Pareto index induced from the scale of companies

Atushi Ishikawa

Kanazawa Gakuin University, Kanazawa 920-1392, Japan

A bstract

Employing prots data of Japanese companies in 2002 and 2003, we con im that Pareto's law and the Pareto index are derived from the law of detailed balance and G ibrat's law. The last two laws are observed beyond the region where Pareto's law holds. By classifying companies into job categories, we ind that companies in a small scale job category have more possibilities of growing than those in a large scale job category. This kinemiatically explains that the Pareto index for the companies in the small scale job class is larger than that for the companies in the large scale job class.

PACS code: 04.60 N c

Keywords: Econophysics; Pareto law; Gibrat law; Detailed balance; Re ection law

1 Introduction

The pioneering discovery by Pareto [1] is not only an important cue for research in fractal but also a signi cant issue in economics. The Pareto law states that a cumulative number distribution $N_P (> x)$ obeys power-law for income x which is larger than a certain observational threshold x_0 :

$$N_P (> x) / x$$
 for $x > x_0$; (1)

where the exponent is called Pareto index. Recently Pareto's law is checked with high accuracy by using digitalized data [2]. A though the number of persons or companies in the range where Pareto's law (1) holds is a few percent, the am ount of the income occupies a large part of the total income. High income persons or high income companies can, therefore, in uence economics. It is quite in portant to investigate the mechanism which governs them.

The research on the income distribution is well investigated in econophysics [3]. Many models to explain the distribution are proposed (for instance recently Refs. [4, 5, 6, 7]). Furtherm ore, Fu jiw ara et al. [8] nd that, without assuming any model, Pareto's law can be derived kinem atically from the law of detailed balance and G ibrat's law [9] which are observed in high quality

e-m ail address: ishikawa@ kanazawa-gu.ac.p

digitalized data. They derive not only Pareto's law but also the relation law which correlates a positive growth rate distribution to negative one by the Pareto index . This means that Pareto's law and index are explained by the law of detailed balance and G ibrat's law. We should note that these arguments are limited in the high income region $(x > x_0)$.

The ndings in Ref. [B] are quite fascinating especially in the idea that the Pareto index can be understood by the grow th rate distribution. In this paper, we rst investigate the application as follows. The distribution of high income companies follows Pareto's law and the Pareto index is about 1. This is called Zipf's law [10]. It is also known that the distributions in most jbb categories follow Pareto's law. Those Pareto indices, how ever, scatter around 1 [5, 11, 12]. We exam ine the rejection law that relates the change of the Pareto index to the change of the grow th rate distribution in jbb categories.

There is, how ever, a problem in estimating the Pareto index by the growth rate distribution in each job category. The number of companies in several job classes is not enough to observe the relection law. We clear this diculty by employing prossible to data which have no threshold in contrast to high income data announced publicly.

By observing all positive prots data, we can me the law of detailed balance. We also not that G ibrat's law holds in the region where the only initial prots are conditioned to be larger than the threshold. In this case, Pareto's law is also obtained and the rejection law can be observed in companies data classified into job categories. Using these arguments, we can me the correlation between the Pareto index and the growth rate distribution.

In addition, we do nd that companies in a small scale job category have more possibilities of growing prots than those in a large scale job category. This kinematically explains that the Pareto index for the companies in the small job class is larger than that for the companies in the large scale job class, which is reported in Ref. [12].

2 Pareto's law derived from the detailed balance and G ibrat's law

In this section, we brie y review the proof that the detailed balance and G ibrat's law lead to Pareto's law and the re ection law [8].

Let the income at two successive points in time be denoted by x_1 and x_2 . Grow thrate R is denoted as the ratio $R = x_2 = x_1$. The detailed balance and G ibrat's law can be summarized as follows.

Detailed balance (T in e-reversal sym m etry)

The joint probability distribution function (pdf) $P_{12}(x_1;x_2)$ is symmetric:

$$P_{12}(x_1;x_2) = P_{12}(x_2;x_1):$$
(2)

G ibrat's law

The conditional probability distribution of growth rate Q (R j_{x_1}) is independent of the

$$Q(R_{jx_{1}}) = Q(R);$$
 (3)

where Q (R_{jk_1}) is dened by using the pdfP₁ (x_1) and the pint pdfP_{1R} (x_1 ; R) as

$$Q (R \dot{x}_{1}) = \frac{P_{1R} (x_{1}; R)}{P_{1} (x_{1})}:$$
(4)

These phenom enological properties, for example, are observed in the data of high income Japanese companies in 2002 and 2003. In Japan, companies having annual income more than 40 m illion yen are announced publicly as \high income companies" every year. The database is published by D iam ond Inc. In Fig. 1, all the companies are plotted, the income of which in 2002 (x_1) and 2003 (x_2) exceeded 40 m illion yen (x_0) :

$$x_1 > x_0$$
 and $x_2 > x_0$: (5)

The number of companies which satisfy this condition is 50;632. In Fig. 1, the time-reversal symmetry (2) is apparent. In Fig. 2, we con rm Pareto's law (1) for the companies, the income of which satis es the condition (5). The Pareto indices are very close to 1, and the case is often referred to as Z ipf's law [10].

We also exam ine G ibrat's law in the regime (5). Here we divide the range of x_1 into logarithmically equal bins as $x_1 \ge 4$ $[10^{4+0.2 (n-1)}; 10^{4+0.2n}]$ with n = 1;; 5. In Fig. 3, the probability density for r is expressed for each bin. In all the cases, all the probability density distributions for dimension of the associated to the initial value x_1 . Here the probability density density density for r density density for r by q(r) is related to that for R by

$$\log_{10} Q (R = 10^{r}) + r + \log_{10} (\ln 10) = \log_{10} q(r):$$
(6)

Pareto's law (1) can be derived from the detailed balance (2) and G ibrat's law (3) without assuming any model [8]. Due to the relation of $P_{12}(x_1;x_2)dx_1dx_2 = P_{1R}(x_1;R)dx_1dR$ under the change of variables from $(x_1;x_2)$ to $(x_1;R)$, these two joint pdf's are related to each other,

$$P_{1R}(x_1; R) = x_1 P_{12}(x_1; x_2):$$
(7)

By the use of this relation, the detailed balance (2) is rew ritten in term $s \circ fP_{1R}$ (x₁; R) as follows:

$$P_{1R}(x_1;R) = R^{-1}P_{1R}(x_2;R^{-1}):$$
(8)

Substituting the pint $pdfP_{1R}$ (x₁;R) for the conditional probability Q (R \dot{x}_1) de ned in Eq. (4), the detailed balance is expressed as

$$\frac{P_{1}(x_{1})}{P_{1}(x_{2})} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{Q(R^{\perp} \dot{x}_{2})}{Q(R \dot{x}_{1})}:$$
(9)

From the detailed balance and G ibrat's law (3), one nds the following:

$$\frac{P_{1}(x_{1})}{P_{1}(x_{2})} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{Q(R^{-1})}{Q(R)};$$
(10)

By expanding this equation around R = 1, the following di evential equation is obtained

$$G^{0}(1)P_{1}(x) + xP_{1}^{0}(x) = 0; \qquad (11)$$

where x denotes x_1 and G (R) is de ned as Q (R¹) = (R Q (R)). The solution is given by

$$P_1(x) = C x^{-1}$$
 (12)

with $G^{0}(1) = +1$. This is Pareto's law, which leads to the cumulative representation in Eq. (1) as follows: $N_{P} (> x) = N_{P} (> x_{0})P_{1} (> x) = N_{P} (> x_{0}) \binom{R_{1}}{x} dyP_{1}(y) / x$.

From Eqs. (12) and (10), one nds the relation between positive growth rate (R > 1) and negative one (R < 1),

$$Q(R) = R^{-2} Q(R^{-1})$$
: (13)

In term s of q(r) in Eq. (6), this is written as

$$\log_{10} q(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r} + \log_{10} q(\mathbf{r}):$$
(14)

In Fig. 3, we exam ine this relation, which is called the 'Re ection law' in Ref. [8]. By the use of the re ection law to the best-t line [5]

$$\log_{10} q(r) = c t_{+} r \text{ for } r > 0;$$
 (15)

we obtain the line

$$\log_{10} q(r) = c + t r$$
 for $r < 0$ (16)

with

$$= t_{+} t_{;}$$
 (17)

where 1. The receted line (16) seems to match the data in Fig. 3 [8].

3 Pareto index induced from the growth rate distribution

From the argument in the preceding section, we expect the estimation of the Pareto index by using Eq. (17) under the detailed balance and G ibrat's law. There is, however, a diculty in measuring the slope t of the rejected line (16). The reason is that the data for large negative growth, $r + 4 + \log_{10} 4 + \log_{10} x_1$, are not available due to the limit (5) (Fig. 3). The rejected line (16) does not match the total data which are not classified into bins for instance (Fig. 4). Indeed, the Pareto index is estimated to be about 0 from the best t lines (15) for r > 0 and (16) for r < 0.

In order to avoid this problem, we must remove the threshold x_0 in the region (5). This is, however, impossible as long as we deal with the income data announced publicly in Japan. We employ protes as another economic quantity similar to the income. Although protes of companies are not announced publicly, the data are available on \CD Eyes" published by Tokyo Shoko Research, Ltd. [13] for instance. This database contains nancial inform ation of over 250 thousand companies in Japan. We deal with the companies, the prosts of which in 2002 (x_1) and 2003 (x_2) exceeded 0 yen:

$$x_1 > 0$$
 and $x_2 > 0$: (18)

In Fig. 5, all the companies which satisfy this condition are plotted, the number of which is 132;499. The tim e-reversal symmetry (2) is apparent even in Fig. 5.

W e desire to exam ine G ibrat's law without the threshold. The condition is, therefore, em - ployed:

$$x_1 > x_0$$
 and $x_2 > 0;$ (19)

where x_0 is 40 m illion yen. The number of the companies which satisfy this limit is 28;644. In Fig. 6, Pareto's law (1) for the companies is observed in the region (5), not in (19). Let us examine G ibrat's law in the regime (19). We classify the data for the high prots companies, $x_1 > x_0$, into ve bins in the same manner in the preceding section. The result is shown in Fig. 7. We company for the forbid region caused by the threshold.

Under the extended lim it (19), we can derive Pareto's law. In the derivation, we should pay attention to the region where G ibrat's law holds as follows:

$$Q(\mathbf{R} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{x}_1) = Q(\mathbf{R})$$
 for $\mathbf{x}_1 > \mathbf{x}_0$ and $\mathbf{x}_2 > 0$; (20)

$$Q(R^{1}\dot{x}_{2}) = Q(R^{1})$$
 for $x_{1} > 0$ and $x_{2} > x_{0}$: (21)

In the region (5) where these two conditions are satisfying, Eq. (10) is relevant and Pareto's law (12) holds as a result. This is observed in Fig. 6.

The rejection law (13) is relevant in the region (5) strictly. Here we assume that Eqs. (13) and (14) hold approximately near R = 1 (r = 0) even in the region (19), the validity of which should be checked against the results. Under this assumption, we evaluate the Pareto index by using Eq. (17). In Fig. 8, the Pareto index is estimated to be 1:02 0:02 from the best t lines (15) for r > 0 and (16) for r < 0 for the total data which are not classified into bins. This is consistent with the assumption. We should comment that the Pareto index is estimated to be about 0 for the companies, the projection of which in 2002 (x₁) and 2003 (x₂) exceeded x₀.

4 High prots companies in job categories

It is reported that income distributions in most job categories follow Pareto's law, but those Pareto indices scatter around 1 [5, 11, 12]. The same phenomenon is observed in prosts distributions. In this section, we classify the companies into job categories in order to verify the results in the preceding section.

In Japan, companies are categorized by Japan Standard Industrial Classi cation (JSIC). This industrial classi cation is composed of four stages, namely divisions, major groups, groups and details (industries). The composition includes 14 divisions, 99 major groups, 463 groups and 1,322 industries. The classi cation in the database \CD Eyes" follows JSIC. We classify the 28;644 companies into the 14 divisions, the protest of which satisfy the condition (19). Because the number of companies classified into 99 m a jor groups is not enough to investigate the growth rate distribution. The name of each division and the number of companies are follows, A: A griculture (84), B: Forestry (1), C: Fisheries (14), D: Mining (3,512), E: Construction (1,182), F: M anufacturing (6,428), G: Electricity, G as, Heat Supply and W ater (218), H: Transport and Communications (2,300), I: W holesale, Retail Trade and Eating & Drinking Places (8,699), J: Finance and Insurance (1,994), K: Real E state (293), L: Services (3,919), M: G overnment, N $\in \mathcal{L}$. (0) and N: Industries Unable to Classify (0).

In each job division, we measure t_+ and t of the best t lines (15) and (16) of all the companies in the class. The Pareto index can be estimated from the relation (17) by assuming the detailed balance and G ibrat's law. Here we have excluded A, B, C, M and N divisions, because the number of companies in each division is not enough to observe the growth rate distribution. By comparing the Pareto indices estimated by the growth rate with those directly measured in the power-law, we recommon the relation (17) in Fig. 9.

A fter identifying the Pareto index change as the change of the grow th rate distribution in job categories, we consider an econom ic quantity which in uncess the grow th rate. In balance-sheet, the sum of capital stock and retained earnings is equity capital, and the sum of equity capital and debt is assets. It is natural to consider that a com pany works based on the assets and gets protts (income). The positive correlation between assets and income is reported in Ref. [11]. It is also pointed out that assets and sales correlate positively in the same reference. On the other hand, the positive correlation between sales and capital stock is observed in the database $\CD \ Eyes$ ". Consequently there is a positive correlation between assets and capital stock is which statistically characterizes the scale of companies in each job category².

In Ref. [12], it is reported that there is a relation between the average capital stock of high income companies and the Pareto index in 99 major groups. The larger the average capital becomes, the smaller the Pareto index becomes. The same phenomenon is observed in prots distributions (Fig. 10). This means that the Pareto index is related to the scale of companies in job categories.

We can understand the kinem atics by the relation between the average capital and the slope of grow th rate distribution (t_+ ;t). In Fig. 11, t_+ decreases with the average capital and t hardly responds to that. The companies in the small scale job category (M ining for instance) have more possibilities of growing protes than the companies in the large scale job category (F inance and Insurance for instance). On the other hand, the possibility of growing down is independent of the scale of the job category for high protes companies, $x_1 = x_0$. As a result, the relation (17) explains that the Pareto index for the companies in the small scale job category is larger than that for the companies in the large scale job category.

¹This correlation is probably caused by the Japanese commercial law. In Japan, capital stock is treated as an important quantity to protect creditors.

² Indeed, the database \CD Eyes" includes no inform ation about equity capital or assets.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have set show n that the Pareto index can be estimated by prossing to show the rate distribution of companies in Japan. The point is that the data have no threshold (x_0) in contrast to the high income data. We must not the law of detailed balance and G ibrat's law hold in the extended region $(x_1 > 0; x_2 > 0)$ and $(x_1 > x_0; x_2 > 0)$, respectively.

By classifying companies into 14 job divisions, we have secondly con med the relation law that relates the change of the Pareto index to the change of the grow thrate distribution.

We thirdly nd that the companies in the small scale job category have more possibilities of growing prots than the companies in the large scale job category by observing the relation between the average capital and the slope of grow thrate distribution. The relation between the average capital and the Pareto index is also veried. Consequently it is kinematically explained that the Pareto index for the companies in the small scale job category is larger than that for the companies in the large scale job category.

We have concentrated our attention to the region $(x_1 > x_0, x_2 > 0)$ in order to exam ine G ibrat's law. Beyond this regime, the breakdown of G ibrat's law is studied, the recent works about that are done by Stanley's group [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. In this paper, we nd the law of detailed balance over the regime where Pareto's law holds. We expect that the distribution in the region $(x_1 \quad x_0, x_2 > 0)$ can be derived kinem atically from the law of detailed balance and the results found by Stanley's group. This argument will kinem atically decide the distribution for the middle region where Pareto's law does not hold.

A cknow ledgem ents

The author is grateful to the Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics at K yoto University, where this work was initiated during the YITP-W -03-03 on E conophysics - Physics-based approach to E conom ic and Social phenom ena -", and specially to Professor H. A oyam a for useful comments. Thanks are also due to Professor H. K asam a for careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] V. Pareto, Cours d'Econom ique Politique, Macmillan, London, 1897.
- H.Aoyama, W. Souma, Y.Nagahara, H.P.Okazaki, H.Takayasu and M.Takayasu, condm at/0006038, Fractals 8 (2000) 293;
 W. Souma, cond-m at/0011373, Fractals 9 (2001) 463.
- [3] R N . M ategna and H E . Stanley, An Introduction to Econophysics, C ambridge University P ress, UK, 2000.
- [4] H. Takayasu, M. Takayasu, M. P. Okazaki, K. Marum o and T. Shim izu, cond-m at/0008057,
 in: M. M. Novak (Ed.), Paradigm s of Complexity, W orld Scienti c, 2000, p. 243.

- [5] T.M izuno, M.K atori, H. Takayasu and M. Takayasu, cond-m at/0308365, in: H. Takayasu (Ed.), Empirical Science of Financial Fluctuations: The Advent of Econophysics, vol. 321, Springer, Tokyo, 2003.
- [6] M. Anazawa, A. Ishikawa, T. Suzuki and M. Tom oyose, cond-m at/0307116, Physica A 335 (2004) 616;
 A. Ishikawa and T. Suzuki, cond-m at/0403070, Physica A 343 (2004) 376.
- [7] M.Nimeiand W.Souma, s /0410029.
- [8] Y.Fujiwara, W. Souma, H.Aoyama, T.Kaizoji and M.Aoki, cond-mat/0208398, Physica A 321 (2003) 598;
 H.Aoyama, W. Souma and Y.Fujiwara, Physica A 324 (2003) 352:
 Y.Fujiwara, C.D.Guilmi, H.Aoyama, M.Gallegati and W. Souma, cond-mat/0310061, Physica A 335 (2004) 197;
 Y.Fujiwara, H.Aoyama, C.D.Guilmi, W. Souma and M.Gallegati, Physica A 344 (2004) 112;
 H.Aoyama, Y.Fujiwara and W. Souma, Physica A 344 (2004) 117.
- [9] R.G ibrat, Les inegalites econom iques, Paris, Sirey, 1932.
- [10] G K.G ipf, Hum an Behavior and the Principle of Least E ort, Addison-W esley, C am bridge, 1949.
- [11] K.Okuyama, M. Takayasu and H. Takayasu, Physica A 269 (1999) 125.
- [12] A. Ishikawa, cond-m at/0409145, Physica A 349 (2005) 597.
- [13] TOKYO SHOKO RESEARCH, LTD., http://www.tsr-net.co.jp/.
- [14] M H R. Stanley, LAN. Amaral, S.V. Buldyrev, S. Havlin, H. Leschhom, P. Maass, M A. Salinger and H E. Stanley, Nature 379 (1996) 804.
- [15] LAN. Amaral, S.V. Buldyrev, S. Havlin, H. Leschhorn, P. Maass, M.A. Salinger, H.E. Stanley and M.H.R. Stanley, J. Phys. (France) 17 (1997) 621.
- [16] S.V. Buldyrev, LAN. Amaral, S. Havlin, H. Leschhom, P. Maass, M A. Salinger, H E. Stanley and M H R. Stanley, J. Phys. (France) 17 (1997) 635.
- [17] LAN.Amaral, S.V.Buldyrev, S.Havlin, M.A.Salinger and H.E.Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 1385.
- [18] Y.Lee, LAN.Am aral, D.Canning, M.M eyer and H.E.Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 3275.
- [19] D.Canning, LAN.Amaral, Y.Lee, M.Meyer and H.E.Stanley, Economics Lett. 60 (1998) 335.



Figure 1: The scatter plot of all companies, in companies of which in 2002 (x_1) and 2003 (x_2) exceeded 40 m illion yen (x_0), $x_1 > x_0$ and $x_2 > x_0$.



Figure 2: Cumulative number distributions N_P (x_1) and N_P (x_2) for companies, the income of which in 2002 (x_1) and 2003 (x_2) exceeded x_0 , $x_1 > x_0$ and $x_2 > x_0$ ($x_0 = 4$ 10⁴ thousand yen).



Figure 3: The probability density distribution q(r) of the log income grow th rate r $\log_{10} x_2 = x_1$ from 2002 to 2003. The data points are classified into verbins of the initial income with equal magnitude in logarithmic scale, $x_1 \ge 4$ $[10^{4+0.2(n-1)}; 10^{4+0.2n}]$ (n = 1; ;5). The data for large negative grow th, r $4 + \log_{10} 4 - \log_{10} x_1$, are not available because of the threshold, $x_2 > x_0$.



Figure 4: The probability density distribution q(r) of the log income grow the rate r from 2002 to 2003 for all companies.



Figure 5: The scatter plot of all companies, the protes of which in 2002 (x_1) and 2003 (x_2) exceeded 0 yen, $x_1 > 0$ and $x_2 > 0$.



Figure 6: Cumulative number distributions N_P (x₁) and N_P (x₂) for companies, the pross of which in 2002 (x₁) exceeded x₀ and those in 2003 (x₂) exceeded 0, x₁ > x₀ and x₂ > 0 (x₀ = 10^4 thousand yen). Note that there is no threshold with respect to x₂.



Figure 7: The probability density distribution q(r) of the log prots grow thrate $r \log_0 x_2 = x_1$ from 2002 to 2003. The data points are also classified into verbins of the initial prots with equal magnitude in logarithm is scale: $x_1 \ge 4$ [$10^{4+0.2 (n-1)}$; $10^{4+0.2n}$] (n = 1; ;5). The data for large negative grow the rare available in this case.



Figure 8: The probability density distribution q(r) of the log prot grow th rate r from 2002 to 2003 for all companies.



Figure 9: Pareto indices directly measured in the power-law and di erences between t and t in job categories. In the legend, each alphabet represents the following division, D:Mining, E:Construction, F:M anufacturing, G:Electricity, Gas, Heat Supply and Water, H:Transport and Communications, I:W holesale, Retail Trade and Eating & Drinking Places, J:Finance and Insurance, K:RealEstate and L:Services.



Figure 10: The relation between the average capital and the Pareto index in job categories. In the legend, each alphabet represents the following division, D:Mining, E:Construction, F: Manufacturing, G:Electricity, Gas, Heat Supply and Water, H:Transport and Communications, I:W holesale, Retail Trade and Eating & Drinking Places, J:Finance and Insurance, K:Real Estate and L:Services.



Figure 11: The relation between the average capital and t_+ , t in job categories. One can identify the job category of each point by using the legend in Fig.10.