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## I. INTRODUCTION

A dvection-di usion equations (ADEs) describe a broad class of processes in the natural sciences. A s their nam e im plies, they provide a continuum ( $m$ acroscopic) representation of system s w hose underlying dynam ics com bines B row nian m otion (di usion) w ith som e form of determ inistic drift (advection). In this paper we shall consider AD E s of the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t}=r \quad D r \quad r \quad v: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eld typically describes the num ber density of \particles" whidh, depending on the application, can range from electrons in a plasm $a$, to chem icalm olecules advected in solution, to colloidal particles, to biological cells m oving along chem ical gradients. In principle the di usion coe cient $D(x ; t)$ and the velocity eld $v(x ; t)$ can depend on the density eld . A n idea of the ubiquity ofA D Es can be gauged from their diverse applications to traditional physics, soft $m$ atter system $s$, and biology. A $s m$ all subset of exam ples are $m$ agnetic fusion


 and a w ide array of tracer di usion problem s (for exam ple'

It is generally not possible to analytically solve A D Es, especially since they often appear w ithin sets of non-linear coupled equations. For this reason, great em phasis has been placed on num erical integration methods, typically based on nite di erences. It has been found that the advection term, despite its apparent sim plicity, is extrem ely troublesom e to handle [1]-̄]. There are two m ajor challenges: stability, which can be im proved using a range of im plicit $m$ ethods, and accuracy, which is a delicate issue, requiring the \best possible" form of spatial discretization. Regarding the issue of stability, many schem es are in use, such as the C rank- N icholson/AD I and fractional step $m$ ethods $[\overline{1} \overline{2}, 1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1]$, and the Lax-N endro $m$ ethod $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[-\overline{1}-1] .}\end{array}\right]$ The issue of accuracy has received som ew hat less attention $w$ ith two spatial discretization schem es (and their im $m$ ediate variants) com $m$ only in use: these are the sim ple Taylor expansion [īn paper is the derivation of a new discretization schem ewhich is physically appealing, sim ple to apply in all dim ensions, and m ore accurate than those currently in use.

## II. A SIM PLE EXAM PLE

To begin, let us present the key idea in the context of a sim ple ADE, nam ely, a onedim ensional system w ith a velocity function proportional to the spatialderivative of a scalar potential $(x ; t)$. Thus, we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{D}_{0} \varrho_{\mathrm{x}}^{2} \quad Q_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\varrho_{\mathrm{x}}\right) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{0}$ and are constants.
M ost num ericalalgorithm sdesigned to integrate an equation such as (2, ) treat the di usion
 for the latter term. In doing so, two fundam entalproperties of the equation $m$ ust be exactly m aintained. These are the non-negativity of and its spatial conservation:

$$
{ }^{Z} d x \quad(x ; t)=\text { const : }
$$

A $s$ an illustration, let us w rite dow $n$ a com $m$ on spatialdiscretization using sim ple Taylor expansion, which is used both for explicit Euler schem es [1]
 set of functions $f_{i}(t) g$ de ned on a regular grid with lattice spacing $h$. The equation of $m$ otion for $i$ is $w$ ritten using centered spatial derivatives:

$$
\left.\frac{d_{i}}{d t}=\frac{D_{0}}{h^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i+1  \tag{4}\\
i 1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \quad \overline{4 h^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
i+1 & \left(\begin{array}{l}
i+2
\end{array}\right. \\
i
\end{array}\right) \quad i_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & i
\end{array}\right)\right]:
$$

It is notew orthy that this sim ple schem e requires know ledge of the scalar eld at nextnearest neighbor grid points rather than neighboring grid points. For future reference we rew rite this discrete equation in the follow ing $m$ anner:
which we shall hereafter refer to as the \linear centered discretization" (LCD) (and which resem bles the backw ard Euler schem e used for sim ple advection problem s [1] 1

W e now tum to a new discretization schem e which em erges from a sim ple $m$ athem atical transform ation of the ADE (2̄). De ning $==2 \mathrm{D}_{0}$ it can be veri ed by direct di erentiation that Eq. (즌) m ay be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{D}_{0} \mathrm{e} \varrho_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}(\mathrm{e}) \quad \mathrm{e} \quad @_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}(\mathrm{e}): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sim ilar transform ation involving exponential functions is known for Fokker-P lanck equations $[\underline{1} \overline{1} 9]$. The sim ple ADE given in $(\underset{-1}{\overline{2}})$ can indeed be form ally intenpreted as such an equation, although the physical origin is quite di erent. W e will shortly be considering $m$ ore generalADEs in which the di usion coe cient and velocity function can be functions of the density . C learly then the sim ple correspondence w ith FokkerP landk equations breaks dow $n$, although we are still able to achieve a transform ation of the kind given above. The cnucial feature of Eq. ( $(\bar{q})$ is that spatial derivatives only enter in the form of a second derivative $@_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$ which is straightforw ard to discretize. U sing the sim plest such discretization we im m ediately have

There are a num ber of points to $m$ ake conceming this equation. $F$ irst, in contrast to the LCD $(\underset{\sim}{\overline{1}})$, the scalar eld appears in a non-linear fashion, and is sam pled at nearestneighbor positions. Second, the new equation is of the sam $e$ form as a master equation
 particle is located at grid position i. T he transition rate for the particle to hop from grid point $i$ to a neighboring point $j$ is of the $A$ rmenius form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i!j}=\left(D_{0}=h^{2}\right) \exp \left[\quad\left({ }_{i} \quad j\right)\right]: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$G$ iven this form al analogy w ith a $m$ aster equation for a probability function, one im mediately sees that Eq. $(\underline{\bar{\eta}}$, ) exactly $m$ aintains conservation of the function (norm alization of probability) and its non-negativity. D ue to this analogy we hereafter refer to Eq. ( $\bar{\eta}_{1}$ ) as the \m aster equation discretization" (MED).

O ur num ericalw ork (see section $V$ ) show sthat the M ED is m ore accurate than the LCD and other popular discretizations. To appreciate the underlying reason for this, it is helpful to consider the case of 1 in which case we can expand the exponential functions in Eq. ( $\bar{i}_{1}$ ) to nst order. O ne then nds

C om parison of this form w ith Eq. (15-1) gives useful insight into the potential w eakness of the LCD. Nam ely, it neglects an im portant curvature term in the scalar eld. In fact, this
om ission is directly related to arti cial (or \num erical") di usion, which is a com m on failing
 schem e given above in Eq. (19, ) can of course be regarded as one of $m$ any possible linear discretizations, but w thout the derivation given here one would have no a priori reason to prefer it over form s such as the LCD , since they both have non-vanishing second-order errors in space. C ontinuing the expansion of the exponential term $s$ in powers of yields crucial non-linear corrections to Eq. ( $\overline{(g)})$ which have no analogy within linear discretization schem es. As shall be seen below, the M ED is easily form ulated for the d-dim ensional extension of Eq.(2̄) as well as for a range of $m$ ore general AD Es.

## III. THE GENERALCASE

C onsider the general ADE in d-dim ensions given in Eq.(ī1). W e shall now proced to transform this equation into a form am enable to the M ED. In one dim ension we shall nd that this is possible for general functions $D$ and $v$. In higher dim ensions the vectorial nature of the velocity eld will place a constraint on the transform ation.

Let us introduce two scalar functions $f(x ; t)$ and $g(x ; t)$ de ned via the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{fg} ;  \tag{10}\\
& \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{gr} \mathrm{f} \quad \mathrm{fr} \mathrm{~g}: \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the ADE (프) has the explicit form

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t}=r \quad[f g r \quad] \quad r \quad[(g r f \quad f r g)]: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By direct di erentiation one can show that this equation $m$ ay be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t}=\mathrm{fr}^{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{g} \mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{f}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

O nce again, we see that the spatial derivatives appear only as Laplacians, which allow s us to $\mathrm{im} m$ ediately write down a sim ple discrete form. Let us de ne the discrete Laplacian via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.r^{2} Q(x)={\frac{1}{h^{2}}}_{j}^{X}{ }_{j}^{0} Q_{j} \quad Q_{i}\right) ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over nearest neighbors $j$ of the grid point $i$, which corresponds to the continuum position $x$. Then the MED corresponding to Eq. $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{3})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.@_{t}={ }^{X}{ }_{j}^{0} W_{j!i} \quad j \quad W_{i!} \quad j \quad i\right] ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the transition rate for \hopping" from site $i$ to site $j$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}!\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{h}^{2}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ aving form ulated the M ED in this generalm anner, let us exam ine som e particular cases. $W$ e stress that once the functions $f$ and $g$ are determ ined the discrete algorithm is com pletely de ned via the transition rate given above.

First, we consider one dim ension. In this case it is possible to integrate Eqs. (1̄10 ) and (1]ini) exactly to nd the necessary auxiliary functions $f$ and $g$ in term $s$ of the physically relevant di usion coe cient and velocity. O ne nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x ; t)=C^{p} \overline{D(x ; t)} \exp (S) ; \quad S(x ; t)=\frac{1}{2}^{Z^{x}} d x^{0} \frac{v\left(x^{0} ; t\right)}{D\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}\right)} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $g$ then given trivially from ( give

$$
W_{i!j}=\frac{P \overline{D_{i} D_{j}}}{h^{2}} \exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(S_{i}\right. & \left.\left.S_{j}\right)\right]: \tag{18}
\end{array}\right.
$$

A non-trivial application of this general solution would be advection-di usion in the kinetic theory of gases where the di usion coe cient is non-constant, and actually depends on
 possible. Solvable cases will rely on special conditions for D and v rem iniscent of the potential conditions for the existence of steady-state solutions to the multi-variate Fokker$P$ lanck equation $[1 \overline{1} 9,1 / 2 \overline{1} 01]$.

Form any problem sthe di usion coe cient is constant ( $D_{0}$ ) and the velocity function is associated w ith a scalar potential via $v=r$. In these cases, the analysis leading to


$$
\left.\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}!\mathrm{j}}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{0}=\mathrm{h}^{2}\right) \exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{i} & \mathrm{i} \tag{19}
\end{array}\right)\right] ;
$$

where we rem ind the reader that $==2 \mathrm{D}_{0}$. As found in one dim ension, this schem e includes im portant curvature term s , even w thin a linear approxim ation, which are absent in conventional LCD algorithm s. Num erical analysis show s such term $s$ to be essential in regions where has $m$ axim a orm inim a.

The M ED schem e encapsulated in Eqs. (1-15) and ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{-1})$ can be used to m odelm ore com plicated ADEs in which there is non-linear feedback. An interesting exam ple of this is the
continuum theory of group dynam ics, in which a non-linear and non-local feedback $m$ echanism is im posed via the velocity potential

$$
(x ; t)=d^{Z} d^{d} x^{Q} V\left(x \quad x^{0}\right) \quad\left(x^{0} ; t\right) ;
$$

where $V$ is analogous to a potential, and is responsible for long-range attraction and shortrange repulsion of individuals. IfV is a D irac -function then / . Such m odels are used to describe density-dependent dispersal in population dynam ics $[\underline{\underline{[ }} 2 \overline{2}]$ and have recently been
 A second well-known exam ple is the $K$ eller-Segel $m$ odel for chem otactic $m$ otion $\left.\hat{\sigma}_{\underline{9}}^{1}\right]$. H ere, the potential represents the chem oattractant concentration eld and is coupled to the cell density eld via

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{t}=r^{2} \quad+\quad ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where , and are the di usion constant for the chem ical eld and its rate of degradation and production respectively. This equation is easily discretized and the resulting discrete chem ical concentration eld $m$ ay be inserted into the transition rate ' ' $\overline{1}-\overline{1} \overline{1}$ ) allow ing a straightforw ard schem e for integration of the cell density.

## IV. FINE-TUNING THEMED ALGORITHM

From num erical investigations (see next section) we have found that the M ED is generally far m ore accurate than both the LCD and upw ind schem es. In regions where the velocity function has strong spatialvariation, the M ED does an exœllent job in predicting the correct density even for grid scales approaching the scale of variation of the velocity. H ow ever, in the \sim pler case" when dynam ics are dom inated by advection in a region of quasi-constant velocity, the MED fares less well. This problem can be traced back to the exponential weights yielding, in regions of constant velocity, an over-estim ated drift velocity. In term s of a hopping process, the bias in hopping rates between neighboring sites is proportional to $\sinh (\quad)$, whereas the correct drift velocity is sim ply proportional to .

W e discuss here tw o straightforw ard extensions to M ED which alleviate this problem , but also lead to slightly less accurate algorithm s in the \non-trivial" regions where the velocity is strongly varying. Both extensions am ount to a renorm alization of the hopping rates.

An ideal algorithm would be a hybrid, using the originalM ED and either of the follow ing extensions in appropriate regions. W e will not discuss such hybrid schem es here since their form w ill be strongly dependent on actual applications.

For sim plicity let us consider again the one-dim ensionalAD E given in Eq. (īi). TheM ED schem e for this case in given in Eq. $\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)$, where the transition rate from site $i$ to neighboring site $j$ has the explicit form

$$
\left.W_{i!j}=\left(\mathbb{D}_{0}=h^{2}\right) \exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}
(i & j \tag{22}
\end{array}\right)\right]:
$$

It is clear from $(\underline{\underline{2}} \overline{2})$ that the e ective drift velocity arising from the bias in hopping rates between $i$ and $j$ is

$$
v_{e}=h\left(W_{i!} j \quad W_{j!~}\right)=\left(2 D_{0}=h\right) \sinh \left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
j & i
\end{array}\right)=2 D_{0}\right] ; \tag{23}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where we have reinstated $==2 D_{0}$ for clarity. The correct drift velocity between these two points is simply ( j i) =h which is recovered if the grid scale is sm all (or else the velocity potential is slow ly varying).

In order to correct the M ED algorithm onem ay either renorm alize the e ective di usion coe cient (which is the pre-factor of the exponential weight) or else renom alize the param eter which appears in the argum ent of the exponential. In the form er case one has, on tting the driff velocity to its correct value, the e ective di usion coe cient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{D}_{0} \frac{=2 \mathrm{D}_{0}}{\sinh \left(\quad=2 \mathrm{D}_{0}\right)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the M ED transition weight taking the $\backslash$ Ferm i-D irac" (FD) form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.W_{i!j}=\frac{D_{0}}{h^{2}} \frac{\left(l_{i}\right.}{} \frac{j}{}\right)=D_{0}\right): \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he altemative is to correct the drift velocity by adjusting, which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{=} \frac{1}{}_{\sinh }{ }^{1} \overline{2 D_{0}} \quad: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

W riting the inverse hyperbolic function in term sof a logarithm leads to the M ED transition rate taking the \square root" (SR ) form

N um erically one nds that the FD form $(\overline{2}(\underline{5})$ is generally $m$ ore accurate than the $S R$ form $\left.\overline{2}_{2} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$, and that both are superior to the LCD and upw ind schem es. A s already $m$ entioned, the originalM ED schem e de ned by Eq ${ }_{(1-1}^{(2 \overline{1} \overline{1})}$ is the best of all the schem es described when the velocity eld is strongly varying, and/or during asym ptotic relaxation of the density eld to its steady-state.

## V. NUMERICALWORK

W e have $m$ ade a careful num erical analysis of the sim ple one-dim ensional AD E given in Eq. (2̄), along w ith its tw o-dim ensional extension. Since we wish to gauge the accuracy of our new schem e, we have com pared the MED scheme ( $(\bar{T})$, and its variants (the MED (FD)
 given in $(\underset{-1}{(9)})$ ), w ith both the LCD and upw ind schem es $[\underline{1} \mathbf{1} 2 \overline{-}]$. In one dim ension we use a static velocity potential given by $(\mathrm{x})=[1+\cos (2 \mathrm{nx}=\mathrm{L})]=2 \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{n}=16$ and $\mathrm{L}=12: 8$. The initial density function is taken to be uniform in the region $x 2(3 ; 3)$ and zero otherw ise. $T$ he density is nom alized to unity and periodic boundary conditions are enforced. T his setup provides a challenging test of all the schem es since the velocity eld is a strongly varying function of position. Furthem ore, we challenge the $m$ ethods by using the param eter values $D_{0}=1: 0$ and $=5: 0$ (F igure 1) and $=20: 0$ (Figure 2), which correspond to $m$ oderate to high grid Peclet num bers $\left.{ }_{[i \bar{i}]}\right]$ at the grid scales of interest. H ere, the largest P eclet num bers are approxim ately given by 2 h and so vary between 025 and 8 for the data shown in Figures 1 and 2. The dynam ics consists of a rapid transient phase where the density eld adapts to the periodic structure of the velocity eld, flllow ed by a slow er relaxation tow ards the steady-state. T hus, the num erical analysis probes each schem e's ability to track rapid advective $m$ otion and di usive relaxation around $m$ axim $a$ and $m$ inim a of the velocity eld.

In order to assess the accuracy of the m ethods we rst run allschem es at a very sm allgrid size of $=0: 00625$, using an explicit tem poral schem ew ith $t=10^{6}$. Very good agreem ent is found am ong all the schem es and the solution is denoted lexact." $W$ e then run all the schem es at larger grid scales using $t=10^{4}$, and dynam ically com pare the approxim ate
solutions w th the exact one. This is gauged using the relative error, which is de ned via

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{P_{i} \sum_{i}(t)}{\left.i_{i \text { exact }}(t)\right]^{2}} i_{i \text { iexact }(t)^{2}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote, that $t$ is chosen smallenough such that any di erences between our rst-order tem poraldiscretization for LCD and second-order schem es (in the tem poraldim ension) such as C rank-N icholson or Lax-W endro are negligible. Figures 1 (a)-(e) show E ( t ) for grid scales $\mathrm{h}=0: 025 ; 0: 05 ; 0: 1 ; 0: 2$, and $0: 4$ respectively, for $=5: 0$. The entire dynam ical evolution up to the steady state is shown. In the rst four panels we clearly see that the MED and its (nonlinear) variants give a relative error approxim ately 10 tim es less than the LCD and UW schem es. (N ote UW does not appear in 1 (a) since its error is too large to be usefully inchuded in the gure.) The relative errors of all the schem es increases roughly by a factor of 10 as the grid scale is doubled. P anell (e) show s the breakdow n of all the schem es at the scale $h=0: 4$ which is com parable to the period of the velocity eld. By \breakdown" we $m$ ean a relative error of $10 \%$ orm ore. To give an idea of the spatial form of the density eld near the steady-state we show in $F$ igure 1 ( $£$ ) the exact density pro le in a peripheral region, along w th the LCD and MED (FD) at a grid scale of $h=0.2$ for com parison. N ote the LCD fails to capture the $m$ agnitude of the $m$ axim um density, and also becom es negative at som e grid points.

In a sim ilar fashion, gures 2 (a)-(d) show E ( t ) for $\mathrm{h}=0: 025 ; 0: 05 ; 0: 1$, and $0: 2$ respectively, for $=20: 0$. A s before the non-linear M ED schem es perform far better than the LCD and UW , m eaning the relative error is roughly 10 tim es sm aller for a given grid scale. N ote also that the M ED (FD) and M ED (SR ) algorithm s perform better than M ED during the transient period, as expected. A 11 schem es break down for $h=0.2$. In $F$ igure 2 (e) we show the exact density pro le close to the steady-state, com pared w th the M ED and LCD schem es for $h=0: 1$. A gain, the LCD show s negative values and fails in the vicinity of the density peaks. $F$ igure $2(\mathrm{f})$ is the sam e except the $\mathrm{U} W$ schem e is com pared to the M ED . T he UW schem e is designed to give non-negative densities, but has high (arti cial) \num erical di usion" which in ate the width of the density peaks.

W e have perform ed an exactly analogous num erical exam ination in two dim ensions. We integrated the 2D generalization ofEq.(2̄) using the potential (x;y) $=[1+\cos (2 \mathrm{nx}=\mathrm{L})][1+$ $\cos (2 \mathrm{ny}=\mathrm{L})=4 \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{n}=16$ and $\mathrm{L}=12: 8 . \mathrm{W}$ e take $\mathrm{D}_{0}=1: 0$ and $=10: 0$. The initial
density function is uniform in a disk of radius 3.0 and zero otherw ise, and again norm alized to unity. The \exact" density pro $l e$ is evaluated using $h=0: 0125$ and $t=0: 25 \quad 10^{4}$. The two-dim ensional extensions of all six schem es are integrated for grid scales of $\mathrm{h}=$ $0: 025 ; 0: 05 ; 0: 1$, and $0: 2$ using $t=10^{4}$. The relative error $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{t})$ for these cases is show n in Figure 3 (a)-(d), for a tim e period encom passing the initial rapid adaptation to the potential follow ed by the early stages of relaxation to the steady-state. A s w ith one dim ension, the MED and its (non-linear) variants perform farbetter than the LCD and UW, w the the pure M ED schem e perform ing best at later tim es. All schem es break down for $h=0.2$. D irect com parison of the exact density pro le, MED, and LCD is given in Figures $3(e)$ and ( $f$ ), for $h=0: 05$ and $h=0: 1$ respectively, along a one-dim ensional cut ( $y=0$ ) in a peripheral region of the density. The MED show s excellent agreem ent, especially in the vicinity of the density peaks. The LCD fails in the vicinity of the density peaks as expected.

From this and sim ilar num erical work we have concluded that the M ED and its (nonlinear) extensions are superior spatial discretization schem es com pared to the LCD and upw ind schem es. The M ED w orks especially well in regions of large variation in the velocity potential. Generally speaking, for a given error tolerance, the M ED and variants allow one to use grid scales at least two tim es larger than traditional schem es, which translates into a saving of at least a factor of 4 and 8 in com putational cost for tw $o$ and three dim ensional num erical analyses.

## VI. D ISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

W e end w ith som e rem arks on the non-linear transition rates of the M ED. In m ost applications the ADEs represent processes for which there is no underlying lattice (e.g. cosm ic
 AD E one m ust therefore not regard the lattice version as \m ore fundam ental" or $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ ore m icroscopic." It is sim ply a $m$ athem atical analog of the original equation and identical in the lim it of the lattice spacing being taken to zero. This is a di erent situation to that found for m any m odels arising from solid state physics in which there is an underlying crystal lattioe, and forwhich the discrete equation can often be regarded asm ore fundam ental (or, at least, $m$ ore $m$ icroscopic) than continuum $m$ odels. A though the hopping process encapsulated by the M ED cannot be viewed as the underlying $m$ icroscopic dynam ics, it is interesting that

ADEs can be accurately m odeled by a process in which di usion and advection are nonlinearly com bined in A rhenius transition rates. Figure 4 sum $m$ arizes our understanding of the algorithm ic connections between ADE and the MED discretization, in which a given ADE typically arises from a m ean- eld approxim ation of a m icroscopic stochastic process which is not constrained by a lattice.

P ragm atically one wishes to im pose a \large" lattice scale for num ericale ciency, while avoiding the loss of accuracy. A lgorithm swhich rem ain accurate for larger lattice scales yield great com putational speed-up in higher dim ensions, since the num ber of required grid points (and hence com puter operations) scales ash ${ }^{\text {d. We }}$. nd that our new schem e typically allow s grid scales between 2-4 tim es larger than traditional schem es, which in three dim ensions allows a potential speed-up in com putation of one or two orders of magnitude. Naturally, our im proved spatial discretizations can be used in m ore advanced algorithm swhich use im plicit tem poralm ethods and/or adaptive spatial grids.

In conclusion we have shown that a wide class of advection-di usion equations can be exactly rew ritten in a form which im $m$ ediately allow sa direct and sim ple spatialdiscretization in all dim ensions. O ur new discrete form s contain im portant non-linear term s , which when linearized are seen to be related to the curvature of the velocity potential, such term s being absent in com $m$ only used discretization schem es. $W$ e have show $n$ explicitly that these curvature e ects are essential for accurate integration of AD Es, both in one and two dim ensions, and allow simple algorithm s to be constructed which are accurate for grid scales up to the size of spatial variation in the velocity eld. W e estim ate that our new algorithm may allow a speed-up of ADE com putation by factors of 10 or $m$ ore in three dim ensions due to the increased grid scale one can im pose. The fact that AD E can be recast as m aster equations also yields interesting physicalinsight into their dynam ics -nam ely that at m esoscopic scales the processes ofdi usion and advection $m$ ay be $m$ odeled as a non-linear com bination $w$ ith in A rrhenius-like transition rates.
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## Figure C aptions

Figure 1: D ata from num erical integration ofE $q$. (2느) using various schem es in one dim ension, w th $\mathrm{D}_{0}=1: 0$ and $=5: 0$. The particular form of the velocity potential and the initial density pro le are described in section $V$. The time step is $t=10^{4}$. Figures 1 (a), (b), (c), (d), and (e) show the relative error ( $\overline{2} \bar{Q} \bar{q}$ ) as a function of tim for grid scales of $\mathrm{h}=$ $0: 025 ; 0: 05 ; 0: 1 ; 0: 2$, and $0: 4$ respectively. The m ethods used are upw ind (UW), LCD
 version ofM ED $\left.\underline{2}_{2}^{2} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$. Figure 1 ( $f$ ) com pares the exact density pro le in the peripheral region x $2(2 ; 3: 6)$ w th both the MED (FD) scheme and the LCD scheme at timet $=0: 1$ using $h=0.2$. In $F$ igures $1-3$, tim $e$ is $m$ easured in units of $t$, space in units of $h$, and the density in dim ensionless units.

Figure 2: Same as Figure 1, but with = 20:0. Figures 2 (a), (b), (c), and (d) show the relative error ( $(\underline{2} \underset{\sim}{q})$ as a function of time for grid scales of $h=0: 025 ; 0: 05 ; 0: 1$, and 0.2 respectively. Figure 2 (e) com pares the exact density pro le in the peripheral region x $2(2 ; 3: 6)$ w ith both the M ED schem e and the LCD schem e at tim et $=0: 02$ using $h=0: 1$. Figure $2(\mathrm{f})$ is the sam e as $2(e)$ but com pares the exact pro le w ith both M ED and UW .

Figure 3: D ata from num erical integration of the two-dim ensional generalization of Eq. (2̄) using various schem es, w ith $D_{0}=1: 0$ and $=10: 0$. The particular form of the velocity potentialand the in itialdensity pro le are described in section $V$. The tim e step is $t=10^{4}$. $F$ igures 1 (a), (b), (c), and (d) show the relative error ( $\left.\underline{2}_{-1}\right)_{1}$ ) as a function of tim for grid scales of $\mathrm{h}=0: 025 ; 0: 05 ; 0: 1$, and $0: 2$ respectively. The m ethods used are two dim ensional

 exact density pro le along a cut $(y=0)$ in the peripheral region $x 2(2 ; 3: 6)$ w ith both the M ED scheme and the LCD scheme at timet=0:01 using $h=0: 05$. $F$ igure $3(f)$ is the sam $e$ as 3 (e) except that a larger grid scale of $h=0: 1$ is used.

Figure 4: A schem atic diagram sum $m$ arizing the relationships between various descriptions of advection-di usion processes. The M ED is a usefulm esosoopic description in term S of A rrhenius hopping rates, rather than a re ection of the underlying dynam ics.
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