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#### Abstract

A chiralpion-nucleon am plitude supplem ented by the H JS subthreshold coe cients is used to calculate the the long range part of the two-pion exchange nucleon-nucleon potential. In our expressions the H JS coe cients factor out, allow ing a clear identication of the origin of the various contributions. A discussion of the con guration space behaviour of the loop integrals that determ ine the potential is presented, $w$ ith em phasis on cancellations associated $w$ ith chiral sym $m$ etry. The pro le function for the scalar-isoscalar com ponent of the potential is produced and show $n$ to disagree $w$ ith those of several sem i-phenom enological potentials.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

N ow adays there are severalsem i-phenom enologicalN N potentials that $m$ ay be considered as realistic because they provide good descriptions of cross sections, scattering am plitudes and phase shifts. $N$ evertheless, it is possible to notice im portant discrepancies when one com pares directly their con guration space pro le functions. Of course, this situation is consistent with the venerable inverse scattering problem, whereby there are alw ays $m$ any potentials that can explain a given set of observables. Therefore one must look elsew here in order to assess the $m$ erits of the various possible $m$ odels.

In the case of NN interactions, there is a rather rich relationship between the potential and observables, involving several spin and isospin channels and di erent spatial regions. O n the other hand, as all m odem models represent the long range interaction by $m$ eans of the one pion exchange potential (OPEP), one $m$ ust go to inner regions in order to unravel the discrepancies am ong the various approaches.

M odels vary widely in the way they treat the nonOPEP part of the interaction and, in the literature, one nds potentials constructed by $m$ eans of dispersion relations, eld theory or just based on com $m$ on sense guesses. In all cases, param eters are used which either re ect know ledge about other physical processes or are adjusted ad hoc. This leaves a w ide space for personalwhim and indicates the need of in form ation w ith littlem odeldependence about the inner part of the nuclear force. In the case of NN interactions, the com plexity of the relevant

[^0]physical processes increases very rapidly as the intemucleon distance decreases and hence the best process for $y$ ielding inform ation with liltle $m$ odel dependence is the tail of the two-pion exchange potential (TPEP).

This problem has a long history. M ore than thirty years ago, $C$ ottingham and $V$ inh $M$ au began a research program based on the idea that the TPEP is related to the pion \{nucleon ( N ) am plitude [in. It lead to the construction of the Paris potential term ediate part of the force is obtained from em pirical
$N$ inform ation treated by $m$ eans of dispersion relations. $T$ his procedure m inim izes the num ber of unnecessary hypotheses and hence yields results w hich can be considered as $m$ odel independent. A nother m portant contribution was m ade by B rown and D urso [ [1] [1] who stressed, in the early seventies, that chiral sym m etry has a m ain role in the description of the interm ediate N am plitude.

In the last four years the interest in applications of chiral sym m etry to nuclear problem s was renew ed and several authors have reconsidered the construction of the TPEP. At rst, only system s containing pions and nucleons were studied, by $m$ eans of non-linear lagrangians based on either PS or PV pion-nucleon couplings $[$ [in of the potential in the fram ew ork of chiralsym $m$ etry has no im portant am biguities and is quite well understood. This minim al TPEP ful lls the expectations from chiral sym $m$ etry and, in particular, reproduces at the nuclear level the well known cancellations of the interm ediate $N$ amplitude [in $y$ ield the qualitative features of the $m$ edium range scalarisoscalar NN attraction [ system containing just pions and nucleons cannot explain the experim ental N scattering data $[1313$ and one needs other degrees of freedom, especially those associated w ith the delta and the N term . T he form er possibility was considered by $O$ rdonez, $R$ ay and $V$ an $K$ olck [14 [15] and shown to im prove the predictive pow er of chiral cancellations but, in their w ork they did not regard closely the
experim ental features of the interm ediate $N$ am plitude.
Em piricalinform ation conceming the interm ediate N process $m$ ay be introduced into the TPEP in a model independent way, with the help of the Hohler, Jacob and Strauss (H JS) subthreshold coe cients [ 13 kind of approach has already been extensively adopted in other problem s. For instance, Tarrach and M E ricson used it in their study of the relationship betw een nucleon polarizability and nuclear $V$ an der $W$ aals forces [ $\left[\overline{T_{1}}\right]$. In the case of three-body forces, it w as em ployed in the construction of both $m$ odel independent and $m$ odel dependent two-pion exchange potentials [18, $\left.120_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. U sing the sam e strategy, we have recently show $n$ that the know ledge of the $N$ am plitude, constrained by both chiral sym $m$ etry and experim ental inform ation in the form of the H JS coe cients, provides a unam biguous and model independent determ ination of the long range part of the tw o-pion exchange $N N$ potential $\left.{ }^{2} \overline{2}_{1}^{1}\right]$. There w e restricted ourselves to the general form ulation of the problem and to the identi cation of the leading scalar-isoscalar potential. In the present w ork we explore the num erical consequences of the expressions derived in that paper and com pare them with som e existing potentials.

O ur presentation is divided as follow s: in Sec. II, we brie y sum $m$ arize the derivation of the potential and recollect the $m$ ain form ulae for the sake of self-consistency, leaving details to A ppendices A, B , and C. In Sec. III we discuss the $m$ ain features of the loop integrals that deter$m$ ine the potential, em phasizing in the approxim ations associated with chiral sym $m$ etry. In Sec. IV we relate our theoretical expressions w th those of other authors and in Sec. $V$, results are com pared w ith existing phenom enological potentials. F inally, in Sec. V I we present our conclusions.

## II. TWO-PION EXCHANGE POTENTIAL

The construction of the EP begins w th the evaluation of the am plitude for on-shell NN scattering due to the exchange of two pions. In order to avoid double counting, we must subtract the term corresponding to the iterated OPEP and, on the centre ofm ass of the NN system, the resulting am plitude is already the desired potential in $m$ om entum space. A s it depends strongly on the $m$ om entum transferred and little on the nucleon energy $E$, we denote it by $T$ ( ) 'L'。

In this work we are interested in the central, spin-spin, spin-orbit and tensor com ponents of the con guration space potential, which $m$ ay be w ritten in term $s$ of local

The nal relativistic expression for the am plitude depends also on powers of $z=p^{0}+p, w h i c h$ yield \non-local" term $s$. $W$ e expand the am plitude in powers of $z=m$ and keep just the rst term, which gives the spin-orbit force.
 priate am plitudes in m om entum space by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)=\frac{r^{2}}{2 m} \frac{Z}{4}_{(2)^{3}}^{d^{3}} e^{i} \frac{4}{3} T(): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, there are $m$ any processes that contribute to the TPEP. H ow ever, for large distances, the potential is dom inated by the low energy am plitude for $N$ scattering on each nucleon. W hen the extemal nucleons are on-shell, the am plitude for the process ${ }^{a}(k) N(p)!$
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{0}\right) \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{p}^{0}\right)$ is w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}^{+} \mathrm{ab}+\mathrm{F} \quad \text { i bac } \mathrm{c} \text {; } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\overline{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{~A}+\frac{\mathbb{\pi}^{\boxed{\alpha}}+\bar{\Phi}^{0}}{2} \mathrm{~B} \quad \mathrm{u}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions A and B depend on the variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\left(p \quad p^{0}\right)^{2} \text { and }=\frac{\left(p+p^{0}\right)(k+k)}{4 m} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, altematively, on

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=(p+k)^{2} \text { and } u=\left(p \quad k^{0}\right)^{2}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ hen the pions are 0 -shell, they $m$ ay also depend on $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{k}^{02}$. H ow ever, as discussed in Ref. [2] $\left.]_{1}^{1}\right]$, o -shell pionic e ects have short range and do not contribute to the asym ptotic am plitudes. At low energies, A and B $m$ ay be written as a sum of chiralcontributions from the pure pion-nucleon sector, supplem ented by a series in the variables and $t$ [ 131$]$, as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{+}=\frac{g^{2}}{m}+X a_{m n}^{+}{ }^{2 m} t^{n} ;  \tag{6}\\
& B^{+}=\frac{g^{2}}{s m^{2}}+\frac{g^{2}}{u m^{2}}+X b_{m n}^{+}{ }^{(2 m+1)} t^{n} ;  \tag{7}\\
& A=X a_{m n}(2 m+1) t^{n} ;  \tag{8}\\
& B=\frac{g^{2}}{s m^{2}} \frac{g^{2}}{u m^{2}}+X b_{m n}{ }^{2 m} t^{n}: \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

In these expressions, the nucleon contributions w ere calculated using a non-linear pseudoscalar (PS) N coupling $\left.{ }^{[ } \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$ and, in writing $A^{+}$, we have $m$ ade explicit the factor $\left(g^{2}=m\right)$, associated w ith chiral symmetry. This am ounts to just a rede nition of the usual $a_{00}^{+}$, given in Refs. [ $\left.3_{1}, 16\right]$. On the other hand, the use of a pseudo vector ( $\mathrm{P} V$ ) N coupling would im ply also a sm all redefinition ofb $0_{00}$. It is very im portant to note, how ever, that the values of the sub-am plitudes A and B are not at


FIG.1. A) diagram s contributing to the low-energy $N$ am plitude, where $R$ represents the processes associated $w$ ith the H JS coe cients; b) the two-pion exchange am plitude; c) contributions to the two-pion exchange am plitude from the purely pionic sector (top) and from processes involving the H JS coe cients (bottom).
all in uenced by this kind of choige and hence are com pletely m odel independent. In the sequence, the term s in these expressions associated w ith the H JS coe cients $w$ ill.be denoted by $A_{R}$ and $B_{R}$, the subscript $R$ standing for $\backslash$ rem ainder", as indicated in F ig. ili (A ).
$T$ he evaluation of the diagram $s$ of $\bar{F}$ ig. ${ }^{\prime} I_{1}^{\prime \prime}(B)$ yields the follow ing general form for $T$

$$
\begin{align*}
T= & \frac{i}{2}^{Z} \frac{d^{4} Q}{(2)^{4}} \frac{1}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{k^{02}}{ }^{2} \\
& h \\
& 3 F^{+(1)} F^{+(2)}+2^{(1)} \quad{ }^{(2)} F^{(1)} F \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $F^{(i)}$ are given in Eq. $(\underline{(2)})$ and the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ accounts for the sym $m$ etry under the exchange of the interm ediate pions. Thepion m ass is represented by and the integration variable $Q$ is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(k+k^{0}\right): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequence, we will also need the variables

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{W} \quad & \mathrm{p}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{2}=\mathrm{p}_{1}^{0}+\mathrm{p}_{2}^{0} ; \\
& \mathrm{k}^{0} \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{p}_{1}^{0} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1}=\mathrm{p}_{2} \quad \mathrm{p}_{2}^{0} ; \\
\mathrm{z} & \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{1}^{0}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}+\mathrm{p}_{2}^{0}\right)\right] ; \\
\mathrm{V}_{1} & \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}(\mathrm{~W}+\mathrm{z}) ; \tag{15}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{2} \quad \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}(\mathbb{W} \quad z): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The evaluation of the diagram sofF ig. $1 \overline{11}$ ( $C$ ) produces

$$
\begin{align*}
& T=i(2 m)^{2} \frac{1^{Z}}{2} \frac{d^{4} Q}{(2)^{4}} \\
& \mathrm{~h} \\
& \text { ( } \\
& 3 \frac{g^{2}}{m}+A_{R}^{+} I+\frac{g^{2}}{s m^{2}}+\frac{g^{2}}{u m^{2}}+B_{R}^{+} \text {Q }  \tag{1}\\
& \frac{g^{2}}{m}+A_{R}^{+} \quad I \quad \frac{g^{2}}{s m^{2}}+\frac{g^{2}}{u m^{2}}+B_{R}^{+} \text {Q }  \tag{2}\\
& +2^{\text {(1) (2) }} A_{R} I+\frac{g^{2}}{s m^{2}} \frac{g^{2}}{u m^{2}}+B_{R} \text { (6) }  \tag{1}\\
& A_{R} I+\frac{g^{2}}{s m^{2}} \frac{9^{2}}{u m^{2}}+B_{R} \text { @ (2) } \text {; } \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where I and © are de ned w ith non-relativistic norm alizations as

$$
\begin{align*}
& I=\frac{1}{2 m} \bar{u} u ;  \tag{18}\\
& Q=\frac{1}{2 m} \bar{u} Q \quad u: \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he integrand also depends im plicitly on $Q$ through the variables

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{i} m^{2}=Q^{2}+Q  \tag{20}\\
& u_{i} \quad m^{2}=Q^{2} \quad Q \\
& \text { (W) } \frac{1}{4} \quad 2 ;  \tag{21}\\
& =Q \quad V:
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he integration is sym $m$ etric under the operation $Q$ !
$Q$ and hence nucleon denom inators involving $s$ and $u$ yield identical results.

The evaluation of the potential in con guration space requires also an integration over $t$ and the pole structure of Eq. (10.1) im plies that the leading contribution at very large distances com es from the region $t \quad 4^{2}$ [ $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} z_{1}^{3}\right]$, as it is well known. Therefore the form of our results in con guration space becom es $m$ ore transparent when the contribution of the H JS coe cients is reorganized in term s of the dim ensionless variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t}{4^{2}} \quad 1: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The am plitudes $A_{R}$ and $B_{R}$, associated w ith the $H$ JS coe cients, are rew ritten as

TABLE I. Values for the dim ensionless coe cients of Eqs. [24,

| (m;n) | (0;0) |  | $(0 ; 1)$ |  | $(0 ; 2)$ |  | (1;0) |  | (1;1) |  | (2;0) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{+}{\text { m }} \mathrm{n}$ | 3:676 | 0:138 | 5:712 | 0:096 | 0:576 | 0:048 | 4:62 |  |  | 04 | 1:2 | 0:02 |
| m $n$ | 2:98 | 0:10 | 0:40 | 0:04 |  | :16 | 0:68 | 0:06 | 0:32 | 0:04 | 0:31 | 0:02 |
| m n | 10:566 | $0: 212$ | 1:976 | 0:144 | $0: 240$ | 0:032 | 1:222 | 0:074 | 0:208 | 0:024 | 0:33 | 0:02 |
| m n | 9:730 | 0:172 | 1:760 | 0:104 | $0: 40$ | 0:032 | 0:86 | 0:07 | $0: 22$ | 0:02 | $0: 25$ | 0:02 |

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{R}}^{+}=\underline{1}^{\mathrm{X}} \stackrel{+}{\mathrm{mn}} \mathrm{~m}^{2 \mathrm{~m}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} ;  \tag{24}\\
& \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{R}}^{+}=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{X}} \stackrel{+}{\mathrm{mn}} \mathrm{D}^{(2 \mathrm{~m}+1)} \mathrm{n} \text {; }  \tag{25}\\
& A_{R}=\underline{1}^{X} \quad \operatorname{mn}-{ }^{(2 m+1)} n \text {; }  \tag{26}\\
& B_{R}=\frac{1}{2}^{X} \quad \operatorname{mn}-{ }^{2 m}: \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

In de ning the coe cients $m_{n}$ and $m_{n}$, we have introduced powers of where appropriate so as to $m$ ake them dim ensionless. Their num erical values are given in Tab. II.

Eq. (1]-1) can be naturally decom posed into a piege proportional to $g^{4}$, which originates in the pure pion-nucleon
 num ericalexpressionsw ere produced, and willno longerbe considered here. W e concentrate on $T_{R}$, which encom passes all the other dynam icale ects.
$T$ he potential in con guration space $m$ ay be written as
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 1}^{+}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 2}^{+}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 3}^{+}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 4}^{+}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 5}^{+}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 6}^{+}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 7}^{+}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 8}^{+}+$(1) (2) $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 2}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 3}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 4}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 5}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 6}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 7}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 8}$
$w$ here the $V_{R_{i}}$ are integrals of the form
and the $g_{i}$ are the polynom ials in $=$ and given in A ppendix A. Thus we obtain the follow ing general result for the $V_{i}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 4}^{+}=-\frac{3}{4}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \stackrel{+}{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}{ }_{\mathrm{m}}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{B}\left(2 \mathrm{k}+2 \mathrm{~m}+2 ;{ }^{\prime}+\mathrm{n}\right)} \quad \text { (1) } \quad \text { (2); }  \tag{32}\\
& V_{R 1}=\overline{4} \quad k^{\wedge} \mathrm{mn}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{B}\left(2 k+2 \mathrm{~m}+2 ;^{\prime}+\mathrm{n}\right) \quad I^{(1)} I^{(2)} ; ~ ; ~}^{\text {; }}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{R 4}=\overline{4}^{n} k^{n} \mathrm{mn}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{B}\left(2 \mathrm{k}+2 \mathrm{~m} ;{ }^{\prime}+\mathrm{n}\right)}^{\circ} \text { (1) (2); } \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 5}^{+}=\frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~g}^{2} \underset{\mathrm{mn}}{+} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}(2 \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{n})}{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{I}^{(2)} ;  \tag{36}\\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 7}^{+}=\frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~g}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{m} n}^{+} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}(2 \mathrm{~m}+1 ; \mathrm{n})}  \tag{37}\\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 5}=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{4} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~g}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{mn}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{T}(2 \mathrm{~m}+1 ; \mathrm{n})}{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{I}^{(2)} ;  \tag{38}\\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R} 7}=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{4} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~g}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{mn}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{T}(2 \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{n})} \quad(1) \quad \text { (2)}: \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he expressions for $V_{R}{ }_{3}, V_{R 6}$ and $V_{R 8}$ are identical respectively to $V_{R 2}, V_{R 5}$ and $V_{R 7}$ when the very sm alldi erences betw een 1 and 2 are neglected. In these results $S_{B(m ; n)}$ and $S_{T(m ; n)}$ represent integrals of bubble (B) and triangle ( T ) diagram s , w th m and n indicating the powers of $(=)$ and respectively, whose detailed form is presented in appendix B. There, we show that the integrals w ith one free Lorentz index are proportional to $V_{i}$ whereas those w ith tw o indices $m$ ay be proportional to either $V_{i} V_{i}$ or $g$. Therefore we write for both bubble and triangle integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{(m ; n)}=V_{i} S_{(m ; n)}^{V} ;  \tag{40}\\
& S_{(m ; n)}=V_{i} V_{i} S_{(m ; n)}^{V V}+g S_{(m ; n)}^{\mathrm{V}}: \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing the approxim ations described in A ppendix $B$ and the D irac equation as in Eq.(B1), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{R 2}^{+}+V_{R 3}^{+}+V_{R 7}^{+}+V_{R 8}^{+}=-\frac{3}{2}{ }^{n} g^{2} \frac{m_{m}}{+}{ }_{m}^{h} 2 S_{B(2 m+1 ; n)}^{V}+2 S_{T(2 m+1 ; n)}^{V V}{ }^{i} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{R 1}=\overline{4} \quad k, m n S_{B}\left(2 k+2 m+2 ;{ }^{\prime}+n\right) \quad I^{(1)} I^{(2)} ;  \tag{45}\\
& V_{R 2}+V_{R 3}=\overline{4}^{n} \quad k^{\wedge} m_{n} 2 S_{B\left(2 k+2 m+1 ;{ }^{\prime}+n\right)}^{V} I^{(1)} I^{(2)} ; \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{R 5}+V_{R 6}=\frac{-}{4} \bar{m}^{n} g^{2}{ }_{m n} 2 S_{T(2 m+1 ; n)}^{V} \quad I^{(1)} I^{(2)} ; \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

In con guration space, the spin-dependence of the potential is obtained by $m$ eans of the non-relativistic results [2]-]

$$
\begin{align*}
& I^{(1)} I^{(2)}=1 \frac{\mathrm{SO}}{2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} ;  \tag{50}\\
& \text { (1) } \quad(2)=1+3 \frac{\mathrm{So}}{2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{SS}}{6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{12 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} ; \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{So}_{0}=\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{~S} \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho \mathrm{r}} ;  \tag{52}\\
& \mathrm{SS}=\quad \text { (1) } \quad \text { (2) } \frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho \mathrm{r}^{2}}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho r} ;  \tag{53}\\
& \mathrm{T}=\hat{S}_{12} \frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho \mathrm{r}^{2}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho r} ; \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\hat{S}_{12}=3^{(1)} \hat{\mathrm{r}} \quad \text { (2) } \hat{\mathrm{r}} \quad \text { (1) (2) }:
$$

An interesting feature of the partial contributions to the potential is that they are given by tw o sets of phenom enological param eters, the N coupling constant and the H JS coe cients, m ultiplying structure integrals. These integrals depend on just the pion and nucleon propagators and hence carry very little model dependence. Their $m$ ain features are discussed in the next section.

## III. IN TEGRALSAND CH IRALSYMMETRY

 contain both bubble and triangle integrals, which depend on the indioes $m$ and $n$, associated respectively $w$ ith the powers of $(=)$ and, in the H JS expansion. The nu$m$ ericalevaluation of these integrals has show $n$ that there is a $m$ arked hierarchy in their spatial behavior and that the functions w th $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}=0$ prevail at large distances.

In order to provide a feeling for the distance scales of the various e ects, in $\mathrm{F}_{7}$ igs. $\overline{\underline{2}} 1 \mathbf{1}$ and $\bar{W}_{1}^{\prime}$ we qisplay the ratios
$S_{B(m ; n)}=S_{B(0 ; 0)}$ and $S_{T(m ; n)}^{V}=S_{T(0 ; 0)}^{V}$, for som e values of $m$ and $n$, as functions of $r$.

W hen considering these gures, it is useful to bear in $m$ ind that the $(m ; 0)$ and $(0 ; n)$ curves convey di erent inform ations. The form er series represents the average values of $(=)^{m}$ and is related to the behavior of the interm ediate N am plitude below threshold. For physical N scattering, the variable is alw ays greater than , whereas in the present problem the average values of $(=)^{\mathrm{m}}$ are sm aller than 1 for distances beyond 2.5 fm and tend to zero for very large values ofr. $T$ his is the reason why the construction of the TPEP cannot be based on raw scattering data, but rather, requires the use ofdispersion relations in order to transform the N am plitude to the suitable kinem atical region [23]. O ne has, therefore, a situation sim ilar to the case of three-body forces, as discussed by M unphy and C oon [24], which em phasizes the role of the H JS coe cients.
$R$ egarding the dependence of the integrals on the mo m entum transferred, one notes that the interm ediate N am plitude in the $m$ om entum space is already in the physicalt < 0 region and does not require any extrapolations. O n the other hand, when one goes to con guration space,


FIG. 2. A sym ptotic behavior of the bubble integrals $S_{B(m ; n)}$. The ratios $S_{B(m ; 0)}=S_{B(0 ; 0)}$ and $S_{B(0 ; n)}=S_{B(0 ; 0)}$, for som e values ofm and nare indicated by solid and dashed lines respectively. O ne sees that the integral $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}(0 ; 0)$ (unity line) is asym ptotically dom inant.


FIG. 3. A sym ptotic behavior of the triangle integrals $S_{T(m ; n)}$. $T$ he ratios $S_{T(m ; 0)}=S_{T(0 ; 0)}$ and $S_{T(0 ; n)}=S_{T(0 ; 0)}$, for som e values ofm and nare indicated by solid and dashed lines respectively. As in Fig. asym ptotically dom inant.


FIG.4. Structure of the leading contribution to the central potential, as given by Eq. 55. The continuous line represents the total e ect, whereàs the dashed, dotted, and dash-dotted lines correspond to the contributions proportional to $\left(g^{2}=m\right) \underset{(00)}{+} 2 S_{B},\left(g^{2}=m\right) \underset{(00)}{+} 2 S_{T}$, and $\underset{(00)}{+} S_{B}$ respectively.
the Fourier transform picks up values of the am plitude around the point $t=4^{2}$. Thus, the $r$-space potential is not transparent as far as $t$ is concemed and the $c o-$ herent physicalpicture only em erges when one uses it in the Schrodinger equation. T his is a w ell know n property, which also applies to the OPEP.

T he fact that the integrals w ith $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}=0$ dom inate at large distances $m$ eans that the $m$ ain contribution to the isospin sym $m$ etric centralpotential com es from Eq. (42-1) and is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{R 1}^{+}+V_{R 5}^{+}+V_{R 6}^{+}=\frac{3^{n}}{4} g^{2} \frac{g^{n}}{m}{ }_{00}^{+} \\
& \quad \mathrm{h}  \tag{55}\\
& \quad 2 S_{B(0 ; 0)}+S_{T(0 ; 0)}^{V}+{ }_{00}^{+}{ }^{2} S_{B(0 ; 0)} I^{(1)} I^{(2)}:
\end{align*}
$$

The rst term w ithin curly brackets, proportional to $\mathrm{g}^{2}$, is produced by the triangle and bubble diagram s in Fig. $1_{1}^{11}(C)$ ) bottom, containing nucleons on one side and H JS am plitudes on the other, whereas the second one is
 Tab. II one leams that $g^{2}=m={ }_{00}^{+} 8$, which suggests the rst class ofdiagram s should dom inate. O $n$ the othef hand, the rst term is proportionalto $S_{B}(0 ; 0)+S_{T(0 ; 0)}^{V}$ and, as discussed in appendix $B$, these tw o integrals have opposite signs and there is a partial cancellation betw een them. T hese features of the leading contribution are displayed in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime} L_{1} 1$, which show sthat the rst tem is indeed dom inant.

T he cancellation noticed in the leading contributions is not a coincidence. Instead, it represents a deep feature of the problem, which is due to chiralsym $m$ etry and also occurs in various other term s of the potential.


F IG . 5. C ontributions of the box, crossed, and triangle diagram $s$ divided by that of the bubble, in the pure $N$ sector, for the ratios of the pion over the nucleon $m$ ass equal to the experim ental value $=m$, to $0: 1=m$, and to $0: 01=m$.

In appendix $C$ we have show $n$ that the asym ptotic form of $S_{B}(0 ; 0)$ is given by the analytic expression
$S_{B(0 ; 0)}^{\operatorname{asym} p}=\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} 2^{p}-\frac{e^{2 x}}{x^{5=2}} 1+\frac{3}{16} \frac{1}{x} \quad \frac{15}{512} \frac{1}{x^{2}}+\quad:$

Its accuracy is $1 \%$ up to 12 fm . There, we also studied the form of the basic triangle integral $S_{T(0 ; 0)}$ and have dem onstrated that $S_{T(0 ; 0)}^{\text {asym } p}=S_{B(0 ; 0)}^{\text {asym } p}$ when $(=m)!0$. As the integrals $w$ th other values of $m$ and $n$ can be obtained from the leading ones, the sam e relationship holds for them as well. This explains why Figs. are so sim ilar.
 cellations due to chiral sym $m$ etry also occur in the pure
N sector. In order to stress this point, we have evaluated the contributions of the diagram $s$ in the top line of Fig. I'11 (C ), denoted respectively by box (2 ), crossed (1), triangle (4) (tw ioe) and bubble ( 0 ), for three di erent values of the ratio $=m$, nam ely

$$
\overline{\mathrm{m}}^{\exp } ; \frac{1}{10} \overline{\mathrm{~m}}^{\exp } ; \text { and } \frac{1}{100} \overline{\mathrm{~m}}^{\exp }:
$$

In Fig. ${ }^{-151}$ we display the ratios of the box, crossed and triangle contributions over the bubble result as functions of distance, where it is possible to notice two interesting features. The rst is that these ratios tend to becom e at as $=m$ decreases. The other one is that as $(=m)!0$, one obtains the follow ing relations: $2=0: 5 \mathrm{l}, 1=0: 5 \mathrm{l}$, and $4=\emptyset$. Thus, for the amplitude in the pure $N$
sector, w e have $2+1+24+\ell=0$, a point also rem arked by Friar and C oon $\left[\left[_{1}\right]\right.$. This result, when com bined w ith the previous discussion conceming the bottom part of Fig. $\underline{1}_{1}^{1}(C)$, indicates that the two-pion exchange $N N$ potential would vanish if chiral sym m etry were exact, because the sam e would happen w ith the interm ediate N am plitude. So, all the physics associated w th the tail of the interm ediate range interaction is due to chiral sym $m$ etry breaking.

As a nal comment, we would like to point out that in the evaluation of the TPEP there are two di erent hierarch ies that can be used to sim plify calculations. O ne of them concems the H JS coe cients, which are m ore im portant for low powers on and $t$. The other one is associated with the spatial behavior of the integrals as functions of $m$ and $n$. The combined use of these hierarchies allow $m$ any term $s$ to be discarded.

## IV.RELATED W ORKS

To our know ledge, only O rdonez, R ay and van K olck have so far attem pted to derive realistic nucleon-nucleon phenom enology in the fram ew ork of chiral symmetry [14, The potential obtained by these authors is based on a very general e ective Lagrangian, which is approxim ately invariant under chiral sym $m$ etry to a given order in non-relativistic $m$ om enta and pion $m$ ass. They considered explicitly the degrees of freedom associated w th pions, nucleons and deltas, whereas the e ects of other interactions were incorporated into param eters arising from contact tem $s$ and higher order derivatives. In principle the free param eters in their e ective Lagrangian could be obtained from otherphysicalprocesses, but at present only som e of them are know niti. In their work these param eters were obtained by tting deuteron properties and $N \mathrm{~N}$ observables for $j 2$ whereas loop integrals w ere regularized by $m$ eans ofnon-covariant $G$ aussian cuto $s$ of the order of the $m$ eson $m$ ass. Thus they could show that the e ective chiralLagrangian approach is exible enough for allow ing the data to be reproduced w ith an appropriate choige of dynam ical param eters and cuto s. Comparing their approach to ours, one notes several im portant di erences. For instance, we use di$m$ ensional regularization which is well know $n$ to preserve the sym $m$ etries of the problem and our expressions are quite insensitive to short distance e ects. In the work of O rdonez, R ay and van K olck, on the other hand, \variations in the cuto are com pensated to som e extent by a rede nition of the free param eters in the theory." [15ㄴ․ . M oreover, w e use the H JS coe cients as input, which are determ ined by N scattering, and therefore our results yield predictions for interactions at large distances or, al-

[^1]tematively, for $j$ 2. The test of these predictions will be presented elsew here.

A nother point in the present work that deserves to be discussed concems the subtraction of the iterated OPEP. In our calculation of the T P E P in the pure nucleonic sector, we have supplem ented the results derived by Lom on and Partovi [2] for the pseudoscalar box and crossed box diagram $s$ with bubble and triangle diagram s associated w ith chiral symmetry $\left.\overline{[8}_{1}^{1}\right]$. We have also shown that the use of a pseudovector coupling yields exactly the sam e results and hence that the potential does not depend on how the sym $m$ etry is im plem ented. H ow ever, the Partovi and Lom on am plitude include the subtraction of the OPEP by $m$ eans of the $B$ lankenbecler-Sugar reduction of the relativistic equation and hence our results are also a ected by that procedure. This kind of choice should not in uence $m$ easured quantities, since it am ounts to just a selection of the conceptual basis to treat the problem [2]. A A discussed by Friar [2] $\left.\left.{ }_{2}\right]_{1}\right]$ and $m$ ore recently by Friar and C oon $[\overline{7} 1]$, the treatm ents of the iterated OPEP by Taketani, M achida and O hnum a
 which are energy dependent. How ever, in our calculation, energy dependent term s can be translated into the variable and, in the previous section, we have shown that the TPEP at large distances is dom inated by the region where 0 . H ence our results are not a ected by the way the OPEP is de ned. A nother indication that con m sthis fact com es from tw o recent studies dealing w ith the relative weights of the various TP EP contributions to NN phase shifts, which have shown that the role of the iterated OPEP is very small for $j 2$ [1dilid.
$T$ he last com $m$ ent we would like to $m$ ake in this section concems the dynam ical signi cance of the H JS coef-
cients. It has long been know $n$ that a tree $m$ odel for the interm ediate N am plitude containing nucleons, deltas, rho $m$ esons and an am plitude describing the term can be $m$ ade consistent $w$ th the experim ental values of the H JS coe cients by $m$ eans of a rather conservative choice of $m$ asses and coupling constants $[1324213$ general, there are two advantages of em ploying such a m odel in a nuclear physics calculation. T he rst is that it allow s one to go beyond the H JS coe cients, specially as far as the the pion o -shell behaviour of the am plitude is concemed. H ow ever, as w e have discussed above, this kind of o-shelle ects are related to short distance interactions and hence are not im portant for the asym $p$ totic TPEP. It is in this sense that we consider our results to be $m$ odel independent. T he second $m$ otivation for using a model is that it $m$ ay provide a dynam ical picture involving the various degrees of freedom of the problem and shed light into their relative im portance. A swe show in the next section, the leading contribution to the scalar-isoscalar potential com es from the coe cient ${ }_{00}^{+}\left(a_{00}^{+}+4^{2} a_{01}^{+}+16^{4} a_{02}^{+}\right)$. A s expected, it is attractive and determ ined $m$ ostly by the N sigm a term and by the delta. T he form er yields ${ }_{00}^{+}=1: 8 \mathrm{w}$ hereas the latter is the outcom e of a strong cancellation betw een


FIG.6. Structure of the central potential; the dot-dashed curve represents the leading contribution (Eq. (551)) whereas the dashed, big dotted and sm all dotted curves correspond to Eqs. (42ㄴ), (43), and (441) respectively; the solid line represent the full potential.
pole and non-pole contributions ${ }_{00}^{+}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}26: 5 & 25: 2\end{array}\right)$ [1] $\left.{ }^{1} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. $T$ hus the delta non-pole term plays a very im portant role in the interaction, and $m$ ust be carefully considered in any m odelaim ing at being realistic.

## V.RESULTSAND CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have assum ed that the TPEP is due to both pure pion-nucleon interactions and processes involving other degrees of freedom, as represented in the top and bottom lines of $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{11}(\mathrm{I})$. The form er class of processes w as evaluated and studied elsew here $\left[{ }_{[1011}^{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$ and hence we here concentrate on the latter.

A s discussed in Sec. III, the leading contribution to the potential at large distances is due to the interm ediate $N$ amplitude around the point $=0, t=4^{2}$. In order to understand the role played by the other term $s$, in $F$ ig. ${ }^{1}$ ' $\overline{6}$, we disclose the structure of the scalar-isoscalarpo-
 that Eq. (42́ㄱㄴ), associated w ith the ${ }_{\mathrm{m} \mathrm{n}}^{+} \mathrm{H}$ JS coe cients, com pletely dom inates the full potential. On the other hand, for $m$ oderate distances, there is a clear separation betw een the curves representing the leading contribution, given by Eq. (5느) , and the totalpotential. T his indicates that corrections associated with higher powers of and $t$ are im portant there, a feature that could have been anticipated from $F$ igs.

The total potential, obtained by adding the results of
 where it is possible to see that the contribution from the pure nucleon sector is rather sm all. T his inform ation, when combined w ith those contained in the preceding gures, allow s one to conclude that the strength of the scalar-isoscalar attraction at large distances is due m ostly


FIG.7. C ontributions for the total TPEP, represented by continuous line; the dashed line com es from the pure $N$ sector ( F ig. $\bar{I}_{1}^{1}$ (C ) -top), whereas that associated with other degrees of freedom falls on top of the continuous line and cannot be distinguished from it.


FIG. 8. Central components of various potentials: param etrized $P$ aris $\left[\underline{1}[1]\right.$ (solid, $P$ ), A rgonne v14 [33 $3_{1}^{1}$ (solid, A), dTRS [34] (dashed, d), B onn [35] (dashed, B), and our full potential (solid, *).
to diagram s involving the nucleon on one side and the rem aining degrees of freedom on the other.

In $F$ ig . $\overline{1}$, w w e com pare our results for the scalar-isoscalar interaction $w$ ith the corresponding com ponents of som e potentials found in the literature: param etrized P aris $\left[\begin{array}{l}12 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$,
 thing that should be noted is that all curves but ours bend upw ards close to the origin, indicating clearly that the validity of our results is restricted to large distances. Inspecting the $m$ edium and long distances regions, it is possible to see that every potential disagrees w th all the others. On the other hand, this does not prevent the realistic potentials from reproducing experim ental data, som ething that is possible because there is a com pensation arising from the other discrepancies found in the short distance region. It is for this reason that the


F IG . 9. Ratio of the central com ponents of som e realistic potentials_by our full result (solid, ${ }^{*}$ ) : param etrized Paris [3il] (solid, P ), A rgonne v14 [13
accurate know ledge of the tail of the potentialm ay yield indirect constraints over its short distance part.
Finally, in Fig. 'و, we show the ratios of the realistic potentials by our fullpotential, where the discrepanciesm entioned above appear again, in a di erent form. A $n$ interesting feature of th is gure is that the realistic potentials com e close together around 2 fm , suggesting that this region is im portant for reproduction of experim entaldata. M oreover, all of them show in ections there, indicating that the physics in this region goes beyond the exchange of tw o uncorrelated pions. In the long distance dom ain, the $r$ dependence of the $A$ rgonne potential is not too di erent from ours, because it is based on a square OPEP form.

In sum $m$ ary, in this work we have show $n$ that the use of a chiral $N$ amplitude, supplem ented by experim ental inform ation, determ ines uniquely the long-distance features of the scalar-isoscalar com ponent of the $\mathrm{N} N$ potential. A $s$ it is well known, the kinem atical regions relevant to this problem are not directly accessible by experim ent and hence em pirical inform ation has to be treated by $m$ eans of dispersion relations before being used as input in the calculations of the force. From a purely $m$ athem aticalpoint of view, our results are valid for $r>2: 5 \mathrm{fm}$, since in this region one has < and the H JS coe cients $m$ ay be safely em ployed. On the other hand, the determ ination of the dynam icalvalidity of the results is $m$ uch $m$ ore di cult, since this requires a com parision $w$ ith processes involving the $m$ utual interaction of the exchanged pions, som ething that rem ains to be done in the fram ew ork of chiral sym $m$ etry.

In general, a potential involves tw o com plem entary ingredients that deserve attention, nam ely geom etry and dynam ics. In our calculation, the form er is associated with standard bubble and triangle integrals, that determ ine unam biguously the pro le functions in con guration space, whereas dynam ics is incorporated into the problem by $m$ eans of coupling constants and em pirical coe cients. Geom etry and dynam ics decouple in our nalexpressions and hence they would rem ain valid even if changes in the values of the dynam ical constants $m$ ay occur in the fiuture. In the case of F ig. ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I}_{1}$, such a change w ould am ount to just a m odi cation of the vertical scale, w ith no appreciable e ect on the discrepancies found w ith phenom enological potentials.
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APPEND IX A:FUNCTIONS $g_{i}$
W e present here the polynom ials that enter Eq. $(\underline{2} \overline{\underline{9}})$. T he groups of indioes $i=1::: 4$ and $5::: 8$ refer, respectively to bubble and triangle diagram s.

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}=4 \frac{m^{2}}{2} \quad \mathrm{k}^{( } \mathrm{mn} \underline{1}^{(2 \mathrm{k}+1)} \underline{2}^{(2 \mathrm{~m}+1)}(1+\mathrm{n}) \quad \mathrm{I}^{(1)} \mathrm{I}^{(2)} ;  \tag{A4}\\
& g_{2}=4 \frac{m^{2}}{2} \quad k \cdot m n \quad \underline{1}^{(2 k+1)} \underline{2}^{2 m}(+n) \quad \underline{1} I^{(1)} Q^{(2)} ;  \tag{A5}\\
& g_{4}=4 \frac{m^{2}}{2} \quad k^{\prime} m n \underline{1}^{2 k} \underline{2}^{2 m}(1+m) \quad \frac{1}{2} Q^{(1)} Q^{(2)} ;  \tag{A6}\\
& g_{5}^{+}=\frac{2 m}{Q^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{mQ} \quad \mathrm{~V} \quad \frac{1}{4}{ }^{2}} 6 \underline{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~g}^{2} \underset{\mathrm{mn}}{+} \underline{2}^{2 \mathrm{~m}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \quad \underline{1} Q^{(1)} I^{(2)} ;  \tag{A7}\\
& \mathrm{g}_{7}^{+}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{Q}^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{mQ} \quad \mathrm{~V} \quad \frac{1}{4}{ }^{2}} 6 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{2} \underset{\mathrm{mn}}{+} \underline{2}^{(2 \mathrm{~m}+1)} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad \frac{1}{2} Q^{(1)} Q^{(2)} ;  \tag{A8}\\
& g_{5}=\frac{2 m}{Q^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{mQ} \quad \mathrm{~V} \quad \frac{1}{4}{ }^{2}} 4 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{-} \mathrm{g}^{2} \mathrm{mn} \quad \underline{2}^{(2 \mathrm{~m}+1)} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad \underline{1} Q^{(1)} I^{(2)} ;  \tag{A9}\\
& g_{7}=\frac{2 m}{Q^{2} \quad 2 m Q \quad V \quad \frac{1}{4}{ }^{2}} 4 \frac{m}{-} g^{2} \quad \mathrm{mn}^{2} \quad \underline{2}^{2 m}{ }^{n} \quad \frac{1}{2} Q^{(1)} Q^{(2)} \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

The expressions for $g_{3}, g_{6}$ and $g_{8}$ are obtained respectively from $g_{2}, g_{5}$ and $g_{7}$ by exchanging 1 and 2 .

## APPEND IX B:INTEGRALS

In this appendix we present the expressions for the integrals $S_{B(m ; n)}$ and $S_{T(m ; n)}$ that determ ine the potential given in Sec. II. In $m$ any cases, a considerable simpli cation of the results, $w$ ith no loss of num erical accuracy, can be achieved due to the fact that one is interested in the asym ptotic behaviour of the potential in con guration space. $T$ his allow s one to ignore contact term sassociated $w$ ith delta-fiunctions or, altematively, constant term $s$ in $m$ om entum space integrals.

In bubble integrals the denom inators involve just tw o pion propagators, w hereas there is an extra nucleon propagator for triangles. In both cases, the integrands have the general form of a polynom ial in the variables in $=\underline{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{V}$, $\mathrm{where} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}(\mathrm{~W} \quad \mathrm{z})$. In elastic pion-nucleon scattering at low energies, $=$ at threshold and hence is also a
natural unit for the $i$. In this problem, $W \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{p}$ and hence $V_{i} \quad 1$. M oreover, using the $m$ ass-shell condition for the extemal nucleons, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
Q V^{(\mathrm{i})} & =I^{(\mathrm{i})} ;  \tag{B1}\\
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} & =1 \quad \frac{2}{4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} ;  \tag{B2}\\
\mathrm{V}_{1} \quad \mathrm{Z} & =1 \quad \frac{2}{4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \quad \frac{z^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}: \tag{B3}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hese results show that the di erences betw een 1 and 2 are of the order of relativistic corrections and therefore m ay be neglected.

In ourexpression for the potential, Lorentz tensors proportionalto ${ }^{0_{S}}$ alw ays appear contracted to either $V_{i}$ or $m$ atrices. The use of the equations of $m$ otion im ply in the vanishing of these products and hence we do not write them explicitly below. We also make use of the result ${ }^{2}=t={ }^{2}$.

W hen going to con guration space, it is useful to use the follow ing representation for the logarithm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln 1+\frac{2}{M^{2}}=Z_{0}^{1} d \frac{M^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{2+\underline{M^{2}}} ; \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 1. Bubble integrals in $m$ om entum space:

The basic bubble integral is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{B}::=\frac{Z}{(2)^{4}} \frac{d^{4} Q}{\left(Q \quad \frac{Q}{2}\right)^{2} \quad{ }^{2}\left(Q+: \frac{Q}{2}\right)^{2} 2^{2}}: \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he sym $m$ etry of the integrand $m$ akes all integrals $w$ ith odd powers of $Q$ to vanish.
$T$ he sim plest case corresponds to

$$
I_{B}=\frac{Z}{(2)^{4}} \frac{d^{4} Q}{(Q \quad \overline{2})^{2} \quad 2^{2}\left(Q+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}} 2^{2}:(B 6)
$$

U sing Feynm an integration param etens we w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.I_{B}=Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} d^{Z} \frac{d^{4} Q}{(2)^{4}} \frac{1}{Q^{2}+2 P} Q M^{2}\right\}^{2} ; \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{P}=\frac{1}{2} ;  \tag{B8}\\
\mathrm{M}^{2}=2 \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad 2: \tag{B9}
\end{gather*}
$$

U sing the technique of dim ensional regularization described in $[\underline{2} \overline{1} 11]$, the integration over $Q$ yields, after dropping the constant and divergent term $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{B}={\frac{i}{(4)^{2}}}_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \ln 1+\frac{2}{M_{B}^{2}} ; \tag{B10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{B}^{2}=\frac{2}{(1 \quad)}: \tag{B11}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Eq. (B 4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{B}={\frac{i}{(4)^{2}}}_{0}^{Z_{1}} d_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \frac{M_{B}^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{2+\frac{M_{B}^{2}}{2}}: \tag{B12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the integral $I_{B}$, the sam e procedure yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{B}=\frac{1}{2} g \frac{i^{Z}}{(4)^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} d_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{M_{B}^{2}} \frac{1}{2+\underline{M}_{B}^{2}} ; \tag{B13}
\end{equation*}
$$

neglecting term s proportional to
A nalogously, for $I_{B}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{B}= & \frac{1}{8}(g \quad g+g \quad g+g \quad g) \frac{i}{(4)^{2}} \\
& Z_{1}{ }_{d} Z_{1} d \underbrace{1} \underline{1}^{2} \frac{M_{B}^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{2+\frac{M_{B}^{2}}{2}}: \tag{B14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\text { 2. Triangle integrals in } m \text { om entum space: }
$$

The triangle integrals have the structure

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{T}::=\frac{Z}{(2)^{4}} \frac{d^{4} Q}{Q^{2} \quad 2 m V_{i} \quad Q \frac{1}{4}{ }^{2}}::: \frac{Q}{2} \\
& \frac{1}{\left(Q \quad \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}{ }^{2}\left(Q+\frac{-1}{2}\right)^{2}{ }^{2}}: \tag{B15}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he basic case is

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{T}= & \frac{Z}{(2)^{4}} \frac{d^{4} Q}{Q^{2}} \quad 2 m V_{i} \quad Q \quad \frac{1}{4}{ }^{2} \\
& \frac{1}{(Q \quad \overline{2})^{2}}{ }^{2} \quad\left(Q+\frac{\overline{2}}{}\right)^{2}  \tag{B16}\\
{ }^{2} &
\end{align*}
$$

which corresponds to

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{T}= & 2_{1}^{Z_{1}} d(1,){ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \\
& \left.Z^{Z} \frac{d^{4} Q}{(2)^{4}} \frac{2 m}{} \frac{Q}{Q^{2}+2 P} \quad Q \quad M^{2}\right]^{3}
\end{align*} ;
$$

w ith

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
P & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
{[1} & )
\end{array}\right] \quad(1)
\end{array}\right)(1 \quad) \mathrm{mV} \quad \text { i; }
$$

Integrating over $Q$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{T}=V_{i} \frac{i}{(4)^{2}} \underline{m}_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \underline{(1 \quad)}_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \frac{1}{2+M_{T}^{2}} ; \tag{B20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.M_{T}^{2}=\frac{[+(1 \quad)]{ }^{2}+[(1}{}\right)(1 \quad)\right]^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{(1}\right) \tag{B21}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the sam e procedure, and neglecting divergent term S , we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{T}=V_{i} V_{i} \frac{i}{(4)^{2}}-m_{0}^{2 Z_{1}} d \underline{(1 \quad)^{2}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \frac{(1 \quad)^{2}}{2 m} \frac{2 M_{T}^{2}}{2} \\
& +g \frac{i}{(4)^{2}} \frac{m^{2}}{Z_{1}} d\left(1, Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} d Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \frac{M_{T}^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{2+\frac{M_{T}^{2}}{2}}:\right.  \tag{B22}\\
& I_{T}=V_{i} V_{i} V_{i} \frac{i}{(4)^{2}} \frac{m}{0}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \xrightarrow{(1 \quad)^{3}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \frac{(1 \quad)^{3}}{\frac{2 m}{2}+M_{T}^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{T}=V_{i} V_{i} V_{i} V_{i} \frac{i}{(4)^{2}} \underline{m}_{0}^{{ }^{4} Z_{1}} d l_{0}^{(1 \quad)^{4}}{ }_{0}^{1} d \frac{(1 \quad)^{4}}{\frac{2 m}{2}+M_{T}^{2}} \\
& +\left(g V_{i} V_{i}+g V_{i} V_{i}+g V_{i} V_{i}+g V_{i} V_{i}+g V_{i} V_{i}+g V_{i} V_{i}\right) \\
& \frac{i}{(4)^{2}}-m_{0}^{3_{1}} d(1 \quad)^{3} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d(1 \quad)^{2}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \frac{M_{T}^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{2+\frac{M_{T}^{2}}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Integrals in con guration space:

The con guration space integrals are obtained by Fourier transform ing the results given above multiplied by (i) and by powers of the variable $=\frac{t}{4^{2}} \quad 1 \cdot$ Recalling that $t=\quad 2$, we have the general structure

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(r)=i \frac{4}{3} \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} e^{i} \quad r \frac{d^{3}}{4^{2}} 1^{n} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{1}{2+M^{2}}: \tag{B25}
\end{equation*}
$$

N eglecting contact term s, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& S(r)=i \frac{4}{3} \frac{d^{3}}{(2)^{3}} e^{i} r \\
& \begin{array}{cccc}
Z_{1} & Z_{1} & \frac{M^{2}}{4^{2}} & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2+M^{2}}
\end{array}  \tag{B26}\\
& =i \sum_{0}^{Z_{1}} d_{1}^{Z_{1}} \quad \frac{M^{2}}{4^{2}} \quad 1^{n} \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{M r}}{r}  \tag{B27}\\
& =i \frac{1}{r} \quad \wedge_{n}^{Z_{1}} d_{0}^{Z_{1}} \quad \frac{1}{2} e^{M_{r}}: \tag{B28}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the short notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{4^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{dr}^{2}} 1^{\mathrm{n}}: \tag{B29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the integrals that enter Eqs. ( 3 ding at $m$ ost two free Lorentz indiges, since the other ones are contracted w ith pow ers of the vectors $V_{i}$. Therefore integrals $w$ ith one free Lorentz index are proportional to $V_{i}$ and those $w$ th tw o indices are proportional to either $V_{i} V_{i}$ or $g, m$ otivating the de nitions of qs . ( 40 In con guration space, the tem s originating from the representation of the logarithm have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}^{\log }(M)=Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} d z \frac{M^{2}}{2 z^{2}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{z} e^{n} \frac{M}{\bar{z}} r \tag{B30}
\end{equation*}
$$

These integrals can be evaluated explicitly, and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{0}^{\log }(M)= & 2 \frac{M^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{M r}+\frac{1}{(M r)^{2}} e^{M r} ;  \tag{B31}\\
S_{1}^{\log }(M)= & 4 \frac{M^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{(M r)^{2}}+\frac{3}{(M r)^{3}}+\frac{3}{(M r)^{4}} \\
& e^{M_{r} ;} \\
S_{2}^{\log }(M)= & 16 \frac{M^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{(M r)^{3}}+\frac{6}{(M r)^{4}}+\frac{15}{(M r)^{5}}+\frac{15}{(M r)^{6}} \\
& e^{M_{r} r}: \tag{B33}
\end{align*}
$$

For the bubble integrals this procedure yields the follow ing results

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{B(0 ; n)}=\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{1}{r} \wedge_{n}^{Z}{ }_{0}^{Z} d S_{0}^{\log }\left(M_{B}\right) ;  \tag{B34}\\
& S_{B(0 ; n)}^{g}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{1}{r} \wedge_{n}^{Z^{1}}{ }_{0}^{1} d S_{1}^{\log }\left(M_{B}\right) ;  \tag{B35}\\
& S_{B(1 ; n)}^{V}=S_{B(0 ; n)}^{g} ; \tag{B36}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{B(2 ; n)}=S_{B(0 ; n)}^{g} ;  \tag{B37}\\
& S_{B(2 ; n)}^{g}=\frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{1}{r} \wedge_{n}^{n^{n}}{ }_{0}^{1} d S_{2}^{\log }\left(M_{B}\right) ;  \tag{B38}\\
& S_{B(2 ; n)}^{V V}=2 S_{B(2 ; n)}^{g} ;  \tag{B39}\\
& S_{B(3 ; n)}^{V}=3 S_{B(2 ; n)}^{g} ;  \tag{B40}\\
& S_{B(4 ; n)}=3 S_{B(2 ; n)}^{g}: \tag{B41}
\end{align*}
$$

For the triangle integrals, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{T(0 ; n)}^{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} 2 \underline{m}_{\wedge_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Z}_{1}}^{\mathrm{d}} \underline{(1 \quad)} \\
& Z_{1} d \underline{1} e^{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{r}} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1} d \xrightarrow{(1 \quad)^{2}} e^{M_{I r} r} ; \\
& S_{T(0 ; n)}^{g}=\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{1}{r}\left(-\frac{m}{-}\right)^{\wedge_{n} Z_{1}} d(1 \quad) \\
& Z_{1} \\
& d S_{0}^{\log }\left(M_{T}\right) \tag{B44}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \xrightarrow{(1 \quad)^{3}} e^{M_{T} r}+2 S_{T(1 ; n)}^{g} ; \tag{B45}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1} \\
& \mathrm{~d}(1 \quad) \mathrm{S}{\left.\underset{0}{\log } \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{T}}\right) ; ~}_{\text {; }}  \tag{B46}\\
& S_{T(2 ; n)}^{V V}=\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{1}{r} 2 \underline{m}^{5} \wedge_{n}^{Z_{1}} d \underline{(1 \quad)^{4}} \\
& Z_{1} d \underline{(1 \quad)^{4}} e^{M_{\mathrm{I}} r} \\
& +5 S_{T(2 ; n)}^{g}+2 S_{T(2 ; n)}^{g^{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

(B 47)

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{T(2 ; n)}^{g}=\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{1}{r} \underline{m}^{3} \wedge_{n}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d(1 \quad)^{3} \\
& Z_{1} \\
& \int_{0}^{d}(1 \quad)^{2} S_{0}^{\log }\left(M_{T}\right) \text {; }  \tag{B48}\\
& S_{T(2 ; n)}^{g^{0}}=\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{2} \frac{m}{\wedge_{n}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \quad(1 \quad) \\
& \mathrm{Z}_{1} \\
& d \quad \frac{M_{T}^{2}}{2} S_{1}^{\log }\left(M_{T}\right):  \tag{B49}\\
& S_{T(1 ; n)}^{V}=S_{T}^{V} V_{(0 ; n)}+S_{T(0 ; n)}^{\mathrm{V}} ;  \tag{B50}\\
& S_{T(2 ; n)}^{V}=S_{T(1 ; n)}^{V}+S_{T(1 ; n)}^{g} ;  \tag{B51}\\
& S_{T(3 ; n)}^{V}=S_{T(2 ; n)}^{V}+S_{T(2 ; n)}^{\mathrm{V}}+S_{T(2 ; n)}^{\mathrm{V}^{0}}: \tag{B52}
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX C:ANALYTICALRESULTSFOR SOME INTEGRALS

In this appendix we present analytic results for the asym ptotic bubble and triangle integrals in con guration space needed in this work.

The basic bubble integral is
$S_{B(0 ; 0)}=\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{2}{r} \int_{0}^{Z} d \frac{M_{B}^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{M_{B} r}+\frac{1}{\left(M_{B} r\right)^{2}} e^{M_{B} r} ;$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{B}^{2}=\frac{2}{(1 \quad)}: \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

De ning a new variable t such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t^{p} \overline{2+t^{2}}}{1+t^{2}} \tag{C3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{B(0 ; 0)}= & \frac{1}{(4)^{2}} 2^{p} 2 \frac{1}{x}_{0}^{Z_{1}} d t \frac{1}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}} \overline{1+\frac{t^{2}}{2}} \\
& \frac{2\left(1+t^{2}\right)}{x}+\frac{1}{x^{2}} e^{2\left(1+t^{2}\right) x} ; \tag{C4}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $x=r$. For large values of $x$, the integrand is very peaked around $x \quad 0$ and hence we expand the functions in front the exponential in a power series. K eeping the rst three term s, we obtain our asym ptotic expression

$$
S_{B(0 ; 0)}^{\text {asym } p}=\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} 2^{p}-\frac{e^{2 x}}{x^{\frac{5}{2}}} 1+\frac{3}{16 x} \quad \frac{15}{512 x^{2}}+\quad: \text { (C } 5
$$

For the triangle case, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{T(0 ; 0)}^{V}= & \frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{2}{r}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \frac{1}{(1)} \\
& \left.Z_{m(1}\right) \\
& 0 \quad d s \frac{S}{1 \frac{S}{m(1)}} e^{M_{I} r} ; \tag{C6}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used a new variables (1) (1 ) m. $T$ he function $M_{T}^{2}$ is given by eq. (B21) and can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{T}^{2}=M_{B}^{2} \frac{1 \frac{-s+s^{2}}{1} \frac{s}{m(1)}}{:} \tag{C7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the lim it of $\bar{m}!0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{T(0 ; 0)}^{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{2}{\mathrm{r}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{~d} \frac{1}{(1 \quad)}{ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} d y \mathrm{ye}^{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B} y r}} \tag{C8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y=P \overline{1+s^{2}}$. Perform ing the $y$ integration and com paring it with Eq. (Clil), we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{T}(0 ; 0)}^{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}(0 ; 0)}: \tag{C9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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