

Neutral Meson Photoproduction in $SU_f(3)$ PT (I): $N \rightarrow N^0$

M .K .Banerjee and J.M ilana

Department of Physics, University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland 20742, U.S.A .

DOE/ER/40762{077, UMPP # 96{062, nucl-th/9601036, January 24, 1996}

We present the results for the electric dipole amplitude for $N \rightarrow N^0$ at threshold at the $O(p^2)$ level in $SU_f(3)$ chiral perturbation theory. We find that the $SU_f(3)$ results differ only slightly from the $SU_f(2)$ results. At the $O(p^3)$ level one encounters new, unknown counterterms to which one is likely to need the threshold photoproduction data themselves, thus losing predictive power. We suggest, instead, that the difference between the proton and neutron N^0 photoproduction amplitudes may provide a test of the convergence properties of the PT in the present context. We urge that the neutron's electric dipole amplitude be measured.

I. INTRODUCTION

The one-loop $O(p^2)$ contributions in $SU_f(2)$ chiral perturbation theory (PT) to the photoproduction rates at threshold of neutral pions from nucleons are known [1] to lead to a large, positive contribution. Experimentally however [2] the electric dipole amplitude E_{0^+} is found to be negative and fairly well described by tree-level contributions [3]. This situation is somewhat of an embarrassment for PT. Bernard, Kaiser and Meißner have argued that the E_{0^+} has a slowly convergent, perturbative expansion, having recently calculated [4] the one-loop $O(p^3)$ contributions. However at this order ultraviolet divergences appear in the loop evaluations which are renormalized by the further contribution of unknown "counterterms" that enter the chiral Lagrangian at this order (one each for the proton and the neutron, reflecting the separate isoscalar and isovector coupling of the photon). Hence formally there is no prediction from PT for the electric dipole amplitudes at this order.

The work of Refs. [1] and [4] were within the framework of $SU_f(2)$ PT. In the present work we explore the results of including strangeness. We restrict ourselves to only the one-loop $O(p^2)$ level, where PT makes unambiguous predictions for the electric dipole amplitude (at threshold). The motivation for this analysis is the observation that in other electromagnetic processes that have been studied at the one-loop level in PT [5,6], there is a tendency for the kaon and pion loops to cancel partially. As we will see however, this does not occur in the present case. We believe that whether the chiral expansion is in fact converging either in the $SU_f(2)$ sector or in the $SU_f(3)$ sector is an open question.

We suggest a test of convergence of based on the predicted difference between the $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^0 + p$ and (as yet unmeasured) $\pi^+ n \rightarrow \pi^0 + n$ amplitudes. Unlike the individual amplitudes this difference has a well behaved perturbative expansion at the one-loop $O(p^2)$ level.

An important component of the strangeness program at CEBAF involves kaon and eta meson production. As in the case of N^0 photoproduction, at $O(p^2)$, $SU_f(3)$ chiral perturbation theory makes predictions for threshold K^0 and η photoproduction rates (although once again the issue of convergence arises). These rates however require a special handling of resonances which are known [7,8] to play an important role.^y Because the N^0 photoproduction amplitudes are thus in many ways cleaner and already involve distinct theoretical issues that can be precisely formulated, and because of the experimental interest in the subject, we have chosen to present here the results for pion production amplitudes only and will defer addressing the strange meson production to a subsequent paper.

The remainder of this paper is organized in the following manner. In section (II) we review the tree-level contributions, emphasizing the role [9] that the relativistic theory plays in PT in determining the $O(p^2)$ amplitudes. In section (III) we present the one-loop, $O(p^2)$ results as well as the full expression for the electric dipole amplitudes at this order in $SU_f(3)$ PT. In section (IV) we discuss these results and their theoretical and experimental implications. We also describe our proposed test of convergence of the theory using the amplitude difference.

In the work [4] of Bernard et al., a model employing resonance saturation was used to estimate the residual "pieces of the unknown "counterterms", from which they thus were able to fit the data reasonably well.

^yThe relevant resonances are $1/2^-$ states such as the $S_{11}(1535)$ in the case of η photoproduction. The $3/2^+$ states such as the (1232) first enter at tree-level at $O(p^2)$. Their contribution is though numerically suppressed relative to the nucleon's anomalous magnetic moment terms. Since the main issue involves the $O(p^2)$ one-loop contributions, we will here follow Ref. [1] and keep only the nucleon explicit.

ence $E_{0^+} = p^0 + p^0 = E_{0^+} + n^0 + n^0$. Section (V) contains our conclusions and outlooks.

II. TREE LEVEL CONTRIBUTIONS

The amplitude for threshold neutral meson production can be written as [9]

$$M = \langle H S | \mathcal{C} H | \rangle; \quad (1)$$

in terms of which E_{0^+} is defined as

$$E_{0^+} = \frac{C_1}{8(1 + m/M_N)}; \quad (2)$$

where m is the produced meson's mass, M_N the nucleon mass, ϵ is the photon polarization vector and $S_v = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 (\not{v} + 1)$ is the transverse spin operator defined in Ref. [10] and in which v is the nucleon's four velocity. Note that a Taylor expansion of the above denominator is required in the chiral expansion of E_{0^+} . The tree-level contributions through $O(p^2)$ are determined [3] from the relativistic theory. These are shown in Fig. (1). The $O(p^1)$ term is evaluated using the lowest-order terms of the relativistic Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{eff}} &= L_0^N + L_2 \\ L_0^N &= T \bar{r} B (i \not{B} - M_B) B + \\ &\quad D T \bar{r} B \not{S}_v f A; B g + F T \bar{r} B \not{S}_v [A; B] \\ L_2 &= \frac{f^2}{4} T r \not{A} \not{A} + a T r M (\not{v} + 1); \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

in which, $\epsilon = e^{i \cdot f}$, $\epsilon^2 = e^{i 2 \cdot f}$, and

$$V = \frac{1}{2} [\not{A} \not{v} + \not{v} \not{A}]; \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{2} [\not{A} \not{v} - \not{v} \not{A}]; \\ D B &= \not{A} B + [V; B]; \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The definitions of the mass matrix, M , and the octet meson and baryon fields are, by now, standard, and can be found, for example, in Ref. [11].

The $O(p^2)$ tree-level contribution is a combination of two terms. The first is from the aforementioned Taylor expansion of the denominator in Eq. (2) with the $O(p^1)$ numerator. The second is from the photon's anomalous magnetic moment couplings [12] with the nucleon in Figs. (1a) and (1b),

$$\begin{aligned} L_1^N &= \frac{e}{4M_N} D T \bar{r} B \not{F} f Q; B g \\ &\quad + F T \bar{r} B \not{F} Q; B; \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Q in the above is the charge matrix of the $u; d$ and s quarks. The coefficients D and F must be determined from data, to which one can use as input the measured

anomalous magnetic moments of the proton and neutron in terms of which $D = \frac{3}{2} \mu_N = 2.87$ and $F = \mu_p + \frac{1}{2} \mu_N = 3.4$.

In the heavy baryon expansion of PT [10] in which all negative energy states of the nucleon are absorbed into higher-order terms of the chiral expansion, L_0^N above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} L_v^0 &= i T \bar{r} B_v \not{v} D B + \\ &\quad 2 D T \bar{r} B_v S_v f A; B_v g + 2 F T \bar{r} B_v S_v [A; B_v]; \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The nucleon's propagator is given directly to be $i = (v \cdot k + i)$.

The $O(p^1)$ tree-level contribution to neutral pion photoproduction in the heavy baryon expansion arises from the following term in L_v^1 [10],

$$f_2 T \bar{r} B_v S_v \not{v} D v A B 2 L_v^1; \quad (8)$$

Bernard et al [9] determined the coefficient f_2 by matching with the amplitude obtained in the relativistic theory. The legitimacy of the procedure relies on the fact [1] that in the relativistic theory acceptable "counterterms" (i.e. that are Hermitian and CPT invariant) enter E_{0^+} first at $O(p^3)$ only and not at a lower level.²

The fact that f_2 is determined from the relativistic theory is worth emphasizing. If this was not the case and f_2 was a free parameter, then, in fact, there would be no prediction from chiral perturbation theory of pion photoproduction as the latter would be the source of fixing this now free parameter. However this is not the case because the heavy baryon expansion is ultimately merely a reorganization (albeit an admittedly highly convenient and often more transparent one) of the full relativistic theory. In the relativistic theory the loop expansion does not correspond in a one to one fashion with the chiral expansion [13] as it does in Weinberg's power counting method [14]. Instead, because the nucleon's mass is explicit in the theory, it generates terms at lower orders in the chiral expansion as well as m/M_N corrections to all order. This fact however must ultimately be said to be only a complicating feature of the relativistic formulation. The key feature is that the chiral power of the M_N independent term generated by the loop expansion in the relativistic theory is the same as in the heavy baryon expansion.

²This last point may not be obvious at first. For example one may consider adding the following CPT invariant, Hermitian pair of terms to the relativistic theory:

$$\frac{g_2}{2M_N} \bar{B} \not{h} i \not{B} + \bar{B} i \not{h} B; \quad (9)$$

However such terms are completely absorbed by a chiral rotation of the nucleon field $B^0 = (1 + \frac{g_2}{2M_N} \not{h}) B$. Explicit evaluation also shows that the graphs of Fig. (1) are independent of g_2 provided that the axial Noether current has been constructed including the effect of the new interaction term.

As in any perturbative expansion, the coefficients of the lower order terms always must be readjusted when going to higher order in the expansion and hence whether they formally contain pieces proportional to M_N is irrelevant. The role of any term $(m = M_N)^n$ generated from the relativistic loop expansion is included in a heavy baryon expansion provided all permissible terms of the specified chiral power are included. Therefore, while the explicit elimination of the nucleon's mass is a greatly simplifying feature of the heavy baryon expansion, the relativistic theory is nevertheless essential. The determination of f_2 by Bernard et al [9] illustrates this point.

Having now reviewed their origin, we conclude this section by listing the tree-level contributions through $O(p^2)$ in PT for the neutral pion photoproduction from nucleons:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{0^+}^{\text{tree}}(p \rightarrow 0)p &= \frac{e}{8f} (D + F) \frac{2}{3} \frac{p}{2} \\ E_{0^+}^{\text{tree}}(n \rightarrow 0)n &= \frac{e}{8f} (D + F) \frac{2}{3} \frac{n}{2} : (10) \end{aligned}$$

In the above, $m = M_N$, $D + F = g_A = 1.26$ and $p = 1.79$ and $n = 1.91$ are the anomalous magnetic moments of the proton and neutron, respectively.

III. ONE LOOP, $O(p^2)$ RESULTS

The six nonvanishing, $O(p^2)$ 1-loop graphs for neutral pion photoproduction from a proton is shown in Fig. (2). We note that the graphs resulting from the higher order expansion of the axial current (i.e. the three pion term of A_μ) vanish due to $SU_f(3)$ group factors. These graphs have not been exhibited. For a similar reason, explained later, the analogs of graphs of Figs. (2c) and (2d) with charged pion in the loop have not been exhibited. In Fig. (2) the sum of each graph and its corresponding crossed graph is ultraviolet finite. Working in the nucleon's rest frame suitably choosing the loop momentum k in each of the two graphs one obtains expressions identical in all respects except the baryon propagator which appears as $1/(v \cdot k + i)$. Hence, only the $i/(v \cdot k)$ term contributes in the sum of the two graphs yielding ultraviolet finite results. Picking up the baryon poles and then using dimensional regularization [15] for evaluating the remaining three Euclidean space integrals allows for the simplest evaluation of the graphs (taken pairwise). For example, the two graphs in Fig. (2c) and Fig. (2d) give the following contribution to the transition amplitude T , Eq. (1):

$$\begin{aligned} M_{0^+}^{KK \text{ loop}}(p \rightarrow 0)p &= \frac{eF}{f^3} H \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(v \cdot k) v \cdot (r + q) S \cdot k}{(k^2 + m_K^2)((q + k)^2 + m_K^2)} H \cdot k \\ &= \frac{eF m}{4 f^3} H \int d^3k \frac{S \cdot k \cdot k}{(k^2 + m_K^2)(k^2 + 2q \cdot k + m_K^2)} H \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{eF m}{8 f^3} H S \cdot H^{3=2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^1 dx \frac{q}{m_K^2 x^2 m^2} \\ &= \{H S \cdot H \frac{eF}{8 f^3} m \int \frac{q}{m_K^2 m^2 + \frac{m_K^2}{m} \sin^{-1} \frac{m}{m_K}}\} ; (11) \end{aligned}$$

yielding a contribution to the amplitude E_{0^+} of:

$$E_{0^+}^{KK \text{ loop}}(p \rightarrow 0)p = \frac{eF}{64 f^3} m \int \frac{q}{m_K^2 m^2 + \frac{m_K^2}{m} \sin^{-1} \frac{m}{m_K}} : (12)$$

The contribution [9] of Figs. (2a) and (2b) can be obtained from the above by making the obvious substitution $m_K \rightarrow m$ and also replacing F by $D + F$ to account for the different $SU_f(3)$ couplings.

Proceeding similarly, the two graphs in Figs. (2e) and (2f) give the contribution

$$\begin{aligned} M_{0^+}^{KK \text{ loop}}(p \rightarrow 0)p &= \{H S \cdot H \frac{eF}{2 f^3} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(v \cdot (r + k)) v \cdot (r - k)}{k^2 + m_K^2}\} \\ &= \{H S \cdot H \frac{eF}{4 f^3} m \int \frac{q}{m_K^2 m^2}\} : (13) \end{aligned}$$

From this expression it is obvious that the summed contribution from the analogous two graphs with a charged pion propagating in the loops is zero.

Summing the two classes of kaon loop contributions given by Eqs. (11) and (13) we obtain the following contribution to the E_{0^+} amplitude:

$$E_{0^+}^{KK \text{ loop}}(p \rightarrow 0)p + E_{0^+}^{KK \text{ loop}}(p \rightarrow 0)p = \frac{eF}{64 f^3} m f(m_K; m) ; (14)$$

where the function $f(m_K; m)$ is given by

$$f(m_K; m) = \frac{m_K^2}{m} \sin^{-1} \frac{m}{m_K} \int \frac{q}{m_K^2 m^2} : (15)$$

This result agrees with the result reported by Steininger et al. [16].*

Combining these results with the tree-level contributions of Eq. (10), one obtains that the complete $O(p^2)$ expression for threshold pion photoproduction from nucleons in $SU_f(3)$ PT is:

*An earlier version of the present paper had an error which was pointed out in Ref. [16].

$$E_{0^+} \quad p \rightarrow \pi^0 p = \frac{eg_A}{8f} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{m^2}{16f^2} \frac{F}{g_A} \frac{m}{8f^2} f(m_K; m) \quad (16)$$

$$E_{0^+} \quad n \rightarrow \pi^0 n = \frac{eg_A}{8f} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{m^2}{16f^2} \frac{F}{g_A} \frac{D}{16f^2} \frac{m}{16f^2} f(m_K; m) \quad (17)$$

IV. DISCUSSION

According to a recent analysis [4] of the world's data [2] the current best value of $E_{0^+} \quad p \rightarrow \pi^0 p$ is $1.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$. The numerical values of the various contribution to the photoproduction amplitudes are listed below. The kaon loop contributions are calculated by using $F = 0.4$ and $D = 0.86$. The values are listed in the same order of appearance as that of the terms in Eqs (16) and (17).

$$E_{0^+} \quad p \rightarrow \pi^0 n = (3.28 + 1.13 + 3.01 + 0.11) \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1} \quad (18)$$

$$E_{0^+} \quad n \rightarrow \pi^0 n = (0 + .45 + 3.01 - 0.07) \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1} \quad (19)$$

As mentioned earlier the total tree level result for $E_{0^+} \quad p \rightarrow \pi^0 n$ is reasonably close to the experimental value. The one-loop result involving pion is of the same size as the tree level result and upon adding it one gets an amplitude with wrong sign. The kaon loop contribution is negligible and consequently does not help matters. There is distinct indication that PT is not converging in the present situation.

It has been suggested [4] that in $SU_f(2)$ theory convergence sets in at $O(p^3)$ or at an even higher order. The same suggestion presumably applies to the $SU_f(3)$ theory too. Of course, in both cases one encounters new, unknown counterterms. One is likely to need the threshold photoproduction data themselves to fix these terms. Thus one loses predictive power.

We suggest that the difference between the proton and neutron π^0 photoproduction amplitudes would provide a test of the convergence properties of chiral perturbation theory. The $SU_f(3)$ result for the difference at $O(p^2)$ level is

$$E_{0^+} \quad p \rightarrow \pi^0 p - E_{0^+} \quad n \rightarrow \pi^0 n \quad_{SU_f(3)} = \frac{eg_A}{8f} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{m^2}{16f^2} \frac{F}{g_A} \frac{m}{16f^2} f(m_K; m) - \frac{2}{3} \frac{m^2}{16f^2} \frac{F}{g_A} \frac{D}{16f^2} \frac{m}{16f^2} f(m_K; m) \\ (3.28 + 0.68 + 0 + 0.17) \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1} - 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1} = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1} \quad (20)$$

The last but one line gives the breakdown according to the various contributors. We see that the value at

$O(p^1)$ is $3.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$ and the total contribution of the $O(p^2)$ is $0.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$. There is a distinct tendency towards convergence. It is legitimate to hope that the higher chiral power terms, which are supposed to cancel out the one-loop $O(p^2)$ terms in the individual amplitudes, do not contribute much to the difference. Then comparing the predicted value of Eq. (20) with experiment will constitute a test of PT. If the amplitude is truly convergent the numerical value of $2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$ should compare well with the experimental data, something which cannot be claimed for the results of Eqs (18) and (19).

V. CONCLUSIONS

It is known that the tree level result at $O(p^2)$ of $SU_f(2)$ chiral perturbation theory result for the $E_{0^+} \quad p \rightarrow \pi^0 p$ amplitudes is in fair agreement with the experimental result. But addition of one-loop $O(p^2)$ contribution spoils the agreement radically, to the extent of changing the sign of the amplitude. Since kaon and pion loop contributions tend to cancel each other in magnetic moment calculation, we examined if a similar situation occurs in neutral pion photoproduction amplitude. We find that contrary to the expectation, extension from $SU_f(2)$ to $SU_f(3)$ theory makes very little change. In fact, it makes the disagreement with the data marginally worse.

The radical change produced by the one-loop $O(p^2)$ contribution raises questions about convergence of the theories. One may speculate that convergence sets in at higher chiral power. We find that this speculation leads to a testable prediction for the amplitude difference, $E_{0^+} \quad p \rightarrow \pi^0 p - E_{0^+} \quad n \rightarrow \pi^0 n$. It is reasonable to expect a convergent result at the $O(p^2)$ level. Specifically, the prediction for the difference amplitude is $2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$. An experimental test of this prediction will shed light on the convergence issue.

This discussion makes clear that it is important to obtain experimental data on π^0 neutron. Such experiments are being considered at CEBAF.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank M. Birse for getting us interested in this problem and T.D. Cohen and C. Bennhold for discussions. We also thank N. Kaiser for useful correspondence. This work was supported in part by DOE Grant DOE-FG02-93ER-40762.

[1] V. Bernard, J. Gasser, N. Kaiser and U.-G. Meißner, Phys. Lett. B 268, 291 (1991); V. Bernard, N. Kaiser and U.-G. Meißner, Nucl. Phys. B 383, 442 (1991).

- [2] E. M. Azzucato et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 3144 (1986); R. Beck et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 1841 (1990); T. P. W. Elch et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2761 (1992).
- [3] For a review of the pre-one-loop analysis of π^0 PT, see A. M. Bernstein and B. R. Holstein, Comments Nucl. Part. Phys. 20, 197 (1991).
- [4] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, and U.-G. Meißner, Neutral pion photoproduction on Nucleons Revisited, hep-ph/9411287, Strasbourg preprint CRN 94-62, Bonn preprint TK 94 18, (1994); to appear in Z. Phys. C.
- [5] E. Jenkins, M. Luke, A. V. Manohar, and M. J. Savage, Phys. Lett. B 302, 482 (1993).
- [6] M. K. Banerjee and J. Milana, The Decuplet Revisited in π^0 PT, University of Maryland preprint, DOE/ER/40762-065, UMD P P # 96-19 (1995).
- [7] T. Mart, C. Bennhold, and C. E. Hyde (Wright), Phys. Rev. C 51, R1074 (1995); Zh. Li, Phys. Rev. C 52, 1648 (1995); J. C. David, C. Fayard, G. H. Lamot, and B. Saghai, Electromagnetic Production of Associated Strangeness, DAPNIA preprint, DAPNIA-SPHN-95-52 (1995).
- [8] M. Benmerrouche and N. C. Mukhopadhyay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1070 (1991); M. Benmerrouche, N. C. Mukhopadhyay and J. F. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 51, 3237 (1995); C. Bennhold and H. Tanabe, Nucl. Phys. 530A, 625 (1991); L. Tiator, C. Bennhold, and S. S. Kamalov, Nucl. Phys. 580A, 455 (1994).
- [9] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, J. Kambor and U.-G. Meißner, Nucl. Phys. B 388, 315 (1992).
- [10] E. Jenkins, and A. V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. B 255, 558 (1991).
- [11] For a lucid introduction to the heavy quark effective theory, as well as to Chiral Perturbation theory, see, J. F. Donoghue, E. Golowich, and B. Holstein, "Dynamics of the Standard Model", (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge England, 1992).
- [12] S. Coleman and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 6, 423 (1961). S. Weinberg, Phys. Lett. B 251, 288 (1990).
- [13] J. Gasser, M. E. Sainio, and A. Svarc, Nucl. Phys. B 307, 779 (1988).
- [14] S. Weinberg, Phys. Lett. B 251, 288 (1990). Within the heavy baryon or nonrelativistic version of the theory, the chiral power of a one-nucleon irreducible graph with L loops, V_i vertices of type i characterized by d_i derivatives or factors of m and n_i number of nucleon fields is given by $= 2 - N + 2L + \sum_i V_i (d_i - 1)$.
- [15] M. K. Banerjee and J. Milana, Phys. Rev. D 52, 6451 (1995).
- [16] S. Steininger and U.-G. Meißner, University Bonn preprint TK 96 04, (1996).

FIG. 1. The tree-level graphs that contribute to neutral pion photoproduction through $O(p^2)$ in the relativistic theory. These are reduced to seagull terms in the heavy baryon formulation.

FIG. 2. The six lowest order, one-loop contributions to neutral pion photoproduction from a proton in $SU_f(3)$ PT.