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#### Abstract

W e enum erate possible topologies of pseudoknots in single-stranded RNA m olecules. W e use a steepest-descent approxim ation in the large N m atrix eld theory, and a Feynm an diagram form alism to describe the resulting pseudoknot structure.


An RNA m olecule is a heteropolym er strand $m$ ade up of four types of nucleotides, uracil (U), adenine (A), guanine (G), and cytosine (C). The sequence of these nucleotides, or bases, $m$ akes up the $m$ olecule's prim ary structure. B ases form hydrogen bonds w th each other to give the m olecule a stable shape in three dim ensions, w ith $U$ bonding to $A$, and $C$ to $G$. Calculating the shape a given prim ary structure $w$ ill fold into is im portant in m olecular biology.
$W$ e can associate $U_{i j} w$ th the energy of form ing a hydrogen bond between the ith and jth bases, and let $V_{i j}=\exp \left(U_{i j}=T\right)$ where $T$ is the tem perature. This is a m in m alist m odel: we m ake no attem pt to account for loop penalties or stacking interactions. There is som e rigidity in the chain of nucleotides, as well as steric constraints, which prevent hydrogen bonding betw een nucleotides that are w thin four bases of each other, so we let $V_{i ; i+k}=0$ if $k<4$. The partition function associated w th this bonding is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{L ; 1}=1+^{X} V_{i j}+{ }^{X} \quad V_{i j} V_{k l}+{ }^{X} \quad V_{i k} V_{j l} \\
& \underset{X}{i<j \quad i<j<k<l} \quad i<j<k<l \\
& +\quad V_{i_{1} i_{2}} V_{i_{3} i_{4}}::: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}+1}}+:::  \tag{1}\\
& i_{1}<i_{2}<:::<i_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

Evidently, $Z_{L ; 1}$ is the com binatorial heart of the RNA folding problem [ī1]. W hile $Z_{L ; 1}$ appears very simple at
rst glance, it contains a term for every possible con $g$ uration ofbonds on the chain. Finding the folded state could involve searching through $L$ ! term $S$, which is a daunting task for even the shortest RNA s.

Fortunately, in RNA, there is a hierarchicalseparation betw een prim ary, secondary and tertiary structures that reduces the number of con gurations that $m$ ust be considered. O ne can nd the secondary structure by draw ing the chain of nucleotides around the circum ference of a circle, $w$ th the rst nucleotide next to the last, and nding a bond structure that $m$ inim izes the free energy w ith the constraints that all bonds are draw $n$ as arcs within the circle, and no bonds cross. A nother representation is to draw the bond structures as system $s$ of parallel arches which do not cross. This planar con gu-
 are com $m$ on in the RNA folding literature) is m ade up of the secondary structure's characteristic loops and bulges. $B$ onds betw een distinct parts of the secondary structure
are called pseudoknots, and are typically considered part of the m olecule's tertiary structure. For instance, the contributions from the third sum in $\frac{11}{11}$ com e from pseudoknot con gurations. The form ation of the tertiary structure is believed not to alter the $m$ ore stable secondary


Secondary and tertiary structures are usually stable at biological tem peratures, which are typically well below the RNA m olecule's $m$ elting point. This $m$ akes certain very e cient algorithm sfor determ ining RNA secondary structure at zero tem perature possible and usefiul. T hese \dynam ic program $m$ ing" $m$ ethods involve recursively calculating $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L} ; 1}$ and then backtracking to nd the dom inant term s , and thus determ ine which bonds are present in the folded RNA. There are also dynam ic program $m$ ing techniques that try to account for pseudoknots, but they are


The distinction between secondary and pseudoknot structure has a topological avor. O ne pow erfiul tool for dealing w ith topologicalconsiderations is the large N expansion used in $m$ atrix eld theories. O riginally proposed by 't H ooft to represent quantum chrom odynam ics with N colors, it predicts that non-planar Feynm an diagram s have am plitudes proportional to negative powers of $N$, and are thus suppressed when N is large [3, in in. Two of the authors applied a sim ilar technique to the problem of RNA folding, leading to the sam e sort of suppression of non-planar con gurations; we sum $m$ arize the results below, and refer the reader to $\overline{[1]}]$ for details.

O ne can perform a series ofm anipulations to nd that a chain of L bases has

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathrm{L} ; 1}=\frac{1}{C}^{Z} d A e^{\frac{N}{2}\left(\operatorname{trA} A^{2}+2 \operatorname{tr} \log M(A)\right)_{M} \quad{ }^{1}(A)_{L+1 ; 1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral is taken over all Herm itian ( $L+1$ ) $(\mathrm{L}+1) \mathrm{m}$ atriges A.C is an unim portant norm alization constant and $M$ is a $m$ atrix function of $A$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i j}={ }_{i j} \quad{ }_{i ; j+1}+\bar{i} \overline{V_{i 1 ; j}} A_{i 1 ; j} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere, $N$ is a used to keep track oftopology. asm entioned above. Thus we can expand in powers of $1=\mathrm{N}$ and evaluate the integral.by steepest descent. W e need to nd the stationary point of the \action"

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(A) \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} A^{2} \quad \operatorname{tr} \log M \quad(A) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which requires solving $\frac{S(A)}{A}=0$. $T$ his occurs at the point $A$, which is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{A}_{\mathrm{lk}}=i \overline{\mathrm{i}} \overline{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{lk}}}\left(\mathbb{M}{ }^{1}\right)_{\mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{k}+1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e de ne a new $m$ atrix in term $S$ of $M^{1}$ at the stationary point,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i j}=\left(\mathbb{M}^{1}\right)_{i+1 ; j} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and use the trivial identity ${ }^{P}{ }_{j} M_{i j}\left(M^{1}\right)_{j k}=\quad i k$ to derive the H artree equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{i+1 ; k} & =X^{i+2 ; k}+G_{i k} \\
& +V_{i+1 ; j} G_{i ; j+1} G_{j 1 ; k}
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation is recursive, and we need to im pose the boundary condition that $G_{i ; i+1}=0$ for $1 \quad 2$ to solve it. Then, $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is the partition function of the helical secondary structure of a chain that starts w ith the $j$ th base and ends w th the ith base. This form is precisely that used in existing dynam ic programming
 is analogous to the quark propagator in large N QCD, which carriestw o indices for color. T he recursion relation ensures that it is a \dressed" propagator.
$W$ e can then introduce the uctuation $x_{j}$, de ned by $A_{i j}=\overparen{A}_{i j}+x_{i j}=\bar{N}$, and expand $\operatorname{tr} \log \left(M^{1}(A)\right)$ and $M^{1}$ (A) as pow er series in $x$. Then we collect pow ens of N ${ }^{1=2}$ to nd corrections to the steepest descent approxim ation of $Z_{L ; 1}$. We are left $w$ ith $G$ aussian integrals in $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ that can be evaluated by applying W ick's theorem, $w$ th contractions given by the inverse of the quadratic form in the exponential. This inverse is a propagator which satis es the B ethe-Salpeter equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{klmn} & =\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{km}} \mathrm{nl} \\
& +V_{\mathrm{kl}}^{1=2} V_{i j}^{1=2} G_{k} \quad 1 ; i+1 G_{j} \quad 1 ; l+1 \quad i j ; m n \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

W hile the H artree equation gave the partition function for a single contiguous chain of RNA interacting with itself, the B ethe-Salpeter relation gives the contribution from two separated segm ents.

Physically, represents the resum $m$ ation of all ladder diagram sbetw een anti-parallel segm ents, where each
segm ent is itselfdressed by secondary structure elem ents. Equation ( $\bar{l}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ ) can be represented pictorially, as in $g_{1}^{\prime 1}(\underline{1})$. $T$ here are four indioes on $i j ; k l$, indicating where the seg$m$ ents begin and end, so we call it a \ghon propagator",

(a) B ethe-Salpeter relation

(b) Sam ple structure contributing to .

FIG.1: The propagator.
in analogy to ghon propagators in $Q C D$, which carry four color indioes. A typical structure contributing to is show $n$ in $g 1(\mathrm{bj})$. Each single line propagator is dressed by any system of arches. There can be any num ber of parallel interactions betw een the two strands. T he only constraint is that no interaction lines should cross. N ote that a system of arches along one line (RNA strand) typically represents a piece ofhelix on this strand, whereas a system of parallel interactions betw een the two lines can represent a helical fragm ent betw een the tw o strands.
$T$ here are two ways of draw ing Feynm an diagram s for these propagators. The rst was introduced in '[ $[4]$, and is useful for visualizing the RNA's topology. The second is the double-line form alism of 't Hooft, which $m$ akes it very easy to nd a graph's order in $1=\mathrm{N}$, by assigning appropriate pow ers pow ers of $N$ to loops, edges and
 contains powers of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{1=2}$, but the partition function [1]) contains only whole pow ers of $V_{i j}$. Thus, all 's in the expansion appear $w$ ith factors of $V_{i j}^{1=2}$, as $V_{i j}^{1=2} \quad i j ; \mathrm{kl}^{1} V_{k l}^{1=2}$. This is re ected in the diagram s in $\mathrm{g}_{1}^{1} 12 . \mathrm{W}$ e can then expand $Z_{L ; 1}$ to order $N{ }^{2}$, getting the secondary structure as well as the tertiary correction to it. $T$ hen

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L} ; 1} & =\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L} ; 1_{\star}}  \tag{9}\\
& +\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{4} \quad \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{4} \quad \frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{3} \quad \frac{1}{5} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{5}+\frac{1}{12} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{4}+\frac{1}{18} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{3}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{162} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{3}^{3} \quad \mathrm{M} \quad 1 \tag{10}
\end{align*}+
$$

where we use the value of $M^{1}$ at the stationary point from ( ${ }^{(1,1 / 1)}$ ). W e have also introduced some convenient shorthand form atrices and traces that contain pow ers of x,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{i j} & =P \overline{V_{i 1 ; j} x_{i 1 ; j}} \\
D_{m n} & \left.=M^{0} M_{m m}\right)^{1} G_{m} o_{n} \\
\left(B_{p}\right)_{k 1} & =\left(D^{p}\right)_{k l} \\
T_{p} & =\operatorname{tr} B_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

The angle brackets in $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right) \mathrm{m}$ ean the included term s should be integrated over $\bar{x}_{i j}$ w th the $G$ aussian weight $\exp \left[\left(\operatorname{tr} x^{2}+\operatorname{tr}\left(M^{1} C\right)^{2}\right)=2\right]$. These integrals are sim ple in principle, as the $x_{i j}$ 's can be contracted with the B ethe-Salpeter propagator ( $(\underline{q})$. Each power of $x$ introduces a vertex for gluon lines.

The multiplication implicit in the de nition of $B_{p}$ is $m$ atrix $m$ ultiplication, so $m$ any indices $m$ ust be sum $m$ ed over when evaluating the term $s$ in (19). For instanc, evaluating one of the contractions of $\mathrm{h} \overline{\mathrm{B}}_{4} \mathrm{M} \quad{ }^{1}$ i produces the sum,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{B}{ }_{4} \mathrm{M}}{ }^{1} \underset{\mathrm{~L}+1 ; 1}{\mathrm{E}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} ; j \mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{l} ;} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L} ; i+1} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{k}+1} \\
& \text { m;n;op } \\
& G_{l, m+1} G_{n ; 0+1} G_{p ; 1} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{n}+1}^{1=2} \quad \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{n}+1 ; j+1, \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~V}_{j+1 ; \mathrm{m}}^{1=2} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{p}+1}^{1=2} \quad \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{p}+1 ; 1+1 ; \mathrm{o} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{l}+1 ; 0}^{1=2} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Looking at the diagram associated in the contraction in g.in, and using the condition that $G_{a ; a+b}=0$ forb

> \Q uark" propagator G ij

\G hion" propagator $V_{i j}^{1=2} \quad{ }_{i j ; k 1} V_{k 1}^{1=2}$
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in this paper

't H ooft diagram s

F IG . 2: Propagators.
we deduce the proper constraint for the indiges, $L$ i> j $k>l m>n \quad o>p \quad 0$.

$\begin{array}{rlrl}D & B & & \\ { }_{4} M & \end{array}$
FIG. 3: D iagram for $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{M}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{L}+1 ; 1}$

The $B_{m}$ and $T_{n}$ term s have sim ple 't H ooft diagram $s$, as shown in g.1_4. The ellipses in the diagram represent the string ofm or $n$ ghon vertices associated with those term s . The graph for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}$ closes on itself, re ecting the trace's cyclic sym m etry.

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}} & \text { (b) } \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\end{array}$

> FIG . 4: M atrix products

These diagram smake it sim ple to pick out the W ick contractions that actually contribute to $Z_{L ; 1}$. O ne can draw Feynm an diagrams for the contractions of the 7 term $s$ in ( $1 \mathbf{1}_{1}^{1}$ ), and nd that 25 of them are distinct ( $m$ any contractions are equivalent under the cyclic sym $m$ etry of the traces $T_{n}$ ). H ow ever, $m$ ost of these vanish, as they contain closed G loops. D iagram s involving closed loops $w$ ill depend on a factor of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i} ; 1+1}$ for $1>2$, and therefore vanish. This can also be understood in term $s$ of the diagram s from [ $[\underline{1} 1]$, where $G$ 's represent segm ents of RNA, and 's represent interactions betw een tw o segm ents. A closed G loop w ith both ends connected to the sam e side of a propagator describes a closed loop of RNA interacting $w$ ith the $m$ ain strand. $W$ e have speci cally excluded this possibility from ourde nition of $Z_{L ; 1}$, so such con gurationsmust vanish. This is the reason why there is no graph of order $1=\mathrm{N}$ in (9, $\overline{\mathrm{I}}$ ).

As an example, consider $\overline{\mathrm{hB}}{ }_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{M}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$, which can be contracted in the three distinct ways show $n$ in gs. 5 (a), (b) and (c). E ach of these occurs w ith a sym $m$ etry factor of 3 , since an $x_{i j}$ from the $B_{3}$ can be contracted $w$ th any of the (cyclically equivalent) $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ in $\mathrm{T}_{3}$. Only the dia-


F IG . 5: C ontractions for $h B{ }_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{M}^{1}{ }^{1}$
gram in $9.15(a)$ can be traced with an unbroken line| the other diagram s contain closed loops. T hus, only one of the three sorts of contractions contributes to the partition function.

W hen all the contractions have been carried out, there rem ain 8 non-vanishing graphs, which are shown in $g$.酉. T he contractions associated w theach diagram are

| F igure | C ontraction | P seudoknot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | $\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{M}$ | ABAB |
| (b) | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{M}$ | ABACBC |
| (c) | $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{M}$ | ABCABC |
| (d) | $\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{5} \mathrm{M}$ | ABCBCA |
| (e) | $\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{M}$ | ABCBDCDA |
| (f) | $\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{M}$ | ABCDBCDA |
| (g) | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{M}$ | ABCADBCD |
| (h) | $\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{M}$ | ABCDBECDEA |

The alphabetic notation, com $m$ on in the biochem icalliterature, shows the order in which sites pair $w$ ith each other. For exam ple, $\backslash A B A B$ " indicates that the rst and third vertioes (both denoted by $\backslash \mathrm{A}$ ") are paired, and that the vertex betw een them is linked to the fourth vertex (both denoted by \B").

Since the pseudoknots we consider contribute to order $1=\mathrm{N}^{2}$, only one pseudoknot $m$ ay be present at a time. This problem can be solved by noting that all the pseudoknot diagram s are one particle irreducible (1P I, i.e.
they cannot be disconnected by opening a single quark line), and can thus be re-sum $m$ ed by a $D$ yson equation. De ne mn as the sum of all the am putated pseudoknot diagram s de ned above (i.e. the sum of allo $\left(\mathbb{N}^{2}\right) 1 P I$ diagram s w th their extemal $G$ propagators rem oved). $T$ hen the partition function $Z_{m n}$ satis es the usuald yson equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mn}}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{mn}}+\underset{\mathrm{m}<\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{l}<\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mk}} \quad \mathrm{kl} \mathrm{G}_{\ln } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

O nce the 8 diagram s for have been calculated, the full partition function (w ith any num ber of pseudoknots) can be calculated using the above recursion relations. P resent knot-prediction algorithm suse dynam ic program $m$ ing allow knots which have bonds draw $n$ inside and outside of the disc, as long as they are no crossings $\left.\overline{[ }_{1}\right]$. This excludes certain topologies our algorithm provides for, like ABCABC pseudoknots. On the other hand, these algorithm s do provide for som e topologies that we've excluded as O $\left(\mathbb{N}{ }^{4}\right)$.

Them ethod presented allow sus to calculate the partition function in $O\left(L^{6}\right)$ tim e, so it can be used for folding, by backtracking to pick out the largest term in the partition function. The strategy for doing so is the follow ing: i) solve for the $H$ artree partition function (ili), ii) solve the B ethe-Salpeter recursion equation ( (q) to get klimn , iii) calculate the eight am putated diagram s of 9.5 m aking up the 1PI function mn , iv) solve the D yson equation (12') by recursion to obtain the full partition function w ith any num ber of pseudoknots, v ) and then backtrack to nd the largest term in this partition function.

Som e num erical calculations are under way and we hope to present those results in a fiuture paper, along w ith an explicit calculation for the order $\mathrm{N}{ }^{2}$ folding of a short ( $L^{\prime}$ 10) RNA.
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FIG. 6: N on-vanishing contractions

