

Foreign exchange market fluctuations as random walk in demarcated complex plane

Johnrob Bantang, May Lim, Patricia Arielle Castro, Christopher Monterola, and Caesar Saloma*

National Institute of Physics, University of the Philippines
Diliman, Quezon City

(Dated: January 10, 2022)

We show that time-dependent fluctuations $\{\Delta x\}$ in foreign exchange rates are accurately described by a random walk in a complex plane that is demarcated into the gain (+) and loss (−) sectors. Δx is the outcome of N random steps from the origin and $|\Delta x|$ is the square of the Euclidean distance of the final N -th step position. Sign of $\Delta x(t)$ is set by the N -th step location in the plane. The model explains not only the exponential statistics of the probability density of $\{\Delta x\}$ for G7 markets but also its observed asymmetry, and power-law dependent broadening with increasing time delay.

PACS numbers: 89.65.Gh, 02.50.-r, 46.65.+g, 87.23.Ge

Easier data access via the Internet and the widespread availability of powerful computers, have enabled many researchers not just in business and economics [1] but also in physics, applied mathematics, and engineering [2, 3, 4, 5], to investigate more deeply the dynamics of foreign exchange markets. Their efforts have led to new insights on the characteristics of *forex* rate fluctuations including the general behavior of their corresponding probability density function (*pdf*) [2, 4].

Arguably, *forex* markets have a more immediate and direct impact on citizens than stock markets do. This is especially true in developing countries where only a minority holds stocks directly while a majority sells or purchases products and commodities on a daily basis. Economies that rely strongly on remittances of overseas contract workers (e.g. Philippines) or tourism (e.g. Thailand), are also quite sensitive to *forex* rate instabilities. Hence, knowledge of the vagaries of the *forex* market, is important not only to policymakers and macroeconomic managers in government and banking sectors but also to individual businessmen and consumers.

The exchange rate of a currency relative to another (usually the US dollar) is represented by a time-series of quotations $\{x(t)\}$, where time $t = m\Delta t$, Δt = time delay, and index $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$. Normally, the power spectrum of $\{x(t)\}$ consists of one or two dominant low-frequency components and a continuous high-frequency band. The low-frequency components reveal the longterm behavior of $\{x(t)\}$ and therefore the soundness of a national economy from the perspective of economic fundamentals. The high-frequency components are associated with fluctuations in $\{x(t)\}$ that arise from complex interactions between market players over short time-scales ($\Delta t < 2$ days) [2, 4].

The statistics of the (percentage) rate fluctuations $\{\Delta x(t)\} = \{\Delta x\}$ in G7 markets is described by an exponentially-decaying *pdf* $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$ where: $\Delta x(t) = [x(t + \Delta t) - x(t)][100/x(t)]$. This statistical behavior is not easily seen from the power spectrum of $\{x(t)\}$. Figure 1 shows the $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$'s for the Japanese (1a) and Philippine (1b) markets. For the G7 [6] and Philippine




FIG. 1: Asymmetric $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$'s: a) Japan, and b) Philippines for Δt (day) = 1 (filled squares), 2 (diamonds), 5 (circles), and 20 (cross-hairs). For any Δt , $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$ is normalized i.e., $\sum p(\Delta x, \Delta t) \delta x = 1$, where histogram bin-width $\delta x = (2/201)[\max(\Delta x_{\max}, |\Delta x_{\min}|)]$. Summation is for all Δx 's.

[7] markets, our core data sets for $\{x(t)\}$ comprise of daily closing rates from January 1971 to December 1993 ($M = 5000$ trading days, $\Delta t = 1$ day). The Philippine market was under exchange control in the 70's and its $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$ has a large number of points around $\Delta x = 0$.

Most $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$'s exhibit a degree of asymmetry about $\Delta x = 0$. An asymmetric $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$ is described by a pair of exponents α_l and α_r for $\Delta x < 0$ (left) and $\Delta x > 0$ (right), respectively. It is displayed by currencies that have either appreciated (e.g. Japan) or depreciated (e.g. Canada, Philippines) against the USD during the sampling period.

Table I lists the best-fit values (by least-squares




FIG. 2: Random walk in complex plane demarcated by angle Θ , into gain (+) and loss (-, shaded) regions. Each Δx is the outcome of N random steps from origin O where: $d = (x_R^2 + x_I^2)^{1/2}$, and $|\Delta x| = d^2$. Sign of Δx is given by location of endpoint $N(x_R, x_I)$ in the plane. The n -th phasor is the fluctuation $|\Delta x_n| = |a_n|^2$, of the n -th dealer where $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

method) of α_l , α_r and the left and right intercepts β_l and β_r of $p(\Delta x, \Delta t = 1 \text{ day})$ with the $\Delta x = 0$ line for the G7 markets. The exponential behavior of $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$ persists even for Δt 's > 2 days. We also found that $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$ broadens with Δt in a power-law trend.

The behavior of $\{x(t)\}$ has been described previously as a Markov process with multiplicative noise and the emergence of a highly-symmetric $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$ such as that of the German market [2, 4], is explained by the Fokker-Planck equation. However, these studies did not explain the emergence of asymmetric $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$'s and the power-law behavior of their broadening with Δt .

Here, we show that the statistics of $\{\Delta x\}$ is accurately described by a random walk on a demarcated complex plane. Our model accounts for possible asymmetry of $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$, its broadening with increasing Δt , and the power-law behavior of this broadening for G7 markets. Each Δx is the outcome of N random steps that start from the plane origin O (see Fig 2). Its magnitude is $|\Delta x| = d^2$, where d is the Euclidean distance of the N -th step endpoint $N(x_R, x_I)$ from origin O , and x_R and x_I are the real and imaginary coordinates. The sign of Δx depends on the location of $N(x_R, x_I)$ in the plane which is demarcated by the polar angle Θ , into two regions representing the gain (+) and loss (-) regimes.

Random walk in complex plane. The location of

$N(x_R, x_I)$ is given by the sum of N elementary phasors $\{a_n\}$ [8, 9]: $N(x_R, x_I) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n(x_R, x_I) = \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n| \exp(j \theta_n)$ where $j = (-1)^{1/2}$. The amplitude $|a_n|$ and phase θ_n of the n -th phasor are statistically independent of each other and of the amplitudes and phases of all other $(N-1)$ a_n 's. Possible $|a_n|$ values are uniformly distributed within a predetermined (non-negative) range. Phases θ_n are also uniformly distributed: $0 \leq \theta_n \leq 2\pi$.

Consistent with the central-limit theorem, the location of $N(x_R, x_I)$ is governed by a Gaussian *pdf* ($N \rightarrow \infty$) [8]: $p_N(x_R, x_I) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1} \exp[-(x_R^2 + x_I^2)/2\sigma^2]$, where σ^2 is the variance. Hence, the d^2 -values obey a negative-exponential statistics: $p_d(d^2) = (2\sigma^2)^{-1} \exp(-d^2/2\sigma^2)$. The q -th moment $\langle (d^2)^q \rangle$ of d^2 is given by: $\langle (d^2)^q \rangle = q!(2\sigma^2)^q = q! \langle d^2 \rangle^q$, where the mean value $\langle d^2 \rangle$ is $2\sigma^2$. Phase θ of $N(x_R, x_I)$ obeys a uniform statistics: $p_\theta(\theta) = (2\pi)^{-1}$ for $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$; $p_\theta(\theta) = 0$, otherwise.

The joint (second-order) *pdf* of d_1^2 and d_2^2 at two different time instants is [8]: $p_d(d_1^2, d_2^2) = [\langle d^2 \rangle^2 (1 - |\mu|^2)]^{-1} \exp\{- (d_1^2 + d_2^2) / [\langle d^2 \rangle (1 - |\mu|^2)]\} J_0\{2d_1 d_2 |\mu| / [\langle d^2 \rangle (1 - |\mu|^2)]\}$, where: $\langle d_1^2 \rangle = \langle d_2^2 \rangle = \langle d^2 \rangle$, $J_0(x)$ is the zero-order Bessel function of the first kind, and $|\mu|$ is the modulus of a complex factor that measures the degree of correlation between events at two different time-instants. If $|\mu| = 0$ (no correlation), $p_d(d_1^2, d_2^2) = p_d(d_1^2) p_d(d_2^2)$. On the other hand, $p_d(d_1^2, d_2^2) = p_d(d_1^2) \delta(d_1^2 - d_2^2)$, as $|\mu| \rightarrow 1$, where $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta function.

As it is, the statistics of a random walk in a complex plane is insufficient to describe the characteristics of the $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$'s of *forex* markets because the possible Δx 's are from $-\infty$ to ∞ , while $d^2 \geq 0$.

Demarcated complex plane. We solve the problem by demarcating the complex plane into two sectors representing the gain ($+\Delta x$) and loss ($-\Delta x$) regimes, where we identify that: $|\Delta x| = d^2$. The gain area is set by the polar angle Θ (counterclockwise rotation) and Δx is positive (negative) if $N(x_R, x_I)$ is on the gain (loss) sector. The gain (loss) area is zero if $\Theta = 0$ ($\Theta = 2\pi$).

Figure 3 presents exponentially-decaying histograms of Δx 's generated at $\Theta = 0, \pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2$, and 2π (360 deg). The histograms are asymmetric about $\Delta x = 0$ at all angles except at $\Theta = \pi$ where the plane is equally divided between the gain and loss sectors. The corresponding Θ 's for the G7 markets in Table I are not easily determined since Θ is not clearly related with α_l , α_r , β_l , and β_r . However, our model reveals a unique relation between $R = A_l/A_r$ and Θ , where A_l (A_r) is the area under the best-fit exponential for $\Delta x < 0$ ($\Delta x > 0$) of the histogram.

Figures 4a-b plot the average $\langle R \rangle$ as a function N and number of trials, respectively ($\Theta = \pi$). $\langle R \rangle$ is insensitive to N but for a fixed N , the standard deviation of $\langle R \rangle$ decreases quickly (power-law decay) with the number of trials. Figure 4c shows that the dependence of $\langle R \rangle$ with

TABLE I: Parameter values of exponential fit: $\beta \exp(\alpha \Delta x)$, to $p(\Delta x, 1 \text{ day})$ for G7 and Philippine markets. For a symmetric $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$: $\alpha_l = |\alpha_r|$, and $\ln(\beta_l) = \ln(|\beta_r|)$.

Country	α_l	α_r	$\ln(\beta_l)$	$\ln(\beta_r)$
Canada	6.143 ± 0.197	-5.807 ± 0.150	1.090 ± 0.071	1.037 ± 0.058
France	2.283 ± 0.082	-2.469 ± 0.096	0.056 ± 0.065	0.181 ± 0.069
Germany	2.166 ± 0.076	-2.327 ± 0.068	0.058 ± 0.062	0.125 ± 0.050
Italy	2.316 ± 0.113	-2.44 ± 0.102	-0.012 ± 0.090	0.152 ± 0.074
Japan	2.371 ± 0.115	-2.635 ± 0.084	0.073 ± 0.092	0.187 ± 0.062
UK	2.171 ± 0.091	-2.244 ± 0.086	0.004 ± 0.074	0.063 ± 0.066
Philippines	4.711 ± 0.904	-2.892 ± 1.063	-1.745 ± 0.564	-1.464 ± 0.964




FIG. 3: *Random walk in demarcated complex plane.* Histograms of Δx s for $\Theta = 0$ (squares), $\pi/2$ (diamonds), π (circles), $3\pi/2$ (triangles) and 2π (crosses) where $N = 50$, $0 \leq |a_n| \leq 0.015$ (double precision).

Θ is well-described by: $\langle R \rangle = \Theta / (360 \text{ deg} - \Theta)$.

The $\langle R \rangle$'s are calculated from $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$'s of the G7 markets and then used to determine their corresponding Θ 's via the $R(\Theta)$ -curve. The following Θ 's (deg) were obtained ($\Delta t = 1 \text{ day}$): Canada (179.7), France (180.4), Germany (182.3), Italy (177.8), Japan (177.6), and UK (174.9). For the G7 markets, differences in the size of the gain and loss sectors are small ($< 4\%$). On the other hand, the $p(\Delta x, 1 \text{ day})$ for the Philippine market is highly asymmetric with a significantly small gain region ($\Theta = 108.1 \text{ deg}$). Against the USD, the Philippine peso depreciated by 246% from December 1983 (lifting of exchange control) to December 1993.

Broadening of $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$ with time delay Δt . For the G7 markets, $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$ broadens with increasing Δt , while preserving its original negative exponential statistics within: $1 \leq \Delta t \text{ (day)} \leq 20$. Previous studies on broadening were confined to $\Delta t < 12 \text{ hours}$ [4].

Figure 5 plots the dependence of α_l and α_r with Δt for the G7 markets where a power-law behavior is observed. Except for Canada, the dependence of α_l 's (and $|\alpha_r|$'s) is




FIG. 4: $\langle R \rangle$ as a function of ($\Theta = \pi$): a) N , b) Number of trials per datapoint ($N = 50$) ($\langle \text{s.d.} \rangle = 1.05.$), and c) Θ for $N = 50$. 10 trials for each datapoint.

remarkably described by one and the same power-decay curve (Reference curve 2) indicating a scale-free behavior for the market dynamics. For the Philippine market, the Δt -dependence of the α_l (α_r)-values is erratic with large standard deviations – there is increasing asymmetry for $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$ with Δt . Exchange control destroyed




FIG. 5: Dependence of α_l (positive) and α_r (negative) with Δt for Canada (squares for α_l , solid squares for α_r), France (right triangles), Germany (inverted triangles), Italy (diamonds), Japan (triangles), UK (circles) and Philippines (crosses for $|\alpha_l|$, crossed squares for α_r). Reference curves: $\alpha_l = 6.4\Delta t - 0.561$ (Curve 1) and $\alpha_l = 2.21\Delta t - 0.593$ (Curve 2). $p(\Delta x_Q, Q)$ contains 5000 datapoints and each point is the average of 10 trials.

the scale-free behavior of the Philippine market. The largest standard deviation for Canada and UK is ± 0.2 and ± 0.1 , respectively which happens at $\Delta t = 1$ day, while for Philippines it is ± 1.4 ($\Delta t = 3$ days). For any G7 market, $\langle \Delta x \rangle$ increases with Δt according to a power law since the exponent is inversely proportional to $1/2\sigma^2 = 1/\langle \Delta x \rangle$.

The observed power-law dependence of the broadening of $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$ with Δt is explained as follows. Let $\langle \Delta x_Q \rangle = \langle d_T \rangle^2$, be the average fluctuation corresponding to a longer time-delay $\Delta t_Q = Q\Delta t$, where: $d_T = |\sum_q d_q \exp(j\theta_q)| = [(\sum_q d_q \cos \theta_q)^2 + (\sum_q d_q \sin \theta_q)^2]^{1/2}$, Δt is the basic time delay, and $q = 1, 2, \dots, Q$. If $\langle d_1 \rangle = \langle d_2 \rangle = \dots = \langle d_Q \rangle$, then $\langle d_T \rangle = \langle d_1 \rangle [(\sum_q \cos \theta_q)^2 + (\sum_q \sin \theta_q)^2]^{1/2} \approx Q^p \langle d_1 \rangle$.

The $\langle d_T \rangle$ value depends on the probability distribution of $\{\theta_q\}$. If the θ_q 's are statistically independent and uniformly distributed within $[0, 2\pi]$ then $d_T \approx Q^{1/2} \langle d_1 \rangle$, i.e. $p \approx 0.5$. Figure 6 inset plots α_l and $|\alpha_r|$ of $p(\Delta x_Q, Q)$ with Q for the above case which verifies our assumption of a power-law dependence of $\langle d_T \rangle$ with $\langle d_1 \rangle$.

Figure 6 plots p as a function of uncertainty spread $\delta\theta$. If θ_q 's are restricted such that θ_{q+1} ($q > 1$) is uniformly-random within the forward ($-\pi/2 \leq \theta_q - 0.5\delta\theta \leq \theta_{q+1} \leq \theta_q + 0.5\delta\theta \leq \pi/2$) and opposite ($\pi/2 \leq (\theta_q + \pi) - 0.5\delta\theta \leq \theta_{q+1} \leq (\theta_q + \pi) + 0.5\delta\theta \leq 3\pi/2$) directions then: $0 \leq p \leq 0.5$. If the θ_q 's occur only within the forward or opposite direction then $0.5 \leq p \leq 1$. In all cases, θ_1 is




FIG. 6: Power p vs. $\delta\theta$. Possible directions of Θ_q 's are always forward or always backward (filled circles). Each datapoint is average of 10 trials. Reference curve: $p = (\delta\theta)^{0.24}$. Inset: α_l and α_r of $\ln[p(\Delta x_Q, Q)]$ vs Q for Q (deg) = 90 (squares), 180 (circles), and 270 (diamonds). Reference curve: $\alpha_l = 76.6Q^{-1}$.

uniformly-random in the range $[0, 2\pi]$.

A G7 market exhibits a $(\Delta t)^{-0.5}$ -dependence (i.e. $p \approx 1/4$) of α_l (Fig 5) because d_{q+1} is equally likely to be in the same or opposite direction of d_q , with $\delta\theta \approx 0.3$ (17 deg). For a market where the directions of d_{q+1} and d_q are statistically independent of each other, the decay of α_l with Δt is faster ($p \approx 1/2$, Fig 6 inset).

In our model, the *forex* market consists of N independent players where Δx is treated as a random walk of N steps in the demarcated complex plane where $|a_n|^2$ represents the fluctuation $|\Delta x_n|$ of the n -th player. Plane anisotropy leads to asymmetric $p_d(d^2)$'s. Our model also explains the power-law dependent broadening of the $p(\Delta x, \Delta t)$'s with Δt in G7 markets.

Δx is interpreted as the *intensity* of the *resultant complex amplitude* that arises from the linear superposition of the fluctuations of the N independent dealers. Interactions between players arises inherently because the intensity which is the product of the resultant complex amplitude and its conjugate, contains interference terms between the contributions of the individual players. We showed that real market dynamics could be analyzed accurately with a relatively low number of the interacting players. The interaction between the multitude of agents in a real market could be effectively reduced into one with a low number of representative classes.

Our model currently neglects the phenomenon of al-
lelomimesis which causes social agents to cluster[10].
Herding (bandwagoning) among market dealers could
trigger massive selling or buying in financial markets and
leads to large swings in $\{x(t)\}$ like those found in the
middle 1980's for the G7 markets. The same limitation
is found in previous models of *forex* markets [3, 4].

Acknowledgement. J Garcia for stimulating discussions
and assistance in data acquisition (Philippine peso).

* Electronic address: csaloma@nip.upd.edu.ph

- [1] A. Lipton, *Mathematical Methods For Foreign Exchange: A Financial Engineer's Approach* (World Scientific, New York, 2001); R. Gencay, G. Ballocci, M. Dacorogna, R. Olsen, and O. Pictet, *Int Economic Rev* **43**, 463 (2002); J. Danielsson and R. Payne, *J Int Money Finance* **21**, 203–222 (2002); I. Moosa and J. Post, *Keynesian Economics* **24**, 443 (2002).
- [2] S. Ghashghaie, W. Breymann, J. Peinke, P. Talkner, and Y. Dodge, *Nature (London)* **381**, 767 (1996).
- [3] R. Mantegna and H. Stanley, *Nature (London)* **383**, 587 (1996).
- [4] R. Friedrich, J. Peinke, and C. Renner, *Phys Rev Lett* **84**, 5224 (2000); M. Karth and J. Peinke, *Complexity* **8**, 34 (2002).
- [5] M. Buchanan, *Nature (London)* **415**, 10 (2002); L. Matassini, *Physica A* **308**, 402 (2002); K. Izumi and K. Ueda, *IEEE Trans Evolutionary Computation* **5**, 456–470 (2001); H. White and J. Racine, *IEEE Trans Neural Networks* **12**, 657 (2001); J. Yao and C. Tan, *Neurocomputing* **34**, 79–98 (2000); A. Nag and A. Mitra, *J Forecasting* **21**, 501–511 (2002).
- [6] Federal Reserve Board, *Statistics: Releases and Historical Data*, <http://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/> (2002).
- [7] Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas, *Exchange Rates of the Philippine Peso, Selected Economic and Financial Indicators* (SEFI), <http://www.bsp.gov.ph>.
- [8] J. Goodman, *Statistical Properties of Laser Speckle Patterns* in *Topics in Applied Physics: Laser Speckle and Related Phenomena*, JC Dainty, ed. (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984).
- [9] J. Woods and H. Stark, *Probability and Random Processes with Applications to Signal Processing* (Prentice Hall, New York, 2001), 3rd ed.
- [10] D. Juanico, C. Monterola, and C. Saloma, *Physica A* **320C**, 590 (2003).