M om entum D istribution in N uclear M atter within a Perturbation Approximation

A.Mariano^y and F.K m potic^y

Departamento de F sica, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, C.C. 67, 1900 La Plata, Argentina

and

A F R de Toledo Piza Instituto de F sica, Universidade de Sao Paulo,

C.P. 20516, 01498 Sao Paulo, Brasil

It is shown that the norm corrections, introduced to avoid the violation of the constraints on the depletion of the hole states in the standard perturbative 2p2h approach, leads in nuclear matter to a dependence of the momentum distribution with the total nucleon number. This unphysical behavior, which in turn makes the depletion to be non-extensive, arises from contributions of disconnected diagrams contained in the norm. It is found that the extensivity is again recovered when the 4p4h excitations in the ground state are included, and a reasonable value for the total number of nucleons promoted above the Ferm i level is obtained.

PACS num ber(s):

^yFellow of the CONICET from Argentina.

I IN TRODUCTION

A great am ount of theoreticale ortwas devoted to study the in uence of ground-state correlations on the nuclear physical observables. In most of them the nuclear response function is evaluated after introducing two particle - two hole (2p2h) admixtures within rst-order perturbation into the 0p0h ground state wave function [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9]. It was found that 2p2h ground-state contribution modify signi cantly the mean eld results for the strength function. Recently, how ever, the use of this perturbation procedure has been objected by Van Neck et al., [7] because it largely overestimates the e ect of groundstate correlation, when the norm corrections are neglected. This happens because the perturbation adds a very large num ber of relatively sm all excited 2p2h components, with relative weights in the perturbed wavefunction typically large enough num erically as to strongly enhance the norm corrections. Speci cally, it is reminded in Ref. [7] that a shell-model approach for occupation numbers in nuclei imposes constrains in the sense that the num ber of particles lifted out the Ferm i sea has to be 2. This constrain is not respected in the above mentioned works as put in evidence by several calculations of the occupation numbers within the same perturbation approach [10].

In a recent study [8] of the G am ow -Teller strength the ground-state was worked out within a nite nuclei form alism up to the rst order, both in the Rayleigh-Schrodinger and Brioullin-W igner schemes, and including the elects of the norm as was suggested in Ref. [7]. The result was the strong reduction of 2p2h contribution to the response function. In the present work we intend to implement better controlled approximations for the correlation structure of the ground-state for the case of nuclear matter, which may have in portant consequences in the evaluation of the response function in the quasielastic region. As a rst step in this direction we analyze here the momentum distribution in the ground state. The reason for that is that, as shown within a simplem odel by Takayanagi. [9], the longitudinal response in the quasi free region is directly related to the momentum distribution of nucleons in nuclear matter. Our initial intention was to include the norm e ects in the some way as we have previously done for the nite nuclei [8]. But, we soon discovered that this procedure makes the depletion of the nuclear core not to be anym ore an extensive quantity because of the momentum distribution dependence on the total nucleon number A. Clearly, such a behavior is unphysical and has its origin in the contributions arising from the in nite series of disconnected Goldstone diagram s contained in the norm, as can be put in evidence by perform ing a perturbation expansion of the later. In order to exactly cancel these disconnected graphs one is forced to enlarge the con guration space by including npnh amplitudes with n > 2. This is done in the present work for the 4p4h components in the ground state wave function. To go beyond this con guration space is not only a cumbersom e task but hard to justify also.

II FORMALISM

The occupation number for the single-particle state in the ground state jDi is de ned as

$$n() = h0ja^{Y}()a()j0i;$$
 (2.1)

and the total num ber of particles prom oted above the Ferm i level, N $_{\rm >}$, and those rem aining below the Ferm i level, N $_{\rm <}$, are

$$N_{>} = X_{n()};$$

$$N_{<} = n() = A_{N_{>}};$$

$$N_{<} = n() = A_{N_{>}};$$

$$(2.2)$$

W ithin the Hartree-Fock (HF) approximation, jDi $\frac{1}{2}$ HF i = jDpOhi one obtains the well known step function for n(), i.e., n() = (,), where and , are, respectively, the single particle and Ferm i energies. This is the zero order approximation for the occupation numbers distribution. W hen npnh correlations are added to the ground state wave function, states above the Ferm i level are populated with the corresponding depletion of the nuclear core, and the occupation number takes the form

$$n() = (_{F}) + n();$$
 (2.3)

being

$$N_{>} = \sum_{(>_{R})}^{X} n():$$
 (2.4)

A 2p2h correlations

The ground state wave function, with the $\mbox{ rst order } 2p2h$ perturbations included to the HF state, reads

$$\dot{D}i = N^{4} \dot{H} F i + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{p^{0}s;h^{0}s}^{X} c_{p_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}} \dot{p}_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}i^{5}; \qquad (2.5)$$

where

$$c_{p_1p_2h_1h_2} = \frac{hp_1p_2h_1h_2\,\hat{y}\,\hat{y}\,\hat{H}\,F\,i}{E_{p_1p_2h_1h_2}}; \qquad (2.6)$$

are the 2p2h am plitudes, and

$$N = \frac{1}{1 + N_{2p2h}};$$
 (2.7)

is the overall norm alization factor, with

$$N_{2p2h} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{p^{0}s;h^{0}s} \dot{f}_{p_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}} \dot{j}^{2}; \qquad (2.8)$$

 $\dot{p}_1 p_2 h_1 h_2 i$ and $E_{p_1 p_2 h_1 h_2}$ stand, respectively, for the 2p2h con gurations and single-particle energies, and \hat{V} is the residual interaction.

The usual second-order approximation for $n \ ($) is obtained by retaining only the $\ rst$ term in the expansion

$$N^{2} = 1 N_{2p2h} + N_{2p2h}^{2}$$
; (2.9)

and for n() one gets

$$n^{(2)}() = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p^{0}s;h^{0}s}^{X} (p_{1}, p_{1}, p_{1}) \frac{V_{p_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}}}{E_{p_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}}}; \qquad (2.10)$$

where $V_{p_1p_2h_1h_2}$ stand for the antisymm etrized matrix elements of \hat{V} . The contribution of n()⁽²⁾ is schematically shown in Fig. 1, and

$$N_{>}^{(2)} = 2N_{2p2h}$$
: (2.11)

This result is only valid when N_{2p2h} 1, condition which is not fulled in most of the num erical calculations performed so far. This was precisely the reason why Van Neck et al., [7] have proposed to use the second order normalized approximation (with N given by (2.7)). This leads to the variation in the occupation numbers

$$n^{(2N)}() = N^{2} n^{(2)}()$$
 (2.12)

and the corresponding number of promoted particles is

$$N_{>}^{(2N)} = N_{>}^{2}N_{>}^{(2)} = 2\frac{N_{2p2h}}{1+N_{2p2h}}$$
 2; (2.13)

which is a satisfactory bound for $N_>$. Yet, $n^{(2N)}$ () contains an in nite series of disconnected diagrams, illustrated in Fig. 2. We show below how they are removed by enlarging the con guration space.

B 4p4h correlations

The ground-state wavefunction with the 2p2h and 4p4h adm ixtures included is written as

$$jDi = N^{4} H F i + \frac{1}{(2!)^{2}} \sum_{p^{0}s;h^{0}s}^{X} c_{p_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}} \dot{p}_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}i$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(4!)^{2}} \sum_{p^{0}s;h^{0}s}^{X} c_{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}h_{1}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}} \dot{p}_{1}p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}h_{1}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}i^{5}; \qquad (2.14)$$

where

$$c_{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}h_{1}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}} = \frac{hH F \hat{y} \hat{p}_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}ihp_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}\hat{y} \hat{y}_{1}p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}h_{1}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}i}{E_{p_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}}E_{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}h_{1}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}};$$
(2.15)

and

$$N = \frac{1}{1 + N_{2p2h} + N_{4p4h}};$$
 (2.16)

with

$$N_{4p4h} = \frac{1}{(4!)^2} \sum_{p^0 s; h^0 s} \dot{p}_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 h_1 h_2 h_3 h_4} \dot{j}^2; \qquad (2.17)$$

which is the norm factor coming from the 4p4h contributions.

The occupation numbers in the ground state (2.14) read

$$n() = N^{2} n^{(2)}() + n^{(4)}()^{i};$$
 (2.18)

where

$$n^{(4)}() = \frac{4}{(4!)^2} \sum_{p^0 s; h^0 s}^{X} (p_1, p_1, p_1) j_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 h_1 h_2 h_3 h_4}^2 : (2.19)$$

Now, making an expansion up to the fourth order

 $n() = [1 N_{2p2h}] n^{(2)}() + n^{(4)}();$ (2.20)

and after working out the 4p4h am plitudes we get

$$n^{(4)}() = N_{2p2h} n^{(2)}() + n^{(4C)}();$$
 (2.21)

$$n() = n^{(2)}() + n^{(4C)}();$$
 (2.22)

with

$$n^{(4C)}() = \frac{1}{4} \frac{X}{p^{0}s^{h}_{,h}^{0}s} \frac{V_{p_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}}V_{p_{3}p_{4}h_{3}h_{4}}}{E_{p_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}}E_{p_{3}p_{4}h_{3}h_{4}}} \\ (P_{p_{1}} + P_{p_{2}} + P_{p_{1}} + P_{p_{2}} + P_{p_{1}} + P_{p_{2}} + P_{p_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{3}}V_{p_{2}p_{4}h_{3}h_{4}} + \frac{V_{p_{1}p_{3}h_{1}h_{2}}V_{p_{2}p_{4}h_{3}h_{4}}}{E_{p_{1}p_{3}h_{1}h_{3}}E_{p_{3}p_{4}h_{2}h_{4}}} + \frac{V_{p_{1}p_{3}h_{1}h_{2}}V_{p_{2}p_{4}h_{3}h_{4}}}{E_{p_{1}p_{3}h_{1}h_{3}}E_{p_{2}p_{4}h_{2}h_{4}}} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{V_{p_{2}p_{3}h_{4}}V_{p_{3}p_{4}h_{1}h_{2}}}{E_{p_{2}p_{3}h_{4}}} ; (2.23)$$

being the contribution of the connected diagram s illustrated in Fig. 3. The diagram s (a), (b) and (c) describe the di erent contributions that can arise from the rst term, while the graph (d) represents the second term. For the depletion number we now get

$$N_{>} = 2N_{2p2h} \qquad 2N_{2p2h}^{2} + 4N_{4p4h} = 2N_{2p2h} + 4N_{4p4h}^{C}; \qquad (2.24)$$

where the last term comes from $n^{(4C)}$ (). Note that the result (2.24) corresponds to the expansion up to fourth order of the quantity

$$\frac{2N_{2p2h} + 4N_{4p4h}}{1 + N_{2p2h} + N_{4p4h}} \qquad 4 \qquad (2.25)$$

which is the total depletion number obtained from the exact occupation probabilities (2.18), and same as (2.13), is also properly bounded.

Regarding the results of this subsection, it should be remarked that:

- (a) In the expansion (2.9) are retained the rst two terms.
- (b) The contributions to n() coming from the disconnected graphs brought around by N_{2p2h} are not present in nalresult because they cancelout with topological similar contributions arising in the wave function (2.14), i.e., the rst term of $n^{(4)}()$ in Eq.(2.21).
- (c) The norm term N $_{\rm 4p4h}$ does not contribute in a calculation up to the fourth order and has been ignored in the expansion of N 2 .
- (d) We do not include the coupling among the 2p2h states (h2p2h \hat{y} \hat{z} p2h⁰i = 0), because it would only lead to a redistribution of the 2p2h occupation probabilities, which does not have any elect on $n^{(2)}$ (k).

or

C Evaluation of the occupation numbers distribution in nuclear matter

In nuclear matter the HF ground state is approximated by the Fermi gas, the single particle quantum numbers are the momentum k, the spin projection m_s and the isospin projection m_t of the particle, and n () turns into the momentum distribution

$$n(k) = (1 \quad k) + n(k);$$
 (2.26)

where k is measured in units of the wave number $k_{\rm F}\,$ that de nes the Ferm i surface. The corresponding depletion number now reads

$$N_{>} = 3A \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dkk^{2} (k 1) n(k)$$
: (2.27)

The residual interaction is generically expressed in the form [6]

$$\hat{V}(q) = \sum_{I}^{X} V^{I}(q) O_{I}^{I}(\hat{q}) \qquad \bar{Q}(\hat{q})$$
 (2.28)

where $V^{I}(q)$ are the interaction strengths and I = T;S;J encompasses the isospin, spin and total angular momentum quantum numbers of the operators $O^{I}(q)$, de ned as

$$O^{000}(\hat{q}) = 1; \quad O^{010}(\hat{q}) = i(\hat{q}); \quad O^{11}(\hat{q}) = (\hat{q});$$
$$O^{100}(\hat{q}) = ; \quad O^{110}(\hat{q}) = i(\hat{q}) \quad O^{11}(\hat{q}) = (\hat{q}) : \qquad (2.29)$$

The exchange contributions to n(k) will be dropped out since, as pointed out Van Order and Donnelly [11], they are small in comparison with the direct ones. In this way we get

$$n^{(2)}(k) = \frac{1}{4}^{Z} dq^{h}(k 1) (1 \frac{1}{k} + q)F(k q^{2};q) + (1 k) (\frac{1}{k} q^{j} 1)F(k q^{X};q) \frac{1}{k} v^{I}(q)^{2} (2T + 1)2^{J}; (2.30)$$

for the second order correction to n (k),

$$N_{2p2h} = \frac{3A}{8}^{Z} dkdq (k 1) (1 jk + qj)F (k q^{2};q) \int_{I}^{X} [v^{I}(q)]^{2} (2T + 1)2^{J}; (2.31)$$

for second order correction to the norm , and

$$n^{(4C)}(k) = \frac{1}{16} \begin{bmatrix} z & z & z \\ dl & dp & dq (1 & jl+qj) (t & 1) \\ [(k & 1)G(k;l;p;q) + (1 & k)G(k & q;l;p;q)]; \quad (2.32)$$

for the fourth order correction to n (k). In the above equations

$$v^{I}(q) = \frac{2k_{F}^{3}}{(2)^{3}} V^{I}(q); \qquad (2.33)$$

$$F(;q) = \frac{2}{(1+q)} \frac{(x + 1)(1 + y + q)}{(1+q)} dx$$

$$= \frac{2}{q} \frac{(x + q + x)}{(1+q)} \frac{q^2 + q}{q^2} \frac{q^2}{2} \frac{q^2}{q^2} \ln \frac{q^2 + q}{q} \frac{q}{q} (2 - q)$$

$$+ \ln \frac{q^2 + q}{q^2} \frac{q^2}{q} \frac{q^2}{q^2} \frac{q}{q} + \frac{q}{q} \frac{q^2}{q^2} \frac{q}{q} (q - 2); \qquad (2.34)$$

and

$$G(k;l;p;q) = {}^{h} (1 j + pj)F(l p^{2};l) + (1 j + pj)F(k p^{2};l) + (j + q + pj)F(k p^{2};l) + (j + q + pj 1)F(l p q p;l) + (j + q + pj 1)F(l k p q p;l)] + (j + q + pj 1)F(l k p q p;l)] - \frac{(1 j + qj)}{(k q + 2q + 1 q)} {}^{X} [v^{I}(q)^{2}(2T + 1)2^{J} {}^{X} [v^{I^{0}}(l)^{2}(2T^{0} + 1)2^{J^{0}}: (2.35)]$$

In Eq. (2.32) we do not include the contributions arising from the second term in Eq. (2.23), because, as discussed later on, they are relatively sm all.

From Eqs. (2.11), (2.13) and (2.31) it can be easily seen that $N_{>}^{(2)}$ fulls the extensively condition, but $N_{>}^{(2N)}$ (k) does not. This unphysical behavior of $N_{>}^{(2N)}$ is due to the disconnected diagram s contained in $n^{(2N)}$ (k) and is circum vented by including the 4p4h excitations. This lead us to the result in Eq. (2.24), which again satis es the requirement on extensivity.

III NUMERICAL RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The momentum distribution n (k) has been evaluated for the norm all nuclear matter density, i.e., $k_{_{\rm F}} = 1.36$ fm , and by parameterizing the residual interaction (2.28) as follows:

$$V^{000}(q) = C (q)f; \quad V^{100}(q) = C (q)f^{0}; \quad V^{010}(q) = V^{011}(q) = C (q)g;$$

$$V^{110}(q) = C (q) g^{0} \frac{q^{2}}{q^{2} + m^{2}}; \quad V^{111}(q) = C (q)g^{0};$$

$$(3.1)$$

Here f; f⁰; g and g⁰ are the Landau-M igdalparam eters, C (q) = $f^2 = 2^2 (q)$, m = $=k_{F}$ and

$$(q) = \frac{2}{\frac{2}{2} + q^2} ; \qquad (3.2)$$

is the NN form factor.

We do not incorporate explicitly the meson since, as pointed out by D idkho [12], it produces too much suppression of the tensor force. Yet, the contribution of heavier mesons is taken into account empirically by xing the values of g^0 and (as done by A lberico et al., [2]) to reproduce the elective tensor and central components of the force derived by D idkho from a G-matrix calculation ([12, 13]). This procedure yields $g^0 = 0.5$ and $= 800 \text{ M eV} = (\text{hck}_{\text{F}})$. On the other hand, for f, f⁰ and g we have found more appropriate to adopt the values obtained by Backman et. al. [14] from the analysis of stability conditions and empirical values of physical observables, i.e., f = 0:12, f⁰ = 0:39 and g = 0.

The following three dierent ways of adding the ground state correlations to the Fermi gas distribution will be num erically compared:

- 1. The usual 2p2h second order approximation, i.e., Eq. (2.30) is employed in the evaluation of n(k).
- 2. The above approach is modiled by including the elect of the norm through Eqs. (2.12), (2.7) and (2.31).
- 3. Fourth order approximation within the 2p2h + 4p4h subspace, in which n(k) is given by Eqs. (2.22) and (2.32).

The momentum space integrals have been evaluated for energies up to 300 M eV. This limit has been established by examining the behavior of $N_{>} = A$ (with n(k) given by (2.30)) as function of the cuto energy. The results are displayed in Fig. 4.

The resulting m om entum distributions n (k) are shown in Fig. 5. In the approximation 2), where the results are A dependent, we have chosen, as an example, the 40 C a nucleus. For this case the empirical occupation numbers are available from an optical potential analysis of experimental cross sections [15] (see also ref. [4]). The second order approach produces a pronounced high-m om entum tail above the Ferm i level with a corresponding strong reduction of the low-m om entum part as compared with the Ferm i gas. In the normalized case 2), the previous variation of m om entum distribution n (k) is just rescaled by the factor N² = 0:11 and this diminishes drastically the e ect of the ground state correlations. (Note that for A = 90 N² = 0:05.) Within the approximation 3) the depletion of the m om entum distribution is quite signi cant, but still relatively sm all in comparison with that obtained in the plain 2p2h approach. This e ect can be interpreted

as the reduction of the 2p2h occupation probabilities by the coupling with 4p4h states. It is worth noting that the e ect of the last term in Eq. (2.23) cannot be distinguished visually in Fig. 5.

The calculated numbers of particles shifted above the Ferm i level, for the implemented approximations, turn out to be $N_{>} = 16.3$; 1.8 and 1.9, respectively. The last result is, not only physically sound, but also consistent with the above mentioned study [15], which yields $N_{>}$ (⁴⁰C a) = 2:7.

W e sum m arize our conclusions as follow s:

The plain second-order approximation for the momentum distribution grossly overestimates the e ect of the 2p2h ground-state correlation. This is put in evidence by the very large result obtained for the number of particles shifted above the Ferm i level, as already pointed out [7].

The introduction of norm corrections, following the recipe given in Ref. [7], leads unavoidably to an A dependent momentum distribution, which is engendered by the disconnected diagrams present in the norm. As a consequence the number depletion becomes a non-extensive quantity for the nuclear matter, which is very strong drawback of the approximation.

We have found out that the just mention unphysical contributions of the disconnected diagrams are cancelled by the inclusion of 4p4h correlations. Besides, the contribution of the 4p4h connected diagrams strongly hinder the e ect of 2p2h correlations, yielding a momentum distribution with properly bounded depletion number.

The present results seem to indicate that the 2p2h and 4p4h correlations are the dom inant degrees of freedom to be considered in the description of the nuclear ground state. In addition, we feel that the evaluation of the momentum distribution up to fourth order is a reasonably good approximation and that is not crucial the inclusion of higher order correlations beyond the 4p4h ones.

This work was supported in part by a Fundacion Antorchas grant.

References

- [1] G F.Bertsch and I.Ham am oto, Phys.Rev.C 26, 1323 (1982).
- [2] W M. Alberico, M. Ericson and A. Molinari, Ann. Phys. (NY) 154, 356 (1984).
- [3] K. Takayanagi, K. Shim izu and A. Arima, Nucl. Phys. A 481, 313 (1988).
- [4] S.D rozdz, S.N ishizaki, J. Speth and J.W am bach, Phys. Rep. 197, 1 (1990).
- [5] K. Takayanagi, Phys. Lett. 233B, 271 (1989).
- [6] A.Mariano, E.Bauer, F.K m potic, and A F.R. de Toledo Piza, Phys. Lett. 268B, 332 (1991).
- [7] D. Van Neck, M. Waroquier, V. Van der Sluys and J. Ryckebusch, Phys. Lett. 274B, 143 (1992).
- [8] A.Mariano, F.K m potic and A.F.R. de Toledo Piza, Phys. Rev. 49C, 2824 (1994).
- [9] K. Takayanagi, Nucl. Phys. A 556, 14 (1993).
- [10] K. Takayanagiand E. Lipparini, Phys. Lett. 261B, 11 (1991). K. Takayanagiand E. Lipparini, Nucl. Phys. A 536, 309 (1992).
- [11] JW .Van Order and TW .Donnelly, Ann. Phys. (NY) 131, 451 (1981).
- [12] W.H.Dickho, A.Faessler, and H.Muther, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1902 (1982).
- [13] W H.Dickho, Nucl. Phys. A 399, 287 (1983).
- [14] S.O. Backman, G.E. Brown and JA. Niskanen, Phys. Rep. 124, 1 (1985).
- [15] C H. Johnson and C. M ahaux, Phys. Rev. 38 C, 2589 (1988).

Figure Captions

Figure 1: Goldstone diagram s corresponding to the second-order correction $n^{(2)}$ (). Each line indicates schem atically a particle or a hole state, the dots represent the residual interaction and the encircled dots correspond to the num ber operator.

Figure 2: Goldstone diagrams corresponding to the expansion of the norm in the norm alized second-order 2p2h approximation $n^{(2N)}$ ().

Figure 3: Goldstone diagram s corresponding to the di erent contributions in the fourth order 4p4h correction $n^{(4C)}$ ().

Figure 4: P lot of the second-order 2p2h approximation for N $_{>}$ =A, as function of the cuto energy E $_{c}$ (m easured in M eV) for 2p2h excitations.

Figure 5: M om entum distribution n() for the di erent approximations: Fermi gas (thin full lines), second-order approximation with 2p2h correlations (n() = $n^{(2)}$ ()) (long-dashed lines), normalized second-order approximation for ${}^{40}Ca$ (n() = $n^{(2N)}$ ()) (short-dashed lines), and fourth-order approximation with 2p2h + 4p4h correlations, (n() = $n^{(2)}$ () + $n^{(4C)}$ ()) (thick full lines).









Fig. 3