Stability of A s_n [n= 4, 8, 20, 28, 32, 36, 60] C age Structures

Tunna Baruah^{1;2}, Mark R. Pederson², Rajendra R. Zope³, and M. R. Beltran⁴

¹ Department of Physics, Georgetown University, W ashington DC, 20057

²Center for Computational Materials Science, Naval Research Laboratory, W ashington DC 20375-5345

³School of C om putational Sciences, G eorge M ason University, Fairfax, VA 22030

⁴Instituto de Investigaciones en Matriales, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Mexico D.F.A.P. 70-360, C.P. 04510

A bstract

W e present all-electron density functional study of the geom etry, electronic structure, vibrational m odes, polarizabilities as well as the infrared and R am an spectra of fullerene-like arsenic cages. The stability of A s_n cages for sizes 4, 8, 20, 28, 32, 36, and 60 wherein each A s atom is three-fold coordinated is exam ined. W e nd that all the cages studied are vibrationally stable and while all the clusters are energetically stable with respect to isolated arsenic atom s, only A s₂₀ is energetically stable against dissociation into A s₄. W e suggest that the R am an spectra m ight be a m eans for observing the A s₂₀ m olecule in gas phase.

Key words: PACS: 36.40 Cg, 36.40 Mr, 36.40 Qv, 31.40.+ z fullerene, Infrared, R am an electronic structure, vibration, polarizability

Highly symmetric molecular cages are of great interest due to their inherent symmetry and bonding[1]. The highly studied carbon fullerenes [2,3,4] are prime examples of this class of materials. Apart from their symmetry they also show unusual properties such as superconductivity in the solid phase [5] and storage capacity which can have potential applications in nanotechnology. For more than a decade considerable e ort has been paid to the possibility of creating fullerene-like structures with elements other than carbon. Some studies have shown Si and G e clusters in the gas phase [6,7,8,9,10] form cages but their structures are still controversial. Recently M oses and cow orker [11] have successfully synthesized a highly symmetric onion-like cage formed by an icosahedral A s@ N i_{12} cluster with an A s atom at the center. The resulting

13-atom cluster is further encapsulated by a dodecahedral fullerene-like A s_{20} . This report opens up the possibility of form ation of other possible cage-like A s_h clusters in the laboratory. In the present study, we exam ine the possibility for obtaining stable A s cages for sizes up to sixty atom s.

The clusters of other isoelectronic elements nitrogen and phosphorus are well studied. N itrogen clusters are studied primarily because of their high energy density. It may be pointed out that although small N clusters are stable, the higher size clusters tend to break up into smaller clusters. Owens [12] has studied N₄, N₈, N₁₀, N₁₂ and N₂₀ clusters theoretically using density functional theory (DFT). He has shown that all the nitrogen clusters have high-energy density and will decompose into N₂ releasing a large amount of energy with highest release for N₈. A number of phosphorus clusters with closed-shell structure are proposed to be more energetic than P₄ [13,14,15,16] theoretically. W hile the N₂₀ clusters are highly unstable, the P₂₀ clusters are found to have higher stability. The prediction for P₂₀ and the experimental existence of the A s@ N i_{12} @ A s_{20} are indicative of the possible existence of large A s clusters.

The stability of the As, clusters were theoretically studied by Shen and Schaefer for sizes 2, 4, 12, and 20 [17]. However, they concluded that A s_{20} would be energetically competitive with ve A s clusters. Our recent calculations done on A s@ N i_{12} @ A s_{20} and A s_{20} indicates that A s_{20} is stable both electronically and vibrationally. Its most favored dissociation channel is the As tetram er as predicted by Shen and Schaefer [17]. In this work we report our study of other possible A s cages and their electronic and vibrational stability. It is possible that the ground state structure of the As clusters considered here may not conform to a cage-like structure. However, we have restricted our study only to cage structures and also to the ones which m ay break up into integral num ber of tetram ers. The focus is on nding vibrationally stable symmetric cages and the energy of dissociation into tetram ers. A loo we have restricted the cage structures in which the As atom s are in 3-fold coordination as seen in the experimentally obtained As shell of the [A s0 N i_{12} 0 A s_{20}]³ cluster. In this case, the A s atom s are sp^3 hybridized with a lone pair and 3 half- led orbitals which form bonds with its nearest neighbors. Shen and Schaefer have show n that the tetrahedral As₄ is a very stable cluster. This follows directly from the considerations that A s atom s are sp^3 hybridized and prefer 3-fold coordination with lone pairs. A similar feature was also seen in As@Ni₁₂@As₂₀ cluster [18]. M oreover, the twenty valence electrons associated with the A_{s_1} m olecule is known to correspond to a magic number in metallic clusters and this aspect m ay further contribute to the stability of the tetram er [19].

Our density functional theory [20,21] based calculations were performed at the all-electron level within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [22] to describe the exchange-correlation electron. The calculations have been performed using the NRLMOL package [23,24,25] which employs a Gaussian




Fig.1. Structures of A s_4 , A s_8 , A s_{20} , A s_{28} , and A s_{32}

basis set where the exponentials are optimized for each atom [26]. The basis for the As contains 7s, 6p, and 4d type contracted G aussians along with a d-type polarization function. The package also employs a variational mesh to calculate the integrals accurately and also e ciently. The Hartree potential is calculated analytically. The self-consistency cycle was carried out till the energies converged to $1.0 \quad 10^{-6}$ Hartree. The symmetry restricted geometry optimization was carried out using the LBFGS scheme till the forces were smaller than 0.001 a.u.. The vibrational frequencies are calculated by introducing small perturbation to the equilibrium geometry in the Cartesian directions for all atom s and calculating forces. From these, the dynam ical matrix is calculated by nite di erence method, diagonalization of which yields the frequencies [27].

W e present the optim ized structures of the A $s_{4;8;20;28;32;36;60}$ fullerene-like cages in F igs. 1 and 2. The range of nearest neighbor A s-A s bond lengths, the bond angles, and the symmetry of the molecules are listed in Table 1. The bond lengths of the cages lie between 2.43 to 2.74 A. The A s-A s bond length in the experimentally observed A s@ N i_{12} @ A s_{20} cluster is 2.75 A which is close to the largest bond-length seen in the A s cages. The bond angles for the smallest cluster is 60° which increases to the range 104–130° for the larger cages. The A s_4 and A s_8 clusters have T_d and O_h point symmetry. The bond lengths and angles do not vary in these structures due to their symmetry. The A s_{20} has a dodecahedron structurem ade up of 12 pentagons. The 32-atom cluster also has T_d symmetry and has three inequivalent atom s. The 32-atom cluster has an

C age	As-As (A)	(Degree)	Sym m etry
As4	2.469	60.0	T _d
As ₈	2,547	90.0	O _h
A s ₂₀	2.497	107 . 9 - 108.2°	$I_{\rm h}$
A s ₂₈	2.462-2.524	105.4 -120.9°	T _d
A s ₃₂	2.441-2.536	104 . 0 - 124.4°	D ₃
A s ₃₆	2.444-2.538	107 <i>2</i> - 130 <i>4</i> °	D _{6h}
A s ₆₀	2.427-2.737	108.0 - 120.0°	$I_{\rm h}$

Table 1 0 ptim ized values of bond lengths, angles between inequivalent atom s and sym m etry group for the A $s_{\rm n}$ cages

unusual cage-like structure which has an elongated structure. The symmetry for the A s_{32} cluster allows for cyclic permutations plus non cyclic permutations followed by inversion. The A s_{36} has D $_{6h}$ symmetry while the 60-atom cluster has a structure similar to the C $_{60}$ structure. However, the A s_{60} cluster is much larger than the C $_{60}$ in size. A part from the A s_{32} , all the clusters from n= 20 onward are spherical in shape. W hile A s_{20} is made up of pentagons, the 28-, 32-, 36-, 60-atom clusters have both pentagonal and hexagonal faces. From the Table 1, it becomes evident that beyond A s_{20} , the bonds of the clusters are not of equal length and some the bonds become stretched in the larger clusters.




Fig.2. Structures of A s_{36} and A s_{60} .

The energetics of the clusters are shown in Table 2. The atom ization energy, gap between the highest occupied and lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (HOM O-LUM O), and the energies of dissociation into A st are presented in Table 2. The binding energies per atom of the As clusters are centered around 2.7 eV. All the clusters considered here have close shell structures with a relatively large HOMO-LUMO gap and are Jahn-Teller stable. We have also con med that all the clusters studied are local minima in the potential energy landscape. The most striking aspect of these clusters is their dissociation energies with respect to As₄. A lthough the atom ization energies and vibrational frequencies indicate the clusters to be stable, apart from the As_{20} cluster, all the other cages are unstable with respect to A s4. It may be pointed out that Shen and Schaefer have predicted that $A_{s_{20}}$ to be competitive with A_{s_4} clusters. On the other hand, the experimental mass spectrum of the As $@Ni_{12}@As_{20}$ clusters show peaks for all As, N i_{12} clusters where x=1,21 indicating that in this cluster the dissociation channel is As m onom ers rather than tetram ers. This was shown due to the unusual change in bonding of the A s_{20} in the encapsulated form [18]. The stability of the A s_{20} cage compared to all the other cage structures is still surprising.

The binding energy of the A s_{20} is slightly higher than the A s_4 cluster. The A s_4 clusters are highly unreactive as evident from the large HOMO-LUMO gap. The atom ization energy for A s_4 is larger than other clusters while the HOMO-LUMO gap of A s_4 is larger which indicates the special structural and chem ical stability of the A s_4 . The A s_{20} is characterized by even larger atom ization energy for the tetram er channel for all the other clusters are large and positive. The instability of the clusters increases towards the larger sizes. O ne possible explanation can be the stretching of the bond-angle in the larger clusters of size n= 28 onward are twice as large as those in the tetram er.

The dissociation of all the As cages except A s_{20} is exotherm ic with respect to A s_4 units and the energy released lies between 1 to 11 eV. This is by no means large as in the case of nitrogen cages [12] which dissociates into N₂ units. A lthough N and As occur in the same group, N₂ is more stable due to the form ation of triple bond than the A s_4 . It may be mentioned here that dissociation of nitrogen cages of size 4 to 20 are found to release energy of the order of 3.0 to 3.8 K cal/gm [12].

The predicted infrared (IR) and R am an spectra are presented in Figs. 3, 4, and 5. These spectra m ay help in experim ental characterization of such cages. The As₄, As₈ and As₂₀ show only one IR active m ode each at 257 cm⁻¹, 227 and 167 cm⁻¹. The larger cages have m ore dispersion in their bond-lengths and hence in the spring constant resulting in m ore IR active m odes. One noticeable feature is that for them ore sym m etric cages the IR active frequency goes down




Fig. 3. IR spectra of As $_4$, As $_8$, As $_{20}$, As $_{28}$, As $_{32}$, As $_{36}$, and As $_{60}$ clusters.

across the series. A loo while the peaks are high for A s_4 and A s_8 , the intensities are much diminished for the higher size clusters.

The Raman spectra of the As cages are plotted in the frequency ranges of 0 - 120 cm⁻¹ and 120 - 400 cm⁻¹ in Figs. 4 and 5 respectively. The larger clusters show high peaks in the low frequency region which are several order of magnitude larger than that of the As₄ cluster. The Raman active modes

Table 2

C age	ΑE	номо-цимо	DΕ	DE including
	(eV)	gap (eV)	(eV)	ZPE (eV)
As4	2.76	4.10	0.00	0.00
As ₈	2.62	1.38	1.12	1.17
A s ₂₀	2.79	1.44	-0.54	-0.48
A s ₂₈	2.70	1.50	1.68	1.75
A s ₃₂	2.69	1.41	2.23	2.34
A s ₃₆	2.67	1.31	3.14	3.24
A s ₆₀	2.57	128	11.29	11.30

The atom ization energies (AE), HOMO-LUMO G aps and dissociation energies (DE) for the tetram er channel with and without zero-point energy (ZPE) are presented for As_n where n= 4, 8, 20, 28, 32, 36, and 60. All values are in eV.




Fig. 4. Ram an spectra of $A_{s_{20}}$, $A_{s_{28}}$, $A_{s_{32}}$, $A_{s_{36}}$, and $A_{s_{60}}$ clusters in the frequency range 0–120 cm⁻¹. The Ram an spectra of the A_{s_4} and A_{s_6} clusters do not have any peak in this range. The Ram an intensity of the $A_{s_{60}}$ is much larger compared to the other clusters and therefore is plotted in a di erent scale.

are the ones which change the polarizability of the cages. The frequency of the highest R am an peak decreases as the number of atom s and the radius of the cage increases. The polarizability of the A s_{60} is 43.4 per bohr³ per atom and its radius is 6.27 A. Due to the larger radii of the higher order clusters, the polarizability and its derivative increases which in turn in uences the Raman intensity. This trend is seen across the series. The polarizabilities and the average radii of the clusters are shown in Table 3. Since the polarizability is proportional to the volum e R³, the larger clusters have higher polarizabilities. A comparison with C_{60} fullements shows [28] that in the A s_{60} the polarizability is about 4-5 times larger. This is consistent with the radii of the two cages - the A s_{60} cage is about 2 times larger than the C $_{60}$ cage. The A s_{60} shows an intense Ram an peak at 24 cm $^{-1}$ corresponding to a H_a mode of vibration which is several times larger than those for $A s_{28}$, $A s_{32}$, and $A s_{36}$. Unlike the IR spectra, the intensity of the highest peaks gets larger as the cluster size grows. For a plane polarized incident light and under the condition that the direction of incident beam, the polarization direction of the incident light and the direction of observation are perpendicular to each other, the Ram an scattering cross-section is given by the following equation [29]:

$$\frac{d}{d} = \frac{(2_{s})^{4}}{c^{4}} \frac{h(n_{i}+1)}{8^{2}} \frac{I_{Ram}}{45}$$
(1)

where $_{s}$ is the frequency of scattered light, n_{i} is Bose-Einstein statistical




Fig. 5. Ram an spectra of $A s_4$, $A s_8$, $A s_{20}$, $A s_{28}$, $A s_{32}$, $A s_{36}$, and $A s_{60}$ clusters in the frequency range 120 - 400 cm⁻¹. All the panels show Ram an intensity in the same scale.

factor, $_{i}$ is frequency of the i^{th} m ode of vibration, and

$$I_{Ram} = 45 \left(\frac{d}{dQ}\right)^2 + 7 \left(\frac{d}{dQ}\right)^2 = 45^{-02} + 7^{-02}$$
(2)

where

$${}^{00} = \frac{1}{3} \left({}^{0}_{xx} + {}^{0}_{yy} + {}^{0}_{zz} \right)$$

$${}^{02} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left({}^{0}_{xx} & {}^{0}_{yy} \right)^{2} + \left({}^{0}_{xx} & {}^{0}_{zz} \right)^{2} + \right.$$

$$\left({}^{0}_{yy} & {}^{0}_{zz} \right)^{2} + 6 \left({}^{02}_{xy} + {}^{02}_{xz} + {}^{02}_{yz} \right) \right]$$
(3)

Here, ⁽⁰⁾ and ⁽⁾ are them ean polarizability tensor derivative and the anisotropy of the polarizability tensor derivative respectively. $I_{R am}$ is the R am an scattering activity and Q is the norm alm ode coordinate. The scattering cross section is inversely proportional to the frequency of the vibrational m ode and therefore at low frequencies the R am an intensity increases as can be seen from F ig. 4.

The Ram an scattering intensities in the frequency range 120-400 cm $^{-1}$ are shown in Fig. 5. All the modes of As₄ show Ram an activity since they are

	-	
C age	Radius (A)	Polarizability (A 3)
As4	1.51	18.05
As ₈	2.21	35.69
A s ₂₀	3.50	96.01
A s ₂₈	4.20	145.19
A s ₃₂	4.49	170.18
A s ₃₆	4.76	196.67
A s ₆₀	6.29	386.41

Table 3

The average radii (A) and average polarizabilities in A^3 of the As cages.

respectively of A_1 , T_2 and E symmetry. The highest peak for A_{S_1} occurs at 344 cm 1 in good agreem ent with experimental value of 356 cm 1 [30]. The experim entalR am an spectra of A s vapor show s a strong peak at this frequency [30]. The As $_4$ shows other peaks at 201 and 256 cm 1 . The experimental spectrum displays a broad peak at around 250 cm 1 which becomes much broader at high tem perature. A weighted Ram an spectra of the most stable species, namely As_4 and As_{20} shows an asymmetric peak around 250 cm⁻¹ sim ilar to the experimental prole. Another peak at 200 cm 1 is less intense but is still visible in the experimental spectrum. The calculated $A s_{20} R$ am an spectrum shows prominent peaks at frequencies 185, 151, and 79 cm 1 . Since the experimental Raman spectrum is measured between 120 to 450 cm 1 , the large signatures of the A_{20} can not be discerned from the experimental spectrum . In the high density lim it, the peaks of the weighted spectrum shows sm all peaks at 150 and 79 cm⁻¹ whereas around 250 a som ewhat broad peak is observed. In the low density limit, the peaks below 150 becomes much more prom inent than the peaks at higher frequencies. An experim entalm easurem ent of the R am an spectra in the low frequency region can be helpful in identifying the existence of $A s_{20}$.

In conclusion, we have studied the geom etry, vibrational stability, energetics and IR and Ram an spectra of As cages of size n = 4, 8, 20, 28, 32, 36, and 60 to exam ine the possibility of existence of As cages. We does not that all the clusters except As₂₀ are unstable against dissociation into As₄ units. The energy released in the exotherm ic dissociation is significantly smaller then that associated with the isoelectronic nitrogen clusters. We determ ine the vibrational stability of the clusters and also predict the IR and Ram an spectra. The polarizability increases with cluster size. We expect our study will inspire experimental search for such metastable clusters and suggest that the Ram an peak at roughly 80 cm⁻¹ could be used to identify the existence of As₂₀.

TB and MRP acknow ledge nancial support from ONR (Grant No.N 000140211046)

and by the DoD High Perform ance Computing CHSSIP rogram .RRZ thanks GMU for support and MRB thanks CONACYT 40393-F for support.

References

- [1] See for example, A. Muller, 2003, Science 300, 749.
- [2] H.W.Kroto, J.R.Heath, S.C.O'Brien, R.F.Curl, and R.E.Sm alley, 1985, Nature (London) 318, 162.
- [3] W. Kratschmer, L. D. Lamb, K. Fostiropoulous, and D. R. Human, 1990, Nature (London) 347, 254.
- [4] P.W. Folwer and D.E.M anolopoulos (An Atlas of Fullerenes, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995).
- [5] R.C.Haddon, 1992, Acc.Chem.Res. 25 127.
- [6] H.Hiura, T.Miyazaki, and T.Kanayama, 2001, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1733.
- [7] SN.Khanna, B.K.Rao, and P.Jena, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 016803.
- [8] L.M itas, J.C.G rossman, I. Stich, and J. Tobik, 2000, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1479.
- [9] S.C. Sevov and J.D. Corbett, 1993, Science 252, 880.
- [10] Z. Chen, H. Jiao, G. Seifert, A. H. C. Horn, D. Yu, T. Clark, W. Thiel, P. Von Rague Schleyer, 2003, J. Comp. Chem, 24, 948.
- [11] M.J.Moses, J.C. Fettinger, and B.W. Eichhorn, 2003, Science 300, 778.
- [12] F.J.Owens, 2003, Journal of Molecular Structure (Theochem), 623, 197.
- [13] M. Haser, U. Schneider, and R. Ahlrichs, 1992, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114, 9551.
- [14] R.O. Jones, G.G. antefor, S.H. unsicker, and P.P. ieperho, 1995, J.Chem. Phys. 103, 9549.
- [15] M. Haser and O. Treutler, 1995, J. Chem. Phys. 102, 3703.
- [16] A. V. Bulgakov, O. F. Bobrenok, V. I. Kosyakov, I. Ozerov, W. Marine, M. Heden, F. Rohm und, and E. E. B. Campbell, 2002, Physics of the Solid State, 44, 617.
- [17] M . Shen and H . F . Schaefer, 1994, J. Chem . Phys., 101, 2261.
- [18] T.Baruah, R.R.Zope, S.L.Richardson, and M.R.Pederson, Phys. Rev B, in press.
- [19] W. D. Knight, Keith Clemenger, W. A. de Heer, W. A. Saunders, M. Y. Chou and M. L. Cohen, 1984, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 2141.

- [20] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, 1964, Phys. Rev. 136, B864.
- [21] W .Kohn and L.J. Sham, 1965, Phys. Rev. 140, A 1133.
- [22] J.P.Perdew, K.Burke and M.Emzerhof, 1996, Phys.Rev.Lett. 77, 3865.
- [23] M.R. Pederson and K.A. Jackson, 1990, Phys. Rev. B 41, 7453.
- [24] M.R. Pederson and K.A. Jackson, 1991, Phys. Rev. B 43, 7312.
- [25] K.A. Jackson and M.R. Pederson, 1990, Phys. Rev. B 42, 3276.
- [26] D. Porezag and M. R. Pederson, 1999, Phys. Rev. A 60, 9566.
- [27] D. Porezag and M. R. Pederson, 1996, Phys. Rev. B 54, 7830.
- [28] M.R. Pederson and A.A. Quong, 1992, Phys. Rev. B 46, 13584.
- [29] M. Cardona, in Light Scattering in Solids, edited by M. Cardona and G. Guntherodt, Vol. 50, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982).
- [30] K.Roth, J.Kortus, M.Herms, D.Porezag, and M.R.Pederson, 1999, Jpn.J. Appl.Phys. 38, 989.