

## M o m e n t u m   s p a c e   p r o p e r t i e s   f r o m   c o o r d i n a t e   s p a c e   e l e c t r o n   d e n s i t y

M a n o j K . H a r b o l a<sup>1</sup>, R a j e n d r a R . Z o p e<sup>2,3</sup>, A n j a l i K s h i r s a g a r<sup>3</sup>; and R a j e v K . P a t h a k<sup>3,4</sup><sup>1</sup> D e p a r t m e n t o f P h y s i c s , I n d i a n I n s t i t u t e o f T e c h n o l o g y , K a n p u r 2 0 8 0 1 6 , I n d i a<sup>2</sup> D e p a r t m e n t o f C h e m i s t r y , G e o r g e W a s h i n g t o n U n i v e r s i t y , W a s h i n g t o n D C 2 0 0 5 2 , U S A<sup>3</sup> D e p a r t m e n t o f P h y s i c s , U n i v e r s i t y o f P u n e , P u n e 4 1 1 0 0 7 , I n d i a .   a n d<sup>4</sup> T h e A b d u s S a l a m I n t e r n a t i o n a l C e n t e r f o r T h e o r e t i c a l P h y s i c s , S t r a d a C o s t i e r a 1 1 , 3 4 0 1 4 , T r i e s t e , I t a l y .

(D a t e d : F e b r u a r y 8 , 2 0 2 2 )

E l e c t r o n d e n s i t y a n d e l e c t r o n m o m e n t u m d e n s i t y , w h i l e i n d e p e n d e n t l y t r a c t a b l e e x p e r i m e n t a l l y , b e a r n o d i r e c t c o n n e c t i o n w i t h o u t g o i n g t h r o u g h t h e m a n y - e l e c t r o n w a v e f u n c t i o n . H o w e v e r , i n v o k i n g a v a r i a n t o f t h e c o n s t r a i n e d - s e a r c h f o r m u l a t i o n o f d e n s i t y f u n c t i o n a l t h e o r y , w e d e v e l o p a g e n e r a l s c h e m e ( v a l i d f o r a r b i t r a r y e x t e r n a l p o t e n t i a l s ) y i e l d i n g d e c e n t m o m e n t u m s p a c e p r o p e r t i e s , s t a r t i n g e x c l u s i v e l y f r o m t h e c o o r d i n a t e - s p a c e e l e c t r o n d e n s i t y . N u m e r i c a l i l l u s t r a t i o n o f t h e s c h e m e i s p r o v i d e d f o r t h e c l o s e d - s h e l l a t o m i c s y s t e m s H e , B e a n d N e a n d f o r  $1s^1 2s^1$  s i n g l e t e l e c t r o n i c e x c i t e d s t a t e f o r H e l i u m b y c a l c u l a t i n g t h e C o m p t o n p r o l e s a n d t h e  $h p^n$  i e x p e c t a t i o n v a l u e s d e r i v e d f r o m g i v e n c o o r d i n a t e s p a c e e l e c t r o n d e n s i t i e s .

P A C S n u m b e r s : 3 1 . 1 5 E w , 3 2 . 8 9 C y

K e y w o r d s : C o m p t o n P r o l e , E l e c t r o n M o m e n t u m D e n s i t y , E l e c t r o n D e n s i t y

F o r a q u a n t u m m e c h a n i c a l  $N$ -e l e c t r o n s y s t e m s u c h a s a n a t o m , m o l e c u l e o r a s o l i d , t h e c o o r d i n a t e s p a c e o n e - e l e c t r o n d e n s i t y  $n(\mathbf{r})$  i s d e r i v e d f r o m t h e p e r t i n e n t c o n g u r a t i o n s p a c e m a n y - e l e c t r o n ( a n t i s y m m e t r i c ) w a v e f u n c t i o n  $(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \dots; \mathbf{r}_N)$  t h r o u g h t h e m a r g i n a l d i s t r i b u t i o n  $n(\mathbf{r}) = N \int d^3\mathbf{r}_2 d^3\mathbf{r}_3 \dots d^3\mathbf{r}_N |\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \dots; \mathbf{r}_N)|^2$  (e l e c t r o n s p i n s m a y a l s o b e i n c l u d e d ) . A n a l o g o u s l y , t h e o n e - e l e c t r o n m o m e n t u m d e n s i t y  $\rho(\mathbf{p})$  i s o b t a i n e d f r o m t h e  $N$ -e l e c t r o n m o m e n t u m s p a c e w a v e f u n c t i o n  $\Psi(\mathbf{p}_1; \mathbf{p}_2; \mathbf{p}_3; \dots; \mathbf{p}_N)$  v i a t h e r e d u c t i o n  $\rho(\mathbf{p}) = N \int d^3\mathbf{p}_2 d^3\mathbf{p}_3 \dots d^3\mathbf{p}_N |\Psi(\mathbf{p}_1; \mathbf{p}_2; \mathbf{p}_3; \dots; \mathbf{p}_N)|^2$  . T h e w a v e f u n c t i o n s a n d i n t h e c o m p l e m e n t a r y s p a c e s a r e c o n n e c t e d b y a m a n y - p a r t i c l e F o u r i e r - D i r a c t r a n s f o r m a t i o n :

$$\Psi(\mathbf{p}_1; \mathbf{p}_2; \dots; \mathbf{p}_N) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3N/2}} \int d^3\mathbf{r}_1 d^3\mathbf{r}_2 \dots d^3\mathbf{r}_N \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \dots; \mathbf{r}_N) e^{i\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1 + i\mathbf{p}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 + \dots + i\mathbf{p}_N \cdot \mathbf{r}_N} \quad (1)$$

(H a r t r e e a t o m i c u n i t s , v i z .  $\hbar = 1$ ;  $m_e = 1$ ;  $e = 1$  h a v e b e e n u s e d t h r o u g h o u t ) . E x p e r i m e n t a l l y , t h e c o o r d i n a t e - s p a c e d e n s i t y i s t r a c t a b l e t h r o u g h a c o h e r e n t a n d e l a s t i c x - r a y s c a t t e r i n g p r o c e s s [1] w h e r e t h e s c a t t e r e d i n t e n s i t y i s d i r e c t l y p r o p o r t i o n a l t o  $|f(\mathbf{K})|^2$  ,  $f(\mathbf{K})$  b e i n g t h e f o r m f a c t o r w h i c h i s t h e F o u r i e r t r a n s f o r m o f  $n(\mathbf{r})$  . O n t h e o t h e r h a n d , t h e e l e c t r o n m o m e n t u m d e n s i t y  $\rho(\mathbf{p})$  m a n i f e s t s i t s e l f m o r e d i r e c t l y i n t e r m s o f t h e ( d i r e c t i o n a l ) C o m p t o n p r o l e  $J(q)$  [2] o b t a i n e d i n a n i n e l a s t i c h i g h e n e r g y ( X - r a y o r  $\gamma$ -r a y ) C o m p t o n s c a t t e r i n g p r o c e s s :

$$J(q) = \int d^3\mathbf{p}_x \int d^3\mathbf{p}_y \rho(\mathbf{p}_x; \mathbf{p}_y; q) \quad (2)$$

F o r a t o m i c a n d m o l e c u l a r s y s t e m s i n g a s e o u s s t a t e , a s p h e r i c a l l y s y m m e t r i c C o m p t o n p r o l e r e s u l t s f r o m t h e c o r r e s p o n d i n g s p h e r i c a l l y a v e r a g e d e l e c t r o n m o m e n t u m d e n s i t y  $\rho_{sph}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\Omega \rho(\mathbf{p})$  , w h e n c e

$$J_{sph}(q)_{q>0} = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \rho(p) p dp \quad (3)$$

w h i c h i s a m o n o t o n i c d e c r e a s i n g f u n c t i o n o f  $q$  . T h e o r e t i c a l l y , t h e e x p r e s s i o n s i n E q s . ( 2 ) a n d ( 3 ) a r e e s s e n t i a l l y t h e i m p u l s e a p p r o x i m a t i o n ( I A ) p r o l e s [3] . E q u a t i o n ( 3 ) r e a d i l y l e a d s t o a n i n v e r s e r e l a t i o n  $\rho(p) = \frac{1}{2p} \frac{dJ(p)}{dp}$  , w h e r e h e r e a n d h e n c e f o r t h , t h e s u b s c r i p t  $\backslash sph$  " w i l l b e u n d e r s t o o d .

I t m u s t b e e m p h a s i z e d h e r e t h a t t h e m a p p i n g s  $n \rightarrow \rho$  a n d  $\rho \rightarrow n$  a r e b o t h i n g e n e r a l o n e - m a n y a n d a l t h o u g h f o r t h e g r o u n d s t a t e t h e f o r m e r i s u n i q u e [4] , t h e e x p l i c i t p r e s c r i p t i o n f o r t h e m a p i s u n k n o w n . H e n c e w h i l e t h e r e e x i s t s a F o u r i e r c o n n e c t i o n b e t w e e n  $n$  , n o s u c h d i r e c t r e l a t i o n i s p o s s i b l e b e t w e e n t h e d e n s i t i e s  $n(\mathbf{r})$  a n d  $\rho(\mathbf{p})$  i n t h e t w o c o m p l e m e n t a r y s p a c e s . O n t h e b a s i s o f q u a s i - c l a s s i c a l p h a s e - s p a c e c o n s i d e r a t i o n s ( a k i n t o t h e T h o m a s - F e r m i t h e o r y ) , t h e r e e x i s t s a p r o c e d u r e d u e t o B u r k h a r d t [5] , K o n y a [6] , a n d C o u l s o n a n d M a r c h [7] , c a l l e d t h e B K C M s c h e m e , t o e s t i m a t e e l e c t r o n m o m e n t u m d e n s i t y , g i v e n i t s p o s i t i o n - s p a c e c o u n t e r p a r t [8] . H o w e v e r , t h i s m e t h o d i s m a r r e d b y i t s a r t i f a c t s o f a d i v e r g e n t ( 0 ) a n d a n i t e c u t - o f f f o r  $\rho(\mathbf{p})$  . I n c i d e n t a l l y , t h e s o - c a l l e d " W i g n e r f u n c t i o n " [9, 10, 11] c a n n o t r e p r e s e n t a j o i n t p r o b a b i l i t y i n p h a s e s p a c e f o r n o t b e i n g s t r i c t l y p o s i t i v e s e m i d e f i n i t e . I n t h e i r p h a s e - s p a c e a p p r o a c h t o d e n s i t y f u n c t i o n a l t h e o r y ( D F T ) [4] , P a r r e t a l . [12] p r e s c r i b e d a p h a s e - s p a c e e n t r o p y m a x i m i z a t i o n , i n p o s i n g a g i v e n c o o r d i n a t e d e n s i t y a n d a g i v e n k i n e t i c e n e r g y d e n s i t y ( a t e a c h p o i n t  $\mathbf{r}$  ) a s c o n s t r a i n t s . T h i s e n a b l e d t h e m t o o b t a i n a p o s i t i v e s e m i d e f i n i t e p h a s e - s p a c e d i s t r i b u t i o n t h r o u g h w h i c h m o m e n t u m d e n s i t y c o u l d b e e x t r a c t e d .

L e t u s , h o w e v e r , p o s e a q u e s t i o n : G i v e n e x c l u s i v e l y t h e e l e c t r o n d e n s i t y i n c o o r d i n a t e s p a c e a s a s t a r t i n g p o i n t ( a n d n o o t h e r i n f o r m a t i o n ) , c o u l d o n e e s t i m a t e t h e q u a n t u m - m e c h a n i c a l e l e c t r o n m o m e n t u m d e n s i t y ( a n d

hence the Compton profile)?

It is the spirit underlying this letter to demonstrate an affirmative answer to the above question, within the density functional theory pertinent features of which have been as highlighted below.

In their exciting work, Zhao and Parr (ZP) [13] developed a novel method to obtain the Kohn-Sham orbitals for a given co-ordinate space density. Their method is based on Levy's constrained search approach [14] that generalizes the Hohenberg - Kohn formulation of DFT. Constrained search approach which obtains the Kohn-Sham "wave function", a single Slater determinant formed out of the lowest occupied orbitals of a local potential, by minimizing the non-interacting kinetic energy

$$T_s[n] = \min_{\psi} \langle \hat{T} \rangle_{\psi} \quad (4)$$

where  $\psi = \psi(\mathbf{r})$ ; the given density;  $\hat{T}$  is the N-electron kinetic energy operator and  $\psi$  is an antisymmetric, normalized (hence  $L^2$ ) N-electron wave function of independent electrons. ZP accomplished the search on the right side of Eq. (4) through variation of the orbitals  $\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$  yielding a density  $n(\mathbf{r})$ . This density would equal the given density  $n_0(\mathbf{r})$  at every point  $\mathbf{r}$ , via the minimization of the positive semidefinite functional

$$C = \int \frac{[n(\mathbf{r}) - n_0(\mathbf{r})][n(\mathbf{r}^0) - n_0(\mathbf{r}^0)]}{2} d^3r d^3r^0; \quad (5)$$

whose minimum value zero would be reached if  $n(\mathbf{r}) = n_0(\mathbf{r})$   $\forall \mathbf{r}$ . The minimization  $T_s[n] + C$  with respect to the orbitals  $\phi_i$  gives a set of Kohn-Sham like equations:

$$\frac{\nabla^2 \psi}{2} + v(\mathbf{r}) \psi = \epsilon_i \psi; \quad (i = 1; \dots; N) \quad (6)$$

where the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i^2 = n(\mathbf{r})$  and  $v(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla^2 n(\mathbf{r})}{n(\mathbf{r})}$  in the limit  $C \rightarrow 0$ , yet the product  $C$  remaining finite, gives the effective Kohn-Sham potential [13].

Morrison and Zhao [15] applied this method to atoms while Ingamells and Handy [16] extended the work to molecular systems. Recently, Harbola [17] observed that the Zhao-Parr procedure could also be applied to obtain Kohn-Sham orbitals for an excited-state density, thereby demonstrating the general applicability of the method to ground- as well as excited-states.

Our scheme to effect  $n(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \rho(p)$  "transformation" is: Start from a given density  $n_0(\mathbf{r})$ . Obtain the Kohn-Sham orbitals  $\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$  via the Zhao-Parr prescription  $\sum_{i=1}^{\text{occ}} \phi_i^2(\mathbf{r}) = n_0(\mathbf{r})$ . Fourier transform  $\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \phi_i(p)$ . Obtain  $\rho(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{occ}} \phi_i^2(p)$ ; hence the Compton profile  $J(q)$  and other momentum expectation values. This procedure thus starts from only a given  $n_0(\mathbf{r})$  and estimates  $J(q)$  and  $\langle p^n \rangle$  values. We illustrate

He	$1s^2$	$^1S$	(ground state configuration)
He	$1s^1 2s^1$	$^1S$	(singlet lowest excited state configuration)
Be	$1s^2 2s^2$	$^1S$	(ground state configuration)
Ne	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6$	$^1S$	(ground state configuration)




FIG. 1: Electron Momentum Density obtained within the present scheme

this " $\mathbf{r}$ -density to momentum-space properties" (RDM P) scheme for the following atomic systems:

Accurate coordinate space densities employed as starting points for ground state are due to Koga et al. [18] for He, Esquivel and Bunge [19] and Bunge and Esquivel [20] for Be and Ne respectively while the Coolidge and James [21] density was used for He excited state. The value of  $\alpha$  (cf. eq. 6) was set to 5000, leading to a sufficiently accurate self-consistent Kohn-Sham potential converged to 6 places.

A collage of the  $\rho(p)$  derived from  $n(\mathbf{r})$  under the present scheme is depicted in Fig. 1. While all the  $\rho(p)$  plots compare extremely well with their HF-counterparts (not shown), the features of nonmonotonicity of  $\rho(p)$  for Neon is also reproduced (cf. Ref. [22]; Fig. 1), in conformity with the fact that atoms with their ultimate p-shells doubly occupied or more evince such nonmonotonicity [22].

The Hartree-Fock data (zeroth-order correlated) for the wave-function are given for comparison and as a datum. Particularly striking is the fact that for excited He, the electron momentum density (EMD) is overwhelmingly preponderant around low momentum values along with a very sharp asymptotic falloff.

Tables I through III give the CP's via the RDM P scheme with their other theoretical and experimental

TABLE I: Compton Profiles for helium

q	Ground State			First Excited State	
	Present Work	HF <sup>a</sup>	Experiment <sup>b</sup>	Present Work	
0.00	1.075	1.070	1.071	1.5%	2.947
0.20	1.020	1.017	1.019		1.464
0.40	0.879	0.878	–		0.465
0.60	0.700	0.700	0.705		0.337
0.80	0.525	0.527	–		0.287
1.00	0.380	0.382	0.388		0.233
1.50	0.159	0.160	–		0.124
2.00	0.068	0.068	0.069		0.059
2.50	0.031	0.031	0.030	15%	0.027
3.00	0.015	0.015	0.013		0.012
3.50	0.008	–	–		0.006
4.00	0.004	–	–		0.003
5.00	0.001	–	–		0.001

<sup>a</sup>Reference [23]; <sup>b</sup>Reference [24]

comparators. Each CP is normalized as  $\int_0^{R_1} J(q)dq = N=2$ , as is customary. There is a remarkable agreement between the CP's derived from coordinate space atomic electron densities within the impulse approximation and the Hartree-Fock (HF) or experimental CP's. From Table I, the considerable redistribution of electron momentum density of He excited state in comparison with its ground state counterpart is evident. Excitation brings in a slower decay in the coordinate space which is, by Fourier reciprocity, mapped on to a peak Compton profile value. As the transition  $1s^1 2s^1 \rightarrow 1s^2$  is dipole-forbidden, this singlet He-excited state is long-lived (lifetime  $\sim \frac{1}{10}$  sec); while there have been no Compton profiles (CP's) reported for this system, the present scheme accomplishes this.

For Be and Ne (Tables II and III) ground states, the CP's via the present schemes give better overall agreement with their accurate correlated counterparts than do the HF-CP's. This is indicative of the correlation piece picked up by the Zhao-Parr scheme.

To gauge the overall quality of the electron momentum density "derived" from the coordinate space density, we have computed the  $h^{(n)}$  values under the RDM P scheme and have compared with those derived from accurate, correlated atomic wave functions [28], as well as from the near-Hartree-Fock wave functions [23]. Table IV illustrates that the present RDM P scheme successfully obtains the  $h^{(n)}$  values (for  $n = -2, -1, 1, 2, 3$  and 4) in agreement with both their HF and correlated counterparts. For the case of He excited state, preponderance of electron momentum density around very small as well as large  $p$  values is conspicuous.

Since the Kohn-Sham theory, in its very spirit, provides an effective local one-body potential in which the mutually noninteracting electrons are immersed, the quantal exchange-correlation effects of the electron-

TABLE II: Compton Profiles for Ground State of Beryllium

q	Present Work	HF <sup>a</sup>	Correlated <sup>b</sup>
0.00	3.061	3.159	2.953
0.30	1.958	1.950	1.936
0.50	1.068	1.032	1.098
0.70	0.621	0.600	0.658
0.80	0.516	0.503	–
1.00	0.413	0.409	0.432
1.50	0.310	0.309	0.312
2.00	0.224	0.224	0.224
2.50	0.153	0.153	–
3.00	0.102	0.102	0.102
3.50	0.068	0.068	–
4.00	0.045	0.045	0.045
5.00	0.021	0.021	0.020
6.00	0.010	0.010	–
7.00	0.005	0.005	0.005
8.00	0.003	0.003	–

<sup>a</sup>Reference [23]; <sup>b</sup>Reference [25]

TABLE III: Compton Profiles Ground State of Neon

q	Present Work	HF	Experiment <sup>a</sup>
0.00	2.748	2.727	2.762
0.20	2.716	2.696	2.738
0.40	2.610	2.593	2.630
0.60	2.423	2.413	2.427
0.80	2.170	2.168	2.162
1.00	1.883	1.889	1.859
1.50	1.216	1.228	–
2.00	0.764	0.771	0.765
2.50	0.499	0.501	0.501
3.00	0.346	0.346	0.359
3.50	0.254	0.253	0.277
4.00	0.195	0.194	0.210
5.00	0.125	0.125	0.126
6.00	0.085	–	–
7.00	0.060	–	–
8.00	0.044	–	–

<sup>a</sup>References [26] – [27]

electron interactions (after filtering out the "classical" part  $\int d^3r \nabla^2 \rho = -\int d^3r \nabla^2 \rho$  in  $V_{eff}^K(\mathbf{r})$ ) embody a kinetic energy like piece and a potential energy like piece. The kinetic piece arises out of the difference between the functionals  $T[\rho]$  and  $T_s[\rho]$ , whose values albeit known, their forms remain unknown. Lam and Platzman [30] and Tong and Lam [26] imported the functional forms for a homogeneous electron gas and estimated the correction to  $J(q)$  within the local density approximation. In the present case however, the difference as a functional cannot be isolated from the sum total Kohn-Sham exchange-correlation energy.

To conclude, it is gratifying that the present method offers a general prescription to estimate quantal momentum space properties starting from coordinate space  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$  alone, with no reference to the many-electron wave

TABLE IV : Various expectation values  $\langle p^n \rangle$  for  $n = -2, -1, 1, 2, 3$  and 4

System		He(1s <sup>2</sup> )			He(1s <sup>1</sup> 2s <sup>1</sup> )		Be		Ne		
Property	PW	HF <sup>a</sup>	Corr <sup>b</sup>	PW	PW	HF <sup>a</sup>	Corr <sup>c</sup>	PW	HF <sup>a</sup>	Corr <sup>d</sup>	
hp <sup>-2</sup> i	4.132	4.089	4.101	43.047	23.449	25.291	21.939	5.583	5.480	5.553	
hp <sup>-1</sup> i	2.149	2.141	2.139	5.889	6.122	6.318	5.909	5.497	5.456	5.478	
hp <sup>1</sup> i	2.797	2.799	2.814	2.036	7.468	7.434	7.533	35.156	35.196	35.241	
hp <sup>2</sup> i	5.734	5.723	5.805	4.318	29.183	29.146	29.333	257.183	257.09	257.751	
hp <sup>3</sup> i	18.11	17.99	18.40	63.86	185.55	185.59	186.35	3583.33	3584.3	3591.5	
hp <sup>4</sup> i	106.6	105.7	–	271159.0	2147.2	2161.0	2165.0	96612.1	98510.0	98719.0	

PW : Present Work, Corr : Correlated values; <sup>a</sup>Reference [23]; <sup>b</sup>Reference [28]; <sup>c</sup>Reference [25]; <sup>d</sup>Reference [29]

function. This scheme could also be extended to solids wherein directional Compton profiles could be derived from an experimental [31] three-dimensional co-ordinate space density. Note that this procedure is not limited by the form of the external binding potential endowing the present scheme with generality for any bound state problem.

RKP is indebted to AS-ICTP, where a part of the work was carried out, for an associateship. AK wishes to acknowledge UGC (Govt. of India) for financial support.

Present mailing address : Theoretical Chemistry Section, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington DC 20375, USA.

- [1] See, for example, N.H. March, Self-consistent Fields in Atoms, (Pergamon, New York, 1975).
- [2] An excellent compendium on this is Compton Scattering, edited by B.G. Williams, (McGraw Hill, Great Britain, 1977).
- [3] P.M. Platzman and N. Tozar, in Ref. [2], p. 28; M.J. Cooper, Radia. Phys. Chem. 50, 63 (1997).
- [4] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136, B864 (1964); W. Kohn and L.J. Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965); Density Functional Methods in Physics, edited by R.M. Dreizler and J. da Providencia, (Plenum Press, New York, 1985).
- [5] G. Burkhardt, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 26, 567 (1936).
- [6] A.K. Onya, Hung. Acta. Phys. 1, 12 (1949).
- [7] C.A. Coulson and N.H. March, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) 63A, 367 (1950).
- [8] R.K. Pathak and S.R. Gadre, J. Chem. Phys. 74, 5925 (1981); S.R. Gadre and R.K. Pathak, Phys. Rev. A 24, 2906 (1981); R.K. Pathak, P.V. Panat and S.R. Gadre, Phys. Rev. A 25, 3073 (1982); R.K. Pathak, S.P. Gejj and S.R. Gadre, Phys. Rev. A 29, 3402 (1984).
- [9] E.P. Wigner, Phys. Rev. 40, 749 (1932).
- [10] M. Hillery, R.F. O'Connell, M.O. Scully and E.P.

- Wigner, Phys. Res. Reports 106, 123 (1984).
- [11] Y.S. Kim and M.E. Noz, Phase Space Picture of Quantum Mechanics (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991); W. P. Schleich, Quantum optics in the Phase Space (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2001).
- [12] R.G. Parr, K. Rupnik and S.K. Ghosh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 1555 (1986).
- [13] Q. Zhao and R.G. Parr, Phys. Rev. A 46, 2337 (1992); J. Chem. Phys. 98, 543 (1993).
- [14] M. Levy, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 76, 6062 (1978).
- [15] R.M. Morrison and Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. A 51, 1980 (1995).
- [16] V.E. Ingamells and N.C. Handy, Chem. Phys. Lett. 248, 373 (1996).
- [17] M.K. Harbola, Phys. Rev. A 69, 042512 (2004).
- [18] T. Koga, Y. Kasai and A.J. Thakkar, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 46, 689 (1993).
- [19] R.O. Esquivel and A.V. Bunge, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 32, 295 (1987).
- [20] A.V. Bunge and R.O. Esquivel, Phys. Rev. A 34, 853 (1986).
- [21] A.S. Coolidge and H.M. James, Phys. Rev. 49, 676 (1936).
- [22] S.R. Gadre, S. Chakravorty and R.K. Pathak, J. Chem. Phys. 78, 4581 (1983).
- [23] E. Clementi and C. Roetti, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 14, 177 (1974); R.R. Zope, M.K. Harbola, and R.K. Pathak, Eur. Phys. J. D 7, 151 (1999).
- [24] P. Eisenberger and W.A. Reed, Phys. Rev. A 5, 2055 (1972).
- [25] A.N. Tripathi, R.P. Sagar, R.O. Esquivel and V.H. Smith Jr., Phys. Rev. A 45, 4385 (1992).
- [26] B.Y. Tong and L. Lam, Phys. Rev. B 18, 552 (1978).
- [27] P. Eisenberger, Phys. Rev. A 5, 628 (1972).
- [28] A. Sarsa, F.J. Galvez and E. Buendia, J. Phys. B : At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 32, 2245 (1999).
- [29] A.N. Tripathi, V.H. Smith Jr., R.P. Sagar and R.O. Esquivel, Phys. Rev. A 54, 1877 (1996).
- [30] L. Lam and P.M. Platzman, Phys. Rev. B 9, 5122 (1974); ibid B 9, 5128 (1974).
- [31] D. Jayatilaka, Phys. Rev. Lett., 80, 798 (1998).