E lectrom agnetically induced left-handedness in a dense gas of three level atom s

M.O.Oktel

Bilkent University, Department of Physics, 06800 Bilkent, Ankara, Turkey

0. E. Mustecaploglu

Koc University, Department of Physics, Rum elifeneri Yolu, 34450 Sar yer, Istanbul, Turkey^y

(Dated: April 17, 2024)

We discuss how a three level system can be used to change the frequency dependent magnetic permeability of an atom ic gas to be signi cantly di erent from one. We derive the conditions for such a scheme to be successful and brie y discuss the resulting macroscopic electrodynam ics. We nd that it may be possible to obtain left handed electrodynam ics for an atom ic gas using three atom ic levels.

PACS num bers: 42.50 G y,03.75 N t, 42.25 B s

I. IN TRODUCTION

Changing the propagation properties of light by designing a novel material is of interest both from a basic science point of view, and for technological applications. Recent advances such as slowing down [1] or stopping light[2], or left handed metamaterials [3] promise advances in elds ranging from optics [4] to quantum computation [5]. It is desirable to nd new materials in which electrom agnetic waves exhibit novel behavior, and there is a urry of activity both theoretically and experimentally in this direction.

The macroscopic electrom agnetic (EM) properties of a medium are characterized by the frequency dependent dielectric constant[6]

$$\mathcal{D} = "(!)\mathcal{E}$$
 (1)

and the magnetic permeability

$$\vec{B} = (!)\vec{H} : \qquad (2)$$

Speed of an EM wave of frequency ! in this medium is given by

$$v = \frac{c}{p - (!)^{"}(!)}$$
 (3)

where c is the speed of light in vacuum. The index of refraction is then $n = (!)^{(!)}$:

The dielectric constant of the medium shows large variations near a resonance, i.e. when the frequency of the external eld is near an internal state transition. This makes it easy to change the refractive index of a medium by properly tuning the frequency of the EM wave to just above or below a transition. Recently this fact was em – ployed combined with quantum coherent e ects to get very high refractive indices in atom ic gases [1, 7]. A lthough "(!) can change appreciably for a gas of atom s at optical frequencies, the magnetic permeability

(!) is always very close to its free space value. One can give arguments in classical electrodynamics to explain this [8], or understand it in terms of atom ic transitions as follows. Magnetic eld component of an EM wave couples to the atom much weaker than the electric eld component. The magnetic coupling to an atom is proportional to the Bohr m agneton $_{\rm B} = \frac{\rm eh}{2m_{\odot}c} = ea_0$, while the electric coupling is eao. The ne structure constant ' 1=137 also shows itself in the induced magnetic dipolem om ent. O verallthe e ect of an EM wave on m agnetic permeability is ² weaker than its e ect on the electric susceptibility. Another important fact is that magnetic dipole transitions are allowed only between states which have the same radial wavefunction, and generally two such states are not separated by optical frequencies in energy.

Now that it is hard to get (!) to be di erent than one, we need to question why it is important to have another value for it. After all it seems from Eq.(3) that all the optical properties of the medium depend on the product (!)"(!): The answer to this question is that the refractive index alone does not completely represent the medium [9]. One can imagine two media, one with "_1(!) > 0, _1(!) > 0 and _2(!) = ₁(!), $_1(!)$. They would have the same refrac-2(!) = tion index, however, quite di erent optical properties. Materials with both " < 0 and < 0, are called left. handed materials, named for parity of the coordinate fram e form ed by fE; H; Kg. Optical properties of left handed and right handed m aterials di erm ainly because, the Poynting vector points opposite to K in left handed m aterials. M ost rem arkable change happens at the interface between a left handed material and a right handed material, where the usual Snell's refraction law gets a sign change. In addition to the inverse Snell's law, the reverse C erenkov radiation and the reverse D oppler shift would also be possible in such materials[9].

Left handed arti cial materials in the microwave region have recently been built[10] by assembling a com posite lattice of metallic split ring resonators and metallic

E lectronic address: oktel@ fen bilkent.edu.tr

^yE lectronic address: om ustecap@ ku.edu.tr

w ires[11], w ith periodicity much smaller than the wavelength of the electrom agnetic eld, or using anom alous propagation properties of light in a photonic crystal, w ith periodicity is in the order of the wavelength of the electrom agnetic radiation [12]. All such systems (called m etam aterials), require delicate m anufacturing of spatially periodic structures. In the m icrow ave region, in – provem ents of focusing, litering and steering properties of m icrow aves would be useful for m any practical applications. Sim ilar in provem ents would also be valuable for applications operating at optical frequencies. In this paper we exam ine the case of an atom ic gas w ithout any spatial periodicity that could exhibit behavior sim ilar to m etam aterials at optical frequencies.

We have remarked that the magnetic dipole response to an oscillating magnetic eld is smaller by a factor of ² compared to the electric dipole response to an oscillating magnetic eld. In an EM wave E and B elds are always perpendicular to each other and are always in phase. If one can get the atom to respond to an electric eld E with a magnetic moment ~ perpendicular to it, and in phase with it, one can electricly think that the magnetic dipole moment is induced by the magnetic eld of the EM wave. Thus, it is possible get a magnetic response which is only times smaller than the electric response. Such response enables one to achieve a regime where the propagation properties of light are signi cantly di erent.

The aim of this paper is to explore the feasibility of this idea to modify the magnetic permeability of an atom ic gas electrom agnetically. To this end, we introduce a model system in the next section and nd the necessary conditions for the applicability of our scheme. In section III we present the results of our calculations for two di erent parameter regimes, a dilute gas and a dense gas. We then go on to discuss the consequences of our results for experiments. Finally, we give a summary of our results and conclusions in section IV.

II. M ODEL SYSTEM

In this section, we construct a model system for which the magnetic permeability can be optically modied. We also describe the scheme for modication in detail, and discuss its limitations.

O ne can readily conclude by parity arguments that it is not possible to get a magnetic response to an electric eld if only two states are involved. An electric eld causes transitions to states which are of opposite parity to ground state, and such states do not have a magnetic dipole matrix element with the ground state. To overcom e this di culty, we use a three level scheme, similar to the one used in electrom agnetically induced transparency (EII) [7, 13] where an optically thick substance is made transparent and exhibits large dispersive response to the external eld close to atom ic resonance.

The particular E IT scheme here serves several useful features required for left-handedness, such as being dispersive and exhibiting resonance phenom ena. EIT materials do not su er from linear absorption at resonance. They exhibit sm all transm ission losses even at high densities. A s a consequence of the resonance, the E IT m edium stores large am ount of energy over the cycles of interaction, leading to strong material response. In order to have a negative electric and magnetic material response, we need both the m acroscopic polarization and m agnetization of the material become simultaneously so strong that they would be imm une even the sign changes of the applied elds. For the weak probe beam, EIT cannot achieve this feat single-handedly. By considering a dense medium, with many particles within a cubic resonance wavelength, we let the local elds in the substance help to enhance the material responses. Indeed, we see that, circularly polarized probe electric eld, under EIT conditions, together with the help of the Lorentz-Lorenz local eld contribution, could maintain strong local currents that could give rise to large enough magnetization, insensitive to sign changes of the probe magnetic eld. At the same time, the electric response also becomes neqative. The remainder of the section presents the mathem atics behind these ideas as well as the conditions of their applicability.

W e require the three states to have the following non-zero matrix elements :

Here ~ is the magnetic dipole moment operator given by

$$\sim = \frac{B}{h} \quad g_{\rm L} \Sigma + g_{\rm S} S + g_{\rm I} I ; \qquad (5)$$

where the rst two terms are the magnetic moments due to the electronic orbital angular momentum L and spin angular momentum S, while the last term is the contribution of nuclear spin angular momentum I. The coe cients are $g_L = 1$ and $g_S = 2$ (within a small 0:1% correction found by quantum electrodynamical calculations). Nucleon magneton is about 1800 times smaller than the Bohr magneton. Typical nuclear magnetic moments are about 1000 times smaller than their electronic counterparts and hence usually negligible. If the Ham iltonian is parity invariant, we can choose all the states to be eigenstates of the parity operator P. To satisfy the requirement (4) one should have

W e assume that the states ½ ind ½ incompled with an intense coherent beam while a weak probe beam will excite transitions between jliand ½. W e will investigate the dielectric perm ittivity and m agnetic perm eability of a medium consisting of such atoms as a response to the probe beam.

Such a system of a three level atom interacting with those two optical elds in scheme as depicted in Fig.1



FIG.1: Three-level atom interacting with the probe and the coupling elds in scheme as described in the text.

is described by a H am iltonian in the form

$$H = H_0 + H_1; (7)$$

where

$$H_{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{3}} h!_{iR} I_{ii}$$
(8)

and

$$H_{1} = \frac{h}{2} \sum_{i=1,2}^{X} i e^{i \cdot i^{t}} R_{3i} + cc: :$$
(9)

Here, $h!_i$ are the energy levels of a free atom, and $R_{ij} = jiihjj$ are atom ic projection operators. The interaction H am iltonian is written under the electric dipole approximation. The Rabi frequencies associated with the optical transitions are dened by

$$_{i} = \frac{\tilde{a}_{3i} E_{i}}{h}; \qquad (10)$$

where the E_i stands for the complex amplitude of the positive frequency component electric eld of the probe laser. The electric dipole operator is expressed as

$$\tilde{a}_{3i} = eh3 jr jii:$$
 (11)

W ithin the sem iclassical theory of optical interactions, density matrix of the system evolves according to the Liouville equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{i}{h}[H;] \frac{1}{2}f; g:$$
 (12)

A ssum ing a diagonal relaxation m atrix hi j jji = i ij, for ourm odelH am iltonian, density m atrix equations (opticalB loch equations) becom e[7, 14]

$$\underline{_{33}} = {_{3}}_{33} = {_{\frac{1}{2}}}_{i=1;2} \overset{i}{\overset{i}{_{2}}} e^{i_{i}t_{3i}} cx;; (13)$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 11 &=& 1 & 11 & \frac{i}{2} & 1e^{i_{1}t} & 13 & cx; ; & (14) \\ 22 &=& 2 & 22 & \frac{i}{2} & 2e^{i_{2}t} & 23 & cx; ; & (15) \end{array}$$

$$\underline{_{31}} = (\underline{i!}_{31} + \underline{_{31}})_{31} \frac{\underline{i}}{2}_{1} e^{\underline{i}_{1}\underline{t}} (\underline{_{33}}_{11})$$

$$+\frac{1}{2} 2e^{i_{2}t_{21}};$$
 (16)

$${}_{2} = (\underline{i}!_{32} + {}_{32})_{32} \quad \frac{1}{2} \; {}_{2} e^{\underline{i} \; {}_{2} t} ({}_{33} \; {}_{22})$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}_{1}e^{i_{1}t}_{12};$$
 (17)

$$21 = (i!_{21} + 2_1)_{21} \frac{1}{2}_{1} e^{i_{1}t}_{23} + \frac{i}{2}_{2} e^{i_{2}t}_{31};$$
(18)

where cc: in plies the com plex conjugate of the preceding term. It is useful to note here that these equations could be written m ore generally for a dense m edia in terms of the total local eld. W ithin the linear response theory, and assuming the material under consideration is linear, we will take into account Lorentz-Lorenz correction after determining the dilute material response as usual[15].

The relaxation rates of the o -diagonal elements of the density matrix are introduced as $2_{ij} = _i + _j$. Form al solution for $_{21}$ (t) can be written as

$${}_{21}(t) = \frac{1}{2} {}_{2} e^{i_{2}t} {}_{31}(t t^{0}) e^{i[(!_{21}+2)+21]t^{0}} dt^{0};$$

which leads to

$$\tilde{z}_{31} = (i + _{31}) \tilde{z}_{31} - \frac{i}{2} (_{33} _{11})$$

$$\frac{j_2 j^2}{4} \tilde{z}_{1}^{-1} \tilde{z}_{31} (t t^0) e^{i[() + _{21}]t^0} dt^0:$$

Here, we introduced a slow variable $\sim_{31} = {}_{31}e^{i_1t}$, detuning of the probe beam $= !_{31}$, and detuning of the driving beam $= !_{32}$.

The e ect of weak probe eld on the system can be treated perturbatively. Carrying out standard linear response method, to the rst order in the probe eld am – plitude, we replace the inversion $\begin{pmatrix} 33 & 11 \end{pmatrix}$ by its initial value which is taken to be 1, assuming only a small fraction of atom s are pumped out of their initial states. The integral can be evaluated by assuming \sim_{31} doesn't change appreciably in time scale of 1 = 21. We nd

$$\sim_{31} = \frac{i}{2} \frac{[i() + 21]}{(i + 31)[i() + 21] + j 2f = 4}: (19)$$

Positive frequency component of the complex induced electric dipole moment of the atom is given by $p_i = d_{13}^i$, which is related to the complex atom ic polarizability tensor $asp_i = {}_{ij}E_{1j}$. We adopt the sum mation convention, in which sum mation over a repeated index is im plied.

For the m acroscopic polarization we have to take into account local elde ects which lead to C lausius-M ossotti [6] relation between polarizability and the susceptibility $_{e}$. For small enough concentration N of atom s $_{e} = N _{0}$ holds. Using $P_{i} = _{0} _{e}^{ij}E_{1j} = d_{13}^{i}$ 31, we identify the complex electric susceptibility tensor for a gas of such three level atom s with concentration N to be [7, 14]

$${}^{ij} = \frac{i}{2} \frac{d_{13}^{i} d_{31}^{j}}{a_{31} h_{0} D}$$

$$D = \frac{1}{31} i 1 + \frac{2}{4} \frac{2}{a_{31} [i() + a_{21}]} :$$

$$e = N - 1 - \frac{N}{3} e^{-1}$$
(20)
(21)

C om plex dielectric perm ittivity tensor can be similarly constructed via $_{ij} = _0(_{ij} + _e^{ij})$. We observe that this contributes to the complex perm eability tensor of the system. It should be noted that for = 0 and for small N, we recover the well-known results for an electrom agnetically induced transparent system. Now, using the equation

$$\underline{}_{21} = (\underline{i!}_{21} + \underline{}_{21})_{21} + \frac{\underline{i}}{2}_{2} \overline{}_{31} e^{\underline{i}(\underline{}_{2} \underline{}_{1})t}; \quad (22)$$

we deduce the relation

$$\sim_{21} = \frac{i}{2} \frac{2}{i(2)} + \frac{2}{21} \sim_{31} ;$$
 (23)

for the new variable $\sim_{21} = _{21} \exp(i(_{1} _{2})t)$.

W e can now calculate the induced m agnetic dipole m om ent of the atom using

$$h = Tr(~);$$
 (24)

where $\sim = _{\rm B}$ L=h is considered for the magnetic dipole operator by assuming the contribution from nuclear spin are negligible. The electronic spin part is for simplicity.

As the lower levels are of opposite parity with the upper level, the only non-vanishing contribution m ay arise if the lower levels are of the same parity. In this case we get $h = 21^{-12} + cc$, which gives

$$hri = \frac{1}{4(i + a_{11})[i(a_{11}) + a_{21}] + a_{22}} + cc: (25)$$

In order to describe the atom ic response to the magnetic eld component of the probe eld, we let the induced magnetic dipole of the atom oscillate in phase with the probe beam. This is achieved when $1 \ 2 = 1$. Setting aside the static eld solution we consider the case of $2 = 2 \ 1$. The other possibility 2 = 0 would be the case of a static electric eld as the coupling eld. This should be separately discussed as it is necessary to examine Stark shifts of the levels and modify the present theory accordingly. The driving eld is taken to be resonant with the !32 when the probe is resonant with the

 $!_{31}$ so that = 2 which puts a constraint on the three level system as $!_{32} = 2!_{31}$.

This constraint is, how ever, a major obstacle in realizing the predicted e ects here at a realistic experim ental setting as it is not straightforward to nd a system with two states, which have a matrix element of ~ between them and at the same time have energy di erence in the optical range. This is mainly due to the fact that is an angular operator and the two states involved should have the same radial wavefunctions to give a non zero matrix element. O ne can im agine, som e external magnetic eld adjusting the separations to give the necessary energy conditions. However, for an atom ic system to get splittings in the optical regime, the external eld would be in practically large. One can try to investigate system s in which ~ is not an angular operator, such as m olecular gases, and try to nd optically separated states which have a magnetic dipole matrix element between them.

As far as atom ic gases are concerned, the best option seems to be to take two states which have the same L value but which are split due to L-S coupling to be the states jli and jli and try to get a third level of opposite parity to full the energy condition. Another direction to proceed would be to consider all our discussion for an atom ic system under high electric eld. In that case, it will not be too hard to get to elds which give shifts on the order of optical frequencies, how ever one m ust carefully do the preceding analysis again taking into account the e ect of static electric eld on all three states.

We assume this condition is fulled with our hypothetical model atom and proceed by writing the product $_{1\sim12}$ explicitly, so that we can exam ine the directional character of the magnetic response of the atom to the probe eld. Electric dipole of the probe transition and the magnetic dipole of the lower levels are combined through a tensor product relation such that

$$_{1} (\sim_{12})_{i} = \frac{E_{1}}{h} X_{j} _{1j};$$
 (26)

where we introduce

$$ij = h1j_{1}j_{2}ih3jd_{31}^{j}jli$$
: (27)

The tensor demonstrates the combined e ect of electric and magnetic eld components of the optical eld on the directional character of the magnetic response of the medium .

To calculate the induced m agnetic dipole m om ent m atrix elements, it is convenient to consider angular m om entum basis in which we can also calculate the elements of electric dipole m om ent using the W igner-E ckart theorem. Let us identify the states as

U sing $L_x = (L_+ + L_-)=2$ and $L_y = (L_+ - L_-)=2im$ atrix elements of the angular momentum are readily obtained

in this basis as

$$hlj_{x}j_{i} = \frac{h^{p}}{2} (l+m)(l-m+1);$$

$$hlj_{y}j_{i} = \frac{h^{p}}{2i} (l+m)(l-m+1);$$

$$h2j_{z}j_{i} = 0:$$
(29)

The matrix elements of the electric dipole operator can be conveniently calculated by expressing it as a spherical tensor operator of rank 1 so that its components become

$$ez = T_{0}^{(1)}; ex = \frac{1}{p - 2} T_{1}^{(1)} T_{1}^{(1)};$$

$$ey = \frac{1}{p - 2} T_{1}^{(1)} + T_{1}^{(1)};$$
(30)

We use the Wigner-Eckart theorem in the form

$$m l_{3}m_{3} J_{m_{2}}^{(l_{2})} j_{0}^{0} l_{1}m_{1}i = C_{m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}}^{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}} \frac{m l_{3} j J_{m_{2}}^{(l_{2})} j_{0}^{0} l_{1}i}{\frac{p}{2l_{1}+1}}:$$

Here, the rst factor is the Clebsh-Gordan coe cient where we choose a notation resembling its symmetric form in terms of W igner-3 j coe cients. The second factor is the reduced matrix element which is independent of the orientation of the magnetic dipole characterized by the angular momentum projection quantum number m. In our case it is given by

$$hn^{0}; l+1jerjn; li = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} drer^{3}R_{n^{0}; l+1}(r)R_{n1}(r); \quad (31)$$

which is always non-vanishing, with $R_{n1}(r)$ being the radial wavefunction. By the m-selection rule (m₁ + m₂ = m₃ is required for non-vanishing matrix elem ents), we see that matrix elements of the $T_{1,0}^{(1)}$ vanish. The sole non-vanishing matrix element of T $T_{1}^{(1)}$ determines the matrix elements of x and y components of the position operator which are found to be

$$d_{31}^{x} = \frac{(1 m + 1)(1 m + 2)}{2(21+2)(21+3)^{3}} m^{0}; l+ 1 jer jj li;$$

$$d_{31}^{y} = id_{31}^{x}; \quad d_{31}^{z} = 0:$$
(32)

Combining Eq.29 and Eq.32, we nally get

~

$$= \frac{{}^{B}}{4} \ln^{0}; l+1; jer; jn li(l m + 1)$$
(33)
$$\frac{(l+m)(l m + 2)}{(l+1)(2l+3)^{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & i & 0 \\ i & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

as the m atrix which determ ines the orientation of the induced dipole m om ent. It should be noted that the m atrix

gives zero response to positively polarized EM waves in accordance with the dipole selection nules. For our particular set of levels, we need negatively polarized EM waves as they provide the photons with correct helicity to satisfy the angularm om entum conservation in the probe photon em ission and absorption processes between the states 1 and 3. For negatively polarized waves, just reduces to a scalar.

It is worth noticing that the structure of the tensor resembles that of gyrotropic substances with both

and are tensors such as pure ferror agnetic m etals and sem iconductors. These were argued to be m ost likely candidates to dem onstrate left-handedness in the original paper by Veselago [9].

Further calculations require setting the polarizations of the coupling and the probe beam s. To cause transitions between $\frac{1}{2}i$ and $\frac{1}{3}i$; the coupling beam polarization $^{d}_{d}$ has to have a component along the quantization direction $\frac{1}{2}$. So let us take the coupling beam to propagate in the x y plane, and be linearly polarized along $\frac{1}{2}$. To cause transitions between states jli and jli, the probe beam m ust have a polarization vector lying in the x y plane. Let us take it to be propagating along the $\frac{1}{2}$ axis with polarization lying in the x-y plane.

Then, our general expression for the induced m agnetic m om ent leads to

$$\sim = (!) E (\hat{x} \quad i\hat{y});$$
 (34)

where ! now denotes the frequency of the probe beam and

$$(!) = \frac{B}{2h} \frac{_{2}hn^{0}; l+1jerjjnli(l m + 1)}{(l+m)(l m + 2)} \frac{_{1}}{2}$$
(35)

$$Z = 4(i + _{31})[i() + _{21}] + j_{2} j$$

W ith this de nition of (!) we can extend our result to m acroscopic electrom agnetics of a gas with concentration N. In the spirit of C lausius {M ossoti equation [6], we de ne the m agnetization per unit volum e as

$$M' = N \quad (!) (E + \frac{P}{3_0}) (\hat{x} \quad i\hat{y})$$
(36)
= N (!) (1 + $\frac{e}{3}$) E ($\hat{x} \quad i\hat{y}$):

Now we recall the Fourier transform of the curl equation for electric eld in M axwell's equations. For a negatively polarized wave

$$\mathbf{B}' = \frac{1}{!} \mathbf{\tilde{k}} \quad \mathbf{E} \ (\mathbf{\hat{x}} \quad \mathbf{i}\mathbf{\hat{y}})$$
$$= \frac{1}{C} \mathbf{E} \ (\mathbf{\hat{x}} \quad \mathbf{i}\mathbf{\hat{y}}): \tag{37}$$

Combining equations (36), (37), we have

$$M' = iN (!)c(1 + \frac{e}{3})B':$$
 (38)

Finally by using the de nitions $\vec{B} = _0 (\vec{H} + \vec{M})$ and $\vec{B} = \vec{H}$, we get

$$r(!) = \frac{1}{1 + i_0 (!)c(1 + \frac{e(!)}{3})}$$
(39)



FIG. 2: Frequency dependence of the relative (e ective) dielectric perm ittivity $_{\rm r}$ and the relative m agnetic perm eability $_{\rm r}$ of the dense gas of three level atom s with N = 10^{24} m⁻³, 589nm, 10:06M Hz, $_{\rm ge} = 0.5$, $_{\rm gr} = 2$ 10^{3} Hz, and $_{2} = 0.56$.

with r = 0 is the relative (e ective) permeability. W e shalls that combined e ect of electric and m agnetic eld components of the optical elds, as well as local eld e ects lead to novel light propagation regimes in particular on the EIT resonance frequency.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

W e consider a gas of 23 N a atom s with N = 10^{24} m 3 to exam ine the case of dense m edia where the Lorentz-Lorenz local eld corrections play signi cant role and $N = 10^{12} \text{m}^{-3}$, for the case of a dilute gas where the local eld e ects are weak. O ur results are presented in Fig.2 for the dense m edia and in Fig.3 for the dilute gas. W e see that both the relative dielectric permittivity $=_0$ and the relative magnetic permeability $_r =$ r = = 0 can become negative over a band of frequency 0:001 . This allow s the propagation of light through otherwise opaque medium at high densities where the electrom agnetically induced transparency would not work. At resonance we nd $_{r}(0) = 0.69$ i0:11 and $_{r}(0) =$ 1:86 + i0:12. It is natural to have transm ission losses in our model, sim ilar to other left-handed structures, as they are unavoidable due to the K ram ers-K ronig relations ensuring the causality in the system . On the other hand, theoretically it is not a trivial task to estim ate the am ount of losses[16, 17, 18] and to rigorously prove the causality in left-handed materials [19, 20]. We can give a simple and rough estimate by simply taking into account the imaginary part of the refractive index which gives that after several microns the optical eld will be dam ped by 33% due to linear absorption. At such length scales, our atom ic system with the given densities



FIG. 3: Sam e with Fig2 but for the case of dilute gas with N = 10^{12} m³. Here, the electric susceptibility and the magnetic susceptibility are plotted as the relative permittivity and the perm eability do not change appreciably from unity.

may be found in Bose-Einstein condensed state due to the interatom ic interactions. Multiple scattering of photons as well as higher order many body correlations may contribute in addition to the local eld correction. Such e ects are argued to be about the same order with the local eld correction [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27]. It is an intriguing possibility that the present result of induced left-handedness could improve and benet from contributions arising from the quantum correlations in a dense Bose-E instein condensate or in a dense degenerate Ferm i gas. In this paper, we will be content with limiting ourselves to classical gaseous media and hope to discuss the case of quantum gases elsewhere in detail.

In the dilute gas lim it, we recover the usual behavior of the electric susceptibility under electrom agnetically induced transparency conditions. The transparency region is in the valley between the twin peaks in the imaginary part of the electric susceptibility where the peaks correspond to the two dressed absorption lines, the Autler-Townes doublet [28]. Them agnetic susceptibility exhibits steep variation over a narrow band of frequencies in the vicinity of the resonance while in magnitude the relative permeability remains close to unity for all . When 10^{20} , similar results to those shown in Fig.3 are found where now $_{\rm r}$ varies between 1.002 and 0.9985 over 2 (;).

In our num erical calculations, we estimate the dipole matrix element from the spontaneous emission rate

10:06M Hz using the relation $d_{31} = \frac{3 h_0^{3} = 8^{2}}{3 h_0^{3} = 8^{2}}$. Here is the wavelength of resonant probe transition which is 589nm. Typical values for $g_e = 0.5$ and $g_r=2$ 10^{3} Hz are used. Rabi frequency associated with the driving eld is chosen to be $_{2} = 0.56$.

IV. CONCLUSION

In sum mary, we suggested a method for optical modi cation of magnetic permeability using a three level scheme and derived the necessary conditions for its applicability. We found that it is in principle possible to electrom agnetically induce left-handedness to a spatially hom ogeneous media. The major challenge we face is to have two levels separated at optical frequencies while having a non-vanishing magnetic dipole matrix elem ent. Such level splittings require large external m agnetic elds or should be engineered by other means such as external electric elds or spin-orbital couplings. O ne m ay also consider m olecular gases, or try to utilize excitonic energy levels in solid state heterostructures to engineer three level system ful lling the energy condition. The predicted e ect is fundam entally based upon the Lorentz-Lorenz local eld contribution in an electrom agnetically induced transparency medium of three level atom swith a non-vanishing dipole m om ent between lower levels. In dense medium lim it, in which the medium becom es opaque norm ally with a negative dielectric con-

- [L] L.V. Hau, S.E. Harris, Z. Dutton, and C.H. Behroozi, Nature 397, 594 (1999).
- [2] D F.Phillips, A.Fleischhauer, A.Mair, R.L.Walsworth, and M.D.Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 783 (2001).
- [3] J.B. Pendry and D.R. W hite, Physics Today, 57 (6), 37 (2004) and references therein.
- [4] J.B. Pendry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3966 (2000).
- [5] A. Mair, J. Hager, D F. Phillips, R L. W alsworth, and M D. Lukin, Physical Review A 65, 031802 (2002).
- [6] J.D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, 2nd ed., (Wiley, New York, 1975).
- [7] M O. Scully and M S. Zubairy, Quantum Optics, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
- [8] L D. Landau, E M. Lifshitz and L P. Pitaevskii, Electrodynam ics of Continuous M edia, (Butterworth H eniem ann, O xford, 1998).
- [9] V G. Veselago, U sp. Fiz. N auk. 92, 517 (1964); Translation in Sov. Phys. U sp. 10, 509 (1968).
- [10] D R.Smith, W J.Padilla, D C.Vier, SC.Nemat-Nasser, and S.Schultz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4184 (2000).
- [11] JB. Pendry, A J. Holden, W J. Stewart and I. Youngs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4773 (1996).
- [12] E.Cubukcu, K.Aydin, E.Ozbay, S.Foteinopoulou, and C M. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 207401 (2003).
- [13] S.E.Harris, J.E.Field, and A.Im am oglu, Phys.Rev. Lett.64,1107 (1990); K J.Boller, A.Im am oglu, and S.E. Harris, Phys.Rev.Lett. 66, 2593 (1991); M.O.Scully, Phys.Rep.219,191 (1992); S.E.Harris, Physics Today 50,36 (1997), and references therein.

stant, the presence of m agnetic dipole gives rise to a negative m agnetic perm eability so that the probe beam would still propagate w ithin the otherw ise optically thick dense m edium for several m icrons before it is nally absorbed.

It should be emphasized that the presented method is applicable to spatially hom ogeneous media and does not need any spatial periodicity which is unavoidable in metamaterials. In the dilute medium limit, the value of permeability do not change from unity appreciably, how ever, in this case we observed that it demonstrates steep changes over a small band of frequency. Such large gradient of permeability may a ect the character of light propagation such as its group velocity and may serve an additional method to slow down or speed up the light.

A cknow ledgm ents

O E M . acknow ledges useful discussions with A . Sennaroglu. M D D . thanks W . Ketterle for a prelim inary discussion of the idea and encouragement.

- [14] G. Morigi and G.S. Agarwal, Phys. Rev. A 62, 013801 (2000).
- [15] C.M.Bowden and J.P.Dowling, Phys. Rev. A 47, 1247 (1993).
- [16] N. Garcia and M. Nieto-Vesperinas, Optics Letters 27, 885 (2002).
- [17] P.Markos, I.Rousochatzakis, and C.M. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. E 66, 045601 (2002).
- [18] C.G. Parazzoli, R.B.G reegor, K.Li, B.E.C.Koltenbah, and M.Tanielian, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 107401 (2003).
- [19] R.W. Ziolkowski and A.D.K ipple, Phys. Rev. E 68, 026615 (2003).
- [20] T. Koschny, P. Markos, D. R. Smith, and C. M. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. E 68, 065602 (2003).
- [21] K.V.Krutiky, F.Burgbacher, and J.Audretsch, Phys. Rev. A 59, 1517 (1999).
- [22] H.W allis, Phys. Rev. A 56, 2060 (1997).
- [23] O.Morice, Y.Castin, and J.Dalibard, Phys. Rev. A 51, 3896 (1995).
- [24] J.Ruostekoski and J.Javanainen, Phys. Rev. A 55, 513 (1997).
- [25] J.Ruostekoskiand J.Javanainen, Phys. Rev. A 56, 2056 (1997).
- [26] M. Fleischhauer and S.F.Yelin, Phys. Rev. A 59, 2427 (1999).
- [27] K.V.K rutitsky, F.Burgbacher, and J.Audretsch, Laser Phys. 10, 15 (2000).
- [28] S.H.Autler, C.H. Townes, Phys. Rev. 100, 703 (1955).