# Cluster reduction of the four-body Yakubovsky equations in conguration space for bound-state problem and low-energy scattering

 $SL.Yakovlev^{1;2;3}$  and  $IN.Filikhin^1$ 

<sup>1</sup> D epartm ent of C om putational P hysics, St. P etersburg State U niversity, 198904 St. P etersburg P etrodvoretz U lyanovskaya Str. 1, R ussia <sup>2</sup> Institute for T heoretical P hysics, U niversity of G roningen, N ijenborgh 4, 9747 AG G roningen, T he N etherlands <sup>3</sup> E m ail: yakovlev@ snoopy.niif.spb.su

#### Abstract

A m ethod using an expansion of the four-body Yakubovsky wave function com – ponents onto the basis of the Faddeev-equation solutions for the two-cluster sub-H am iltonian eigenfunctions is proposed. This expansion reduces the Yakubovsky di erential equations to a system of coupled-channel equations for functions depending on the relative coordinates between the subsystem s of the two-cluster partitions. On the basis of the resulting equations the four-nucleon bound-state problem and the zero-energy  $n^{-3}H$  scattering problem are solved on the relatively sm all computer.

### 1 Introduction

The Faddeev-Yakubovsky approach provides the correct way for a treatment the few-body problem [1, 2]. It is based on the decom position of the wave function into components. This decomposition leads in the momentum representation to integral equations. The solutions of these equations are uniquely de ned by inhom ogeneous terms in contrast to the Lippm ann-Schwinger equations for which this holds only in the two-body case. In the coordinate representation, the decomposition generates di erential equations [3] which are easier to solve num erically because they involve only interparticle potentials and have simple boundary conditions [4]-[9].

For the three-body case these equations are widely used for practical calculations. Unfortunately, already for the four-body system s, the num erical solution is very di cult and requires the most powerful supercom puter facilities [10, 11]. Recently, two new m ethods were proposed to solve the di erential Faddeev-Yakubovsky equations (DYE) in con guration space [6, 7, 9, 12]. Both of them reduce the com putational di culties by several orders of magnitude, so that the four-body equations can be solved num erically on relatively sm all com puters. O ne of the m ethods [9] elaborated for the bound-state problem consists in the system atic exploitation of the tensor structure of the m atrices appearing after discretization of DYE with spline expansion and orthogonal collocation m ethods. This technique boks very appropriate to calculations of the four-body bound states producing m ore than ve signi cant digits for the four-nucleon binding energy.

The key ingredient of the other m ethod [12] is the partial diagonalization of four-body DYE by making use of the basis of the eigenfunctions of Faddeev equations corresponding to subsystems of two-cluster fragmentations of the four-body system. Such expansions referred to conventionally as the coupled reaction channel (CRC) decomposition [13] reduce DYE to a system of coupled equations for the functions depending only on the relative coordinates between the subsystem s of two-cluster partitions. For this reason we name this procedure the cluster reduction.

The purpose of this paper is to give the system atic description of the latter m ethod and to demonstrate its e ciency for num erical solutions of both the four-nucleon bound-state and scattering-state problem s. The bound-state problem of the system nnpp (<sup>4</sup>H e) and the zero-energy n<sup>-3</sup>H scattering problem have been chosen for the actual calculations. The M T I-III and S3 potential m odels have been used as the N N interactions [9, 11, 14, 15]. We would like to point out already here that all the calculations were perform ed on a personal computer with Intel DX-50 processor and 16 M b of RAM.

The paper is organized as follows. In the second section we recall those portions of the papers [3, 8] which are required to formulate the four-body bound-state and scattering-state problem s on the base of DYE. In Sec. 3 the procedure of the partial diagonalization of DYE is described. There we give the appropriate de nition of basis functions and discuss their main properties of completeness and biorthogonality. The resulting CRC equations for the functions depending on the distance between the subsystem s of the two-cluster partitions are used in the Sec. 4 for num erical calculations of the four-nucleon bound-state (<sup>4</sup>He) and zero-energy n<sup>-3</sup>H scattering problems. The last section summarizes the paper. We have collected some technical details in the Appendix.

### 2 DYE form alism

The four-particle wave function should be decomposed into components in one to one correspondence to all chains of partitions. The chains consist of two-cluster partitions  $a_2$  (e.g., (ijk)lor (ij) (kl)) and three-cluster partitions  $a_3$  (e.g., (ij)kl) obeying the relation  $a_3$   $a_2$ . The latter means that the partition

 $a_3$  can be obtained from the partition  $a_2$  by splitting up one subsystem. It is easy to see that there exist 18 chains of partitions for the four-particle system.

The Yakubovsky wave-function components can be dened by the form ulas [2, 3, 8] v

$$a_{3}a_{2} = R_{a_{3}} (E) V_{a_{3}} \qquad \qquad R_{0} (E) V_{b_{3}} ; \qquad (1)$$

where

$$R_{a_3}(E) = (H_0 + V_{a_3} E)^{-1}; R_0(E) = (H_0 E)^{-1}:$$

Here H<sub>0</sub> is the kinetic-energy operator in the cm. fram e and  $V_{a_3}$  stands for the two-particle potential acting inside the two particle subsystem of a partition  $a_3$  (e.g.,  $V_{a_3} = V_{ij}$  with  $a_3 = (ij)kl$ ).

If the function is the solution of the Schrodinger equation

$$(H_0 + X_{a_3} V_{a_3} E) = 0$$

then the components  $a_{3a_2}$  obey the Yakubovsky equations [3, 8]

The remarkable sum rule [2, 3]

$$= \begin{array}{c} X & X \\ a_{3}a_{2} \\ a_{2} \\ a_{3} \end{array}$$
(3)

allows one to construct the Schrodinger equation solution .

DYE in con guration space become partial di erential equations and require asymptotic boundary conditions to de ne a unique solution. Let us now introduce the relative coordinates for the four-body problem in order to x the representation of operators in Eqs. (2) and to describe the asymptotics of the components  $a_{3}a_{2}$ . There exist 18 sets of relative Jacobi coordinates in one to one correspondence to 18 chains of partitions  $a_{3}a_{2}$ . Note, that among them the only two sets are topologically di erent. One corresponds to chains with partitions  $a_{2}$  of the type 3 + 1 (e.g., (123)4) and the other one to chains with partitions  $a_{2}$  of the type 2 + 2 (e.g., (12) (34)). From now on we will assume that all the particles have the same m ass, say m. The relative coordinates can be de ned trough the radius vectors of particles by form ulas [12, 16]

for  $a_2 = (ijk)l_{,a_3} = (ij)kl$  and

for  $b_2 = (ij)$  (k1),  $b_3 = (ij)$ k1. W ith coordinates (4) and (5) the operators H  $_0$  and  $V_{a_3}$  have the form

$$H_{0} = \frac{h^{2}}{m} (x_{a_{3}} + a_{2} y_{a_{3};a_{2}} + a_{2} z_{a_{2}});$$
$$V_{a_{3}} = V_{a_{3}} (x_{a_{3}});$$

where stands for Laplacian and  $a_2 = 3=4$ ,  $a_2 = 2=3$  for the case of partitions  $a_2$  of type 3 + 1 and  $a_2 = 1$ ,  $a_2 = 1=2$  for partitions  $a_2$  of type 2 + 2.

The asymptotic boundary conditions for solutions of DYE (2) depend on the problem under consideration. For the bound-state problem one should look for quadratically integrable solutions of Eqs. (2) satisfying

Z  

$$dx_{a_3} dy_{a_3;a_2} dz_{a_2} j_{a_3a_2} (x_{a_3}; y_{a_3;a_2}; z_{a_2})^2 < 1 ;$$
(6)

which will give a quadratically integrable wave-function , according to Eq. (3). In fact, for the short-range potentials the components  $a_3a_2$  have exponentially decreasing asymptotic behavior [16]

$$A_{a_3a_2}(X) = A_{a_3a_2}(\hat{X}) \frac{\exp f \frac{p}{f} \frac{1}{f} \frac{1}{f} \frac{1}{f} \frac{1}{f}}{\frac{1}{f} \frac{1}{f}} (\frac{1}{f} \frac{1}{f})$$
 (7)

In this equation we have introduced the shorthand notation X for the 9-vector  $fx_{a_3}; y_{a_3;a_2}; z_{a_2}g$  and  $\hat{X}$  for the unit vector X = X j. For convenience of notation, let us de ne six-vectors  $x_{a_2} = fx_{a_3}; y_{a_3;a_2}g$  and  $y_{a_3} = fy_{a_3;a_2}; z_{a_2}g$ . The vectors  $x_{a_2}$  and  $y_{a_3}$  have a simplem eaning. The rst one describes the relative positions of particles belonging to subsystem s of the partition  $a_2$ , and the second one corresponds to the con guration of particles k; 1 and the center of m ass of the pair (ij) for  $a_3 = (ij)kl$ . Note, that for the four-body position vector X we have the representations

$$X = fx_{a_3}; y_{a_3}g = fx_{a_2}; z_{a_2}g:$$

In the case of the scattering problem we will dealwith the functions marked by sign +. For these functions the asymptotic form of the components  $\begin{pmatrix} + \\ a_3a_2 \end{pmatrix}$  depends on the initial state of the system. We restrict ourselves to the scattering with two clusters in the initial state. Two-cluster channels can be characterized by the bound states of the two-cluster H am iltonians

$$H_{a_2} = T_{a_2} + \sum_{a_3 a_2}^{X} V_{a_3} \qquad \frac{h^2}{m} \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{c} X \\ x_{a_3} & a_2 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{c} X \\ x_{a_3} & a_2 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_2} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3, a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_2 & y_{a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_3 & y_{a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_3 & y_{a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_3 & y_{a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_3 & y_{a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_3 & y_{a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_3 & y_{a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_3 & y_{a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_3 & y_{a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_3 & y_{a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_3 & y_{a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} x_{a_3} + a_3 & y_{a_3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}$$

describing the three-body subsystem (ijk) for  $a_2 = (ijk)l$  and the two noninteracting pairs (ij) and (kl) for  $a_2 = (ij)$  (kl). We denote by  $a_2^{a_3}(x_{a_2})$  the Faddeev components of the bound state of the Ham iltonian  $H_{a_2}$  obeying the Faddeev equations

$$(T_{a_2} + V_{a_3} \quad "_{a_2}) \stackrel{a_3}{}_{a_2} = V_{a_3} \quad X \quad C_3 \\ (c_3 \notin a_3) \quad a_2;$$

where  $"_{a_2}$  is the respective binding energy.

In order to characterize the three-cluster breakup channels let us introduce the two-body bound-state wave function  $_{a_3}(x_{a_3})$  being the solution of the Schrödinger equation

$$(H_{a_3} \quad "_{a_3})_{a_3} \quad f \quad \frac{h^2}{m}_{x_{a_3}} + V_{a_3}(x_{a_3}) \quad "_{a_3}g_{a_3}(x_{a_3}) = 0:$$

For the sake of sim plicity of notation in what follows we will assume that the H am iltonians H  $_{a_2}$  and H  $_{a_3}$  possess at most one bound state.

The four-body wave function components  $a_{3a_2}^{(+)}$  (X;  $p_{l_2}$ ) for scattering with two clusters in the initial state can be arranged as the sum [3, 8]

The rst term in (9) is due to the initial state with two clusters being subsystems of the partition  $l_2$  and moving with the relative momentum  $p_{l_2}$ . The total energy E and the momentum  $p_{l_2}$  are related by the expression

$$E = "_{l_2} + l_2 \frac{h^2}{m} (p_{l_2})^2$$
:

The second term in (9) describes the elastic  $(a_2 = l_2)$  and the rearrangement  $(a_2 \notin l_2)$  processes. For large separations, the functions  $U_{a_2 l_2}$  become spherical waves:

$$U_{a_{2}b_{2}}(z_{a_{2}};p_{b_{2}}) = A_{a_{2}b_{2}} \frac{\exp fi^{p} \overline{E} ||_{a_{2}} \dot{y}_{a_{2}} \dot$$

with  $A_{a_2 b_2}$  being the amplitudes of the processes (2 ! 2). The remaining term s of (9) correspond to breakup processes (2 ! 3) and (2 ! 4). The asymptotics of the functions U have the form

$$U_{a_{3}b_{2}}^{a_{2}}(y_{a_{3}};p_{b_{2}}) \quad A_{a_{3}b_{2}}^{a_{2}} \frac{\exp fi \stackrel{p}{E} \quad "_{a_{3}} \dot{y}_{a_{3}} \dot{y}_{a_{3}$$

$$U_{a_{2}a_{3};b_{2}}(X;p_{b_{2}}) \quad A_{a_{2}a_{3};b_{2}} \frac{\exp fi^{D} \overline{E} \overline{X} \overline{y}}{\overline{X} \overline{f}} \quad (\overline{X} j! 1): \qquad (12)$$

Here, the amplitudes A are related to the breakup amplitudes by the form ulas

$$f_{a_{3}l_{2}}(2 ! 3) = \begin{array}{c} x \\ A_{a_{3}l_{2}}^{a_{2}}; \\ a_{2} a_{3} \end{array}$$

$$f_{l_2}$$
 (2 ! 4) =  $\begin{array}{c} X & X \\ & a_2 & a_3 \end{array}$   $A_{a_2a_3;l_2}$ :

The asymptotic boundary conditions (9-12) make the solution of DYE (2) unique. For the more complicated case of scattering states with three and four clusters in the initial state we refer to the paper [17].

### 3 Cluster reduction of the DYE

In this section we consider the four-body bound state problem and the low energy scattering problem when only the two-cluster channels are open. The latter means that the energy of the system obeys the inequalities

E 
$$a_2$$
 0; E  $a_3 < 0$ ; E  $< 0$ :

Under these conditions the two last term s in (9) describing virtual breakup processes vanish exponentially as  $\chi$  j approaches 1 and the asymptotics of  $\binom{(+)}{a_3a_2}$  becomes simpler. Note, that every component  $a_{3a_2}$  for both bound and scattering state now is an exponentially decreasing function of the coordinates  $x_{a_2}$  corresponding to the separation of the particles inside the subsystem s of the partition  $a_2$ . It means, that the essential part of the component  $a_{3a_2}$  is concentrated in a tube-like domain of the conguration space such that  $j_{xa_2} j$  R  $a_2$  with su ciently large parameter R  $a_2$ . It is natural to not the approximate solution of Eqs. (2) by imposing the following boundary conditions:

$$a_{3}a_{2}j_{a_{2}} = 0$$

where  $a_2$  means the boundary of the cylinder:  $jx_{a_2} j = R_{a_2}$ . In posing these boundary conditions is the rst step of the reduction. As a second step, we expand the components in the following series:

$$a_{3}a_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{a_{2}};\mathbf{z}_{a_{2}}) = \int_{1}^{\mathbf{X}^{1}} a_{3}(\mathbf{x}_{a_{2}}) F_{a_{2}}^{1}(\mathbf{z}_{a_{2}}):$$
(13)

Here  $F_{a_2}^{\ 1}$  ( $z_{a_2}$ ) are new, unknown, functions and  $a_3 a_{2;1}^{\ a_3}$  ( $x_{a_2}$ ) are the eigenfunctions of the Faddeev equations for the subsystem s of the partition  $a_2$ 

$$(T_{a_{2}} + V_{a_{3}}) \stackrel{a_{3}}{}_{a_{2};1} + V_{a_{3}} \stackrel{X}{}_{a_{2};1} = "l_{a_{2}} \stackrel{a_{3}}{}_{a_{2};1};$$
(14)

obeying the boundary conditions

$$a_{3}_{a_{2};1}(\mathbf{x}_{a_{2}})\mathbf{j}_{a_{2}} = 0:$$
(15)

The equations (14) with the spatially restricted conditions (15) are known to have a purely discrete spectrum of real eigenvalues  $"^1_{a_2}$  [18]. The functions  $a_{2,1}^{a_3}$  and the eigenvalues  $"^1_{a_2}$  are assumed to be enumerated in order of growth the

quantities  $\mathbf{m}_{a_2}^1$ . One observes, that for large enough value of  $R_{a_2}$ , the functions  $a_{a_2,0}^3$  and the quantity  $\mathbf{m}_{a_2}^0$  approach the ground-state Faddeev components and the bound-state energy of the H am iltonian (8), respectively. The remaining part of basis functions extends the function  $a_{a_2,0}^3$  up to a complete set [18]. This set of eigenfunctions is not orthogonal due to the nonsymmetry of (14) with respect to the superscript  $c_3$ . The biorthogonal basis is formed [18] by the solutions of the adjoint to Eqs. (14):

$$(T_{a_{2}} + V_{a_{3}}) \stackrel{a_{3}}{}_{a_{2};k} + V_{c_{3}} \stackrel{c_{3}}{}_{a_{2};k} = \mathbf{w}_{a_{2}}^{k} \stackrel{a_{3}}{}_{a_{2};k} :$$
(16)

The completeness and biorthogonality properties for the functions  ${a_3\atop a_2\,;l}^{a_3}$  and  ${a_3\atop a_2\,;k}$  read

$$\begin{array}{c} x^{k} & & \\ & a_{3} & (x_{a_{2}}) & c_{3} & (x_{a_{2}}^{0}) = & \\ & a_{2};k & (x_{a_{2}}) & a_{2};k & (x_{a_{2}}^{0}) = & \\ & & x^{2} & \\ & & & dx_{a_{2}} & a_{2};1 & (x_{a_{2}}) & c_{3}^{2} & (x_{a_{2}}) = & \\ & & & & k: \end{array}$$

U sing these conditions, we express the coe cients in (13) as the projections

$$F_{a_{2}}^{1}(z_{a_{2}}) = \int_{a_{3}}^{X} dx_{a_{2}} \int_{a_{2};1}^{a_{3}} (x_{a_{2}}) \int_{a_{3}a_{2}} (x_{a_{2}};z_{a_{2}}):$$
(17)

Substituting the expansions (13) into the DYE (2) and projecting onto the biorthogonal basis functions  $a_{a_2;1}^{a_3}(\mathbf{x}_{a_2})$  we get the nalequations for the coe cients F  $\frac{k}{a_2}(\mathbf{z}_{a_2})$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{h^{2}}{m} \\ a_{2} \\ z_{a_{2}} \\ z_{a$$

Here the brackets h j istand for the integration over  $x_{2}$  in the domain  $\dot{x}_{a_2}$  j R<sub>a2</sub> and the coordinates  $x_{d_2}$  and  $z_{d_2}$  are assumed to be expressed through the set  $x_{a_3}$ ,  $y_{a_3,a_2}$ ,  $z_{a_2}$  [16, 17].

The asymptotic boundary conditions for the functions  $F_{a_2}^k$  ( $z_{a_2}$ ) can be easily obtained from (6) and (9–12) by projecting according to formula (17). For the bound state-problem they read

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & Z \\ & & dz_{a_2} \mathcal{F}_{a_2}^{k} (z_{a_2}) \mathcal{I} < 1 ; \\ k & 0 \end{array}$$
 (19)

and for the scattering states they have the following 'two-body' form

$$F_{a_2}^{0}(z_{a_2}) = a_2 b_2 \exp fip_{a_2} z_2 g + A_{a_2 b_2} \dot{z}_{a_2} j^{1} \exp fi E \frac{q}{a_2} \dot{z}_{a_2} jg$$
 (20)

for the open channels and

$$F_{a_2}^{k}(z_{a_2}) = 0; k = 1$$
 (21)

for the closed channels. The equations (18) are the desired coupled channel equations for four distinguishable particles.

Let us now turn to the case of identical particles. On the rst stage, note that due to the identity of particles, it su ces to de ne only two Yakubovsky components  $_{a_3a_2}$ , namely, one for the partition  $a_2$  of the type 3 + 1 and one for the partition  $a_2$  of the type 2 + 2. We x these two components choosing  $a_3 = (12)34$ ,  $a_2 = (123)4$  and  $b_3 = (12)34$ ,  $b_2 = (12)(34)$  and denoting  $_{a_3a_2} = 1$ ,  $_{b_3b_2} = 2$ .

Let P be the cyclic and anticyclic permutation operators for four particles and  $P_i$  be the same for a three-particle subsystem where the subscipt i corresponds to the fourth particle, that is not participating in the permutation. W ith these operators the representation (3) can be rewritten in terms of the components  $_1$  and  $_2$  as follows

= 
$$[I + P^{+} + P^{+}P^{+} + P_{4}] [I + P_{4}^{+} + P_{4}] _{1} + [I + P_{1}^{+} + P_{1}] [I + P^{+}P^{+}] _{2}$$
:

The DYE (2) for the functions i, i = 1; 2 read

$$(H_0 + V E)_1 + V (P_4^+ + P_4)_1 = V [(P_1^+ + P^+)_1 + (P_1^+ + P_4^+)_2]; (H_0 + V E)_2 + V (P^+ P^+)_2 = V [P^+ + P_1^+] P^+_1:$$

Here V V  $(x_{12})$  and = +1(1) for the boson (ferm ion) case.

The expansion (13) assumes the form

$${}_{i}(x_{i};y_{i}) = \begin{cases} x^{i} \\ 1 \\ z^{i}(x_{i})F^{1}_{i}(z_{i}); & i = 1;2; \\ z = 0 \end{cases}$$
(22)

with the basis functions  $\frac{i}{l}$  being the solutions of the symmetrized Faddeev equations

$$(\mathbf{T}_{1} + \mathbf{V}) \, {}^{1}_{1} + \mathbf{V} \, (\mathbf{P}_{4}^{+} + \mathbf{P}_{4}^{-}) \, {}^{1}_{1} = \, \mathbf{"}_{1}^{1} \, {}^{1}_{1};$$
$$(\mathbf{T}_{2} + \mathbf{V}) \, {}^{2}_{1} + \mathbf{V} \, \mathbf{P}^{+} \, \mathbf{P}^{+} \, {}^{2}_{1} = \, \mathbf{"}_{2}^{1} \, {}^{2}_{1}:$$

The biorthogonal basis functions  $\begin{array}{c} k \\ 1 \end{array}$  are the solutions of the respective adjoint equations

$$(T_1 + V) \stackrel{1}{_1} + (P_4^+ + P_4^-)V \stackrel{1}{_1} = "_1^1 \stackrel{1}{_1}; (T_2 + V) \stackrel{2}{_1} + P^+P^+V \stackrel{2}{_1} = "_2^1 \stackrel{2}{_1}:$$

The expansion (22) leads to the symmetrized form of (18)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{h^{2}}{m}\frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{m}\frac{2}{3} \\ z_{1} \\ z_{1} \\ z_{1} \\ z_{1} \\ z_{1} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{1} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{1} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{1} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{1} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{1} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{1} \\ z_{1$$

The boundary conditions for the equations (23) follow directly from (19), (20), and (21).

## 4 Application to the four-nucleon system

To apply the equations (23) to the four-nucleon system one needs to perform a partial-wave analysis. Taking into account the conservation of the total angular momentum L and the total spin S of the system for chosen N N forces S3 and M T I-III we can use the L S coupling scheme and obtain for the s wave components  $_Z$ 

$$F_{S;l}^{k}(z) = jzj d 2 F_{k}^{l}(z); k = 1;2$$
 (24)

(here and in what follows the index S is introduced to distinguish the states with the total spin S = 0 or S = 1) the following resulting s wave equations<sup>1</sup>

$$\left(\frac{2}{3} \Theta_{z}^{2} + \mathbb{I}_{S_{\pi}}^{1}\right) F_{S_{\pi}}^{1}(z) = \frac{1}{2} h_{S_{\pi}}^{1} (x_{3};y) \mathcal{Y}_{1}^{S}(x) = \frac{1}{2} h_{S_{\pi}}^{1} (x_{3};y) \mathcal{Y}_{1}^{S}(x) = \frac{1}{2} h_{1}^{1} du_{1}^{Z_{1}} du_{1}^{Z_{1}} dv \frac{xyz}{x_{3}y_{3}z_{3}} D_{B_{\pi}}^{S_{\pi}} = \frac{1}{2} h_{S_{\pi}}^{1} (x_{3};y_{3};y) F_{S_{\pi}}^{1}(z_{3}) \mathbf{1}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} h_{S_{\pi}}^{1} (x_{3};y) \mathcal{Y}_{1}^{S}(x) = \frac{1}{2} dv \frac{xyz}{x_{4}y_{4}z_{4}} C_{1}^{S} = \frac{2}{3} h_{S_{\pi}}^{S}(x_{4};y_{4}) F_{S_{\pi}}^{S}(z_{4}) \mathbf{1}; \quad (25)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \Theta_{z}^{2} + \mathbb{I}_{S_{\pi}}^{2}\right) F_{S_{\pi}}^{2}(z) = h_{S_{\pi}}^{2} h_{S_{\pi}}^{S}(x_{3};y_{3}) \mathcal{Y}_{2}^{S}(x) = \frac{1}{2} h_{S_{\pi}}^{S}(x_{3};y_{3}) \mathcal{Y}_{2}^{S}(x)$$

Here the brackets h j im ean the integration over x and y in the dom air $^2 \times y^2$ R<sup>2</sup> and sum m ation over the components of vector-functions  $^k_{S;l}$  and  $^k_{S;m}$  being the solutions of s wave Faddeev equations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> W e have restricted the sum m ation in formula (22) to nite numbers N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>, so that (25) should be considered as approximate equations, which become exact in the limit N<sub>1</sub>; N<sub>2</sub>! 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{x}^{2} & \frac{3}{4} \theta_{y}^{2} + V_{1}^{S} (x) & \stackrel{1}{_{S;1}} (x;y) + V_{1}^{S} (x) & \stackrel{Z}{_{1}} dv \frac{xy}{x_{1}y_{1}} B_{1}^{S} & \stackrel{1}{_{S;1}} (x_{1};y_{1}) = \\ & = \overset{\mathbf{n}_{S;1}^{1}}{_{S;1}} (x;y); \\ & n & \\ \theta_{x}^{2} & \theta_{y}^{2} + V_{2}^{S} (x) & \stackrel{O}{_{S;m}} (x;y) + V_{2}^{S} (x) B_{2}^{S} & \stackrel{Z}{_{S;m}} (x_{2};y_{2}) = \\ & = \overset{\mathbf{n}_{S;m}^{2}}{_{S;m}} (x;y); \end{aligned}$$

$$(26)$$

and adjoint equations

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{x}^{2} & \frac{3}{4} \theta_{y}^{2} + V_{1}^{S}(x) & \frac{1}{s_{;1}}(x;y) + \overset{Z}{\overset{1}{}} dv \frac{xy}{x_{1}y_{1}} B_{1}^{S} V_{1}^{S}(x_{1}) & \frac{1}{s_{;1}}(x_{1};y_{1}) = \\ & = \mathbf{u}_{s_{;1}}^{1} & \frac{1}{s_{;1}}(x;y); \\ & \theta_{x}^{2} & \theta_{y}^{2} + V_{2}^{S}(x) \overset{O}{\overset{Z}{}} & \frac{2}{s_{;n}}(x;y) + B_{2}^{S} V_{2}^{S}(x_{2}) & \frac{2}{s_{;n}}(x_{2};y_{2}) = \\ & = \mathbf{u}_{s_{;n}}^{2} & \frac{2}{s_{;n}}(x;y) : \end{aligned}$$

In the above equations we have renorm alized the energy of the system according to " = m E = h<sup>2</sup>. Them atrices B<sup>S</sup><sub>k</sub>, D<sup>S</sup> and C<sup>S</sup><sub>k</sub>, k = 1;2 realize the representation of operators P<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> + P<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>, P<sup>+</sup>P<sup>+</sup>, P<sup>+</sup><sub>1</sub> P<sup>+</sup>, P<sup>+</sup><sub>1</sub> + P<sup>+</sup><sub>1</sub>, and (P<sup>+</sup> P<sup>+</sup><sub>1</sub>)P<sup>+</sup> in the spin-isospin space of the four-nucleon system, respectively. We give their values for the system s nnpp and nnnp in the Appendix. There we have described the representations of interaction potentials V<sup>S</sup><sub>k</sub> and values of coordinates x<sub>i</sub>;y<sub>i</sub>;z<sub>i</sub> appearing in the equations.

The components  $F_{S,1}^{k}(z)$ , due to (24), obey the regularity conditions

$$F_{S:1}^{k}(0) = 0$$
:

The asymptotic boundary conditions for the bound-state problem according to (19) and (24) have the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & {}^{2} & {}^{1} \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ 1 & 0 & \end{array} \end{array} dz \mathbf{F}_{S;1}^{k}(z) \mathbf{j}^{2} < 1 ; \quad k = 1;2:$$
 (28)

For the  $n^{-3}H$  scattering problem the only three-body subsystems have the bound state, so that (20) and (21) lead to the conditions

$$F_{s;0}^{1}(z;p) \quad p^{-1} \sin pz + a_{s}(p) \cos pz;$$

$$F_{s;1}^{1}(z;p) \quad 0; \ l \quad 1;$$

$$F_{s;1}^{2}(z;p) \quad 0; \ l \quad 0:$$
(29)

For the zero relative  $n^{-3}H$  scattering energy the rst condition of (29) should be replaced by

$$F_{S;0}^{1}(z;0) = z = A_{S};$$
 (30)

where  $A_s$  is the  $n^{-3}H$  scattering length. The relationship between the scattering amplitude  $a_s$  (p) and the phase shift s (p) is of the form

$$a_{\rm S}(p) = \frac{\tan_{\rm S}(p)}{p}$$
: (31)

A lternatively, the scattering length  $A_S$  can be obtained from  $a_S$  (p) by taking the lim it,

$$A_{\rm S} = \lim_{p! \ 0} a_{\rm S} (p)$$
: (32)

The equations (25) were solved numerically by making use of a nitedi erence approximation for the di erential operator  $(2^2_z)$  and a spline expansion for the integrands on the right hand-side. The mesh parameters were varied extensively to obtain the relative numerical uncertainty less than 1%. The basis functions  $k_{s,1}^k$  and  $k_{s,1}^k$  were constructed numerically as the solutions of the eigenvalue problem s (26) and (27). The maximal nonorthogonality of the basis functions caused by the numerical solutions of (26) and (27) was given by

We have used S3 and M T I-III s wave potentials for the bound-state calculations. For  $n^{-3}H$  scattering we have used only the M T I-III potential. These potentials are known to have a strong in nite (M T I-III) and nite (S3) repulsive core. Such a singular behavior of the potentials requires an appropriate treatment of the problem, so we have chosen these potentials to demonstrate the e ciency of our approach. On the other hand, the data of the direct (except for num erical approximations) solutions of the four-nucleon Yakubovsky equations (Y E) for bound states with these potentials are available [9,11] which facilitates the com parison of the results of calculations.

In Table 1 we show the convergence of our calculations for  ${}^{4}\text{He}$  binding energy with respect to the number of terms taken into account in the expansion (22) and in Eqs. (25), respectively. As one can see a converged result within the uncertainty less than 1% can be reached with about 10 basis functions. In Table 2 we have collected our nalvalues for  ${}^{4}\text{He}$  binding energy and the data of solutions of YE [9, 11, 19]. The agreem ent of calculations is near perfect.

The calculations of  $n^{-3}H$  scattering were performed for the zero neutron laboratory energy. We have used the boundary conditions (30) for zero energy calculations. The scattering length  $A_S$  was extracted from the asymptotics of the solutions according to the formula (30). We have collected the results of our calculations for spin-singlet and spin-triplet scattering lengths  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  together with available data of the other authors and experimental values in Table 3. Comparison of the results is hampered by the fact that dierent approaches and dierent models for N -N forces were used. Nevertheless, the agreement of the results is reasonable except for the case of the paper [23] where the Resonating G roup M ethod (RGM) has been used. In our notations, the RGM ansatz corresponds to taking into account only one basis state in (22). W e have perform ed the calculations within this condition and obtained 3.41 fm for the spin-singlet scattering length  $A_0$  which is very close to the result of [23]. Hence, we can suppose that the di erence between our converged result and the RGM one is due to the approximations of RGM.

### 5 Sum m ary

W e have described the cluster reduction m ethod to treat the four-body boundstate and scattering-state problem. The m ethod leads to CRC equations for the functions depending on the relative coordinates between the subsystem s of the two-cluster partitions. A fler a suitable partial-wave decom position, these equations become the one-dimensional integro-dimensial equations which can be solved numerically on rather small computers in contrast to the original DYE, for which numerical solution even for simple N N forces requires supercomputer facilities. The results of calculations given in the previous section show the e ciency of reduced DYE (23) and (25) for the numerical solution of both the bound-state and scattering-state problems for the four-nucleon system. The m ethod proposed can be extended both to the case of m ore realistic nucleon-nucleon potentials in four-nucleon system, and to system s consisting of m ore than four particles. In the latter case the N-body dimential Y akubovsky equations [3] should be taken as a starting point for the reduction procedure.

The e ciency of the cluster-reduction method for the scattering problem demonstrated for the  $n^{-3}H$  system suggests it will be useful for the direct four-body calculations of various multichannel reactions.

### A cknow ledgm ents

This work was nished during the stay one of the authors (S.L.Y.) at the Institute for Theoretical Physics University of Groningen made possible under the bilateral agreem ent between Groningen University and University of Sankt Petersburg for scientic exchange. The authors are thankful to Prof. L.P. K ok for fruitful discussions, careful reading of the manuscript and num erous suggestions for improving the paper. This work was supported in part by IN TAS Grant IN TAS-93-1815 and RFBR Grant No. 96-02-17021-a.

### Appendix

In this Appendix we describe the representation of the potentials  $V_k^S$  and the matrices  $B_k^S$ ,  $D^S$ , and  $C_k^S$ , k = 1;2 and give the form ulas for the coordinates  $x_i;y_i;z_i$  appearing in the integrals of Eqs. (25), (26), and (27). For the bound state of the nnpp system the total spin equals to zero, S = 0. The operators  $V_k^0$  are the diagonal matrices such that

$$V_1^0 = V_2^0 = diagfv^s; v^tg;$$

where  $v^s$  and  $v^t$  stand for the singlet and triplet N  $\,$  N potentials, respectively. The matrices B  $_k^0$ , D  $^0$  and C  $_k^0$  have the form

$$B_{1}^{0} = D^{0} = C_{1}^{0} = \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{3}{4}} = \frac{\frac{3}{4}}{\frac{1}{4}} ;$$
  
$$B_{2}^{0} = C_{2}^{0} = \frac{1}{0} = \frac{0}{1} :$$

For the system  $\,n^{-3}H\,$  the values of the total spin S equal 0 or 1. The operators  $V^{\,\rm S}_k$  are the diagonalm atrices

$$V_1^0 = \text{diagfv}^s; v^t; v^s g;$$
$$V_2^0 = v^s;$$
$$V_1^1 = \text{diagfv}^s; v^t; v^s; v^t g;$$
$$V_2^1 = \text{diagfv}^s; v^t g:$$

The explicit form of the matrices  $B_k^S$ ,  $D^S$ , and  $C_k^S$  is as follows

$$B_{1}^{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ B_{1}^{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{0}{A} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$B_{2}^{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$B_{1}^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$B_{2}^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{6}p\frac{p}{2} & \frac{1}{2}p\frac{p}{2} & \frac{1}{2}p\frac{p$$

The expressions for the coordinates  $x_i$ ;  $y_i$ ;  $z_i$  can be given by form ulas

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{1} &= \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{x}^{2} + \mathbf{y}^{2} \quad \mathbf{xyv}^{1=2} ; \quad \mathbf{y}_{1} = \frac{9}{16}\mathbf{x}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{y}^{2} + \frac{3}{4}\mathbf{xyv}^{1=2} ; \\ \mathbf{x}_{2} &= \mathbf{y}; \quad \mathbf{y}_{2} = \mathbf{x}; \quad \mathbf{x}_{3} = \mathbf{x}_{1}; \quad \mathbf{x}_{4} = \mathbf{x}_{1}; \quad \mathbf{x}_{5} = \mathbf{y}; \\ \mathbf{y}_{3} &= \frac{1}{9}\mathbf{y}_{1}^{2} + \mathbf{z}^{2} + \frac{2}{3}\mathbf{y}_{1}\mathbf{zu}^{1=2} ; \quad \mathbf{z}_{3} = \frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{64}{9}\mathbf{y}_{1}^{2} + \mathbf{z}^{2} \quad \frac{16}{3}\mathbf{y}_{1}\mathbf{zu}^{1=2} ; \\ \mathbf{y}_{4} &= \frac{4}{9}\mathbf{y}_{1}^{2} + \mathbf{z}^{2} + \frac{4}{3}\mathbf{y}_{1}\mathbf{zu}^{1=2} ; \quad \mathbf{z}_{4} = \frac{4}{9}\mathbf{y}_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{z}^{2} \quad \frac{2}{3}\mathbf{y}_{1}\mathbf{zu}^{1=2} ; \\ \mathbf{y}_{5} &= \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{x}^{2} + \mathbf{z}^{2} \quad \mathbf{xzv}^{1=2} ; \quad \mathbf{z}_{5} = \frac{2}{3} \quad \mathbf{x}^{2} + \mathbf{z}^{2} + 2\mathbf{xzv}^{1=2} : \end{aligned}$$

### References

- [1] Faddeev L D., Zh. Eksperim. Teor. Fiz. 39 (1960) 1459 [Soviet Phys. JETP 12 (1961) 1014]
- [2] Yakubovsky O A., Yad. Fiz. 5 (1967) 1312 [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 5 (1967) 937]
- [3] Merkuriev S P., Yakovlev S L., Dans. A kad. Nauk (SSSR) 262 (1982) 591, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 56 (1983) 60 [Theor. Math. Phys. 56 (1983) 673], Yad. Fiz. 39 (1984) 1580 [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 39 (1984) 1002]
- [4] Merkuriev S.P., Gignoux C., Laverne A., Ann. Phys. N.Y. ] 99 (1976) 30
- [5] Friar JL., G ibson BF., Payne GL., Phys. Rev. C 39 (1989) 1264
- [6] Yakovlev S.L., Filikhin IN., Yad. Fiz. 56 (1993) 98
- [7] Schellingerhout N W , Kok L P., Bosveld G D., Phys. Rev. A 40 (1989) 5568
- [8] Merkuriev S.P., Yakovlev S.L., Gignoux C., Nucl. Phys. A 31 (1984) 125
- [9] Schellingerhout N W , Schut J.J., Kok L.P., Phys. Rev. C 46 (1992) 1192
- [10] Cerba J., Gignoux C., Merkuriev S.P., Yakovlev S.L., Preprint ISN 90.30, Grenoble, 1990
- [11] Kamada H., Glockle W., Nucl. Phys. A 548 (1992) 205
- [12] Yakovlev S.L., Filikhin I.N., Yad. Fiz. 58 (1995) 817
- [13] Bencze Gy., Chandler C., Gibson A.G., Nucl. Phys. A 340 (1982) 461;
  Birse M.C., Redish E.F., Nucl. Phys. A 406 (1983) 149;
  Adhikari S.K., Birse M.C., Kozak R., Levin F.S., Phys. Rev. C 30 (1984) 780
- [14] Maliet R A., T jon J A., Ann. Phys. 61 (1970) 425
- [15] A fnan IR., Tang Y.C., PhysRev. 175 (1968) 1337
- [16] Merkuriev S.P., Faddeev L.D., The scattering theory for the system of several particles (N auka, M oscow, 1985) (in Russian)
- [17] Yakovlev S.L., Teor. Mat. Fiz. 82 (1990) 224 [Theor. Math. Phys. 82 (1990) 157]
- [18] Evans JW , Ho m an D K , J.M ath. Phys. 22 (1981) 2858; Yakovlev S L, Teor. M at. Fiz. 102 (1995) 323
- [19] T jon JA, Phys. Lett. B 56 (1975) 217; B 63 (1976) 391
- [20] Levashov V P., Yad. Fiz. 38 (1983) 566 [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 38 (1983) 336]
- [21] Fonseca A C., Few Body Systems 1 (1986) 69
- [22] Belyaev V B., Pupyshev V V., Yad. Fiz. 35 (1982) 905 [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 35 (1982) 526]
- [23] Heiss P., Hackenbroich H.H., Nucl. Phys. A 202 (1971) 353

- [24] Seagrave JD., Berm an BL., Phillips T.W., Phys.Lett. B 91 (1980) 200
- [25] Fonseca A  $\mathcal L$  , Phys. Rev. C 30 (1984) 35, and private communication
- [26] CiesielskiF., CarbonellJ., Gignoux C., Preprint ISN 96.18, Grenoble, 1996

N	S3	MT I-III <sup>1</sup>	MT I-III <sup>2</sup>
1	23.86	24.6945	25.154
2	27.11	28.3060	28.869
3	27.23	28.4734	28.975
4	27.75	28.7086	29,223
5	28.38	29.3345	29.688
6	28.42	29.4204	29.783
7	28.39	29.9648	30,284
8	28.55	29.5980	30,277
9	28.67	30.0534	30.304
10	28.72	30.0829	30.315
11	28.71	30.0901	30.307
12	28.74	30.1369	
13	28.73	30.1392	

Table 1. The convergence of the  ${}^{4}\text{H}e$  ground-state energy E (M eV) with respect to the number N = N<sub>1</sub> = N<sub>2</sub> of terms in (22) taken into account in the num erical solution of Eqs. (25). Here M T I-III<sup>1</sup> is the potential from [14] and M T I-III<sup>2</sup> from [9, 11].

R efs.	S3	MT I-III <sup>1</sup>	MT I-III <sup>2</sup>
[9]	28.7843		30.3117
[11]	28.80		30.29
[19]		29.6(2)	
present work	28.7 (4)	30.1(3)	30,3(1)

Table 2. The results of the calculations of the  ${}^{4}\text{He}$  ground-state energy E (M eV) for spin-dependent S3 and M T I-III N N forces. Here M T I-III<sup>1</sup> is the potential from [14] and M T I-III<sup>2</sup> from [9, 11].

R efs.	A <sub>0</sub> fm	A <sub>1</sub> fm
P resent work	4.0	3.6
[19]	4.09	3.61
[20]	4.23	3.46
[21]	3.905	3.597
[22]	3.8	4.9
[23]	3.38	3.25
[26]	4.13	3.73
exp.[24]	3.91 0.12	3.6 0.1

Table 3. The calculated spin-singlet  $A_0$  and spin-triplet  $A_1$  scattering lengths using the M T  $\,$ I-III^1 potential of [14], the results of other authors and experimental data for  $n^{-3}H$  scattering.