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#### Abstract

W e com pare di erent form alism s for the calculation of lepton pair em ission in hadron-hadron collisions and discuss the consequences of the approxim ations inherent to each of them. U sing a Lorentz-covariant and gaugeinvariant form alism proposed by Lichard, we calculate lepton pair em ission via brem sstrahlung in proton-proton and neutron-proton reactions at energies betw een 1 and 5 GeV to leading order in the virtualphoton fourm om entum. These new results suggest that som e previous brem sstrahlung calculations based on varieties of the soft-photon approxim ation $m$ ight have som ew hat


[^0]overestim ated the D LS low m ass dilepton cross sections. W e nd that the respective intensities of dilepton production through pp and np brem sstrah hung are energy-dependent and become comparable at $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{kin}}>2 \mathrm{GeV} . \mathrm{W}$ e also calculate the polar angle anisotropy of the lepton spectrum .
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## I. IN TRODUCTION

D ileptons and photons are probably the best carriers of inform ation from the hot and com pressed nuclearm atter produced in the early stages of heavy-ion collisions [1]. In principle, those electrom agnetically interacting particles can leave the hadronic environm ent from which they are created w thout signi cant disturbances, o ering a relatively clean probe of the nuclear collision dynam ics. In heavy ion collisions at incident energies of $1\{5 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{GeV}$, where the Law rence B enkeley Laboratory D ilepton Spectrom eter (DLS) has already taken $m$ easurem ents [2], the most im portant souroes of $e^{+} e$ pairs seem to be D alitz and radiative decays $\mid m$ ainly from $m$ esons and 's, pion-pion annihilations, and nucleon-nucleon brem sstrahlung [ $\mathbf{B}_{[5]}$ ]. M easurem ents of lepton pair production in single nucleon-nucleon reactions have also recently been perform ed in the GeV energy regim e . In those, the m easured pd/pp dielectron yield ratios display a clear beam energy as well as invariant mass dependence [6]. This suggests that the dom inant $m$ echanism for dilepton production $m$ ay be changing as the beam energy per nucleon increases from 1 to 5 GeV . In particular, one should pay attention to the opening of inelastic nucleon-nucleon channels. The latter have been shown to play an im portant role for dielectron production in nucleon-nucleon collisions at 4.9 GeV 固]. To eventually understand quantitatively and com pletely the relative role of all these contributions and their excitation function in the com plex environm ent of nucleus-nucleus collisions, it is vital to rst calculate the lepton pair production cross sections for individual processes as accurately as possible. H ere we shall concentrate on the brem sstrahlung generation of lepton pairs in the case of nucleon-nucleon reactions.

Several di erent calculations for electron-positron pair em ission through nucleon-nucleon brem sstrahlung have been perform ed for reactions at, and slightly above, 1 GeV . Som e of the m ore sophisticated approaches used relativistic one-boson exchange (OBE) Lagrangians, $w$ ith the coupling to the electrom agnetic eld done by $m$ inim al substitution 7 [ 9$]$. These approaches are thus entirely Lorentz-covariant and are also gauge-invariant in the electro$m$ agnetic sector. N ote that the OBE dilepton calculations can bem ade gauge-invariant even
when form factors at the strong interactions vertioes are used 7]. Such approaches have all the transform ation properties that are required of a com plete theory but they are not com pletely satisfactory in two respects. First, they are very cum bersom e. If several $m$ eson elds are involved, the num ber of Feynm an graphs to be evaluated proliferates rapidly and the di culty of the calculations increases accordingly. The coupling constants in the OBE m odelare tted such that the total nucleon-nucleon cross sections are reproduced as closely as possible. This exercise thus has to be repeated for each colliding system . Second, even if two di erent OBE calculations w th two slightly di erent set of ingredients (m eson elds, form factors and coupling constants) can do a good job of generating total nucleon-nucleon cross sections that are in agreem ent with experim ental m easurem ents, generally they will have di erent predictions for the di erential cross sections. A s we shall see below, there is a way of w riting the low invariant $m$ ass dilepton production cross section in nucleon-nucleon collisions such that it clearly depends on the di erential elastic cross section of the colliding partners. This fact thus im poses very stringent requirem ents on the OBE m odels as far as their ability to predict lepton pair production yields is concemed. T his point was recently m ade in the literature [19 鸟]. Because of the above considerations, the calculations pertaining to the brem sstrahlung generation of low invariant $m$ ass lepton pairs in nucleon-nucleon collisions have used a \soft photon approxim ation" 11] of som e kind or another. A Im ost all of the recent calculations of dielectron production in nucleon-nucleon (and nucleus-nucleus) collisions that have used the soff photon approxim ation have used as their starting point a form ula suggested by R uckl 12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{+} E \frac{d^{6} e^{+} e}{d^{3} p_{+} d^{3} p}=\frac{1}{2^{2}} \frac{1}{M^{2}} \quad!{\frac{d^{3}}{d^{3} q}}_{q=p_{+}+p^{-}}^{!}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation links the cross section for production of dileptons via virtual photon brem sstrahlung to the brem sstrahlung cross section for real photons. In the above, pr is the threem om entum of the electron or positron, $E$ is the energy, $M^{2}=\left(p_{+}+p\right)^{2}$ is the dilepton invariant $m$ ass squared, $q$ is the photon $m$ om entum and ! is its energy. The ne structure constant appears as.

The derivation of soft photon form ul in the context of brem sstrahlung em ission of lepton pairs has recently been re-analyzed [13]. It was shown that Ruckl's formula was not properly Lorentz-covariant and did not contain the relationship between dilepton cross section and virtual photon cross section that is required by gauge invariance [14]. In the context of the new analysis ofdilepton production via virtual photon brem sstrahlung, a new set of form ul were derived for the leading order and next-to-leading order contributions to lepton pair em ission 13].

It had been know $n$ for som $e$ tim e that the $R$ ucklform ula was not entirely correct 15 [7] [9]. Nevertheless, in com parison w ith OBE calculations, it was deem ed quantitatively adequate [ ${ }^{6}$, It is not the point of this paper to restate this known fact. W e rather wish to investigate the em pirical predictions of the new form alism in the practical fram ew ork of quasi-elastic nucleon-nucleon collisions at energies around and above 1 GeV , to establish whether the new leading term approxim ation deviates signi cantly from previous works. W e also plan to investigate quantitatively and system atically the e ects of di erent layers of approxim ation found in several calculations. O ur paper is organized as follow s: in section \#we introduce the general form alism, in section \#we com pare di erent approaches to the generation of soft virtual photons and discuss the di erences. In section $\mathbb{V}$ we explore the consequences of a better treatm ent of the $m$ any boody phase space. In section $V$, we discuiss how som e of the approxim ations seen in sections $\Pi$ and $\Pi$ can be put into perspective by an angular anisotropy analysis of the lepton spectrum. W e then sum $m$ arize.

## II. GENERALFORMALISM AND HADRON IC ELECTROMAGNETIC CURRENTS

C onsider the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b!c+d+e^{+} e \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c$, and $d$ represent nucleons. The generic Feynm an diagram for the leadingterm contributions to the em ission of a soft virtual photon are shown in Fig. ⿴囗 This is
the process on which we concentrate in this work. See the appendix for a $m$ athem atical description of what is a leading term and what isn't. T he circle schem atically represents the strong interaction. In the lim it ofsoft photons, realor virtual, the radiation from the strong interaction blob is a sub-leading contribution. W hen $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ and d are all protons, there are eight Feynm an graphs to be added coherently for the caloulation of lepton pair em ission. $N$ ote in passing that there have been argum ents that brem sstrahlung from pn reactions should be signi cantly m ore im portant than that from pp. These were based on the fact that, nonrelativistically, the rst non-vanishing multipole contribution for pp appears at the quadrupole level, whereas for np it is at the dipole stage. It has how ever been recently show n that such argum ents do not hold for relativistic collisions. [3]. In fact, the lepton pair yields from these two processes are com parable at 4.9 GeV .5]. There will be m ore on this later. N aturally, the pp brem sstrahlung contribution is crucial in the interpretation of the ratio of dileptons produced in pd and pp reactions. W e w ill discuss pp contributions in this work, also.

W e evaluate and sum the am plinudes of the relevant Feynm an diagram s of the type in Fig. 11. A nticipating the soft photon lim it, we assum e that the hadronic part of the total $m$ atrix elem ent is una ected by the fact that one of its legs is slightly o -shell. We w rite this on-shell m atrix elem ent for elastic nucleon-nucleon scattering as $\mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{o}$. We om it the m om entum labelling of the initial and nal state, for sim plicity. Squaring the net m atrix elem ent, $M$, and sum $m$ ing over nal state spins and averaging over initial states, we obtain to leading order (see appendix)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} x_{S_{a} s_{b} s_{c} s_{d} s_{+} s} \quad \not M j^{2}=4 \bar{M} \quad \overline{J^{2}} J \text { J L }: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=Q_{a} \frac{\left(2 p_{a} q\right)}{2 p_{a} q M^{2}} \quad Q_{b} \frac{\left(2 p_{b} \quad q\right)}{2 p_{b} \quad q \quad M^{2}}+Q_{c} \frac{\left(2 p_{c}+q\right)}{2 p_{c} q+M^{2}}+Q_{d} \frac{\left(2 p_{d}+q\right)}{2 p_{d} q+M^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the hadron electrom agnetic current, and the lepton tensor is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{8}{M^{4}} 2\left(p_{+} p+p p_{+}\right) \quad M^{2} g: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $Q$ 's and $p^{\prime}$ 's represent charges and four $m$ om enta for the particles, and $q=\left(p_{+}+p\right)$. N ote that $\mathrm{J} q=0$, as a consequence of gauge invarianc. $\overline{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{of}^{3}$ is the on-shell hadronic elastic scattering $m$ atrix elem ent, squared, sum $m$ ed over nalspins and averaged over initial spins.

A fter perform ing the appropriate contractions, wem ay w rite the di erential cross section for $e^{+} e$ pair production $w$ ith invariant $m$ ass $M$ and energy $g_{b}$ as

$$
E_{+} E \frac{d^{6}{ }_{a b}^{+} e^{e} c d}{d^{3} p_{+} d^{3} p}=\frac{2}{16} \frac{1}{M^{2}} \frac{R_{2}\left(s_{2} ; m_{a}^{2} ; m_{b}^{2}\right)}{R_{2}\left(s ; m_{a}^{2} ; m_{b}^{2}\right)} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
J^{2} & \frac{1}{M^{2}}\left(1 \quad J^{3}\right. \tag{6}
\end{array}\right] \frac{d a b!c d}{d t} d{ }_{c} d t ;
$$

where $c$ is the azim uthal angle of one of the outgoing hadrons in the center-ofm ass fram e and the four-vector $l=p_{+} \quad p$ is the di erence ofpositron and electron fourm om enta and $t$ is the four-m om entum transfer. Equation (6) follow s from Eq. (2.15) of [13] after specifying the nonradiative cross section as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0}=\frac{d_{a b}!_{c d}}{d_{c}}{ }_{c}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d_{a b!c d}}{d t} d_{c} d t \text {; } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and including the phase-space correction introduced in [16].
In the evaluation of the original Feynm an diagram $s$, we have neglected the fourm om entum q of the virtual photon in the phase-space function in order to reproduce the kinem atics associated with the on-shell elastic di erential nucleon-nucleon cross section, $d=d t$ [11]. Because of this approxim ation, we include [16] the ratio of tw o-body phase space [17] $R_{2}\left(s_{2} ; m_{a}^{2} ; m_{b}^{2}\right)=R_{2}\left(s ; m_{a}^{2} ; m_{b}^{2}\right)$ evaluated at $s_{2}$ and $s, w$ here $s$ is the invariant energy squared available for all the nal-state particles and $s_{2}=s+M^{2} \quad 2 q^{p} \bar{s}$. This approxim ate correction prevents the lepton pair from violating the overall energy-m om entum conservation law s and thus has a signi cant e ect on dilepton distributions. The ratio constructed from Eq. (6) w ith and w ithout the correction factor correctly dropsm onotonically to zero in the lim it ofm axim um invariant $m$ ass. $H$ andling the phase-space properly is quite im portant. H ow ever, setting $q=0$ in the four-dim ensional delta function also foroes the expression for the current to be evaluated on-shell, i.e. $p_{c}+p_{d}=p_{a}+p_{b} . C$ learly, this $w$ ill have som $e$ e ect on the value of the current of Eq. (4). For the purpose of clarity, we will investigate separately the e ects of a com plete treatm ent of phase space in section $\mathbb{I V}$.

An exercise in relativistic kinem atics gets one from Eq. (G) to the Lorentz-covariant di erential cross section in global dilepton variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0} \frac{d^{4} e^{+} e a^{2}!c d}{d M^{2} d^{3} q}=\frac{2}{24{ }^{4}} \frac{1}{M^{2}}\left(1+\frac{2^{2}}{M^{2}}\right) \quad 1 \quad \frac{4^{2}}{M^{2}} \frac{R_{2}\left(s_{2} ; m_{a}^{2} ; m_{b}^{2}\right)^{z}}{R_{2}\left(s ; m_{a}^{2} ; m_{b}^{2}\right)} \quad\left(J^{2}\right) \frac{d a b!c d}{d t} d{ }_{c} d t \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the electron $m$ ass. O ur usage ofR uckl's approach for dilepton production consists of neglecting the term ( $p_{+} p+p_{+} p$ ) of the leptonic tensor 回], Eq. (回), and analytically continuing the realphoton energy to $q_{D}={ }^{p} \overline{q^{2}+M^{2}}$. This procedure is how ever not Lorentzcovariant [13]. O ne then obtains Eq. (G) multiplied by an additional factor of 3/2 (1+ $\left.2^{2} / M^{2}\right)^{1}$. From here on, we shall discuss electron-positron pair production exclusively and we system atically w ill use $=0$.

To com plete our analysis of previous e orts we now tum our attention to the electro$m$ agnetic current. For em ission of realphotons in reaction (2), the current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=Q_{a} \frac{p_{a}}{p_{a}} q Q_{b} \frac{p_{b}}{p_{b}}+Q_{c} \frac{p_{c}}{p_{c}}+Q_{d} \frac{p_{d}}{p_{d}}: \dot{q} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This approxim ation was also used by several authors, in the case of soft virtual photons [15, 18 , $\left.{ }^{5}\right]$. It is worth $m$ entioning here that for the case of virtual photons, the \soft" lim it is not unam biguously de ned: for virtual photons, what is m eant by a \soft photon lim it"? Here, we use this term in connection w th the condition M! 0. H ow ever, bear in $m$ ind that the lepton pair energy and lepton pair threem om entum can individually stillibe quite large. $T$ hus $M$ ! 0 is in fact not a su cient condition to neglect both $q_{0}$ and $q$ in the delta functions, even ifthis om ission can partially be corrected (e.g. Eq. (G)). The opposite lim it where $M$ is large im plies that the lepton pair energy is large and $m$ ost certainly can't be ignored in the phase space delta function. By the sam e token, o -shell e ects on the strong interaction amplitude can be sm all in the $M$ ! 0 lim it, but won't be, in the large $M$ region. In this work we do not include a discussion of o -shell e ects. W e should how ever keep this point in $m$ ind when discussing the regions of validity of our calculations.

There are thus several possible ingredients that can a ect the results of lepton pair em ission calculations of the \soff photon type". O ne resides in the use of the R uckl form ula,
a widely-used expression which nevertheless is not Lorentz-covariant. This rst point is easily settled: our intenpretation of Ruckl's form ula for electron-positron pair production instead of Eq. [G) sim ply introduces an overall factor of 3/2. A nother possible source of disagreem ent between calculations has to do w th the use of the current of Eq. (G) as the electrom agnetic current for hadrons when m assive lepton pairs are em itted. For lepton pairs w ith very sm all invariant $m$ asses the current represented by Eq. (4) of course reduces to that of Eq. (G). A lso, the exact treatm ent of dynam ics will play a role. For the tim e being, we set $q=0$ in the four-dim ensional $D$ irac delta function and sim ply use the phase space correction factor associated with Eq. (6). In the next section, we investigate the e ects of using di erent hadronic electrom agnetic currents.

## III. COMPARING CURRENTS

Squaring the current (4), as is required by Eq. (8), we obtain an expression that depends on the spatialorientation of the virtualphoton. Taking an angular average, and for an equal$m$ ass reaction of the type speci ed in Eq. (2) $w$ ith $m_{a}=m_{b}=m_{c}=m_{d}=m$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2{ }_{1}^{2} Q_{a} Q_{b}\left(2 \quad \frac{M^{2}}{s} \quad \frac{4 m^{2}}{s}\right) F\left(\sim_{a}^{0} ; \sim_{b}^{0}\right) \\
& 2{ }_{2}^{2} Q_{C} Q_{d}\left(2 \quad \frac{M^{2}}{S} \quad \frac{4 m^{2}}{S}\right) F\left(\sim_{c}^{0} ;_{d}^{\sim}\right) \\
& +2_{12}\left(Q_{a} Q_{c}+Q_{b} Q_{d}\right) \frac{4 m^{2}+M^{2} 2 t}{S} F\left(\sim_{a}^{0} ; \sim_{c}^{0}\right) \\
& +2_{12}\left(Q_{a} Q_{d}+Q_{b} Q_{c}\right) \frac{2 s+M^{2} 4 m^{2}+2 t}{S} F\left(\sim_{a}^{0} ;_{d}^{\sim}\right) \text {; } \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where the function
and where the radicand is

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & x & y^{2}
\end{array} \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & y^{2} \tag{12}
\end{array}\right):\right.
$$

To $m$ ake the form ula $m$ ore com pact, we have introduced the variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}=\frac{1}{1 M^{2} P^{-} \bar{s} q_{0}} ; \quad 2=\frac{1}{1+M^{2} P^{p} \bar{s} q_{0}} ; \quad=1 \quad \frac{M^{2}}{q_{0}^{2}}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The velocities are related to the above de nitions and to invariants through

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{a}^{\mathrm{o}_{2}}={ }_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{o}_{2}}={ }_{1}^{2}\left(1 \quad \frac{4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right) ; \quad{ }_{c}^{\mathrm{o}_{2}}=\quad{ }_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{o}_{2}}=\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
2
\end{array}\left(1 \quad \frac{4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim_{a}^{0}{\underset{c}{c}}_{0}^{0}=\tilde{a}_{a}^{0} \tilde{d}_{d}^{0}=12\left(1 \quad \frac{4 m^{2}}{s}+\frac{2 t}{s}\right): \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

N otice that term s directly proportionalto $1=9$ have exactly cancelled and disappeared upon squaring the current. If we set $M=0$ in Eq. (10), we recover Eq.(3.6) of Ref. 19].

W e have calculated the ratio $\mathrm{R}_{0}=\mathrm{J}_{\text {virtual }}^{2}=J_{\text {real }}^{2} \mathrm{w}$ ith the angular-averaged currents of Eq. (4) and Eq. (9). This ratio depends on the invariant energy $s$, the fourm om entum transfer $t$, and the dilepton invariant $m$ ass and energy: $M$ and $q_{0}$. For the purpose of com parison, we arbitrarily input som e reasonable values and plot $R_{0}$ against the virtual photon threem om entum in Fig. Z. We nd sizeable deviations from 1 in this quantity. The ratio for pn processes is sensitive to the value of cm :, the scattering angle in the nucleon-nucleon centre ofm ass, whereas it is quite insensitive for the pp case. Interference e ects evidently play a role here. To better understand the e ect of the \com plete" current (also labelled Ivirtual", above) on the di erential cross section for dilepton production, we integrate overt and plot $R_{1}=\left(\frac{d}{d M^{2} d^{3} q}\right)_{\text {virtual }}=\left(\frac{d}{d M^{2} d^{3} q}\right)_{\text {real }}$ as a function of the cm. virtualphoton $m$ om entum $\dot{M} j$ in $F$ ig. ${ }^{3}$, for di erent dilepton invariant $m$ asses. $W$ e are now essentially com paring the e ect of using Eq. (4) over Eq. (9) in a dilepton production calculation. A lso, to actually com pare tw o sets of calculations that have been done previously, the num erator is derived from Eq. (8), while the denom inator is derived from Ruckl's approach.

Before discussing the results in F ig. 3 , let us restate that the net lepton pair spectrum will depend on the details of the di erential nucleon-nucleon elastic cross-section, $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{dt}$.

This is evident from Eq. (6) and Eq. (8). It thus follow s that the present analysis w ill have to be redone for di erent system s at di erent energies, w ith possibly di erent conclusions. For exam ple, quark-quark and pion-pion virtualbrem sstrahlung calculations have attracted som e recent attention in connection with ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions 1920]. The consequences of the present form alism on such studies is presently being analyzed 21]. It is thus im perative to have an accurate param etrization for the elastic nucleon-nucleon di erential cross section $d=d t$ over the relevant range in $t$. For pn elastic collisions, $d=d t$ is nearly sym $m$ etric for kinetic energy less than 1 GeV . But at higher energies, the observed distributions are not sym $m$ etric about cm : = 90 but rather develop a stronger forw ard peak . $T$ his asym $m$ etry increases w ith the scattering energy and can suppress the pn brem sstrah lung contribution to dilepton production by a factor of 4 at 4.9 GeV [10[何]. A fairly detailed param etrization at 4.9 GeV kinetic energy was used in Ref. 目] to calculate the absolute sizes of the pn and pp brem sstrahlung contributions. For practical reasons, we instead adopt the param etrizations of Refs. [10] and [22] for the pn and pp elastic di erential cross sections. These two functional form s can $t$ the experim ental data for energies up to 6 GeV $w$ th the necessary accuracy.

A nalyzing $F$ ig. 3, the ratio $R_{1}$ rem ains $2 / 3$ for $s m$ all invariant $m$ ass $M \quad 10 \mathrm{MeV}$. For higher invariant $m$ asses, $R_{1}$ is greater than $2 / 3$ for alm ost all values of the virtual photon $m$ om entum, in the case of $p n$ scattering. Increasing $M$ in the pp case, $R_{1}$ rem ains still considerably sm aller than $2 / 3$ at low m om enta and drastically increases as the threem om entum grow s . The low m om entum value ofR $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is thus quite di erent in the tw o reactions at hand. It is not sim ple to draw clearphysicalconclusions for these behaviours, but them ain point is that these features suggest that using the \com plete" current for virtual photons changes the distribution of the dielectrons in phase space, especially in the pp case.

U pon integration, we arrive at di erential cross sections in term s of lepton pair invariant $m$ ass. In Fig. 4, we show two curves: the dashed curve comesponds to using the Ruckl form ula w ith the real photon current, Eq. (G). The solid curve corresponds to using Eq. (g) with the current of Eq. (4). In each panel three kinetic energies are show n : 1.0,
3.0 and $4.9 \mathrm{GeV} . \mathrm{W}$ e are thus in e ect evaluating the di erence between two approaches to calculating the dielectron production in nucleon-nucleon collisions: the one based on Ruckl's equation and the real photon current (we rem ind the reader that this com bination has becom e well know $n$ and widely used), and the one based on the m ore recent Lorentzcovariant form alism with a m ore general electrom agnetic current for hadrons. At these energies (which correspond to D LS m easurem ents) one realizes that the Lorentz-covariant and gauge-invariant form ul that we adopted in this work (solid curves) reduce the dilepton production cross section only slightly form $<0: 5 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}$ in both pn and pp processes com pared w ith previous results. ForM $>0: 5 \mathrm{GeV}$, the situation is a little m ore com plicated as a slight decrease or a slight increase is observed, depending on the beam energy. W e have veri ed that the ratio $\left(\frac{d}{d M}\right)_{\text {virtual }}=\left(\frac{d}{d M^{2}}\right)_{\text {real }}$ is equal to $2 / 3$ in the sm all $M$ region for both pn and pp cases, and found it to increases monotonically $w$ ith $M$ for pn process, but decreases m onotonically for pp as one goes tow ard the kinem atical lim it. Recall that other physical processes dom inate the dielectron yield forM $>0: 5 \mathrm{GeV}$ at large enough beam energy 国]. In the large invariantm ass region, $F$ ig. 4 suggests that the previous brem sstrahlung calculations underestim ate the dilepton yields at m ost by a factor of 2 even at the kinetic energy 4.9 G eV , even though our form alism is not strictly applicable there. For pp scattering, it is w orthw hile to point out that the radiation intensity is strongly depleted due to interference e ects near the maxim um M .

Thus our ndings at this point are essentially these: the \im proved" form alism does not a ect very much the soft lepton yields previously calculated. W e do not address in detail the issue ofhard leptons ( $M \quad 0: 5 \mathrm{GeV}$ ) because there, the present form alism is inadequate and also because the signal is dom inated by other souroes. In the sm all invariant $m$ ass region $\mathrm{M}<0: 5 \mathrm{GeV}$, we nd that this new form alism only am ounts to the num erical di erence of 2/3.

W e have $m$ ade above a form al com parison of two approaches. H ow ever, we did discover that the tw o form alism s would produce di erent phase space distributions of lepton pairs: see F ig. 目. Let us now consider the speci c case of the D LS data. It is a known fact that
any theoretical calculation attem pting to reproduce the D LS data should rst be ltered by the D LS experim ental acceptance. It is then conceivable that the predictions of the tw o approaches could be a ected quite di erently by the experim ental lter. W e have run our two sets of calculations through the D LS experim ental lter 23]. In Fig. 5 we show the invariant $m$ ass dependence of the absolute di erential cross sections, at kinetic energies 1.0, 3.0 and 4.9 GeV . This gure now suggests that the previous calculations overestim ated the low m ass dielectron yields by a factor of 2-3 for $n p$ and a factor of $2-5$ for pp brem sstrah hung in collisions at 4.9 G eV . Thus the e ect of the D LS acceptance is to $m$ ake the di erences betw een the tw o approachesm ore pronounced. T hee ect is sm aller for low er kinetic energies. De nite conclusions for com parison w th the data are presently being draw $n$ as $m$ any boody brem sstrahlung has been shown to be quantitatively im portant at 4.9 GeV 国]. Even though we feel that a com plete reassessm ent of previous results is probably not w arranted, surprises can not be excluded.

A nother useful com parison is the relative radiative intensities of $p p$ and $n p$ scattering. A s mentioned above, pp brem sstrahlung has often been neglected because of a classical m ultipole argum ent. W e calculate the ratio here, w th the form alism based on the leadingterm approxim ation, i.e. w th Eq. (8) and Eq. (4). The relative intensities depend on kinetic energy as shown in $F$ ig. 目 which presents the ratio $R=\left(\frac{d}{d M^{2}}\right)_{p p}=\left(\frac{d}{d M^{2}}\right)_{\mathrm{pn}}$ as a function of invariant $m$ ass at di erent kinetic energies. P roton-proton brem sstrahlung becom es $m$ ore and $m$ ore im portant as the kinetic energy increases. At 2 GeV , for instance, $R$ is nearly 0.5 for sm allm asses whereas at 4.9 GeV it becom es larger than $1 . \mathrm{W}$ e shall retum to this ration in the next section.
IV. ONE STEP FURTHER:THEEXACT TREATMENTOFPHASE SPACE

In all of the above com parisons, we have consistently set $q=0$ in the 4 -dim ensional phase space delta function. We now avoid $m$ aking this approxim ation and investigate the consequences. The m any-body Lorentz-invariant phase space can be expressed in term sof

M andelstam -type invariants [17], or in this case one can perform the integrals directly 24]. G oing back one step, let's w rite the generalequation that leads to Eq. (6), w ithout its phase space correction. In the process $a+b!c+d+e^{+} e$, the di erential cross section for lepton pair production $w$ th invariant $m$ ass $M$ and energy $g_{0}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\mathrm{M} 0^{3}}(2)^{4}{ }^{4}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}} \quad \text { q) } \frac{d^{3} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}}}{(2)^{3} 2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}} \frac{d^{3} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}{(2)^{3} 2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}} ;\right. \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{of}^{3}$ is the on-shellm atrix elem ent for the scattering $a+b!c+d$, squared, sum $m$ ed over nalspins and averaged over initialspins. The di erential cross section can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left(J^{2}\right) \overline{\mathrm{M}} 0\right\}^{3} 4\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}} \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}} \quad \text { q) } \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{p}_{c}}{2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}} \frac{d^{3} \mathrm{p}_{d}}{2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}} ;\right. \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here is the rest $m$ ass of an individual lepton. In the $a+b$ centre ofm ass fram $e$, one $m$ ay perform som e of the integrals and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { z }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\dot{\operatorname{qq}}{ }^{j}} \mathrm{dE}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{~d} \cos { }_{p_{d} q} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}: \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Furtherm ore, in the on-shell lim it, the squared $m$ atrix elem ent sum $m$ ed over nalspins and averaged over initial spins can be related to the on-shell di erential elastic cross section by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{M} \circ \mathrm{o}^{2}}=16 \mathrm{~s}\left(\mathrm{~s} \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating further, one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^{e^{+} e}}{d M^{2}}=\frac{2}{244^{4}} \frac{1}{M^{2}}\left(1+\frac{2^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)^{s} \overline{1} \overline{M^{2}} \frac{M^{2}}{M^{2}} \overline{s\left(s \quad 4 m^{2}\right)}{ }^{Z} \quad\left(J^{2}\right) \frac{d a b!c d}{d t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& d q_{b} d E_{d} d_{q} \operatorname{doos}_{p_{d} q} d_{p_{d}}: \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he range of the integration variables is such that the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
j 00 s{ }_{p_{d} q} j=\frac{s+M^{2}+2 E_{d} G_{b} 2^{p} \bar{s}\left(E_{d}+q_{b}\right)}{2 \dot{p}_{d} \ddot{J}_{q} j} \quad 1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

has to be satis ed.
$W$ ith the help of gauge invariance, it is not very di cult to w rite the 4 -current squared in term $s$ of ten scalar products $p_{i} \quad p$. Setting $m_{a}=m_{b}=m_{c}=m_{d}=m$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\left.J^{2}=\frac{Q_{a}^{2}\left(4 m^{2} M^{2}\right)}{\left(2 p_{a} \quad q M^{2}\right)^{2}} \quad \frac{Q_{b}^{2}\left(4 m^{2} M^{2}\right)}{\left(2 p_{b}\right.} \quad q M^{2}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{Q_{c}^{2}\left(4 m^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)}{\left(2 p_{c}\right.} \quad q+M^{2}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{Q_{d}^{2}\left(4 m^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)}{\left(2 p_{d}\right.} q+M^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \left.\left.\frac{2 Q_{a} Q_{b}\left(4 p_{a} \quad p \quad M^{2}\right)}{\left(2 p_{a}\right.} \quad q \quad M^{2}\right)\left(2 p_{b} \quad q \quad M^{2}\right) \quad+\frac{2 Q_{a} Q_{c}\left(4 p_{a} \quad p+M^{2}\right)}{\left(2 p_{a}\right.} \quad q \quad M^{2}\right)\left(2 p_{c} \quad q+M^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{2 Q_{a} Q_{d}\left(4 p_{a} \quad P+M^{2}\right)}{\left(2 p_{a} \quad q \quad M^{2}\right)\left(2 p_{d} \quad q+M^{2}\right)}+\frac{2 Q_{b} Q_{c}\left(4 p_{b} \quad p+M^{2}\right)}{\left(2 p_{b} \quad q \quad M^{2}\right)\left(2 p_{c} \quad q+M^{2}\right)} \\
& \left.+\frac{2 Q_{b} Q_{d}\left(4 p_{b} \quad Q+M^{2}\right)}{\left(2 p_{b} \quad q \quad M^{2}\right)\left(2 p_{d} \quad q+M^{2}\right)} \quad \frac{2 Q_{c} Q_{d}\left(4 p_{c} \quad Q \quad M^{2}\right)}{\left(2 p_{c} \quad q+M^{2}\right)\left(2 p_{d}\right.} \quad q+M^{2}\right): \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

In the $a+b$ centre of $m$ ass, the scalar products can be written in term $s$ of the integration variables of Eq. (19). For instance, writing $\dot{p}_{a} j=\dot{p}_{0} j=j p j={ }^{q} \overline{s=4 m^{2}}$ and the energy

 other scalar products involve the above four.

On Fig. 7 we display three di erent curves for the cases of pn and pp scattering, at energies of $1.0,3.0$ and $4.9 \mathrm{GeV} . \mathrm{W}$ e com pare calculations done with Eq. 19), calculations done using R uckl's form ula w ith the current of Eq. (9), and calculations done using Eq. (8) together w ith the current ofEq. (4). The three approaches display behavions that are quite sím ilar and are not really rem ankably di erent. T he largest deviations occur at the low est bom barding energies at low M . Recall that the \soff photon lim itl" is not really properly de ned by M ! 0. Running our results through the D LS acceptance ler, in Fig. 8, one realizes that the results obtained w ith Eq. (19), and those obtained w ith Eq. (I) together $w$ ith the current ofeq. (4) are very sim ilar for the pn reactions. The only exception there is the low energy pn spectrum at low invariant $m$ asses. In the case of pp, the lter e ects are such that the results w ith the com plete phase space are low er than the previous ones at
high invariant $m$ asses. The e ect of the D LS acceptance brings us to the conclusion that the position of the lepton pairs in phase space is m ostly determ ined by the nature of the electrom agnetic current, onœe the on-shell nucleon-nucleon cross section is xed. The pp=np ratio does how ever show som e sensitivity to the treatm ent of phase space, as displayed in Fig. ${ }^{\text {G }}$.

There is no question that the current of Eq. (4) is $m$ ore general and appropriate for leptons than that of Eq. (G). In a given reaction the di erences in dilepton invariant cross sections, before ltering, that follow the use of those tw o currents are not minuscule but can not be called spectacular. There is an observable that has a rem arkably di erent behavior, whether or not the current (4) is used in calculations. We elaborate more on this in the follow ing section.
V.theangular distribution of brem sstrahlung dileptons

We have already $m$ entioned that the nature of the electrom agnetic current for the brem sstrahlung producing hadrons will greatly a ect the position of the leptons in phase space. This already becam e clear in our analysis of F ig. 3. Thus, it is very reasonable that the di erences in currents could be highlighted in a treatm ent focusing on the ne points of angular distributions, for exam ple. A ngular anisotropies have recently been put forw ard as a m eans of distinguishing betw een com peting lepton pair production souroes 25]. This argum ent has power only if the angular distributions of those souroes can reliably be calculated. Let's elaborate on this below .

O w ing to collision dynam ics, the polarization of the virtualphoton eventually converting into a lepton pair $m$ ay be such that, in the rest fram e of the dilepton, the single lepton distribution $m$ ay not be isotropic. This is the essence of the idea. Follow ing [25], we w rite the di erential cross section for em ission of a lepton pair of invariant $m$ ass M , w ith a lepton com ing out at a polar angle in the rest fram e of the lepton pair as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(M ;)=\frac{d}{d M^{2} d \cos }=A\left(1+B \cos ^{2}\right): \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This enables us to w rite the polar anisotropy coe cient, B, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{S(M ;=0)}{S(M ;=90)} \quad 1: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the fullphase is obviously crucial to the proper kinem atics, we use the $m$ ethods of the previous section. T he cross section to be used in the de nition of $S$, above, is obtained by noting that for electron-positron pair production [13],

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \frac{d^{6} e^{+} e}{d M^{2} d^{3} q d^{\sim}{ }_{+}}=\frac{1}{4} E_{+} E \frac{d^{6} e^{+} e}{d^{3} p_{+} d^{3} p} ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{0}$ is the lepton pair energy and ${ }^{+}$+ is the solid angle elem ent for positron em ission in the rest fram e of the lepton pair. W ith the help of the above equation, one can num erically integrate Eq. (15) into the required form at. In the on-shell approxim ation we are using for the strong $m$ atrix elem ent, where $M 0$ has no dependence on $q$, the anisotropy coe cient $B$ only depends on kinem atics and on the electrom agnetic current. D etails of the strong interaction do not in uence it. $W$ e have num erically con $m$ ed this by varying the strong interaction di erential cross section and observing the constancy of $B$.

We rst investigate the polar anisotropy of the lepton distribution using the current associated w ith Eq. (G). The results are shown in Fig. 10. W e plot the coe cient B as a function of invariant $m$ ass, for di erent incident kinetic energies. Those energies are $1,2,3$, and 5 GeV . They can be readily identi ed in the gure by their kinem atical lim it moving to higher invariant $m$ ass as the beam energy grow $s$. The calculations are for brem sstrahlung in pn collisions. O ur results at 1 and 2 GeV reproduce those of Ref . 25]. Repeating the calculation w th the full current of Eq. (4), we se our results change drastically, both qualitatively and quantitatively. This is now plotted in Fig. 11. The anisotropy coe cients no longer cross zero roughly together at about $\mathrm{M}=0.25 \mathrm{GeV}$. The crossing points have spread out, and an im portant feature is that the curves have allbeen shifted upw ards. The anisotropy coe cients are now $m$ ostly positive, except in the high invariant $m$ ass region of the last tw o incident kinetic energies. A lso, them inim a have been shifted to higher invariant $m$ asses. Thus, it is clear that any physical interpretation relying on the angular anisotropy
of the lepton spectrum $w$ ill thus depend on the details of the calculation. In this observable, the di erenœes in electrom agnetic currents clearly stand out.

For the case of pp brem sstrahlung, the use of the com plete current is absolutely crucial. The current ofEq. (G) is used to com pute the polar anisotropy coe cient plotted in F ig. 2 The current of Eq. (4) is used to com pute the curves appearing in Fig. 13. The di erence is striking. W ith the com plete current, the pp signal bears som e resemblance to its pn counterpart, whereas the current associated with real photons yields a com plete di erent picture, both qualitatively and quantitatively.

## V I. SUM M ARY

In sum $m$ ary, we have used a Lorentz-covariant and gauge-invariant form alism to calculate lepton pair production cross sections via pn and pp brem sstrahlung, in the leading term approxim ation. The exact squared current derived for virtual photons is suitable for any energy and for any two-body equalm ass system. W ith the appropriate generalizations one could apply it to an unequalm ass system and to reactions involving $m$ any-body nalstates. This is im portant and should be done. $W$ e nd that using the com plete current for virtual photons will produce di erential dilepton cross sections that are not very di erent from those obtained using the realphoton current. Since the exact virtualphoton current changes the $m$ om entum distributions of the dileptons, the di erences are som ew hat accentuated by running the caloulations through the D LS acceptance lter. H ow ever, the di erent phase space population associated w th the di erent currents em erge in a much more striking fashion when plotting the polar anisotropy coe cient for leptons in the lepton pair rest fram e. W e feel that the lepton angular anisotropy $m$ ight in fact be usefulin the identi cation of di erent sources, but only once the calculations are deem ed to possess the required level of reliability and precision.

We do not suggest that the DLS brem sstrahlung analyses have to be com pletely redone. H ow ever, larger di erences in the approaches (the one based on Ruckl's form ula and
the Lorentz-covariant one) do show up in the pp channel, com paring w th the pn channel. $T$ hus to pin down the various $m$ echanism s for dilepton production $m$ ore precisely and to com pare quantitatively to data, it does seem necessary to scrutinize som e of the previous brem sstrahlung estim ates, as our results suggest that som e of them $m$ ight have som ew hat overestim ated the low m ass yields.

The relative brem sstrahlung intensities from pp and pn scattering have been an actively debated issue for som e time. W e provided a practical and quantitative way to illustrate this, for kinetic energies up to 6 GeV . O ur results suggest that pp brem sstrahlung is quite im portant when the kinetic energy is higher than roughly 2 GeV .
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APPENDIX:DILEPTON RADIATION FROM FOURFERMION IN TERACTIONS

In this appendix we derive the $m$ atrix elem ent for lepton pair radiation for a generic form of the strong four-ferm ion interaction, where we take all the ferm ions to be charged for the sake of generality. W e shalluse and generalize slightly the $m$ ethods of R ef. 13]. O ur results are in agreem ent w ith those of that reference.

W e thus start w ith 4 diagram s like that of F ig. $\mathrm{T}^{1}$ where we consider radiation from the extemal lines, in both the initial and nal state. Extra diagram s needed to antisym $m$ etrize the nal state can be added w thout problem s. A little m ore speci cally, we consider a process

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b!c+d+l^{+}+l \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c, d$ are all charged spin-1/2 ferm ions, and $p_{a}+p_{b}=p_{c}+p_{d}+q w i t h q=p_{+}+p$. represents the generic hadronic interaction together w ith extemal line factors (u ${ }^{(s)}$ (p) or $u^{(s)}(p)$ ) for one incom ing and one outgoing ferm ion. For exam ple, ca includes the factors $u^{\left(s_{c}\right)}\left(p_{c}\right)$ and $u^{\left(s_{a}\right)}\left(p_{a}\right)$, whereas db includes the factors $u^{\left(s_{d}\right)}\left(p_{d}\right)$ and $u^{\left(s_{b}\right)}\left(p_{b}\right)$. If we consider brem sstrahlung radiation from particle $a$, the Feynm an am plitude for that process can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{a}=u^{(s)}(p)\left(i(e) \quad v^{\left(s_{+}\right)}\left(p_{+}\right) \frac{i g}{q^{2}}\right.  \tag{A2}\\
& u^{\left(s_{c}\right)}\left(p_{c}\right) d b\left(p_{a} \quad q\right) \frac{i}{(p q)} m_{a}\left(i Q_{a} e\right) u^{\left(s_{a}\right)}\left(p_{a}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

Generally, depends on a four values of incom ing and outgoing fourm om enta. W e have $m$ ade explicit its dependence on the fourm om entum involved $w$ ith the em ission ofthe virtual photon, only. W e can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{a}=Q_{a} e L u^{\left(s_{c}\right)}\left(p_{c}\right) d b\left(p_{a} \quad q\right) \frac{(p q)+m_{a}}{2 p_{a} \quad q M^{2}} u^{\left(s_{a}\right)}\left(p_{a}\right) ; \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{\mathrm{e}}{\mathrm{M}^{2}} \mathrm{u}^{(\mathrm{s})}(\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{v}^{\left(\mathrm{s}_{+}\right)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{+}\right): \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, for brem sstrahlung radiation from particle b,c and d,

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{b}=Q_{b} e L u^{\left(s_{d}\right)}\left(p_{d}\right) c_{c a}\left(p_{b} \quad q\right) \frac{(p q)+m_{b}}{2 p_{b} q M^{2}} u^{\left(s_{b}\right)}\left(p_{b}\right) ;  \tag{A5}\\
& M_{c}=Q_{c} e L u^{\left(s_{c}\right)}\left(p_{c}\right) \quad \frac{(p+q)+m_{c}}{2 p_{c} q+M^{2}} d b\left(p_{c}+q\right) u^{\left(s_{a}\right)}\left(p_{a}\right) ; \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{d}=Q_{d} e L u^{\left(s_{d}\right)}\left(p_{d}\right) \quad \frac{(p+q)+m_{d}}{2 p_{d} q+M^{2}} \quad c a\left(p_{d}+q\right) u^{\left(s_{b}\right)}\left(p_{b}\right): \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

E xam ine $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{a}}$. U sing the D irac equation w ith gam m a-m atrix algebra, one can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\quad(\mathrm{p} q)+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \quad \mathrm{u}^{\left(\mathrm{sa}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)=2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}} \quad \mathrm{q} \quad \frac{1}{2}[\quad \mathrm{q} ;] \mathrm{u}^{\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}\right): \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.M_{a}=e L u^{\left(s_{c}\right)}\left(p_{c}\right) d b\left(p_{a} \quad q\right) \quad Q_{a} \frac{\left(2 p_{a}\right.}{2 p_{a}} \quad q\right) \\
u^{\left(s_{a}\right)}\left(p_{a}\right): \tag{A9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Sim ilar expressions are derived for the other $m$ atrix elem ents. The $m$ atrix elem ent for reaction $a+b!c+d+l^{+}+l$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=M_{a}+M_{b}+M_{c}+M_{d}: \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to identify the term s order-by-order in $q$, one needs to go to next to leading order. $T$ his is because of the fact that in the case of radiating ferm ions, the radiations from the extemal legs contain the leading order part and also contain som e next-to-leading order contributions 14]. O ne then system atically has to go to the next order to collect the $m$ issing pieces of the am plitude that will restore gauge invariance. To next-to-leading order one can then w rite

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} & \mathrm{q} \tag{A11}
\end{array}\right)=\quad \mathrm{q} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}}:
$$

A lso, in the spirit of the above discussion, we introduce the electrom agnetic contact term associated w ith the $i^{\text {th }}$ ferm ion line leaving the strong interaction core

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}=e Q_{i} \frac{@}{@ p_{i ;}}: \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have, up to leading and next-to-leading order in $q$,

$$
\begin{align*}
M= & J u^{\left(s_{c}\right)}\left(p_{c}\right) d b u^{\left(s_{a}\right)}\left(p_{a}\right)+u^{\left(s_{c}\right)}\left(p_{c}\right) K_{d b} u^{\left(s_{a}\right)}\left(p_{a}\right) \\
& +u^{\left(s_{d}\right)}\left(p_{d}\right) K_{c a} u^{\left(s_{b}\right)}\left(p_{0}\right) \tag{A13}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\left(s_{c}\right)}\left(p_{c}\right) d_{b} u^{\left(s_{a}\right)}\left(p_{a}\right)=u^{\left(s_{d}\right)}\left(p_{d}\right) c_{c a} u^{\left(s_{b}\right)}\left(p_{b}\right)=M_{0}\left(S_{a} ; s_{b} ; s_{c} ; s_{d}\right): \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. A13), the current $J$ is de ned as in Eq. (A), and M $0\left(S_{a} ; S_{b} ; S_{c} ; S_{d}\right)$ is the $m$ atrix elem ent for the hadronic on-shell scattering where $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)!\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$. The m om entum labelling of M o has been suppressed for sim plicity. A lso,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.K_{d b}=\frac{Q_{a}}{4 p_{a}} q^{d b}[; q]+\frac{Q_{c}}{4 p_{c}} q ; ; q\right]_{d b} \\
& Q_{a} \quad G q+g q \frac{p_{a} ;}{p_{a}} \frac{Q_{d b}}{q p_{a}} \\
& +Q_{c} g q \quad g \quad q \quad \frac{p_{c} ;}{p_{c}} \frac{@_{d b}}{q p_{c}} \text {; } \tag{A15}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.K_{c a}=\frac{Q_{b}}{4 p_{b}} q^{c a}[; q]+\frac{Q_{d}}{4 p_{d}} q^{[ } ; \quad q\right]_{c a} \\
& Q_{b} \quad g \quad q+g \quad q \quad \frac{p_{b} ;}{p_{b}} \frac{Q_{c a}}{q p_{b}} \\
& +Q_{d} g q \quad g \quad q \quad \frac{p_{d} ;}{p_{d}} \frac{@_{c a}}{q p_{d}} \text { : } \tag{A16}
\end{align*}
$$

Squaring the net $m$ atrix elem ent, we sum over the nal state spins and average over the initial state ones and obtain
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4}{ }_{S_{a} ; s_{b} ; s_{c} ; s_{d}} \quad M \quad 0 J^{2}=\overline{M_{M} 0 J^{2}} ;  \tag{A18}\\
& H=J \quad \frac{1}{2} A+A^{y}+\frac{1}{2} B+B^{y}+(!) ;  \tag{A19}\\
& A=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\quad p+m_{c}\right) d b\left(p+m_{a}\right) K_{d b}^{0}\right] ;  \tag{A20}\\
& A^{y}=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
( & \left.p+m_{c}\right) K_{d b}\left(p+m_{a}\right) \\
d b
\end{array}\right] ;\right. \tag{A21}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\quad p+m_{d}\right) c a\left(\quad p+m_{b}\right) K_{c a}^{0}\right]: \tag{A22}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have further de ned

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.K_{d b}^{0}=\frac{Q_{a}}{4 p_{a}} q^{[ } \quad q ;\right]{ }_{d b}^{0}+\frac{Q_{c}}{4 p_{c}} q^{d b}[\quad q ;] \\
& Q_{a} \quad g \quad q+g q \frac{p_{a} ;}{p_{a}} \frac{q_{d b}^{0}}{q p_{a}} \\
& +Q_{c} g \quad q \quad g \quad q \quad \frac{p_{c} ;}{p_{c}} \frac{@_{d b}^{0}}{q p_{c}} \text {; } \tag{A23}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
K_{c a}^{0}= & \frac{Q_{b}}{4 p_{b}} q[q ;]{ }_{c a}^{0}+\frac{Q_{d}}{4 p_{d}} q^{c} q^{c a}[q ; ~
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}^{0}={ }^{0} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad{ }^{0}: \tag{A25}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ext, com bining the traces, one can w rite

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\operatorname{Tr}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(p+m_{c}\right)
\end{array}\right) d b\left(p+m_{a}\right) K_{d b}^{0}\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(p+m_{c}\right)
\end{array}\right) K_{d b}\left(p+m_{a}\right){ }_{d b}^{0}\right] \\
& \quad=D+E ; \tag{A26}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& D=\frac{Q_{a}}{{ }_{n} \mathrm{p}_{a}} \underset{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}} \quad \mathrm{~g} q+\mathrm{q} \quad \mathrm{q} \\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(p+m_{c}\right) \frac{@ d b}{@ p_{a}}\left(p+m_{a}\right){ }_{d b}^{0}+\left(\quad p+m_{c}\right) d b \quad{ }_{d b} \\
& +\left(p+m_{c}\right) d b\left(p+m_{a}\right) \frac{\varrho_{d b}^{o_{d b}^{\#}}}{@ p_{a}} \text {; } \tag{A27}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=\frac{Q_{c}}{p_{\text {if }}}{\underset{q}{q}}^{p_{c}} \quad g \quad q \quad g \quad q \\
& \operatorname{Tr} \int_{d b}^{0} d b\left(p+m_{a}\right)+\left(p+m_{c}\right) \frac{d}{@_{\#}^{d} p_{c}}\left(p+m_{a}\right){ }_{d b}^{0} \\
& +\left(p+m_{c}\right) d b\left(p+m_{a}\right) \frac{\varrho_{d b}^{0_{d b}}}{@ p_{c}} \text { : } \tag{A28}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\quad p+m_{d}\right) \quad c a\left(p+m_{b}\right) K_{c a}^{0}\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.\left(p+m_{d}\right) K_{c a}\left(p+m_{b}\right) \quad{ }_{c a}^{0}\right]
\end{array}\right. \\
& =F+G \text {; } \tag{A29}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
F= & \frac{Q_{b}}{p_{b}} p_{p} p_{0} \quad g q+g q \\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(p+m_{d}\right) \frac{@}{@ p_{b}}\left(p+m_{b}\right){ }_{c a}^{0}+\left(p+m_{d}\right) \quad{ }_{c a} \quad{ }_{c a}^{0} \\
& +\left(p+m_{d}\right){ }_{c a}\left(p+m_{b}\right) \frac{@_{c a}^{0}}{\varrho p_{b}} ; \tag{A30}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Tr} \int_{c a}^{0} \mathrm{ca}\left(p+m_{b}\right)+\left(p+m_{d}\right) \frac{@_{\text {a }}}{\varrho_{\#} p_{d}}\left(p+m_{b}\right){ }_{c a}^{0} \\
& +\left(p+m_{d}\right) c a\left(p+m_{b}\right) \frac{@_{c a}^{0}}{@ p_{d}} \text { : } \tag{A31}
\end{align*}
$$

N ow,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4}{ }_{S_{a} ; s_{b} ; s_{c} ; s_{d}}^{X} \quad M \quad 0 J^{2}=R \text { or } S \tag{A32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\quad p+m_{d}\right) \quad c a\left(\quad p+m_{b}\right){ }_{c a}^{0}\right] ; \tag{A33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
S=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\quad p+m_{c}\right) d b\left(p+m_{a}\right) \quad \begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{A34}\\
d b
\end{array}\right]:
$$

T herefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left(p+m_{c}\right) d b\left(p+m_{a}\right) \frac{@_{d b}^{0_{d b}^{\#}}}{@ p_{a}} \text {; }  \tag{A35}\\
& \frac{@ \bar{M} 0)^{2}}{\varrho p_{b}}=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\quad p+m_{d}\right) \frac{@^{c a}}{@ p_{b}}\left(\quad p+m_{b}\right){ }_{c a}^{0}+\left(\quad p+m_{d}\right) \quad \text { ca } \quad{ }_{\text {ca }}^{0} \\
& +\left(\quad p+m_{d}\right){ }_{c a}\left(p+m_{b}\right) \frac{@_{c a}^{0}{ }_{c a}^{\#}}{@ p_{b}} \text {; } \tag{A36}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left(p+m_{c}\right) d b\left(p+m_{a}\right) \frac{\varrho^{0_{d b}}}{\varrho p_{c}} \text {; } \tag{A37}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left(\mathbb{P}+m_{d}\right) \text { ca }\left(p+m_{b}\right) \frac{@_{c a}^{0}{ }^{{ }^{\#}}}{@ p_{d}} \text { : } \tag{A38}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\begin{array}{llll}
h \\
p_{j} & g & q \quad g q+(1
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{g} \tag{A39}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sum in the second term runs over all ferm ions and $Q_{j}^{0}=Q_{j}$ for the incom ing ferm ions and $Q_{j}^{0}=Q_{j}$ for the outgoing ferm ions. T he rst term $w i l l$ lead over the second one, in an expansion in powers of the virtual photon fourm om entum. T he above equation, together w ith

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}_{+} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{L} \text {; }
$$

proves Eq. (3).
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## FIG URES

FIG.1. The leading contributions for dilepton radiation in the reaction $a+b!c+d+e^{+} e$.

FIG.2. The ratio $R \quad J_{\text {virtual }}^{2}=J_{\text {real }}^{2}$ and its dependence on the center-ofm ass dilepton $m$ om entum $q=$ iqjand hadron scattering angle for (a) pn, and (b) pp processes at kinetic energy 4.9 GeV and invariant m ass $\mathrm{M}=02 \mathrm{GeV}$.

F IG . 3. The ratio of the di erential cross section $R_{1} \quad\left(\frac{d}{d M^{2} d^{3} q}\right)_{\text {virtual }}=\left(\frac{d}{d M{ }^{2} d^{3} q}\right)_{\text {real }}$ as a function of the center-off ass dilepton $m$ om entum $q$ for (a) $n p$, and (b) pp proœesses, at kinetic energy 4.9 G eV and for dilepton invariant m asses $\mathrm{M}=0.01,02,0.6$ and 0.8 GeV , respectively.

F IG . 4. The di erential cross section for dilepton production from (a) pn and (b) pp collisions versus the dilepton invariant $m$ ass M. The solid lines are results using the virtualphoton form alism described in the text, the dotted lines are the results obtained using R uckl's form ula together w ith the current for real photons. Results for kinetic energies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{kin}}=1.0,3.0$, and 4.9 GeV are show n . For clarity of presentation, the results have been m ultiplied by a scaling factor. T he scaling factor is 1 at $1 \mathrm{GeV}, 10$ at 3.0 GeV and 100 at 4.9 GeV .

FIG.5. The same as in Fig. 4, but with the correction of the acceptance lter. The solid sym bols are with the form alism of Eq. (8) together with the current of Eq. (4), while the open sym bols are the results of using Ruckl's form ula together w ith the current for real photons.

FIG. 6. The ratio of dilepton production cross sections in pp and np reactions, $R=\left(\frac{d}{d M}\right)_{p p}=\left(\frac{d}{d M}\right)_{\mathrm{pn}}$, as a function of invariant m ass M at kinetic energies 1.0, 2.0, 3.0 and 4.9 GeV , from bottom to top.

F IG . 7. W e plot the di erential cross section for production of lepton pairs of invariant M in the cases of pn (left panel) and pp collisions (right panel) at incident kinetic energies of 1, 3, and 4.9 GeV (bottom to top curves, respectively). T he full curves represent calculations done with Eq. 19), the short-dashed curves are generated w ith the form ula of R uckl, together w th the current for real photons, and the long-dashed curves are done using Eq. (8) together w th the current of Eq. (4). The scaling factors are as in F ig. 4.

FIG. 8. The sam e as Fig. G, but w ith the D LS acceptance corrections. The open triangles are the results of using Ruckl's form ula together w th the current for real photons. The open circles are associated w ith Eq. 8) together $w$ th the current of Eq. (4). The solid triangles are generated w th Eq. 19).

FIG.9. Sam e caption as Fig. G, only this tim e the com plete phase space approach of section IV is used.

FIG . 10. W e plot the polar anisotropy coe cient, as de ned in the text, against lepton pair invariant m ass for incident kinetic energies of $1,2,3$, and 5 GeV in pn collisions. W e use the current of Eq. (9).

FIG.11. Sam e caption as Fig . 10. W e use here the current of Eq. (4).

F IG . 12. Sam e caption as Fig. 19, but for pp collisions.

F IG . 13. Sam e caption as Fig. 11, but for pp collisions.
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