_{3}=\mathrm{h}_{2}=0: 05$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}=\mathrm{h}_{2}=0: 1$ | $\mathrm{c}_{6}=\mathrm{h}_{2}=0: 05$ | rotor | X (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E ( $4_{1}^{+}$) | 3.32 [3.32] | 328 [328] | 2.81 [2.87] | 3.33 | 2.91 |
| $\mathrm{E}\left(6_{1}^{+}\right)$ | 6.98 [6.97] | 6.74 [6.76] | 5.43 [5.63] | 7.00 | 5.45 |
| E ( $8_{1}^{+}$) | 11.95 [11.95] | 11.23 [11 29] | 8.66 [9.04] | 12.00 | 8.51 |
| $\mathrm{E}\left(10_{1}^{+}\right)$ | 18.26 [18.26] | 16.58 [16.69] | 12.23 [12.83] | 18.33 | 12.07 |
| $\mathrm{E}\left(0_{2}^{+}\right)$ | 6.31 [6.30] | 6.01 [5.93] | 4.56 [5.03] |  | 5.67 |
| B (E2; $1_{1}^{+}$! $2_{1}^{+}$) | 1.40 [1.40] | 1.40 [1.40] | 1.46 [1.45] | 1.43 | 1.58 |
| B ( $\mathrm{E} 2 ; 6_{1}^{+}$! $4_{1}^{+}$) | 1.48 [1.48] | 1.48 [1.48] | 1.55 [1.53] | 1.57 | 1.98 |
| B ( $\mathbb{E} \mathbf{2} 8_{1}^{+}$! $6_{1}^{+}$) | 1.45 [1.45] | 1.45 [1.45] | 1.53 [1.51] | 1.65 | 2.27 |
| B ( $\mathrm{E} 2 ; 10_{1}^{+}$! $8_{1}^{+}$) | 1.37 [1.37] | 1.37 [1.37] | 1.44 [1.42] | 1.69 | 2.61 |
| B (E2; $0_{2}^{+}$! $2_{1}^{+}$) | 0.003 [0.003] | 0.003 [0.004] | 024 [0.18] |  | 0.63 |

in $H_{c}$ (8) a ect the value of the e ective deform ation. T his high lights the im portance of the coupling betw een the order-param eter ( ) and the soft- (rotational) m odes at the critical-point of a quantum phase transition [21]. The characteristic spectra, discussed above, of these rotational term scan now be understood from their contribution to $E_{L}^{(N)}$ ( ), Eq. (9), and $K_{i j}$ ( ), Eqs. (13)-(14). A s observed from Eq. (11), $\mathrm{S}_{1 ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{N})}$ show s an $L(L+1)$ behaviour near the $S U$ (3) value $={ }^{2} \overline{2}$ and, consequently, the contribution of the $c_{3}$-term to $E_{L}^{(N)}()$ is linear in $L(L+1)$ while that of the $c_{5}-$ and $c_{6}$-term $s$ is parabolic. $T$ he rigid- (non-rigid) rotor-like spectrum of the $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\left(\mathrm{c}_{5}-\right)$ term $s$ then follow s from the fact that they contribute only to the diagonalm atrix-elem ent $\mathrm{K}_{22}(\mathrm{I})$ in Eq. (14). The $\mathrm{c}_{6}$-term contributes both to diagonal and non-diagonal $m$ atrix elem ents, thus controls the $m$ ixing which is essential for obtaining an $X$ (5)-like spectrum .

To sum $m$ arize, we have considered properties of a rstorder quantum shape-phase transition in a nite system from an algebraic perspective. A suitable critical-point H am iltonian was constructed by resolving it into intrinsic and collective parts. T he intrinsic part generates an energy surface $w$ th tw $o$ degenerate $m$ in $m$ a separated by
an arbitrary barrier, and its spectrum exhibits coexistence of spherical and deform ed states. T he collective part contains kinetic rotational term swhich a ect the rotational splittings and $m$ ixing. Spectral signatures for the case of a high-barrier have been shown to di er from those of a low -barrier. The dynam ics at the criticalpoint can be described by an e ective deform ation determ ined by variation after projection, com bined w ith two-levelm ixing of $L=0$ states. $W$ ave functions of a particular analytic form can be used to derive estim ates for energies and quadrupole rates at the critical-point. The intrinsic-collective resolution constitute an e cient $m$ ethod for studying shape-phase transitions, since the derived H am iltonian is tailored to reproduce a given energy surface which, in-tum, govems the nature of the phase transition. A though we have treated explicitly the IBM w ith one type ofbosons, the tools developed are applicable to other nite system s described by sim ilar algebraicm odels, e.g., the proton-neutron version of the $\mathbb{B M}$ for nuclei [4] and the vibron $m$ odel for $m$ olecules [22]. This work was supported by the Israel Science Foundation.
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