

QUARK DEGREES OF FREEDOM IN FINITE NUCLEI*

KAZUO TSUSHIMA¹, KOICHI SAITO² and ANTHONY W. THOMAS³

^{1,3}*Department of Physics and Mathematical Physics and Institute for Theoretical Physics
University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia*

²*Physics Division, Tohoku College of Pharmacy, Sendai 981, Japan*

E-mail: ktsushim@physics.adelaide.edu.au¹

ksaito@nucl.phys.tohoku.ac.jp²

athomas@physics.adelaide.edu.au³

Properties of finite nuclei are investigated based on relativistic Hartree equations which have been derived from a relativistic quark model of the structure of bound nucleons. Nucleons are assumed to interact through the (self-consistent) exchange of scalar (σ) and vector (ω and ρ) mesons at the quark level. The coupling constants and the mass of the σ -meson are determined from the properties of symmetric nuclear matter and the rms charge radius in ^{40}Ca . Calculated properties of static, closed-shell nuclei, as well as symmetric nuclear matter are compared with experimental data and with the results of Quantum Hadrodynamics (QHD).

There is no doubt now that explicit quark degrees of freedom for nucleon structure are certainly required to understand deep-inelastic scattering at momentum transfers of several GeV¹. Furthermore, it has also proven possible to successfully describe the properties of nuclear matter by taking account of the quark structure of nucleons^{2,3}. Here, we address the question: ‘Are the quark degrees of freedom necessary to describe the properties of finite nuclei?’, where the typical energy scale is a few tens of MeV. Corresponding to this question, we will report our recent work on the properties of finite nuclei (as well as symmetric nuclear matter) based on the quark meson coupling model (QMC)^a, whose original version was suggested by Guichon². The main feature of the QMC model is that nucleons in the nucleus (matter) are described by the non-overlapping MIT bag model within Born-Oppenheimer approximation.

In QMC, the Lagrangian density for mesons and these nucleons can be defined:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}[i\gamma \cdot \partial - M_N^*(\hat{\sigma}) - g_\omega \hat{\omega}^\mu \gamma_\mu]\psi + \mathcal{L}_{mesons}, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{mesons} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \hat{\sigma} \partial^\mu \hat{\sigma} - m_\sigma^2 \hat{\sigma}^2) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \hat{\omega}_\nu (\partial^\mu \hat{\omega}^\nu - \partial^\nu \hat{\omega}^\mu) + \frac{1}{2}m_\omega^2 \hat{\omega}^\mu \hat{\omega}_\mu, \quad (2)$$

where, ψ ($M_N^*(\hat{\sigma})$), $\hat{\sigma}$ (m_σ), $\hat{\omega}$ (m_ω) are the field operators (masses) of the nucleon, σ -, and ω -mesons, respectively, where the effective nucleon mass $M_N^*(\hat{\sigma})$ will be defined below. In mean field approximation, equations of motions for these fields are given by:

$$[i\gamma \cdot \partial - M_N^*(\sigma) - g_\omega \gamma_0 \omega]\psi = 0, \quad (3)$$

*This work is supported in part by the Australian Research Council.

^aRecently, Blunden and Miller have also studied the properties of finite nuclei with QMC⁶.

$$(-\nabla_r^2 + m_\sigma^2)\sigma(\vec{r}) = -[\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}M_N^*(\sigma)]\rho_s(\vec{r}) = g_\sigma C(\sigma)\rho_s(\vec{r}), \quad (4)$$

$$(-\nabla_r^2 + m_\omega^2)\omega(\vec{r}) = g_\omega \rho_B(\vec{r}). \quad (5)$$

On the right hand side of Eq (4), a new, and characteristic feature of QMC beyond QHD ⁷ appears, namely, $-[\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}M_N^*(\sigma)]$, or $C(\sigma)$. These quantities are defined by,

$$\frac{\partial M_N^*}{\partial\sigma} = -3g_\sigma^q \int_{bag} d\vec{r} \bar{\psi}_q \psi_q \equiv -3g_\sigma^q S(\sigma) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma} [g_\sigma(\sigma)\sigma], \quad (6)$$

with the MIT bag model quantities

$$\begin{aligned} M_N^*(\sigma) &= \frac{3\Omega(\sigma(\vec{r})) - z_0}{R_B^*} + \frac{4}{3}\pi(R_B^*)^3 B, \\ S(\sigma(\vec{r})) &= \frac{\Omega/2 + m_q^* R_B^* (\Omega - 1)}{\Omega(\Omega - 1) + m_q^* R_B^*/2}, \quad \Omega = \sqrt{x^2 + (R_B^* m_q^*)^2}, \\ m_q^* &= m_q - g_\sigma^q \sigma(\vec{r}), \quad C(\sigma) = S(\sigma)/S(0), \quad g_\sigma = 3g_\sigma^q S(0). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here, z_0 , B , x and m_q are the parameters for the sum of the c.m. and gluon fluctuation effects, bag pressure, lowest eigenvalue and current quark mass, respectively. z_0 and B are fixed by fitting the nucleon mass in free space, and assumed to be independent of density, or σ -meson field. The bag radius in-medium, R_B^* , is obtained by the equilibrium condition $dM_N^*(\sigma(\vec{r}))/dR_B|_{R_B=R_B^*} = 0$. The results reported in this article are obtained with the values, $z_0 = 3.295$, $R_B = 0.8$ fm (in free space), $B = (170\text{MeV})^4$ and $m_q = 5$ MeV, respectively. At the hadron level, the entire information on the quark dynamics is condensed in $C(\sigma)$ of Eq. (4). Furthermore, when this $C(\sigma) = 1$, the equations of motions given by Eqs. (3), (4) and (5) are exactly identical to those derived from QHD ⁷. By solving these equations of motions at the hadron level, we can investigate the properties of finite nuclei.

We present the calculated results in the following. For realistic calculations of finite nuclei, contributions of the Coulomb force and the ρ meson are also included ^{4,5}. The model parameters at the hadron level, i.e. coupling constants and mass of the sigma meson, m_σ , are determined from the properties of symmetric nuclear matter (binding energy per nucleon of -15.7 MeV) and rms charge radius in ⁴⁰Ca (3.48 fm), with the ratio g_σ/m_σ fixed so as to reproduce the symmetric nuclear matter properties (See Table 1). Other parameters used for the calculations are, $m_\omega = 783$ MeV, $m_\rho = 770$ MeV and $e^2/4\pi = 1/137.036$. The calculated binding energy with results of QHD are shown in Fig. 1. One of the successes of QMC is that the nuclear compressibility, K , is well reproduced the experimentally required values 200 - 300 MeV, whereas QHD tends to overestimate it significantly.

In Figs. 2 and 3, we show the calculated charge density distributions for ⁴⁰Ca and ²⁰⁸Pb with the results of QHD and experimental data ^{8,9}. The charge density is calculated as a convolution of the point-proton density with the proton charge

Table 1. Coupling constants and calculated properties for symmetric nuclear matter at normal nuclear density and finite nuclei. The effective nucleon mass, M_N^* , and the nuclear compressibility, K , are the values for symmetric nuclear matter at normal nuclear density $\rho_0 = 0.15 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. M_N^* , K , and the sigma meson mass, m_σ , are quoted in MeV.

	symmetric nuclear matter					finite nuclei			
	M_N^*	K	m_σ	$g_\sigma^2/4\pi$	$g_\omega^2/4\pi$	m_σ	$g_\sigma^2/4\pi$	$g_\omega^2/4\pi$	$g_\rho^2/4\pi$
QMC	754	280	550	5.40	5.31	418	3.12	5.31	6.93
QHD	504	565	520	8.72	15.2	520	8.72	15.2	5.19




Figure 1. Calculated (binding energy)/nucleon by QMC (the solid line) and QHD (the dashed line).

distribution ^{4,5}. They are fairly well reproduced, and especially the QMC results for ⁴⁰Ca are impressive. These quantities are not sensitive to the values of R_B and m_q ^{4,5}.

As a summary, we would like to stress the successful generalization of the QMC model to finite nuclei opens a tremendous number of opportunities for further work. For example, to investigate the Okamoto-Nolen-Schiffer anomaly, the nuclear EMC effect, super-allowed Fermi beta-decay, and so on, which could be resolved by the introduction of the quark degrees of freedom. Although there are a number of important ways in which this model could be extended, the present model can be applied to all the problems for which QHD has proven so attractive, with very little extra effort. Finally, our answer to the question: ‘Are the quark degrees of freedom necessary to describe the properties of finite nuclei?’ is that, a quantitative investigation has just now started !

References




Figure 2. Charge density distribution for ^{40}Ca (for $m_q = 5$ MeV and $R_B = 0.8$ fm) compared with the experimental data ⁸ and that of QHD.

1. D.F. Geesaman, K. Saito, A.W. Thomas, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, **45** (1995) 337, and references therein.
2. P.A.M. Guichon, *Phys. Lett.* **B327** (1988) 235.
3. K. Saito, A.W. Thomas, *Phys. Lett.* **B327** (1994) 9; *Phys. Rev.* **C51** (1995) 2757.
4. P.A.M. Guichon, K. Saito, E. Rodionov and A.W. Thomas, *Nucl. Phys.* **A601** (1996) 349.
5. K. Saito, K. Tsushima and A.W. Thomas, to appear in *Nucl. Phys.* **A** (1996).
6. P.G. Blunden and G.A. Miller, *Phys. Rev.* **C54** (1996) 359.
7. B.D. Serot and J.D. Walecka, *Advan. Nucl. Phys.* **16** (1986) 1.
8. I. Sick et al., *Phys. Lett.* **B88** (1979) 245.
9. B. Frois et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **B38** (1977) 152.




Figure 3. Charge density distribution for ^{208}Pb (for $m_q = 5$ MeV and $R_B = 0.8$ fm) compared with the experimental data ⁹ and that of QHD.