

Extreme Outages due to Polarization Mode Dispersion

Vladimir Chernyak^a, Michael Chertkov^b, Igor Kolokolov^{b,c,d}, and Vladimir Lebedev^{b,c}^aComing Inc., SP-DV-02-8, Coming, NY 14831, USA;^bTheoretical Division, LANL, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA;^cLandau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow, Kosygina 2, 117334, Russia;^dBudker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia.

(Dated: March 2, 2002)

We investigate the dependence of the bit-error-rate (BER) caused by amplifier noise in a linear optical fiber telecommunication system on the fiber birefringence. We show that the probability distribution function (PDF) of BER obtained by averaging over many realizations of birefringent disorder has an extended tail corresponding to anomalously large values of BER. We specially discuss the dependence of the tail on such details of the pulse detection at the fiber output as "setting the clock" and filtering procedures.

PACS numbers: 42.81.Gs, 78.55.Qr, 05.40.-a

Transmission errors in modern optical telecommunication systems are caused by various impairments (limiting factors). In systems with the transmission rate 40 Gb/s or higher, polarization mode dispersion (PMD) is one of the major impairments. PMD leads to splitting and broadening an initially compact pulse [1, 2, 3, 4]. The effect is usually characterized by the so-called PMD vector that determines the leading PMD-related pulse distortion [5, 6, 7]. It is also recognized that the polarization vector does not provide a complete description of the PMD phenomenon and some proposals aiming to account for "higher-order" PMD effects have been recently discussed [8, 9, 10, 11]. Birefringent disorder is frozen (i.e. it does not vary at least on the time scales corresponding to the optical signal propagation). Optical noise originating from amplified spontaneous emission constitutes an impairment of a different nature: The amplifier noise is short correlated on the time scale of the signal width. In this letter we discuss the joint effect of the amplifier noise and birefringent disorder on the BER. Our main goal is estimating the probability of special rare configurations of the fiber birefringence that produce an anomalously large values of BER, and thus determine the information transmission reliability. Evaluation of the signal BER due to the amplifier noise for a given realization of birefringent disorder is the first step of our theoretical analysis. Second, we intend to study the PDF (normalized histogram) of BER, where the statistics is collected over different fibers or over the states of a given fiber at different times, and focus on the probability of anomalously large BER. We analyze the basic (no compensation) situation and compare it with the case of the simplest compensation scheme known as "setting the clock". More sophisticated compensation strategies will be discussed elsewhere.

The envelope of the optical field propagating in a given channel in the linear regime (i.e. at relatively low optical power), which is subject to PMD distortion and amplifier

noise, satisfies the following equation [2, 13, 14]

$$\partial_z \hat{v}(z) = \hat{m}(z) \partial_t \hat{v}(z) + \hat{d}(z) \partial_t^2 \hat{v}(z) = \hat{L}(z; t) \hat{v}(z); \quad (1)$$

Here z , t , τ , and d are the position along the fiber, retarded time, the amplifier noise, and the chromatic dispersion, respectively. The envelope $\hat{v}$ is a two-component complex field, the two components representing two states of the optical signal polarization. The birefringent disorder is characterized by two random 2×2 traceless matrix fields related to the zero-, first-, and second-order terms in the frequency expansion with respect to the deviation from the carrier frequency ω_0 . Birefringence that affects the light polarization is practically frozen (t -independent) on all propagation-related time scales. The matrix $\hat{d}$ can be excluded from the consideration by the transformation $\hat{v} \rightarrow \hat{v}'$, $\partial_t \hat{v} \rightarrow \partial_t \hat{v}'$ and $\hat{m} \rightarrow \hat{m}' = \hat{v} \hat{m} \hat{v}^{-1}$. Here, the unitary matrix $\hat{v}(z) = T \exp[i \int_0^z dz^0 \hat{d}(z^0)]$ is the ordered exponential defined as a formal solution of the equation $\partial_z \hat{v} = i \hat{d} \hat{v}$ with $\hat{v}(0) = \hat{1}$. Hereafter, we will always use the renormalized quantities. We further represent the solution of Eq. (1) as $\hat{v} = \hat{v}' + \hat{v}''$ where,

$$\hat{v}' = \hat{W}(z) \hat{v}_0(t); \quad \hat{v}'' = \int_0^z dz^0 \hat{W}(z) \hat{W}^{-1}(z^0) \hat{L}(z^0; t); \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{W}(z) = \exp \left[-i \int_0^z dz^0 \hat{d}(z^0) \partial_t^2 \right] T \exp \left[-\int_0^z dz^0 \hat{m}(z^0) \partial_t \right]; \quad (3)$$

and $\hat{v}_0(t)$ stands for the initial pulse shape.

We consider a situation when the pulse propagation distance substantially exceeds the inter-amplifier separation (the system consists of a large number of spans). Our approach allows to treat discrete (erbium) and distributed (Raman) amplification schemes within the same framework. The additive noise, generated by optical amplifiers is zero in average. The statistics of $\hat{v}$ is Gaussian with spectral properties determined solely by the steady state features of amplifiers (gain and noise figure) [15]. The noise correlation time is much shorter than the pulse temporal width, and therefore $\hat{v}$ can be treated

as δ -correlated in time. Eqs. (2,3) imply that the noise contribution to the output signal is a zero mean Gaussian field characterized by the following pair correlation function

$$\langle h_i(Z; t_1) h_j(Z; t_2) \rangle = D \delta_{ij} \delta(t_1 - t_2); \quad (4)$$

and, therefore, is statistically independent of both $d(z)$ and $\hat{m}(z)$. Here, Z is the total system length, the product $D \delta_{ij}$ being the amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) spectral density of the line. The coefficient D is introduced into Eq. (4) to reveal the linear growth of the ASE factor with Z [15].

The matrix of birefringence $\hat{m}$ can be parameterized by a three-component real field h_j , $\hat{m} = h_j \hat{e}_j$, with $\hat{e}_j$ being a set of three Pauli matrices. The field h is zero in average and short-correlated in z . The above transformation $\hat{m} \rightarrow \hat{V} \hat{m} \hat{V}^{-1}$ guarantees the statistics of h_j to be isotropic. Since h enters the observables described by Eqs. (2,3) in an integral form the central limit theorem (see, e.g., [16]) implies that the field h_j can be treated as a Gaussian field with

$$\langle h_i(z_1) h_j(z_2) \rangle = D \delta_{ij} \delta(z_1 - z_2); \quad (5)$$

and the average in Eq. (5) is taken over the birefringent disorder realizations (corresponding to different fibers or states of a single fiber at different times). In case of weak birefringent disorder the integral $H = \int_0^Z dz h(z)$ represents the PMD vector. Thus, $D_m = k^2/12$, where k is the so-called PMD coefficient.

We consider the return-to-zero (RZ) modulation format when the pulses are well separated in t . The signal detection at the line output, $z = Z$, corresponds to measuring the output pulse intensity, I ,

$$I = \int_0^Z dt G(t) K'(Z; t) + K(Z; t) j^2; \quad (6)$$

where $G(t)$ is a convolution of the electrical (current) filter function with the sampling window function. The linear operator K in Eq. (6) stands for an optical filter and a variety of engineering "tricks" applied to the output signal, $(Z; t)$. Out of the variety of the "tricks" we will discuss here only those correspondent to optical filtering and "setting the clock" compensation. The latter can be formalized as, $K_{cl} = \delta(t - t_{cl})$, where t_{cl} is an optimal time delay. Ideally, I takes two distinct values corresponding to the bits "0" and "1", respectively. However, the impairments enforce deviations of I from the ideal values. The output signal is detected by introducing a threshold (decision level), I_d , and declaring that the signal codes "1" if $I > I_d$ and "0" otherwise. Sometimes the information is lost, i.e. an initial "1" is detected as "0" at the output or vice versa. The BER is the rate of such events which is extracted from measurement of many pulses coming through a fiber with a given

realization of the PMD disorder, $h_j(z)$. For successful system performance the BER should be extremely small, i.e. typically both impairments can cause only a small distortion of a pulse or, stated differently, the optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR) and the ratio of the squared pulse width to the mean squared value of the PMD vector are both large. OSNR can be estimated as $I_0 = (D \delta_{ij})$ where $I_0 = \int_0^Z dt j_0(t)^2$ is the initial pulse intensity and the integration goes over a single slot populated by an ideal (initial) pulse, coding "1".

Based on Eq. (6) one concludes that the input "0" is converted into the output "1" primarily due to the noise-induced contribution and, therefore, the probability of such event is insensitive to the PMD disorder in accordance with Eq. (4). Therefore, anomalously large values of BER originate solely from the "1" → "0" transitions. Let B be the probability of such an event. Since OSNR is large, B can be estimated using Eqs. (4,6) as the probability of an optimal fluctuation of leading to $I = I_d$. Then one concludes that the product $D \delta_{ij} \ln B$ depends on the disorder, the chromatic dispersion coefficient and the measurement procedure, while being insensitive to the noise characteristics. Since OSNR is large, even a weak PMD disorder could produce a large increase in the value of B . This fact allows a perturbative evaluation of the B -dependence on the disorder, starting with an expansion of the ordered exponential (3) in powers of h . If no compensation is applied the linear term is prevailing. It is convenient to introduce a dimensionless coefficient β_1 in accordance with $(D \delta_{ij} = I_0) \ln(B = B_0) = \beta_1 H_3 = \beta_1 + O(H^2)$, where the initial pulse j_0 is assumed to be linearly polarized, j_0 (β is the signal width), B_0 being a typical value of B that corresponds to $h_j = 0$, $\ln B_0 = \beta_1 = (D \delta_{ij})$. "Setting the clock" compensation cancels out the linear in H contribution, i.e. $\beta_1 = 0$ if t_{cl} is chosen to be equal to H_3 . In this case and also when the output signal is not chirped (this e.g. corresponds to the case when the initial signal is not chirped and the integral chromatic dispersion, $\int_0^Z dz d$, is negligible) one gets $(D \delta_{ij} = I_0) \ln(B = B_0) = \beta_2 (H_1^2 + H_2^2) = \beta_2^2 + O(H^3)$, where β_2 is another dimensionless coefficient.

Intending to analyze the dependence of the parameters β_1 , β_2 on the measurement procedure, we present here the results of our calculations for a simple model case. We assume the Lorentzian shape of the optical filter: $K_F = \int_0^1 dt \exp(-t^2/\tau^2) \delta(t - t_0)$. Then, as it follows from Eq. (4) the statistics of the inhomogeneous contribution, K , is governed by the PDF, P :

$$\ln P(I) = -\frac{1}{D \delta_{ij}} \int_0^Z dt \int_0^1 dt' K^2 + \beta_2 \int_0^1 dt' K^2; \quad (7)$$

The large value of OSNR justifies the saddle-point approximation for calculating B . The saddle-point equation, found by varying Eq. (7) over I , is

$$2\beta_2 I - 1 - \int_0^1 dt' G(t) K = \int_0^1 dt' G(t) K'; \quad (8)$$




FIG. 1: Dependence of r_0 and of $\mu_{1,2}$ on T ; (measured in the units set by re-scaling the pulse width to unity).

where u is a parameter to be extracted from the self-consistency condition (6). B can be estimated by $P(r_0)$, with r_0 being the solution of Eqs. (6,8) for $I = I_d$. Next, we assume that $G(t) = 1$ at $|t| < T$ and it is zero otherwise. Then, for a given value of u , the solution of Eq. (8) can be found explicitly. The value of the parameter u is fixed implicitly by Eq. (6). Thus u (and then B) can be found perturbatively in h_j , i.e. as $u = u_0 + u_1 + u_2 + \dots$, where u_0 is the solution of the system (6,8) at $h_j = 0$. For the Gaussian shape of the initial pulse, $\phi_0 / \exp[-t^2/(2b^2)]$, and I_d being the half of the ideal output intensity (corresponding to $\langle Z \rangle = r_0$), the numerically found dependencies of r_0 , $\mu_{1,2}$ on τ and T (measured in the units of pulse width b) are shown in Fig. 1.

The PDF $S(B)$ of B , (which appears as the result of averaging over many birefringent disorder realizations) can be found by recalculating the statistics of H_j using Eq. (5) followed by substituting the result into the corresponding expression that relates B to H_j . Our prime interest is to describe the PDF tail corresponding to H_j substantially exceeding their typical value $\sqrt{D_m Z}$, however, H_j remaining much smaller than the signal duration b . In this range one gets the following estimate for the differential probability $S(B)$ dB:

$$a) \exp \left[-\frac{D^2 Z b^2}{2 D_m^2 I_0^2} \ln^2 \frac{B}{B_0} \right] \frac{dB}{B}; \quad b) \frac{B_0}{B^{1+}} \frac{dB}{B}; \quad (9)$$

where (a) corresponds to the no-compensation case, (b) is related to the optimal "setting the clock" case, and $D b^2 = (2 D_m I_0)$. Note, that the result corresponding to case (b) shows a steeper decay compared to case (a), which is a natural consequence of the compensation procedure applied.

Summarizing, our major result is the emergence of the extremely long tail (9) in the PDF of BER. Note that

Eq. (9) shows a complex "interplay" of noise and disorder. To illustrate this focal point of our analysis consider an example of a fiber line with the parameters $r_0 = 0.06$, $\mu_1 = 0.06$ and $\mu_2 = 0.12$, which is also characterized by typical bit error probability, $B_0 = 10^{-12}$, corresponding to $I_0 = [D Z]^{-1/2} \approx 460$. Let us also assume that the PMD coefficient, $k = \sqrt{D_m Z}$, is $0.14 \text{ ps} \cdot \text{km}^{-1/2}$, the pulse width, b , is 25 ps, and the length of the fiber, Z , is 2500 km, i.e. $D_m Z = b^2 \approx 6 \cdot 10^3$. Then we can find a probability for B to exceed, say, 10^{-8} , that is to become at least 4 orders larger than B_0 . Our answers (9a) and (9b) give for this probability 10^{-4} and 10^{-6} , respectively, which essentially exceed any naive Gaussian or exponential estimate of the PDF tail. Also note that even though an extensive experimental (laboratory and field trial) of our analytical result would be of a great value, some numerical results, consistent with Eq. (9) are already available. Thus, Fig. 2a of [17] re-plotted in log-log variables shows the relation between $\ln S$ and $\ln B$ close to the linear one given by Eq. (9b).

We are thankful to I. Gabitov for numerous helpful discussions. We also thank P. Mamyshv for valuable remarks. The support of LDRD ER on "Statistical Physics of Fiber Optics Communications" at LANL is acknowledged.

-
- [1] S.C. Rashleigh and R.U. Rich, Optics Lett. 3, 60 (1978).
 - [2] N.S. Bergano, C.D. Poole, and R.E. Wagner, IEEE J. Lightwave Techn. LT-5, 1618 (1987).
 - [3] S. Machida, I. Sakai, and T. Kimura, Electron. Lett., 17 494 (1981).
 - [4] D. Andreaciani, F. Curti, F. M. Atera, and B. Daino, Opt. Lett. 12, 844 (1987).
 - [5] C.D. Poole, Opt. Lett. 13, 687 (1988); 14, 523 (1989).
 - [6] C.D. Poole, N.S. Bergano, R.E. Wagner, and H.J. Schulte, IEEE J. Lightwave Tech. 6, 1185-1190 (1988).
 - [7] C.D. Poole, J.H. Winters, and J.A. Nagel, Opt. Lett. 16, 372 (1991).
 - [8] H. Bulow, IEEE Phot. Tech. Lett. 10, 696 (1998).
 - [9] H. Kogelnik, L.E. Nelson, J.P. Gordon, and R.M. Jopson, Opt. Lett. 25, 19 (2000).
 - [10] A. Eyal, Y. Li, W.K.M. Marshall, A. Yariv, and M. Tur, Opt. Lett. 25, 875 (2000).
 - [11] G. Biondini, W.L. Kath, and C.R. Menyuk, IEEE Photon. Lett. 14, 310 (2002).
 - [12] R. Ulrich and A. Simon, Appl. Opt. 18, 2241 (1979).
 - [13] I.P. Kamarov, IEEE J. of Quant. Electronics QE-17, 15 (1981).
 - [14] G.P. Agrawal, "Nonlinear Fiber Optics", Acad. Press 1989.
 - [15] E. Desurvire, "Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifiers", John Wiley & Sons, 1994.
 - [16] W. Feller, An introduction to probability theory and its applications, New York, Wiley, 1957.
 - [17] C. Xie, H. Sunnerud, M. Karlsson, and P.A. Andrekson, IEEE Phot. Tech. Lett. 13, 1079 (2001).