Istituto
Nazionale
Fisica
Nucleare

Sezione SANITA

Istituto Superiore di Sanita Viale Regina Elena 299 I-00161 Roma, Italy

> INFN-ISS 96/6 August 1996

# TAGGED NUCLEAR STRUCTURE FUNCTIONS WITH HERM ES a

Silvano Simula

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione Sanita, Viale Regina Elena 299, I-00161 Roma, Italy

#### A bstract

The production of slow nucleons in sem i-inclusive deep inelastic electron scattering o nuclei, A (e;  $e^0N$ )X, is analyzed for kinematical conditions accessible at HERA with the HERM ES detector. The sensitivity of the sem i-inclusive cross section to possible medium-dependent modi cations of the nucleon structure function is illustrated.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a}$  To appear in the P roceedings of the W orkshop on Future P hysics at H ERA, D ESY (G erm any), September 1995 to M ay 1996.

## Tagged nuclear structure functions with HERMES

### Silvano SIM ULA

INFN, Sezione Sanita, Viale Regina Elena 299, I-00161 Roma, Italy

A bstract: The production of slow nucleons in sem i-inclusive deep inelastic electron scattering o nuclei, A (e;  $e^0N$ ) X , is analyzed for kinem atical conditions accessible at HERA with the HERM ES detector. The sensitivity of the sem i-inclusive cross section to possible medium-dependent modi cations of the nucleon structure function is illustrated.

The investigation of deep inelastic scattering (D IS) of leptons of the nucleon and nuclei is a relevant part of the experim ental activity proposed both at present electron facilities, like H E RA and TJN AF, and at possible future ones, like ELFE and GSI. As is well known, existing inclusive D IS data [1] have provided a wealth of inform ation on quark and gluon distributions in the nucleon and nuclei. However, important questions, concerning, e.g., the mechanism of quark and gluon con nement as well as the origin of the EMC e ect, are still awaiting form ore clear-cut answers. To this end, the investigation of sem i-inclusive D IS processes is expected to be of great relevance. Recently, in [2]-[5] the production of slow nucleons in semi-inclusive D IS processes o nuclei, A ('; '<sup>0</sup>N)X, has been analyzed within the so-called spectator mechanism, according to which, after lepton interaction with a quark belonging to a nucleon of a correlated nucleon-nucleon (N N ) pair, the recoiling nucleon is emitted and detected in coincidence with the scattered lepton. The basic idea is that the momentum of the recoiling nucleon carries information on the momentum of the struck nucleon before lepton interaction, allowing to tag the structure function of a nucleon bound in a nucleus. In Ref. [5] the sem i-inclusive reaction <sup>2</sup>H ('; 'N )X has been analyzed, showing that the experimental investigation of this process can be an e ective tool to get information on the neutron structure function as well as on the neutron to proton structure function ratio. The aim of this contribution is to show that, at kinem atical conditions accessible at HERA with HERMES, the sem i-inclusive cross section of the process A (e;eN) X, for A > 2, exhibits an appreciable sensitivity to possible m edium -dependent m odi cations of the nucleon structure function.

In case of electron scattering the sem i-inclusive cross section reads as follows

$$\frac{d^{4}}{dE_{e^{0}} d_{e^{0}} dE_{2} d_{2}} = p_{2} E_{2} M_{ott} V_{i}(x;Q^{2}) W_{i}^{A}(x;Q^{2};p_{2})$$
(1)

 $<sup>^{</sup>b}$ By slow nucleons we mean nucleons with momentum up to 0:7 GeV=c in a frame where the target is at rest (lab system).

where  $x=Q^2=2M$  is the B jorken variable;  $Q^2=q^2=q^2=^2>0$  is the squared fourmomentum transfer;  $V_i$  is a kinem atical factor;  $W_{iq}^A$  is the sem i-inclusive nuclear response;  $p_2$  is the momentum of the detected nucleon and  $E_2$   $M^2+p_2^2$  its energy  $(p_2-p_2)$ .

Let us consider the process in which a virtual photon interacts with a nucleon of a correlated N N pair, and the recoiling nucleon is emitted and detected in coincidence with the scattered electron. Within the impulse approximation and in the B jorken limit, the semi-inclusive nuclear structure function  $F_2^A(x;p_2)$  is given by the following convolution formula (cf. [2])

$$F_{2}^{A}(x;p_{2}) = M \sum_{N_{1}=n,p}^{X} Z_{N_{1}} \sum_{x}^{Z_{\frac{M_{A}}{M}}} Z_{2} dz_{1} Z_{1} F_{2}^{N_{1}}(\frac{x}{z_{1}}) dk_{cm} dE^{(2)} dk_{cm} dE^{(2)}$$

$$P_{N_{1}N_{2}}(k_{cm}: p_{2};p_{2};E^{(2)}) M_{A} M(z_{1}+z_{2}) M_{A}^{f} Z_{A} Z_{A} (2)$$
(2)

where  $Z_{p(n)}$  is the number of protons (neutrons);  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are initial nucleon momenta in the lab system before interaction with cm. momentum  $K_{cm}$ : =  $K_1 + K_2$ ;  $p_1 = K_1 + q$  and  $p_2 = K_2$  are nucleon momenta in the nal state;  $F_2^N$  is the structure function of the struck nucleon. In Eq. (2),  $x=z_1$  is the B jorken variable of the struck nucleon having initial lightcone m om entum  $z_1 = k_1^+ = M$ ;  $z_2 = (E_2 p_2 \cos z) = M$  is the experimentally measurable lightcone m om entum of the detected nucleon (  $_2$  is the detection angle with respect to q);  $z_{\rm A}$   $_2$  =  $(M_{A}^{f})^{2} + k_{cm}^{2} + (k_{cm})_{k} = M_{A}^{f}$  is the light-cone m om entum of the residual (A-2)-nucleon system with nalmassM  $_{\rm A~2}^{\rm f}$  = M  $_{\rm A~2}$  + E  $_{\rm A~2}$  and intrinsic excitation energy E  $_{\rm A~2}$  . The relevant nuclear quantity in (2) is the two-nucleon spectral function  $P_{N_1N_2}$ , which represents the joint probability to nd in a nucleus two nucleons with momenta  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  and removal energy  $E^{(2)}$ . For deuteron it simply reduces to the nucleon momentum distribution and for  ${}^{3}$ H e to the square of the wave function in momentum space, times the removal energy delta function  $(E^{(2)} E_{thr}^{(2)})$ , with  $E_{thr}^{(2)} = 2M + M_{A} = 2M$  being the two-nucleon break-up threshold. In case of <sup>4</sup>H e and heavier nuclei, the two-nucleon spectral function is not yet available in the exact form; however, realistic models taking into account those features of the two-nucleon spectral function which are relevant in the study of sem i-inclusive D IS processes, have been developed [2]-[4]. In this contribution the 2N C model of Ref. [6], where the cm. motion of the correlated pair is properly taken into account, is adopted, viz.

$$P_{N_1N_2}(K_1; K_2; E^{(2)}) = n_{N_1N_2}^{\text{rel}}(K_1 - K_2; E^{(2)}) n_{N_1N_2}^{\text{cm}}(K_1 + K_2; E^{(2)}) (E^{(2)} - E^{(2)}_{\text{thr}})$$
(3)

where  $n_{N_1N_2}^{\rm rel}$  and  $n_{N_1N_2}^{\rm cm}$  are the momentum distribution of the relative and cm. motion of the correlated  $N_1N_2$  pair, respectively. We point out that the 2NC model reproduces the high momentum and high removal energy components of the single-nucleon spectral function of  $^3H$  e and nuclearm atter, calculated using many-body approaches, as well as the high momentum part of the single-nucleon momentum distribution of light and complex nuclei (see [6]). Therefore, in the kinematical region 0:3 GeV=c <  $p_2$  < 0:7 GeV=c for the momentum of the recoiling nucleon, the non-relativistic description (3) of the nuclear structure is expected to be well grounded. Using the 2NC model, the nuclear elects on the energy and angular distributions of the nucleons produced in semi-inclusive A (e;  $e^0N$ ) X processes have been extensively investigated [2]-[4], showing that backward emission is strongly enhanced when the elects due to the cm. motion of the correlated pair are taken into account.

Besides the spectator mechanism, there are other reaction mechanisms which could lead to forward as well as backward nucleon emission, like, e.g., the so-called target fragmentation of

the struck nucleon (see [2]) and the hadronization processes following lepton interactions with possible six-quark (6q) cluster con gurations at short N N separations (see [3,4]). Furtherm ore, it should be considered that within the spectatorm echanism the virtual boson can be elastically absorbed by the struck nucleon. The contribution from this process, which involves the nucleon form factor instead of the nucleon structure function, vanishes in the B jorken limit, but it can a ect the sem i-inclusive cross section at nite values of Q and for x! 1. In what follows, we will refer to such a process as the quasi-elastic (QE) contamination.

The sem i-inclusive cross section of the process  $^{12}$ C (e;  $^{0}$ p)X has been calculated including in (1) all the nuclear response functions and considering electron kinematical conditions accessible at HERA with HERMES (i.e.,  $E_e = 30 \text{ GeV}$  and  $Q^2$  in the range 5  $15 \text{ (GeV=c)}^2$ ). The relative and cm. momentum distributions adopted in the calculations are taken from [6]. As for the nucleon structure function, the parametrization of the SLAC data of Ref. [7] has been considered. In case of backward proton emission the results obtained for the contributions resulting from the spectator mechanism, the (above-mentioned) hadronization processes and the QE contamination are separately shown in Fig. 1 as a function of the kinetic energy  $T_2$  of the detected nucleon. It can clearly be seen that for  $50 \text{ MeV} < T_2 < 250 \text{ MeV}$  (corresponding to  $0.3 \text{ GeV} = c < p_2 < 0.7 \text{ GeV} = c$ ) backward nucleon emission is mainly governed by the spectator mechanism (cf. [2]-[4]). Therefore, in what follows, we will limit ourselves to the case of backward nucleon emission.

In [2] a ratio of sem i-inclusive cross sections, evaluated at di erent values of x but keeping xed both  $Q^2$  and the nucleon kinem atical variables, has been introduced, viz.

$$R_1(x_0;x;Q^2;p_2) d^4(x;Q^2;p_2) = d^4(x_0;Q^2;p_2)$$
 (4)

The ratio  $R_1$  turns out to be almost independent of the elects due to the rescattering of the recoiling nucleon with the residual (A 2)-nucleon system (cf. [2]); such an important feature is mainly due to the fact that the nucleon kinematical variables are the same in the numerator and denominator of the cross section ratio  $R_1$ . In order to investigate the sensitivity of  $R_1$  to possible medium-dependent modications of the nucleon structure function, three models available in the literature have been considered. In the rest one [8] the valence-quark distributions in the nucleon are expected to be suppressed when the nucleon is bound in a nucleus, since point-like congurations (plc) in the nucleon should interact weaker in the medium with respect to normal-size congurations. In [8] the suppression factor is expected to be a function of the momentum of the struck nucleon. The second and third models are  $Q^2$ -rescaling models [9, 10], where the rescaling is driven by nucleon swelling [9] or by the o-shellness of the struck nucleon [10]. The results obtained are reported in Fig. 2 in terms of the ratio of the quantity  $R_1$ , evaluated using the medium-modied nucleon structure function, to the quantity  $R_1$ , calculated with the free  $F_2^N$ . It can clearly be seen that the ratio  $R_1$  is remarkably sensitive to possible deformations of the nucleon structure function.

Another useful cross section ratio can be de ned as

$$R_2(Q_0^2; Q^2; x; p_2) \quad d^4(x; Q^2; p_2) = d^4(x; Q_0^2; p_2)$$
 (5)

where both x and the nucleon kinem atical variables are kept  $\,$  xed. The ratio R  $_2$  is expected to be mainly dominated by the Q  $^2$  behaviour of the nucleon structure function. As a matter of fact, explicit calculations show that R  $_2$  is almost independent both of  $p_2$  and of the mass number A, when the free nucleon structure function is adopted. Besides the three models




Fig. 1. The sem i-inclusive cross section (1) of the process  $^{12}$ C (e;e<sup>0</sup>p)X, evaluated at Q<sup>2</sup> = 10 (G eV=c)<sup>2</sup> and for backward proton emission at  $_2$  = 140°, versus the kinetic energy  $T_2$  of the detected nucleon at various values of the B jorken variable x. The solid lines are the results obtained within the spectator mechanism. The dashed lines correspond to the proton emission arising both from the target fragmentation of the struck nucleon, evaluated as in [2], and from virtual photon absorption on 6q cluster con gurations, evaluated as in [3, 4]. The dotted lines are the contribution from the QE contamination (see text).




Fig. 2. The ratio of the quantity  $R_1$  ( $x_0 = 0.3$ ; x;  $Q^2$ ;  $p_2$ ) (Eq. (4)), calculated using medium—modi ed and free nucleon structure functions. The calculations have been perform ed in case of the process  $^{12}$ C (e;  $e^0$ p)X at  $Q^2 = 10$  (G eV = c) $^2$ ,  $p_2 = 0.4$  G eV = c and for backward proton kinematics ( $_2 = 140^\circ$ ). The dotted, dashed and solid lines correspond to the models of Refs. [8], [9] and [10], respectively.

em ployed in the calculations shown in Fig. 2, a further model [11] has been considered. It generates both x-and Q $^2$ -rescaling of F $_2^N$ , driven by binding e ects on the energy transferred




Fig. 3. The ratio of the quantity  $R_2$  ( $Q_0^2 = 4$  (GeV=c) $^2$ ;  $Q_0^2$ ; x;  $p_2$ ) (Eq. (5)), calculated using medium-modi ed and free nucleon structure functions. The calculations have been performed in case of the process  $^{12}$ C (e;  $e^0$ p)X at x = 0:6 and for backward nucleon kinematics ( $_2 = 140^\circ$ ). The solid, dashed, dotted and dot-dashed lines correspond to  $p_2 = 0$ :3;0:4;0:5 and 0:6 GeV=c, respectively. The models adopted for the description of the medium-dependent modi cations of  $F_2^N$  are from [8] (a), [9] (b), [10] (c) and [11] (d), respectively.

to the struck nucleon. The results are shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that also the ratio R $_2$  is appreciably a ected by possible deform ations of the nucleon structure function and, moreover, the  $p_2$ -dependence of the deviations with respect to the predictions obtained using free  $F_2^N$ , could provide relevant inform ation on the type of medium elects on  $F_2^N$ .

Before closing, it should be reminded that our calculations have been performed within the assumption that the debris produced by the fragmentation of the struck nucleon does not interact with the recoiling spectator nucleon. Estimates of the nal state interactions of the fragments in semi-inclusive processes of the deuteron have been obtained in [12], suggesting that rescattering effects should play a minor role thanks to the nite formation time of the dressed hadrons. Moreover, backward nucleon emission is not expected to be sensitively a ected by forward-produced hadrons (cf. [13]), and the effects due to the nal state interactions of the fragments are expected to cancel out (at least partially) in the cross section ratios  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ .

In conclusion, the production of slow nucleons in sem i-inclusive deep inelastic electron scattering o nuclei, A (e;  $e^0N$ ) X, has been investigated in kinematical regions accessible at HERA with the HERMES detector. It has been shown that backward nucleon production is mainly governed by the spectator mechanism, provided the B jorken variable x and the kinetic energy

of the detected nucleon are in the range 0.2 0.8 and 50 250 M eV, respectively. The ratios (4) and (5) of the sem i-inclusive cross sections, evaluated at di erent electron kinematics keeping xed the nucleon ones, exhibit an appreciable sensitivity to possible medium-dependent modications of the nucleon structure function.

### References

- [1] For a review see: M . A meodo: Phys. Rep. 240 (1994) 301.
- [2] C.Cio degli Attiand S.Simula: Phys. Lett. B 319 (1993) 23; in Proc. of the 6<sup>th</sup> W orkshop on Perspectives in Nuclear Physics at Intermediate Energies, ICTP (Trieste, Italy), M ay 3-7, 1993, eds. S.Bo, C.Cio degli Attiand M.Giannini, W orld Scientic (Singapore, 1994), pg. 182.
- [3] S. Simula: in Proc. of the Workshop on CEBAF at Higher Energies, CEBAF (USA), April 14-16, 1994, eds. N. Isgur and P. Stoler, p. 379.
- [4] C.Cio degli Atti and S.Simula: Few Body Systems 18 (1995) 55.S.Simula: Few Body Systems Suppl. 9 (1995) 466.
- [5] S. Simula: preprint INFN-ISS 96/2, nucl-th 9605024, to appear in Phys. Lett. B (1996); preprint INFN-ISS 96/5, nucl-th 9608053 (contribution to this workshop); contribution to the Study Group on Long-Term Perspectives at GSI, GSI (Germ any), June 1996.
- [6] C.Cio degli Atti, S.Simula, L.L. Frankfurt, M. J. Strikman: Phys. Rev. C 44 (1991) R7. C.Cio degli Atti and S.Simula: Phys. Rev. C 53 (1996) 1689.
- [7] A.Bodek and JL.Ritchie: Phys.Rev.D 23 (1981) 1070.LW.W hitlow et al.: Phys.Lett. 282B (1992) 475.
- [8] L. Frankfurt, M. J. Strikm an: Physics Reports 76 (1981) 216; ib. 160 (1988) 235.
- [9] F.E.Close, R.L.Ja e, R.G.Roberts and G.G.Ross: Phys.Rev.D 31 (1985) 1004.
- [10] G.V.Dunne and A.W. Thomas: Nucl. Phys. A 455 (1986) 701.
- [11] L.Heller and A.W. Thomas: Phys. Rev. C 41 (1990) 2756.
- [12] A.G. Tenner and N.N. Nikolaev: Nuovo Cim. A 105 (1992) 1001.
- [13] G.D. Bosveld, A.E. L. Dieperink and A.G. Tenner: Phys. Rev. C 49 (1994) 2379.