

ON FRIEDMANN'S UNIVERSES

ANGELO LONGER

Abstract. There is a perfect concordance between Friedmann's cosmological models and the correspondent (purely gravitational interactions and negligible pressure) Newtonian models. This renders quite intuitive the fact that in general relativity no motion of bodies generates gravitational waves.

1. - As it is well known, Friedmann's cosmological models [1] can be characterized in the following way:

i) A spacetime intervals given by Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric ($x^1; x^2; x^3; r$ are dimensionless coordinates):

$$(1) \quad ds^2 = -dx^0{}^2 - A^2 r^2 F^2 x^0{}^h dx^1{}^2 + dx^2{}^2 + dx^3{}^2{}^i ;$$

where

$$(1') \quad A r^2 = 1 + r^2{}^4;$$

and $\epsilon = 0; 1$, $r^2 = (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2$; $F(x^0)$ is a function of time to be determined by Einstein field equations. Eq.(1) yields the most general metric expression describing a uniform (i.e. homogeneous and isotropic) three-dimensional space. ($\epsilon = 0$: Euclidean space; $\epsilon = 1$: spherical { or elliptical } space; $\epsilon = -1$: hyperbolic space).

ii) A mass tensor T^{jk} , ($j, k = 0; 1; 2; 3$), of the galaxy "dust", given by

$$(2) \quad T^{jk} = \frac{dx^j}{ds} \frac{dx^k}{ds}; \quad (c = 1);$$

where ρ is the invariant mass density. A "dust" particle represents a galaxy. The particles interact only gravitationally. Eq.(1) is written in a particular Gaussian-normal coordinate frame [2] { synchronous frame, according to the expressive terminology of Landau-Lifshitz [2]. For frames of this kind the time lines are geodesic lines. Remark that for any physical system of particles, the mass tensor of which is given by eq.(2), the world lines of the particles are geodesic lines, and therefore no gravitational wave can be emitted [3]. Thus, our coordinate frame is synchronous and comoving [4] { and the galaxy trajectories are geodesic lines. We have:

To be published on Spacetime & Substance.
 email: angeloblonger@mi.infn.it
 Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Via Celoria, 16 - 20133 Milano (Italy).

$$(3) \quad dx^\alpha ds = 0; \quad (\alpha = 1; 2; 3); \quad dx^0 ds = 1; \quad T^{00} = T_{00} = \rho:$$

Substituting the preceding equations into Einstein field equations:

$$(4) \quad R_{jk} - \frac{1}{2} g_{jk} R = -T_{jk}; \quad 8G;$$

where G is the gravitational constant, one obtains:

$$(5) \quad \frac{\ddot{F}}{F} + \frac{\dot{F}^2}{F^2} + 2F = 0;$$

$$(6) \quad \frac{\ddot{F}}{F^2} + \frac{\dot{F}^2}{F^2} - \frac{1}{3} = 0:$$

Clearly, the distance between two galaxies is proportional to the function F of time. The solutions of eqs. (5), (6) are well known: for $\epsilon = 1$, we have a cosmic periodic oscillation between $F = 0$ and $F = F_{\max}$; for $\epsilon = 0; 1$ we have a cosmic monotonic expansion. In all cases, $\epsilon F^2(x^0)$ is the curvature of the space section $x^0 = \text{constant}$. For $F = 0$ the density is infinite and the gravitational field is singular.

2. - In the Thirties of the past century, a Newtonian cosmology was developed: an investigation of the kinds of flow in a galaxy "dust", when homogeneity, isotropy, and irrotational flow are assumed [5]. A galaxy which is on the surface of an expanding sphere of radius $\langle(t)$ is attracted by the mass M within the sphere according to Newton's law:

$$(7) \quad \ddot{\langle} = -\frac{M}{8\langle^2}; \quad M = \frac{4}{3} \langle^3(t) \quad (\dot{\langle} = \text{constant};$$

thus:

$$(7') \quad \ddot{\langle} + \frac{\dot{\langle}^2}{6} = 0;$$

from which:

$$(8) \quad \frac{\ddot{\langle}}{\langle^2} + \frac{\dot{\langle}^2}{\langle^2} - \frac{1}{3} = 0;$$

where ϵ is an integration constant, which characterizes the total energy, kinetic plus potential.

In conclusion, we can write the two following equations:

$$(7'') \quad \frac{\ddot{\langle}}{\langle} + \frac{\dot{\langle}^2}{\langle} + 2\langle = 0;$$

$$(8) \quad \frac{\ddot{\langle}}{\langle^2} + \frac{\dot{\langle}^2}{\langle^2} - \frac{1}{3} = 0:$$

If we put $\epsilon = 0; 1$, eqs. (7'') and (8) become identical to Friedmann's eqs. (5) and (6).

We have here three Newtonian models which, starting from a singular point of an infinite mass density, give either a monotonic expansion (for $\epsilon = 0; 1$) or a periodic oscillation (for $\epsilon = 1$) between $\epsilon = 0$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon_{\max}$. The concordance with Friedmann's results is complete.

The Newtonian eqs. (7'') and (8) give in a three-dimensional Euclidean Bildraum three faithful representations of the Einsteinian eqs. (5) and (6). Vice-versa, the Einsteinian eqs. (5) and (6) give in three three-dimensional Bildraume (resp. Euclidean, spherical, hyperbolic) three faithful representations of the Newtonian eqs. (7'') and (8).

3. - The Newtonian images of the Einsteinian Friedmann's models render quite intuitive the physical fact that in general relativity no motion of bodies generates gravitational waves [6]. (Let us observe that Birkhoff's theorem [7] regards only the outside space of a spherically symmetric mass distribution).

Another remark. The Oppenheimer-Snyder treatment of the spherically symmetric collapse of a "dust" (with negligible pressure) { simplified image of a gravitationally collapsing star { utilizes Friedmann's formalism relative to the return motion in a periodic oscillation, from $F = F_{\max}$ to $F = 0$ [8]. These authors describe the gravitational field in the outside space of the star with the standard Hilbert-Droste-Weyl form of solution of the Schwarzschild problem, in properly called "Schwarzschild solution". Now, this form is actually not suitable to the present problem, because it is mathematically and physically valid only for $r > 2GM/c^2$. Neglecting erroneously this fact, one obtains a black hole as a final product of the contraction. But if we employ the ORIGINAL form of solution given by Schwarzschild [9], which is regular for all values of the radial coordinate r different from zero (and is diffeomorphic to the exterior part, $r > 2GM/c^2$, of the standard HDW-form), we obtain simply a point mass, i.e. a quite usual object [10] in lieu of a fictive black hole.

This conclusion is corroborated by the following consideration. If we employ the Newtonian formalism (sect.2.) relative to the return motion in a periodic oscillation, the problem of the spherically-symmetric gravitational collapse of a star becomes quite simple { and the final result is quite standard: a Newtonian point mass. (Very keen papers on gravitational collapse { from both the standpoints, Einsteinian and Newtonian { have been published by McVittie [11]).

The so-called observed black holes are only very large { or enormously large { masses concentrated in relatively small volumes. as it can be verified very easily with a careful reading of the concerned papers.

4. - The previous formalisms are well known in the pertinent literature, only the perspective according to which they are here considered is new. I have emphasized the great significance of Friedmann's results in regard to the question of the gravitational waves.

There is a perfect parallelism between the time variation of the curvature $=F^2(t)$ of the spatial section $t = \text{const}$ and the time variation of

its Newtonian analogue $\propto \langle^2(t)$, which is proportional to Newton's force $M = [\langle^2(t)]$.

In general { and roughly speaking: a displacement of a given mass causes a corresponding displacement of its Newton's force and a corresponding displacement of the Einsteinian space curvature. No gravitational wave is ever generated, the analogy between Einstein field and Maxwell-Lorentz field is a misleading analogy.

References

- [1] A. Friedmann, Z. Phys., 10 (1922) 377; A. Einstein, The Meaning of Relativity, (Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.) 1955, p.112 sqq.; V. Fock, The Theory of Space, Time and Gravitation, (Pergamon Press, Oxford, etc.) 1964, sect. 94; L. Landau et E. Lifchitz, Theorie du Champ, (Edition Mir, Moscou) 1966,, Ch. XII; C. Moller, The Theory of Relativity (Clarendon Press, Oxford) 1972, sects. 12.8, 12.9.
- [2] D. Hilbert, Mathem. Annalen, 92 (1924) 1; L. Landau et E. Lifchitz [1], sect. 99; C. Moller [1], p.350.
- [3] A. Loinger, Nuovo Cimento B, 115 (2000) 679.
- [4] See e.g. L. Landau et E. Lifchitz [1], pp.368 and 421.
- [5] See e.g. A. Unsold and B. Baschek, The New Cosmos, Fourth Edition (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, etc.) 1991, sects. 5.9.1 and 5.9.2.
- [6] See A. Loinger, arXivphysics/0502089 v1 (February 16th, 2005); Spacetime & Substance, 6 (2005) 28 { and the literature quoted there sub [3], [6], [10], [11], [14].
- [7] G. Birkhoff, Relativity and Modern Physics (Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass.) 1923, p.253; J. Eiesland, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 27 (1925) 213.
- [8] J.R. Oppenheimer and H. Snyder, Phys. Rev., 56 (1939) 455; S. Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology etc. (Wiley and Sons, New York, etc.) 1972, sect. 11.9.
- [9] K. Schwarzschild, Berl. Ber., (1916) 189; for an English version see arXivphysics/9905030 (May 12th, 1999).
- [10] See M. Brillouin, Journ. Phys. Rad., 23 (1923) 43; for an English version see arXivphysics/0002009 (February 3rd, 2000). See also N. Rosen, Nuovo Cimento B, 72 (1982) 51.
- [11] G.C. McVittie, Astrophys. J., 140 (1964) 401; Idem, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare, VI (1967) 1.