On the Origin of Kaluza's Idea of Uni cation

Y.Verbin^a and N.K.Nielsen^{bx}

^a Department of Natural Sciences, The Open University of Israel P.O.B. 39328, TelAviv 61392, Israel

^b D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of Southern D enm ark, C am pusvej 55, 5230 O dense M, D enm ark

A bstract

We argue that the starting point of Kaluza's idea of unifying electrodynamics and gravity was the analogy between gravitation and electrom agnetism which was pointed out by Einstein and Thirring. It seems that Kaluza's attention was turned to this point by the three papers on the Lense-Thirring e ect and the analogy between gravitation and electrom agnetism which were published a short time before Kaluza's paper was submitted. We provide here an English translation of the third of these papers.

Keywords: History of physics, Uni cation, Higher-dimensional theories.

1 Introduction

In most descriptions of the Kaluza-K lein approach to uni cation [1, 2, 3, 4], Kaluza's idea [5] (English translations exist in [6, 7]) to add a ffh dimension to spacetime is considered to be the starting point for the whole structure.

M ost authors also m ention the previous ve-dimensional unifying theory by N ordstrom &] (English translation in [7]) which was a at ve-dimensional M axwell theory with the additional requirement that all dynamical variables are independent of the ffh coordinate. N ordstrom 's interpretation of the resulting theory was that of a usual four-dimensional M axwell system coupled with relativistic scalar gravity thus obtaining a comm on source for both kinds of forces.

Since it is generally accepted that K aluza was unaware of N ordstrom's theory, K aluza's idea is regarded as a "quantum leap" with no direct connection to any previous work except, of course, E instein's general relativity. In addition, W eyl's four-dim ensional unifying theory [9] can be considered a source of the "spirit of uni cation" of the time.

We wish to suggest a dierent view, viz. that K aluza's starting point for his theory was the analogy between the M axwell and E instein equations for edds of slow ly varying sources. This analogy was pointed out by Thirring (based on earlier work by E instein [10] - English translation in [11]) during his work on the Thirring-Lense e ect [2, 13] (English translation in [14]). It is explicitly mentioned (although in a footnote) in the same year.

Indeed, E instein was the rst, in 1913, to note an electrodynam ic-gravitational analogy [0] but it was based on a tentative version of the gravitational eld equations. A corrected version which was consistent with the nalE instein equations is Thirring's 2-page 1918 paper [5]. This is actually the basis for the gravito-electrom agnetism form alism [16, 17] but it is rarely acknow ledged as such. U sually, the other two Thirring & Lense papers (and their translations) are referred to in this context.

E lectronic address: verbin@ oum ail.openu.ac.il

^xE lectronic address: nkn@ fysik.sdu.dk

These three papers [12, 13, 15] were published slightly before K aluza prepared a manuscript of his idea and sent it to Einstein. This must have happened in the st third of 1919 as is evident from E instein's rst very warm reaction in a letter dated 21 A pril1919 [8, 2, 3, 4]. Moreover, the 2-page 1918 paper by T hirring is cited by K aluza in the context of this very same analogy between electrodynam ics and gravity at the beginning of his paper and m ay be regarded a preparation and motivation for all the rest.

Therefore, we suggest viewing Kaluza's work as being based on two main ingredients: the electrodynam ic-gravitational analogy and the addition of a fth dimension. Kaluza's starting point was the electrodynam ic-gravitational analogy for slow ly varying sources. Next came the observation that this is more than an analogy, in that general relativity in some sense contains electrom agnetism. Adding a fth dimension was only the next step which was unavoidable in order to have enough "room " for both elds within the same theory. Going to ve dimensions was probably an independent contribution of Kaluza; certainly so was his idea to go to ve-dimensional general relativity.

In order to strengthen our suggestion, in the next section we provide an English translation of Thirring's 2-page 1918 paper [15] so that non-G erm an speaking readers can judge for them selves. The last section includes a more detailed discussion about the connection of Thirring's paper to K aluza's.

2 English Translation of the Thirring Paper [15]

Translated by N.K.Nielsen.

On the Form al A nalogy between the E lectrom agnetic Fundam ental E quations and the E instein ian E quations of G ravity in the F irst A pproxim ation

by Hans Thirring.

In the following some form aldevelopments will be carried out that in an earlier article¹ only found room in a footnote. The matter under consideration is the analogy between the M axwell-Lorentz equations on one hand, and those equations that determ ine the motion of a point particle in a weak gravitational eld in the rst approximation, on the other. Einstein him self already referred to this analogy in his speech at the W iener N aturforschertag 1913²; how ever, since his eld equations have been subject to quite an important modication, it appears not improper to develop the form ulas in question for the nalversion of the theory.

We remark in advance that in the following, we always use a coordinate system where the velocity of light is 1, and as coordinates we choose:

$$x_1 = x_1 x_2 = y_1 x_3 = z_1 x_4 = it:$$

We now consider a rather special case of point motion in a quasistationary eld of gravitation. A mass point moves in this eld so slow by that the squares and products of its velocity components are negligible compared to 1. The gravitational eld itself is assumed to be weak (such that the deviations of g from the classical values 1 and 0, respectively, can be considered small quantities of rst order) and to be generated by incoherent (tensionless) moving masses, the velocities of which are somewhat larger than that of the point mass under investigation, such that squares and binary products have to be taken into account. If we denote the velocity of the point mass v and those of the eld generating masses v⁰, we thus have to keep expressions of the order of magnitude jv j jv⁰ jv⁰ and v v⁰.

As is well known, the equations of m otion are

$$\frac{d^2 x}{ds^2} = \frac{dx}{ds} \frac{dx}{ds}; = 1 \qquad 4:$$
(1)

¹H.Thirring, this journal 19, 33, 1918.

²A.Einstein, this journal 14, 1261, 1913.

Translator's note: literally: Scientist Congress in Vienna 1913. A ctually the reference should be [10]: A.Einstein, this journal 14, 1249, 1913. The analogy is indeed m entioned on p. 1261.

On the right-hand side the velocity components of the point mass occur; if we neglect, according to our assumptions, their squares and products the equations become:

$$\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = 2i(_{14}\frac{dx_1}{dt} + _{24}\frac{dx_2}{dt} + _{34}\frac{dx_3}{dt}) \qquad _{44}:$$
(2)

In the following we consider the three spatial components of the equations of motion, and thus = 1;2;3: For weak elds, the three-index symbols are:

The derivatives $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_4}$ (\notin 4; \notin 4) are, as will turn out in mediately, of order of magnitude $v^{(2)}$; in (2) they are multiplied by $\frac{dx}{dt}$ (order of magnitude jv) and are hence negligible in our approximation. In

 $_{44}$ only the derivatives of g $_4$ and g_{44} occur; hence for the following we need only those coe cients g that contain the index 4 at least once. In order to compute them we use the approximate solution of E instein³:

$$g = + ; = \frac{1; = ;}{0; \notin ;}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{X}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{X}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{X}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{$$

where T denotes the energy tensor, $x^0; y^0; z^0$ the coordinates of the integration space, R is the distance from the integration element to position of the point mass, and dV_0 the naturally measured volume element. The energy tensor for incoherent matter is given by

$$T = T = {}_{0} \frac{dx}{ds} \frac{dx}{ds} :$$
 (5)

The four relevant $\cos cients g_4$ are accordingly:

$$g_{14} = i \frac{Z}{2} - \frac{0 V_x^0}{R} (\frac{dt^0}{ds})^2 dV_0;$$

$$g_{24} = i \frac{Z}{2} - \frac{0 V_y^0}{R} (\frac{dt^0}{ds})^2 dV_0;$$

$$g_{34} = i \frac{Z}{2} - \frac{0 V_z^0}{R} (\frac{dt^0}{ds})^2 dV_0;$$

$$g_{44} = 1 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{0}{R} (\frac{dt^0}{ds})^2 dV_0:$$
(6)

The eld components $_4$ entering (2) are now computed from the g with the applied approxim ations as follows:

³A.Einstein, Berl.Ber. 1916, p.688.

Translator's note: m ore accurately: Sitzungsber. K onigl. Preuss. A kad. W iss. (Berlin) 1916, 688 (1916). English translation in [19].

The equations (2), (6) and (7) now correspond, disregarding som e num erical factors, com pletely to the fundam ental electrodynam ic equations. In order to make this sim ilarity more obvious, we set

$$A_{x} = ig_{14}; \qquad A_{y} = ig_{24}; \qquad A_{z} = ig_{34}; \qquad = \frac{g_{44} + 1}{2}$$

$$H_{x} = 2i \frac{3}{24} = 2i \frac{2}{34}; \qquad H_{y} = 2i \frac{1}{34} = 2i \frac{3}{14}; \qquad H_{z} = 2i \frac{2}{14} = 2i \frac{1}{24};$$

$$E_{x} = \frac{1}{44} = \frac{4}{14}; \qquad E_{y} = \frac{2}{44} = \frac{4}{24}; \qquad E_{z} = \frac{3}{44} = \frac{4}{34};$$

$$k = \frac{1}{8}:$$

In term s of these quantities, equations (2), (6) and (7) become:

$$A^{\sim} = 4k \frac{2}{R} \frac{\sqrt{2} e^{0} \nabla^{0}}{R} (\frac{dt^{0}}{ds})^{2} dV_{0};$$
$$= k \frac{2}{R} \frac{e^{0}}{R} (\frac{dt^{0}}{ds})^{2} dV_{0}; \qquad (6a)$$

$$H = curlA; \quad E = grad \quad \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}; \quad (7a)$$

Apart from the factor $(\frac{dt^0}{ds})^2$ that only deviates from unity by quantities of order $v^{(2)}$, equations (6a), (7a) and (2a) only di er from the corresponding electrodynamic equations in the wrong sign on the right-hand side of (2a) and in the emergence of a factor 4 in (6a). Thus the analog of the magnetic force in the theory of gravitation is four times larger than in electrodynamics.

To the derivation of this form a lanalogy, a rem ark of a principal nature is added. It seems a priorivery unlikely that m athem atical laws that in one area of phenom ena are approxim ated form ulas for certain special cases, provide an exact description of the phenom ena in another area. Thus, the conjecture arises (apart from the physical necessity, for form al reasons as well) that the M axwell-Lorentz equations are also approximate form ulas that, even though they are su ciently precise for the elds generated electrotechnically, need a corresponding generalization for the far stronger elds that occur at the dimensions of atom s and electrons, to which H ilbert and M ie (who have a far m ore general starting point) have already provided suggestions.

Institute of Theoretical Physics of the University of Vienna, March 1918.

Received M arch 26, 1918

(8)

3 D iscussion

First we correct an error which has no e ect on the nal conclusion: In eq. 7() for the Christo el symbols (see e.g. W ald [20] p. 36), there is a mistake in the last line where the term s $\frac{\partial g_{14}}{\partial x_4}$; i = 1;2;3; should be left out. This also means that the third line of (8) should be corrected since the equality between the Christo el symbols does not hold.

It is obvious that the Thirring paper had a strong in uence on K aluza. B oth papers are limited to the weak eld limit, they both use similar methods to isolate and identify the electrom agnetic components and they use an identical matter source - pressureless dust.

Eqs. (7) and (8) could be K aluza's starting point. Here T hirring records the gravitational eld in the weak- eld lim it according to the nal version of E instein's general theory of relativity of a dust cloud with slow but otherwise arbitrary motion. It is very likely that K aluza had these equations (7) and (8) in m ind, when m aking the conjecture in his paper (second page in both translations or in the original

version) that the electrom agnetic eld strength should be "equal to som ehow am putated three-index sym bols".

There are of course di erences due to the giant (if not "quantum") keep K aluza m ade. A sm all one is the condition jgj = 1 used by K aluza but not by Thirring. A more signi cant di erence is that K aluza's x^5 (actually, he used x^0 for the fourth spacelike coordinate and x^4 was i time) takes the role of time in the Thirring-Lense papers, so the interpretation is, accordingly, di erent. The fourdimensional analogue of K aluza's work is the analysis of the gravitational eld of a source which has a weak dependence on one spatial coordinate. The major di erence is, of course, the extra dimension which K aluza added. Possibly K aluza, aware of the "spirit of uni cation" of the time, realized that the D = 4 M axwell instein analogy appearing in the Thirring-Lense papers can be turned into a unifying scheme if a find dimension is added.

A cknow ledgem ents

W e are grateful to F.W. Hehland D.W uensch for helpful discussions, suggestions and advice.

References

- L.O'Raifeartaigh, The Dawning of Gauge Theory, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1997).
- [2] L.O'Raifeartaigh and N. Straum ann, Rev. M od. Phys. 72, 1 (2000).
- [3] D.Wuensch, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 12, 519 (2003).
- [4] H.F.M.Goenner, Living Rev.Rel.7,2 (2004).
- [5] T.Kaluza, Sitzungsber. Preuss. A kad. W iss. (Berlin) 1921, 966 (1921).
- [6] H.C. Lee (ed.), An Introduction to Kaluza-Klein Theories, World Scientic (1984).
- [7] T.Appelquist, A. Chodos and P.G.O. Freund (eds.), Modern Kaluza-Klein Theories, Addison-Wesley (1984).
- [8] G.Nordstrom, Phys. Zeits. 15, 504 (1914).
- [9] H.Weyl, Sitzungsber. Preuss. A kad. Wiss. (Berlin) 1918, 465 (1918).
- [10] A.Einstein, Phys.Zeits.14, 1249 (1913).
- [11] A.Beck, The Collected Papers of Albert E instein, Vol. 4: English Translation, Princeton University Press (1996).
- [12] H. Thirring, Phys. Zeits. 19, 33 (1918); err.: 22, 29 (1921).
- [13] H. Thirring and J. Lense, Phys. Zeits. 19, 156 (1918).
- [14] B.Mashhoon, F.W.Hehland D.S.Theiss, Gen.Rel. and Grav. 16, 711 (1984).
- [15] H. Thirring, Phys. Zeits. 19, 204 (1918).
- [16] B.Mashhoon, arX iv gr-qc/0311030
- [17] A. Tartaglia and M. L. Ruggiero, Eur. J. Phys. 25, 203 (2004)
- [18] A. Pais, Subtle Is The Lord, Oxford University Press (1982).
- [19] A. Engel, The Collected Papers of Albert Einstein, Vol. 6: English Translation, Princeton University Press (1997).
- [20] R.M.Wald, General Relativity, University of Chicago Press (1984).