#### 1. Introduction

In Applied Sciences a variety of problem s, form ulated in terms of linear boundary values or integral equations, leads to a Hausdor moment problem. Such a problem arises when a given sequence of real numbers may be represented as the moments around the origin of non-negative measure, dened on a nite interval, typically [0;1]. The underlying density f(x) is unknown, while its moments f(x) = f(x

$$_{M}^{+}$$
  $_{M}$  2  $_{M}^{2 (M-1)}$  (1:1)

If one considers the approximating density  $f_M$  (x) = exp(  $\sum_{j=0}^{P} f_M f_M$  (x) by entropy maximization, constrained by the rst M moments [4], then its entropy H [f\_M] =  $\sum_{j=0}^{R} f_M$  (x)  $\lim_{j \to \infty} f_M$  (x)  $\lim_{j \to$ 

$$\lim_{M} H[f_{M}] = 1$$
 (1.2)

Such a relationship is satis ed by any other distribution constrained by the same  $\,$  rst M moments, since  $f_M$  (x) has maximum entropy. On the other hand f (x) and  $f_M$  (x) have the same  $\,$  rst M moments and as a consequence, as we illustrate in section 3, the following relationship holds

$$I(f; f_{M}) = : \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} f(x) \ln \frac{f(x)}{f_{M}(x)} dx = H[f_{M}] H[f];$$
 (1:3)

Here H [f] is the entropy of f (x), while I (f;  $f_M$  ) is the K ullback-Leibler distance between f (x) and  $f_M$  (x).

Equations (1.1)-(1.3) underline once m ore the ill-conditioned nature of the m oment problem. The ill-conditioning m ay be even enlightened by considering the estimation of the parameters  $_{j}$  of  $f_{M}$  (x). The  $_{j}$  calculation leads to m in in ize a proper potential function ( $_{1}$ ;:::;  $_{M}$ ) [K esa 4], with

$$\min_{1,2,...,M} (_{1};...;_{M}) = \min_{1,2,...,M} \ln \exp(_{j+1}^{M}) dx + \lim_{j = 1} (1:4)$$

 $f_{M}$  (x) satis es the constraints

$$j = \sum_{j=0}^{Z} x^{j} \exp(x^{k}) + \sum_{k=0}^{M} x^{k} = 0$$
  $j = 0; ...; M$  (1.5)

Letting = (0; :::; M) and = (0; :::; M), (1.5) m ay be written as the map

Then the corresponding Jacobian matrix, which is up to sign a Hankelmatrix, has conditioning number '  $(1 + \frac{1}{2})^{4M} = \frac{1}{M}$  [5]. All the previous remarks lead to the conclusion that f (x) may be e ciently recovered from moments only if few moments are requested. In other terms, f (x) may be recovered from moments if its information content is spread among rst few moments.

In this paper we are looking for a way to overcome the above-quoted disculties in recovering f(x) from moments. First of all, we assume the infinite sequence of moments  $f_jg_{j=0}^1$  to be known. Then, from such a sequence, we calculate fractional moments

$$E (X^{j}) = : x^{j} f(x) dx = b_{n=0} b_{n} (j) _{n}; j > 0$$
 (1:7)

where the explicit analytic expression of  $b_n$  (  $_j$ ) is given by (2.5). Finally, from a nite number of fractional moments fE (X  $_j$ ) $g_{j=1}^M$ , we recover  $f_M$  (x) = exp(  $_j$   $_j$   $_j$   $_j$  by entropy maxim ization [4]. The exponents  $f_j$   $g_{j=1}^M$  are chosen as follows

$$f_{j}g_{j=1}^{M}: H[f_{M}] = m in im um$$
 (1.8)

The choice of  $f_{j}g_{j=1}^{M}$ , according to (1.8), leads to a density  $f_{M}$  (x) having m in im um distance from f(x), as stressed by (1.3).

Remark. If the information content of f(x) is shared among rst moments, so that ME approximant  $f_M(x)$  represents an accurate approximation of f(x), then fractional moments may be accurately calculated by replacing f(x) with  $f_M(x)$ . As a consequence, function  $f_M(x)$  converges in entropy and then in  $L_1$  norm to f(x) [6], and the error obtained replacing f(x) with  $f_M(x)$ 

m ay be rendered arbitrarily small by increasing M (inequalities in (1.9)) are proved in section (3).

### 2. Fractionalm om ents from m om ents

Let X a continuous random variable with density f(x) on the support [0;1], with moments of order s, centered in c; c 2 R

$$_{s}(c) := E [(X c)^{s}] = (x c)^{s} f(x) dx; s2 N = N [f0g: (2:1)$$

and m om ents from the origin s = 1 s (0) related to m om ents generically centered in c through the relationship

$$_{s} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{s} & s & c^{s h} & h & (c); & s 2 N & : \\ h & h & & & (2 2) \end{pmatrix}$$

It is well known the relationship similar to (2.2) which permits to calculate the (fractional) moment of orders  $2\ R^+$  (which replaces  $_j$  for notational convenience as in (1.7) and (3.2)) involving all the central moments of a given distribution about the point c.

Firstly, by de nition of noncentral m oment of orders, we can write  $E(X^s) = \frac{R_1}{0} x^s f(x) dx$  and then, by Taylor expansion of  $x^s$  around c, where c 2 (0;1), we have

$$x^{S} = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{1}} [x^{S}]_{x=c}^{(n)} \frac{(x - c)^{n}}{n!}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{X^{1}} S n! x^{S} n \frac{(x - c)^{n}}{n!}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{X^{1}} S c^{S} n (x - c)^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{X^{1}} S c^{S} n (x - c)^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{X^{1}} S c^{S} n (x - c)^{n}$$
(2:3)

where  $[k(x)]_{k=0}^{(n)}$  indicates the n-th derivative of the function k(x) wrt x, evaluated at c. Taking the expectation on both sides of the last equation in (2.3), we get the required relationship

$$\mathbf{E} \quad (X^{s}) = \begin{pmatrix} X^{1} & s & c^{s n} \mathbf{E} \quad [(X & c)^{n}] \\ & & & \\ & & X^{1} \\ & = & b_{n n} \quad (c) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(2:4)$$

w here

$$b_n = {S \atop n} c^{s n}; n 2 N$$
 (2:5)

represents the coe cient of the integral n-order m om ent of X centered at c.

The formulation of the s-order fractionalm oments as in (2.4) shows some numerical instabilities which depend on the structure of the relationship between  $_n$  (c) and E (X  $^s$ ); these instabilities are related to the value of the center c and increase as the order of the centralm oments become shigh. In particular,

(a) the num erical error E(X) c)<sup>n</sup> due to the evaluation of E(X) c)<sup>n</sup> in term s of noncentral integral m om ents E(X) h, h n, becomes bigger as c and n increase. In fact,

where eps corresponds to the error machine.

(b) the numerical error  $E(X^s)$  due to the evaluation of  $E(X^s)$  involving the rst  $M_{max}$  central moments  $E(X^s)$ , is given by

with  $\max_n \frac{s}{n} = \frac{s}{[s=2]}$  if [s] is even and  $\max_n \frac{s}{n} = \frac{s}{[s=2]+1}$  if [s] is odd, where [x] represents the integer part of x. The product of t rst two factors of the right hand side of (2.7) is an increasing function of t, whilst the last factor gives a function which decreases t with t.

Hence, taking in account both (a) and (b), a reasonable choice of c could be  $c = \frac{1}{2}$ . Further, rewriting the last inequality in (2.7) as

j E (X)<sup>s</sup>j k E (X c)<sup>n</sup> k<sub>1</sub> c<sup>s</sup> max s 
$$\frac{\frac{1}{c}^{M_{max}+1}}{\frac{1}{c}}$$
 1 < "

we can reconstruct the s-order fractional m om ent with a pre xed level of accuracy "; " > 0, just involving a number of central m om ents equal to the value M  $_{\rm m\ ax}$ .

## 3. Recovering f(x) from fractionalm om ents

Let be X a positive r.v. on [0;1] with density f (x), Shannon-entropy H [f] =  $_{p=0}^{R_1} b_n$  f (x) ln f (x) dx and m om ents f  $_{j}g_{j=0}^{1}$ , from which positive fractionalm om ents E (X  $_{j}$ ) =  $_{n=0}^{R_1} b_n$  (  $_{j}$ )  $_{n}$  m ay be obtained, as in (2.4)-(2.5).

From [4], we know that the Shannon-entropy maxim izing density function  $f_M$  (x), which has the same M fractionalm oments E (X  $^j$ ), of f (x), j = 0; ...; M , is

$$f_{M}(x) = \exp((x^{j} + x^{j}));$$
 (3:1)

Here ( $_0$ ; :::;  $_M$ ) are Lagrangean multipliers, which must be supplemented by the condition that the rst M fractionalmoments of  $f_M$  (x) coincide with E (X  $^{-1}$ ), i.e,

$$E (X^{-j}) = \sum_{0}^{X^{-j}} f_{M} (x) dx; j = 0; ...; M; 0 = 1$$
 (3.2)

The Shannon entropy H [ $f_M$ ] of  $f_M$  (x) is given as

$$H [f_{M}] = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} f_{M}(x) \ln f_{M}(x) dx = \int_{j=0}^{X^{M}} E(X^{-j}); \qquad (3:3)$$

Given two probability densities f(x) and  $f_M$  (x), there are two well-known measures of the distance between f(x) and  $f_M$  (x). Namely the divergence measure I(f;  $f_M$ ) =  $\frac{R_1}{0}$  f(x)  $\ln \frac{f(x)}{f_M(x)} dx$  and the variation measure V(f;  $f_M$ ) =  $\frac{R_1}{0}$  jf f(x) jdx. If f(x) and f f(x) have the same fractional moments E(X) j, j = 1; ...; M then

$$I(f; f_M) = H[f_M] H[f]$$
 (3:4)

holds. In fact I (f;  $f_M$ ) =  $\begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  f(x)  $\ln \frac{f(x)}{f_M(x)} dx = H[f] + \begin{pmatrix} P_M \\ j=0 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ j=0 \end{pmatrix}$  x  $^j$  f $_M$  (x) dx = H[f] +  $\begin{pmatrix} P_M \\ j=0 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ j=0 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} P_M \\ j=0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

In literature, several lower bounds for the divergence measure I based on the variation measure V are available. We shall however use the following bound [7]

I 
$$\frac{V^2}{2}$$
: (3:5)

If g(x) denotes a bounded function, such that jg(x) j K, K > 0, by taking into account (3.4) and (3.5), we have

. Equation (3.6) suggests us what fractionalm om ents have to be chosen

$$f_{ig}^{M}_{i=1}$$
: H [f<sub>M</sub>] = m in in um (3:7)

The use of fractional moments in the framework of ME relies on the following two theoretical results. The rst is a theorem [8, Th. 2] which guarantees the existence of a probability density from the knowledge of an in nite sequence of fractional moments

Theorem 3.1 [8, Th. 2] If X is a r.v. assuming values from a bounded interval [0;1] and f  $_jg_{j=0}^1$  is an in nite sequence of positive and distinct numbers satisfying  $\lim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} f_j = 0$  and P  $_j^1 = 0$  then the sequence of moments fE (X  $_j^1$ )  $g_{j=0}^1$  characterizes X .

The second concerns the convergence in entropy of  $f_M$  (x), where entropy-convergence means  $\lim_{M \to 1} H [f_M] = H [f]$ . More precisely,

Theorem 32. If  $f_{j}g_{j=0}^{M}$  are equispaced within [0;1), with  $f_{M-j+1}=\frac{j}{M+1}$ , j=0; ...; M then the ME approximant converges in entropy to f(x). Proof. See Appendix.

We just point out that the choice of equispaced points  $_{M}$   $_{j+1} = \frac{j}{M+1}$ , j=0; ...; M satis es both conditions of Theorem 3.1, i.e.

$$\lim_{M \ ! \ 1} \quad _{M} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{M \ ! \ 1} \quad _{j=0} \quad \lim_{M \ ! \ 1} \quad \frac{1}{M \ + 1} \frac{M}{2} \ (M \ + 1) = +1 :$$

As a consequence, if the choice of equispaced  $_{\text{M}}$   $_{\text{j+1}}$  guarantees entropy-convergence, then the choice (3.7) guarantees entropy-convergence too.

From a computational point of view, Lagrangean multipliers (  $_1$ ;:::;  $_M$  ) are obtained by (1.4), and the norm alizing constant  $_0$  is obtained by imposing that the density integrates to 1. Then the optim alf  $_jg_{j=1}^M$  exponents are obtained as

$$f_{j}g_{j=1}^{M}: \min_{1;:::,M} \min_{1;:::,M} (1;:::,M):$$
 (3:8)

#### 4. Num erical results

We compare fractional and ordinary moments by choosing some probability densities on [0;1]. Example 1. Let be

$$f(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\sin(x)$$

with H [f] ' 0:144729886. From f(x) we have ordinary moments satisfying the recursive relationship

$$n = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$
  $n = 2;3; :::; 0 = 1; 1 = \frac{1}{2}$ :

From  $f_n g_{n=0}^1$  we calculate E (X  $^j$ ) =  $^P_{n=0} b_n (_j)_n$ , as in (2.4)-(2.5). From fE (X  $^j$ )  $g_{j=0}^M$  we obtain the M E approximant  $f_M$  (x) for increasing values of M, where  $f_j g_{j=1}^M$  satisfy (3.7). In Table 1 are reported

- a) H [f<sub>M</sub> ] H [f] = I(f; f<sub>M</sub>) and exponents f  $_{j}g_{j=1}^{M}$  satisfying (3.7), where H [f<sub>M</sub>] is obtained using fractional m oments.
- b) H  $[f_M]$  H [f] = I  $(f; f_M)$ , where H  $[f_M]$  is obtained using ordinary m om ents. Inspection of Table 1 allows us to conclude that:

- 1) Entropy decrease is fast, so that practically 4-5 fractional m om ents determ ine f (x).
- 2) On the converse an high number of ordinary moments are requested for a satisfactory characterization of f(x).
- 3) Approximately 12 ordinary moments have an exect comparable to 3 fractional moments. f(x) and  $f_M(x)$ , obtained by 4-5 fractional moments, are practically indistinguishable.

Table 1
Optimal fractionalm oments and entropy dierence of distributions having an increasing number of common a) fractionalm oments b) ordinary moments

a)					
М	$f_{j}g_{j=1}^{M}$	H [f <sub>M</sub> ] H [f]			
1	13:4181	0 <b>:</b> 8716E 1			
2	0:00289 4:69275	0:2938E 2			
3	0:04680 1:84212 13:2143	0:3038E 3			
4	0:00220 2:76784 13:7293 20:5183	0:3276E 4			
5	0:0024 2:7000 13:700 20:500 25:200	0:1016E 4			

d)					
М	H [f <sub>M</sub> ] H	[f]			
2	0:9510E	2			
4	0:2098E	2			
6	0:7058E	3			
8	0:4442E	3			
10	0:3357E	3			
12	0:3288E	3			

Example 2. This example is borrowed from [9]. Here the authors attempt to recover a non-negative decreasing dierentiable function f(x) from the frequency moments  $!_n$ , with

$$!_n = \sum_{0}^{Z_1} [f(x)]^n dx; \quad n = 1;2; :::$$

The authors of [9] realize that other density reconstruction procedures, alternative to ordinary moments, would be desirable. We propose fractionalmoments density reconstruction procedure. Here

$$f(x) = 2 \frac{h_1}{2} + \frac{1}{10} \ln (\frac{1}{Ax + B})$$
  $B = \frac{1}{1 + e^5}$ ;  $A = \frac{1}{1 + e^5}$   $\frac{1}{1 + e^5}$ 

with H [f]' 0.06118227 (f(x), compared to [9], contains the normalizing constant 2). From f(x) we have ordinary moments  $_n$  through a numerical procedure. From f  $_n g_{n=0}^1$  we calculate E(X) =  $_{n=0}^1 b_n$ ( $_j$ )  $_n$ , as in (2.4)-(2.5). Finally, from fE(X)  $_j g_{j=0}^M$  we obtain the ME approximant  $_M$ (x) for increasing values of M, where  $_j g_{j=1}^M$  satisfy (3.7). Table 2 reports:

a) H [ $f_M$ ] H [f] = I(f;  $f_M$ ) and exponents  $f_j g_{j=1}^M$  satisfying (3.7), where H [ $f_M$ ] is obtained using fractionalm om ents.

b) H  $[f_M]$  H  $[f] = I(f; f_M)$ , where H  $[f_M]$  is obtained using ordinary moments.

Inspection of Table 2 allows us to conclude that:

- 1) Entropy decrease is fast, so that practically 4 fractionalm om ents determ ine f(x).
- 2) An high number of ordinary moments is requested for a satisfactory characterization of f(x).
- 3) Approxim ately 14 ordinary m om ents have an e ect comparable to 4 fractionalm om ents. Functions f(x) and  $f_M(x)$ , obtained by 4 fractionalm om ents, are practically indistinguishable. As a consequence, we argue that the use of 4 fractionalm om ents is as e ective as that of 8 frequency m om ents (as in [9]). The former ones, indeed, provide an approximant  $f_M(x)$  practically indistinguishable from f(x) (see gure 1 of [9]).

Table 2
Optimal fractional moments and entropy dierence of distributions having an increasing number of common a) fractional moments b) ordinary moments

a)					
М	$f_{j}g_{j=1}^{M}$	H [f <sub>M</sub> ] H	[f]		
1	1:56280	0 <b>:</b> 6278E	2		
2	0:52500 3:90000	0:3152E	2		
3	1:05000 3:00000 7:87500	0:1169E	2		
4	0:44062 7:65470 12:5262 63:9093	0:1025E	3		

М	H [f <sub>M</sub> ] H	[f]
2	0:5718E	2
4	0:1776E	2
6	0:1320E	2
8	0 <b>:</b> 6744E	3
10	0:3509E	3
12	0:2648E	3
14	0:1914E	3

b)

### 5. Conclusions

In this paper we have faced up the Hausdor moment problem and we have solved it using a low number of fractional moments, calculated explicitly in terms of given ordinary moments. The approximating density, constrained by few fractional moments, has been obtained by maximumentropy method. Fractional moments have been chosen by minimizing the entropy of the approximating density. The strategy proposed in the present paper, for recovering a given density function, consists in accelerating the convergence by a proper choice of fractional moments, so obtaining an approximating density by the use of low order moments, as (1.1) suggests.

#### 6. References

- [1] D. Fasino, Spectral properties of Hankelm atrices and numerical solutions of nitemoment problems, J. Comput. Applied Math., 65, 145-155, (1995).
- [2] G. Talenti, Recovering a function from a nite number of moments, Inverse Problems, 3, 501-517, (1987).
- [3] S. Karlin, L.S. Shapley, Geometry of moment spaces, AMS Memoirs 12, Providence RI (1953).
- [4] H.K. Kesavan, J.N. Kapur, Entropy Optim ization Principles with Applications, Academic Press, (1992).
- [5] B. Beckerm ann, The condition number of real Vanderm onde, K rylov and positive de nite Hankelm atrices, Numerische Mathematik, 85, 553-577, (2000).
- [6] JM. Borwein, A.S. Lew is, Convergence of best entropy estimates, SIAM J.Optimization, 1, 191-205, (1991).
- [7] S. Kullback, A lower bound for discrim ination information in terms of variation, IEEE Transaction on Information Theory, IT-13, 126-127, 1967.
- [8] G D. Lin, Characterizations of Distributions via moments, Sankhya: The Indian Journal of Statistics, 54, Series A, 128–132, 1992.
- [9] E.Romera, J.C.Angulo, J.S.Dehesa, The Hausdor entropic moment problem, J.of Math. Physics, 42, 2309-2314, (2001).
- [10] JA. Shohat, JD. Tam arkin, The problem of moments, AMS Mathematical Survey, 1, Providence RI, (1963).

Appendix: Entropy convergence

# A 1 Som e background

Let's consider a sequence of equispaced points  $j = \frac{j}{M+1}$ , j = 0; ...; M and

$$j = :E (X^{-j}) = \int_{0}^{Z_{-1}} t^{-j} f_{M} (t) dt; \quad j = 0; :::;M$$
 (A:1)

with  $f_M$  (t) = exp(  $\prod_{j=0}^{P} f_j t^j$ ). With a simple change of variable  $x = t^{\frac{1}{M+1}}$ , from (A.1) we have

$$j = E (X^{-j}) = x^{j} \exp (0 - \ln (M + 1))$$

$$j = X^{M}$$

$$j = X^{j} + M \ln x dx; j = 0; ...; M$$

$$j = 1$$
(A 2)

which is a reduced Hausdor moment problem for each  $\,$  xed M  $\,$  value and a determ in ate Hausdor  $\,$  moment problem when M  $\,$ ! 1 . Referring to (A  $\,$ 2) the following symmetric de  $\,$  nite positive Hankelmatrices are considered

whose (i;j)-th entry i;j = 0;1; ... holds

$$z_{i+j} = \sum_{0}^{Z} x^{i+j} f_M (x) dx;$$

$$0 = (0) = \lim_{i! \ 1} {i \choose i} = : \frac{j_{2i} j}{2} = \lim_{i! \ 1} (0_0 0^{(i)})$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$i + 1 \qquad 2i$$

$$(A : 4)$$

where  $_{i}^{(0)}$  indicates the largest mass which can be concentrated at a given point x=0 by any solution of a reduced moment problem of order i and  $_{0}^{(i)}$  indicates the minimum value of  $_{0}$  once assigned the rst 2 i moments.

Let's  $x f_0$ ; ...;  $i_1$ ;  $i_1$ ; ...;  $i_1$ ;  $i_2$ ;  $i_3$ ;  $i_4$ ; ...;  $i_4$ ;  $i_4$ ; ...;  $i_4$ ;  $i_4$ ; ...;  $i_$ 

where  $e_{i+1}$  is the canonical unit vector 2  $\mathbb{R}^{M+1}$ , from which

$$0 < \frac{h_{d_0}}{d_i}; :::; \frac{d_M}{d_i}^{i} = \frac{2}{2M} \quad \begin{cases} d_0 = d_i \\ 4 \\ \vdots \\ d_M = d_i \end{cases} = \frac{h_{d_0}}{d_i}; :::; \frac{d_M}{d_i}^{i} = \frac{d_i}{d_i} \quad \text{8i} \quad \text{(A :6)}$$

A 2 Entropy convergence

The following theorem holds.

Theorem A.1 If 
$$j = \frac{j}{M+1}$$
,  $j = 0$ ; ...; M and  $f_M(x) = \exp(\frac{P_M}{j=0} jx^{-j})$  then

$$\lim_{M \ ! \ 1} \ H \ [f_M \ ] = : \qquad \int_0^{Z_1} f_M \ (x) \ln f_M \ (x) dx = H \ [f] = : \qquad \int_0^{Z_1} f(x) \ln f(x) dx : \qquad (A : 7)$$

Proof. From (A.1) and (A.7) we have

$$H [f_M] = \begin{cases} X^M \\ j j \end{cases}$$
 (A :8)

Let's consider (A.8). When only ovaries continuously, taking into account (A.3)-(A.6) and (A.8) we have

$$\frac{d}{d}_{0}H [f_{M}] = \frac{X^{M}}{j} \frac{d}{d}_{0} + 0 = 0 \quad 1$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$\frac{d^{2}}{d}_{0}^{2}H [f_{M}] = \frac{d}{d}_{0} = \frac{M+1}{j} \frac{2M}{2M} = \frac{1}{0} \frac{M}{0} < 0:$$

Thus H  $[f_M]$  is a concave dieerentiable function of  $_0$  . When  $_0$ !  $_0^{(M)}$  then H  $[f_M]$ ! whilst at  $_0$  it holds H [f\_M ]> H [f], being f\_M (x) the maximum entropy density once assigned (  $_0$ ; ...;  $_M$  ). Besides, when M ! 1 then  $_0$   $^{(M\ )}$ !  $_0$ . So the theorem is proved.

#### HAUSDORFF MOMENT PROBLEM VIA FRACTIONAL MOMENTS

Pierluigi Novi Inverardi<sup>(1)</sup>, Alberto Petri<sup>(2)</sup>, Giorgio Pontuale<sup>(2)</sup>, Aldo Tagliani<sup>(1)()</sup>

- (1) Faculty of Economics, Trento University, 38100 Trento, Italy.
- (2) CNR, Istituto di Acustica "O M. Corbino", 00133 Roma, Italy.
- () Corresponding author:

Phone: + 39-0461-882116, Fax: + 39-0461-882124, E-m ail: ataglian@cs.unitn.it

### Abstract

We outline an ecient method for the reconstruction of a probability density function from the know ledge of its in nite sequence of ordinary moments. The approximate density is obtained resorting to maximum entropy technique, under the constraint of some fractional moments. The latter ones are obtained explicitly in terms of the in nite sequence of given ordinary moments. It is proved that the approximate density converges in entropy to the underlying density, so that it demonstrates to be useful for calculating expected values.

Key Words: Entropy, Fractionalm oments, Hankelmatrix, Maximum Entropy, Moments.