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#### Abstract

For the $m$ odelling of pedestrian dynam ics we treat persons as self-driven ob jects $m$ oving in a continuous space. On the basis of a m odi ed social force modelwe qualitatively analyze the in uence of various approaches for the interaction between the pedestrians on the resulting velocity-density relation. To focus on the role of the required space and rem ote force we choose a one-dim ensional m odel for this investigation. For those densities, where in tw o dim ensions also passing is no longer possible and the $m$ ean value of the velocity depends prim arily on the interaction, we obtain the follow ing result: If the $m$ odel increases the required space of a person with increasing current velocity, the reproduction of the typical form of the fundam ental diagram is possible. Furtherm ore we dem onstrate the in uence of the rem ote force on the velocity-density relation.


PACS num bers: 89.65.-s, 89.40.-a, 05.45.-a,

## I. INTRODUCTION

$M$ icroscopic $m$ odels are state of the art for com puter sim ulation of pedestrian dynam ics. T he m odelling of the individualm ovem ent of pedestrians results in a description of $m$ acroscopic pedestrian ow and allow se.g. the evaluation of escape routes, the design of pedestrian facilities and the study of $m$ ore theoretical questions. For a rst overview see $\overline{1 n}_{1}^{\prime}, \overline{2}$, 1 . The corresponding models can be classi ed in two categories: the cellular autom ata

 They di er substantially w ith respect to the interaction' between the pedestrians and thus to the update algorithm $s$ as well. The social force $m$ odel for exam ple assum es, am ong other things, a repulsive force w ith rem ote action betw een the pedestrians $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right.$ $O$ ther $m$ odels treat pedestrians by im plem enting a m inim um inter-person distance, which can be interpreted as the radius of a hard body $\left[1 \underline{1}^{\prime}, 1\right]$

O ne prim ary test, whether the $m$ odel is appropriate for a quantitative description of pedestrian ow, is the com parison w th the em pirical velocity-density relation
 gram of ${ }^{-}$eidm ann [221] is frequently cited. It describes the velocity-density relation for the $m$ ovem ent in a plane $w$ thout bottlenecks, stains or ram ps. A multitude of causes can be considered which determ ine this dependency, for instance friction forces, the 'zipper' e ect [23']
 pirical velocity-density relation for the single- le m ove$m$ ent is sim ilar to the relation for the $m$ ovem ent in a plane in shape and $m$ agnitude. This surprising confor$m$ ance indicates, that lateral interferences do not in $u-$ ence the fundam ental diagram at least up to a density-

[^0]value of $4: 5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. This result suggests that it is su cient to investigate the pedestrian ow of a one-dim ensional system w thout loosing the essential macroscopic characteristics. W e m odify system atically the social force m odel to achieve a satisfying agreem ent with the em pirical velocity-density relation (fiundam ental diagram). Furthem ore we introduce di erent approaches for the interaction betw een the pedestrians to investigate the inuence of the required space and the rem ote action to the fundam ental diagram.

> II. MODIFICATIONOFTHESOCIALFORCE $M O D E L$

## A . M otivation

The social forcem odelw as introduced by $\left[\begin{array}{ll}-1 /]\end{array}\right]$. It $m$ odels the one-dim ensionalm ovem ent of a pedestrian i at position $x_{i}(t) w$ ith velocity $v_{i}(t)$ and $m$ ass $m_{i}$ by the equation ofm otions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{i}}{d t}=v_{i} \quad m_{i} \frac{d v_{i}}{d t}=F_{i}=X_{j \neq i}^{X} F_{i j}\left(x_{j} ; x_{i} ; v_{i}\right): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he sum $m$ ation over $j$ accounts for the interaction $w$ ith other pedestrians. $W$ e assum $e$ that friction at the boundaries and random uctuations can be neglected and thus the foroes are reducible to a driving and a repulsive term $F_{-i}=F_{i}^{d r v}+F_{i}^{r e p}$. A ccording to the social force $m$ odel [ll] we choose the driving term

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}^{d r v}=m_{i} \frac{v_{i}^{0}}{i} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $v_{i}^{0}$ is the intended speed and $i_{i}$ controls the acceleration. In the originalm odel the introduction of the repulsive force $F_{i}{ }^{\text {rep }}$ betw een the pedestrians is $m$ otivated by the observation that pedestrians stay aw ay from each other by psychological reasons, e.g. to secure the private
sphere of each pedestrian [ [did]. The com plete model reproduces $m$ any self-organizätion phenom ena like e.g. the form ation of lanes in bi-directional stream $s$ and the os-
 the publications cited, the exact form of this repulsive interaction changes and the authors note that $m$ ost phenom ena are insensitive to its exact form [1] ]. W e choose the force as in [13].

$$
F_{i}^{r e p}=X_{j \not i}^{X} \quad r A_{i}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
k x_{j} & x_{i} k & \left.d_{i}\right)^{B_{i}}, \tag{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The hard core, $d_{i}$, re ects the size of the pedestrian i acting $w$ ith a rem ote force on other pedestrians. W ithout other constraints a repulsive force which is sym $m$ etric in space can lead to velocities which are in opposite direction to the intended speed. Furtherm ore, it is possible that the velocity of a pedestrian can exceed the intended speed through the im pact of the forces of other pedestrians. In a two-dim ensional system this e ect can be avoided through the introduction of additional forces like a lateral friction, together $w$ ith an appropriate choige of the interaction param eters. In a one-dim ensional system, where lateral interferences are exchuded, a loophole is the direct lim itation of the velocities to a certain interval $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1, & 1 \\ r & 1 \\ 2 & 2\end{array}\right]$.

A nother im portant aspect in this context is the dependency betw een the current velocity and the space requirem ent. A s suggested by $P$ auls in the extended ellipse $m$ odel $\left[\begin{array}{c}{[6]}\end{array}\right]$ the area taken up by a pedestrian increase w th increasing speed. Thom pson also based his m odel on the assum ption, that the velocity is a function of the inter-person distance [10']. Furtherm ore Schreckenberg and Schadschneider observed in $\left[1 \bar{q}_{1}^{\prime}, 1\right]$ did, that in cellular autom ata model's the consideration, that a pedestrian occupies all cells passed in one tim e-step, has a large im pact on the velocity-density relation. Helbing and $M$ olnar note in $\left[\bar{\sigma}_{1}^{-1}\right]$ that the range of the repulsive interaction is related to step-length. Follow ing the above suggestion we specify the relation betw een required space and velocity for a one-dim ensional system. In a onedim ensional system the required space changes to a required length d . In $\left[{ }^{2} \overline{5}_{1}^{1}\right]$ it was show $n$ that for the singlele $m$ ovem ent the relation between the required lengths for one pedestrian to $m$ ove $w$ th velocity $v$ and $v$ itself is linear at least for velocities $0: 1 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{s}<\mathrm{v}<1: 0 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{s}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=a+b v \text { with } a=0: 36 m \text { and } b=1: 06 \mathrm{~s} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence it is possible to determ ine one fundam entalm icroscopic param eter, $d$, of the interaction on the basis of em pirical results. This allow s focusing on the question if the interaction and the equation of $m$ otion result in a correct description of the individualm ovem ent of pedestrians and the im pact of the rem ote action. Sum $m$ ing up, for the $m$ odelling of regular $m$ otions of pedestrians we $m$ odify the reduced one-dim ensional social force $m$ odel
in order to $m$ eet the follow ing properties: the foroe is alwayspointing in the direction of the intended velocity $v_{i}^{0}$; them ovem ent of a pedestrian is only in uenced by e ects which are directly positioned in front; the required length $d$ of a pedestrian to $m$ ove $w$ ith velocity $v$ is $d=a+b v$.

B . Interactions

To investigate the in uence of the rem ote action both a force which treats pedestrians as sim ple hard bodies and a force according to Equation ', where a rem ote action is present, will be introduced. For sim plicity we set $v_{i}^{0}>0, x_{i+1}>x_{i}$ and them ass of a pedestrian to $m_{i}=1$.

H ard bodies w ithout rem ote action

$$
F_{i}(t)=\begin{array}{lllll}
(t) & \frac{v_{i}^{0} v_{i}(t)}{i} & : & x_{i+1}(t) & x_{i}(t)>  \tag{5}\\
(t) v_{i}(t) & : & x_{i+1}(t) & (t) & x_{i}(t) \\
d_{i}(t)
\end{array}
$$

w ith

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t})
$$

The force which acts on pedestrian i depends only on the position, its velocity, and the position of the pedestrian i+ 1 in front. A s long as the distance betw een the pedestrians is larger than the required length, $d_{i}$, the $m$ ovem ent of a pedestrian is only in uenced by the driving term. If the required length at a given current velocity is larger than the distance the pedestrian stops (i. e. the velocity becom es zero). This ensures that the velocity of a pedestrian is restricted to the interval $v_{i}=\left[0 ; v_{i}^{0}\right]$ and that the $m$ ovem ent is only in uenced by the pedestrian in front. $T$ he de nition of $d_{i}$ is such that the required length increases w ith grow ing velocity.

H ard bodies w ith rem ote action

$$
F_{i}(t)=\quad \begin{array}{rlll}
G_{i}(t) & : & v_{i}(t)>0  \tag{6}\\
& m a x\left(0 ; G_{i}(t)\right) & : & v_{i}(t)
\end{array}
$$

w ith

$$
G_{i}(t)=\frac{v_{i}^{0} V_{i}(t)}{i} \quad e_{i} \frac{1}{x_{i+1}(t)} x_{i}(t) \quad d_{i}(t) \quad f_{i}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t})
$$

A gain the force is only in uenced by actions in front of the pedestrian. By $m$ eans of the required length, $d_{i}$, the range of the interaction is a function of the velocity $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Two additional param eters, $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}$, have to be introduced to $x$ the range and the strength of the force. Due to the rem ote action one has to change the condition for setting the velocity to zero. The above
de nition assures that the pedestrian istops if the force would lead to a negative velocity. $W$ ith the proper choice of $e_{i}$ and $f_{i}$ and su ciently small tim e steps this condition gets active $m$ ainly during the relaxation phase. W thout rem ote action this becom es im portant. T he pedestrian can proceed when the in uence of the driving term is large enough to get positive velocities.

This di erent form ulation of the foroes requires di erent update algorithm $s$, which $w$ ill be introduced in the next section. A special problem stem $s$ from the periodic boundary conditions enforoed for the tests of the fiunda$m$ entaldiagram, as these destroy the ordering by causality, which otherw ise could avoid blocking situations.

## C. T im e stepping algorithm

The social force m odel gives a fairly large system of second order ordinary di erentialequations. For the hard body $m$ odel $w$ ith rem ote action, where the right hand side of the ODE'S is continuous along the solution, an explicit Euler $m$ ethod $w$ th a tim e step of $t=0: 001 \mathrm{~s}$ was tested and found su cient. W ithin that tim e, the distance betw een tw o persons does not change enough to $m$ ake the explicit schem e inaccurate.
$T$ he situation for the hard body $m$ odelw ithout rem ote force is m ore com plicated. H ere the right hand side is a distribution, and the position of the D irac spikes is not know $n$ a priory. $H$ ence the perfect treatm ent is an adaptive procedure, where each global tim e step is restricted to the interval up to the next contact. Unfortunately, this is a com plicated and tim e consum ing process. For a sim ple tim e step we choose the follow ing procedure: E ach person is advanced one step ( $t=0: 001 \mathrm{~s}$ ) according to the local forces. If after this step the distance to the person in front is sm aller than the required length, the velocity is set to zero and the position to the old position. A dditionally, the step of the next follow ing person is reexam ined. If it is still possible, the update is com pleted. O therw ise, again the velocity is set to zero and the position is set to the old position, and so on. This is an approxim ation to the exact parallel update. It is not com pletely correct, how ever. To test its independence from the ordering of persons, com putations using di erent orders w ere perform ed. T he di erences w ere $m$ inute and not $m$ ore than expected from reordering of arithm etic operations.

## III. RESULTS

To enable a com parison w the the pirical fundam ental diagram of the single- le m ovem ent [2눈] we choose a system w ith periodic boundary conditions and a length of $L=17: 3 \mathrm{~m}$. For both interactions we proofed that for system -sizes of $L=17: 3 ; 20: 0 ; 50: 0 \mathrm{~m}$ nite size effects have no notable in uence on the results. The values
for the intended speed $v_{i}^{0}$ are distributed according to a norm al-distribution with a m ean value of $=1.24 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{s}$ and $=0: 05 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{s}$. In a one-dim ensional system the in uence of the pedestrian w the the allest intended speed $m$ asks jam $m$ ing e ects which are not determ ined by individual properties. Thus we choose a which is smaller than the em pirical value and veri ed with
$=0: 05 ; 0: 1 ; 0: 2 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{s}$, that a greater variation has no in uence to the $m$ ean velocities at larger densities.

In reality the param eters ;a;b;e and $f$ are di erent for every pedestrian i and correlated $w$ ith the individual intended speed. But we know from experim ent [ $\left.{ }_{2} \bar{S}_{1}\right]$ that the $m$ ovem ent of pedestrians is in uenced by phenom ena like $m$ arching in step and in reality the action of a pedestrian depends on the entire situation in front and not only on the distance to the next person. Therefore it's no point to attem pt to give fully accurate values of this param eter and wem ay choose identical values for all pedestrians. $W$ e tested variations of the param eters and found that the behavior changes continuously. A ccording to $\left[\bar{p}_{1} \bar{\eta}_{1},=0: 61 \mathrm{~s}\right.$ is a reliable value.

For every nun we set at $t=0$ all velocities to zero and distribute the persons random ly w th a m inim aldistance of $a$ in the system. A fter $310^{5}$ relaxation-steps we perform $3 \quad 10^{5} \mathrm{~m}$ easurem ents-steps. At every step we determ ine the $m$ ean value of the velocity overallparticles and calculate the $m$ ean value over tim $e$. $T$ he follow ing gures present the dependency betw een $m$ ean velocity and density for di erent approaches to the interaction introduced in section 'IIIB'. To dem onstrate the in uence of a required length dependent on velocity we choose different values for the param eter b . W ith $\mathrm{b}=0$ one get sim ple hard bodies.


FIG. 1: Velocity-density relation for hard bodies with a = $0: 36 \mathrm{~m}$ and w thout a rem ote action in com parison w ith em pirical data from [251]. The lled squares result from sim ple hard bodies. The introduction of a required length with $\mathrm{b}=0: 56 \mathrm{~s}$ leads to a good agreem ent w ith the em pirical data.

Figure ${ }_{1}^{111}$ shows the relation betw een the $m$ ean values of walking speed and density for hard bodies with $a=0: 36 \mathrm{~m}$ and w thout rem ote action, according to the interaction introduced in Equation 'న్', If the required length is independent of the velocity, one gets a negative curvature of the function $v=v()$. The velocity dependence controls the curvature and $\mathrm{b}=0: 56 \mathrm{~s}$ results in a good agreem ent $w$ ith the em piricaldata. $W$ ith $\mathrm{b}=1: 06 \mathrm{~s}$ we found a di erence between the velocitydensity relation predicted by the $m$ odel and the em pirical fundam ental diagram. T he reason for this discrepancy is that the interaction and equation of $m$ otion do not describe the individualm ovem ent of pedestrian correctly. To illustrate the in uence of the rem ote force, we x the param eter $\mathrm{a}=0: 36 \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{b}=0: 56 \mathrm{~s}$ and set the values which determ ine the rem ote force to $e=0: 07 \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{f}=2$.


FIG. 2: Velocity-density relation for hard bodies with re$m$ ote action in com parison w ith hard bodies w ithout a rem ote action (lled circles). A gain we choose $\mathrm{a}=0: 36 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{b}=0: 56 \mathrm{~s}$. The param eter $\mathrm{e}=0: 07 \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{f}=2$ determ ine the rem ote force. $W$ ith $b=0$ one gets a qualitative di erent fundam ental diagram and a gap for the resulting velocities.
$T$ he findam ental diagram for the interaction $w$ ith re$m$ ote action according to E quation $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$ is presented in F igure, 2 . The in uence is sm all ifone considers the velocitydependence of the required length. But with $b=0$ one gets a qualitative di erent fundam entaldiagram. T he increase of the velocity can be expected due to the e ective reduction of the required length. The gap at $1: 2 \mathrm{~m}^{1}$ is surprising. It is generated through the developm ent of distinct density waves, see $F$ igure ${ }^{\prime}$ from highways. From experim ental view we have so far no hints to the developm ent of strong density w aves for pedestrians "는ㄴ. T he w idth of the gap can be changed by variation of the param eter $f$ which controls the range of the rem ote force. N ear the gap the occurrence of the density $w$ aves depends on the distribution of the individual
velocities, too.


F IG . 3: T im e-developm ent of the positions for densities near the velocity-gap, see Figuren. For $>1: 2 \mathrm{~m}^{1}$ density waves are observable. Som e individuals leave $m$ uch larger than average gaps in front.
IV. D ISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

For the investigation of the in uence of the required space and rem ote action on the fundam entaldiagram we have introduced a modi ed one-dim ensional social force m odel. Them odi cationsw arrant that in the direction of intended speed negative velocities do not occur and that them otion of the pedestrians is in uenced by objects and actions directly in front only. Ifone further takes into account that the required length form oving $w$ ith a certain velocity is a function of the current velocity the modelparam eter can be adjusted to yield a good agreem ent
$w$ ith the em pirical fundam ental diagram. T his holds for hard bodies $w$ ith and w thout rem ote action. T he rem ote action has a sizeable in uence on the resulting velocitydensity relation only if the required length is independent of the velocity. In this case one observes distinct density waves, which lead to a velocity gap in the fundam ental diagram.

Thus we showed that the m odi ed model is able to reproduce the em pirical fundam ental diagram of pedestrian $m$ ovem ent for a one-dim ensional system, if it considers the velocity-dependence of the required length. For the $m$ odel param eter $b$ w hich correlates the required length with the current velocity, we have found that w thout rem ote action the value $\mathrm{b}=0: 56 \mathrm{~s}$ results in a velocity-density relation which is in a good agreem ent w ith the em pirical fiundam ental diagram. H ow ever, from the sam e em pirical fundam ental diagram one determ ines $\mathrm{b}=1: 06 \mathrm{~s}$, see [25]. W e conclude that a m odel which reproduces the right $m$ acroscopic dependency betw een density and velocity does not necessarily describe correctly the $m$ icroscopic situation, and the space requirem ent of a person at average speed is $m$ uch less than the average space requirem ent. This discrepancy $m$ ay be explained by the 'short-sightedness' of the m odel. A ctually, pedes-
trians adapt their speed not only to the person im $m e^{-}$ diately in front, but to the situation further ahead, too. $T$ his gives a much $s m$ oother $m$ ovem ent than the $m$ odel predicts.

The above considerations refer to the sim plest system in equilibrium and w th periodic boundary conditions. In a real life scenario like a building evacuation, where one is interested in estim ates of the tim e needed for the clearance of a building and the developm ent of the densities in front ofbottlenecks, one is confronted w ith open boundaries and conditions far from equilibrium. W e assum e that a consistency on a microscopic level needs to be achieved before one can accurately describe real life scenarios. The investigation presented provides a basis for a careful extension of the $m$ odi ed social force $m$ odel and an upgrade to two dim ensions including further interactions.
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