

# Theory of the Laser Wake-Field Accelerator Revisited: Wake Overtaking, Localized Spectrum and Ponderomotive Acceleration

T. Esirkepov, S. V. Bulanov,<sup>y</sup> M. Yamagiwa, and T. Tajima  
Kansai Research Establishment, JAERI, Kizu, Kyoto, 619-0215 Japan  
(Dated: April, 2005)

The electron and positron acceleration in the first cycle of a laser-driven wake field is investigated. Separatrices between different types of the particle motion (confined, reflected by the wake-field or ponderomotive potential and transient) are demonstrated. The ponderomotive acceleration is negligible for electrons but is substantial for positrons. An electron bunch, injected as quasi-monoenergetic, acquires a localized energy spectrum with a cut-off at the maximum energy.

PACS numbers: 41.75.Jv, 52.38.Kd, 45.20.Jj

Laser-driven charged particle acceleration is an attractive alternative to cyclic accelerators and linacs, promising to provide much greater acceleration rate with a much more compact facility. At the dawn of the laser technology the "optical maser" was suggested in Ref. [1] to accelerate electrons. The long-living strong Langmuir wave (wake field), left in the wake of a short intense laser pulse in a low-density collisionless plasma, accelerates duly injected electrons in the Laser Wake-Field Accelerator (LWFA) concept introduced in Ref. [2]. For efficient acceleration of charged particles, the laser pulse must be relativistically strong, i. e., its amplitude  $a_0 = eE_0/m_e c > 1$ . To provide electrons one must use an externally preaccelerated electron bunch or exploit the effect of self-injection due to a longitudinal Langmuir wave-break [3] or/and a transverse wave-break [4], which dominates when the laser pulse waist becomes comparable with or less than the wake field wavelength, e. g., due to the pulse self-focusing. The injection of the preaccelerated electron bunch co-propagating with the laser pulse was considered in Ref. [5]. The wave-breaking occurs when the displacement of the plasma electron fluid moving in the wave becomes equal to or larger than the wake field wavelength  $w_f = 4 \sqrt{2} c a_0 / \omega_{pe}$ , where  $\omega_{pe} = \sqrt{4 \pi n_e e^2 / m_e}$  is the Langmuir frequency.

In recent experiments localized energy spectra of electrons accelerated up to 170 MeV were demonstrated [6, 7]. The indications were given that the laser pulse undergo a self-focusing, and the wave-breaking (both the longitudinal and transverse) occurs in the first cycle of the wake field with the electron self-injection into the acceleration phase. In Ref. [6] the localized electron energy spectrum formation was attributed to electrons accelerated in the wake field first cycle, overtaking the laser pulse. The so-called "ponderomotive electron acceleration", suggested in Ref. [8] and analyzed in Refs. [9], describes the charged particle motion at the laser pulse front, thus it is in effect also in the wake field first cycle. The positron acceleration by a long electromagnetic wave in underdense plasma was considered in Ref. [10].

In this Letter we revisit theory of the Laser Wake-Field Accelerator and examine the acceleration of charged particles in the first cycle of the wake field. The electron energy spectrum is calculated in a general case of nonoptimal injection. The role of the ponderomotive acceleration is discussed in the case of electrons and positrons.

In the framework of classical electrodynamics the one-dimensional motion of a particle with charge  $q$  and mass  $m_e$  in the laser pulse and wake field is described by the Hamiltonian [11]

$$H = \frac{q}{m_e c^4 + c^2 P_k^2 + c P_\perp^2 + e A_\perp(X)^2} e'(X); \quad (1)$$

where  $X = x - v_g t$ ,  $x$  is the particle coordinate,  $v_g$  is the group velocity of the laser pulse (equal to the wake field phase velocity),  $0 < v_g < c$ ;  $P_k$  and  $P_\perp$  are the longitudinal and transverse components of the generalized momentum,  $A_\perp$  is the laser pulse vector-potential,  $e'$  is the wake field potential. In general,  $P_\perp$  and  $A_\perp$  have two components (along  $y$  and  $z$ ). Here we neglect the dispersion of the laser pulse, assuming that the laser pulse field depends on time and coordinate as  $A_\perp(x - v_g t)$ . The Hamiltonian (1) admits a Lie group with generators  $v_g \partial_x + \partial_t, \partial_y, \partial_z$ . Correspondingly, the Noether theorem implies the motion integrals:

$$H - v_g P_k = m_e c^2 h_0; \quad P_\perp = P_{\perp 0}; \quad (2)$$

where  $h_0$  and  $P_{\perp 0}$  are constants of the particle initial momentum. We introduce dimensionless variables

$$\begin{aligned} p_h = v_g c; \quad (X) = e'(X) / m_e c^2; \quad p_x = P_k / m_e c; \\ a(X) = P_{\perp 0} / m_e c + e A_\perp(X) / m_e c^2; \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We note that the variable  $a(X)$  represents both the particle initial transverse generalized momentum and the laser pulse vector-potential. In the case of  $P_{z0} = 0$  it is just the laser dimensionless amplitude. The first integral in (2) in terms of new variables (3) gives the equation

$$h(X; p_x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{p_x^2}{1 + p_x^2 + a^2(X)} \quad (X) \quad p_x p_x = h_0 : \quad (4)$$

Its solution for  $p_{ph} < 1$  is written as

$$p_x = \frac{p_{ph}^2}{p_{ph}^2 + 1 + a^2(X)} \left( p_{ph} (X) + h_0 \right) \left( p_{ph} (X) + h_0 \right)^2 \quad (5)$$

where  $p_{ph} = (1 - \frac{2}{p_{ph}})^{1/2}$ ; the sign '+' is for  $X$  increasing with time and '-' is for  $X$  decreasing with time. The particle moving from  $X_0$  to  $X$  with monotonically increasing  $X(t)$  acquires the net kinetic energy

$$E = E_0 + \frac{p_{ph}^2}{p_{ph}^2 + 1 + a^2(X)} \left( p_{ph} (X) + h_0 \right)^2 - \frac{p_{ph}^2}{p_{ph}^2 + 1 + a^2(X_0)} \left( p_{ph} (X_0) + h_0 \right)^2 \quad (6)$$

where  $E_0 = \frac{p_x^2}{1 + p_x^2 + a^2(X_0)}$ ,  $E_0 = E_0 + \frac{p_{ph}^2}{p_{ph}^2 + 1 + a^2(X_0)}$  and  $p_{x0} = p_x(X_0)$ .

To exemplify the general property of the system with Hamiltonian  $h(X; p_x)$ , we show its phase portrait in Fig. 1 (c), (e) for the electron with  $P_{z0} = 0$  in the case when the circularly polarized quasi-Gaussian laser pulse with amplitude  $a(X) = a_0 \exp(-4 \ln(2) X^2 / l_p^2)$   $l_p = 16$  at  $X_j = l_p$ ,  $0$  at  $X_j > l_p$ ,  $a_0 = 2$ , FWHM size  $l_p = 10$  wavelengths, propagates in an ideal Hydrogen plasma with density  $n_e = 0.01 n_{cr}$ , and excites a wake field, whose potential is described by the Poisson equation, [12],

$$\omega = k_p^2 \frac{p_{ph}^3}{p_{ph}^2 + 1 + a^2(X)} \left( p_{ph} (X) + h_0 \right)^2 - \frac{p_{ph}^2}{p_{ph}^2 + 1 + a^2(X_0)} \left( p_{ph} (X_0) + h_0 \right)^2 \quad (7)$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to the  $X$  coordinate,  $k_p = \omega_{pe}/c$  and  $m_i = m_e = 1836$  is the ion-to-electron mass ratio. The potential  $\phi$ , corresponding to the longitudinal electric field, and the electron and ion densities as well as the laser pulse envelope are shown in Fig. 1 (a), (b). We consider a circularly polarized pulse to ensure the dependence of  $a^2$  on  $X = x - v_g t$  and existence of motion integrals (2), thus avoiding complication that the laser pulse electromagnetic wave phase velocity is equal to  $v_{ph;las} = 1/v_g > 1$ . We choose the finite quasi-Gaussian pulse shape to emphasize the existence of the "ponderomotive" separatrix (see below). The pulse length is taken to be less than, but not too much, the (relativistic) wake field wavelength so as to make the wake field excitation efficient enough to preserve the effect of the laser field on the particle motion inside the first period of the wake field and to simplify formulae below.

Each orbit  $fX(t); p_x(t)$  of the electron in the  $(X; p_x)$ -plane is a segment of a level curve of the function  $h(X; p_x)$ . The  $(X; p_x)$ -plane is divided into basins of a finite motion, where the particle is trapped by the wake field potential, and two basins of infinite motion. The basins are separated from each other by special orbits, separatrices, which join at singular points situated on the curve  $p_{xs}(X) = \frac{p_{ph}^2}{p_{ph}^2 + 1 + a^2(X)}$ . On this line the square root in the right hand side of Eq. (6) vanishes:  $\left( p_{ph} (X) + h_0 \right)^2 - \frac{p_{ph}^2}{p_{ph}^2 + 1 + a^2(X)} = 0$ .

An electron started from the singular point  $X_s$  acquires the maximum kinetic energy at the top  $X_t$  of the separatrix, as one can easily calculate from Eq. (6) substituting  $X_0 = X_s$ ,  $X = X_t$ ,  $p_{x0} = p_{xs}(X_s)$ . If the laser pulse length is shorter than a half of wake field wavelength, then in the first period of the wake field the points  $X_s$  and  $X_t$  correspond, respectively, to the local minimum and maximum of the wake field potential,  $(X_s) = \phi_{min}$ ,  $(X_t) = \phi_{max}$ . So the maximum kinetic energy on the separatrix is

$$E_m = \frac{p_{ph}^2}{p_{ph}^2 + 1 + a^2(X_t)} \left( p_{ph} (X_t) + h_0 \right)^2 - \frac{p_{ph}^2}{p_{ph}^2 + 1 + a^2(X_s)} \left( p_{ph} (X_s) + h_0 \right)^2 + E_{inj}; \quad (8)$$

where  $\phi_{min} = \phi_{max} - \phi_{inj}$ ,  $E_{inj} = \frac{p_{ph}^2}{p_{ph}^2 + 1 + a^2(X_s)}$ . If the laser pulse length is much shorter than the wake field wavelength and  $p_{ph} < 1$ , we have  $E_m = \frac{p_{ph}^2}{p_{ph}^2 + 1 + a^2(X_t)} \left( p_{ph} (X_t) + h_0 \right)^2 - \frac{p_{ph}^2}{p_{ph}^2 + 1 + a^2(X_s)} \left( p_{ph} (X_s) + h_0 \right)^2 + E_{inj}$ . The lowest value of the potential is reached when the laser pulse sweeps the greatest possible amount of electrons (in 1D { a half of all the electrons per wake field period),  $\phi_{min} > \phi_{inj} + \phi_{ph}$ , and the highest value is limited by the ion response,  $\phi_{max} \leq \phi_{inj} + \phi_{ph}$ . Knowing the minimum of the solution to




FIG. 1: (color). The wake field excited by the laser pulse (a); electron and scaled ion density (b). Phase portrait for the electron (c) and positron (d); the electron "ponderomotive" basin close-up (e); thick solid line for separatrices, thin solid line for other orbits, thick dotted line for  $p_{xs}(X) = p_{ph} \sqrt{1 + a^2(X)}$ .

Eq. (7), one can find its maximum; in the case of a sufficiently short and intense laser pulse ( $\ell_{wf} \ll a^{-1}$ ), Eq. (7) gives  $m_{ax} = (1 - \frac{1}{\gamma_{ph}})(2\gamma_{ph} + 1) = (2\gamma_{ph} + 1)$ , which in the limit  $m_i \ll m_e$  tends to  $2\gamma_{ph} - 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma_{ph}}$ . If the laser pulse has the optimal length, then  $m_{ax} = a^2 = 2$  for  $a = \sqrt{2}$ , [13].

Since the laser pulse has a finite duration, the "runaway" separatrix exists, a segment of the level curve  $h(X; p_x) = 1 = \gamma_{ph} \sqrt{1 - p_x^2}$ , Fig. 1 (c). If an electron beam is injected exactly onto this separatrix, it asymptotically overtakes the laser pulse and becomes monoenergetic with the final energy, as it follows from Eq. (8),

$$E_f = \frac{2}{\gamma_{ph}} j_{min} + \gamma_{ph}^2 m_{in}^2 + 2 \frac{1}{\gamma_{ph}} j_{min}^{1=2} + E_{inj}; \quad (9)$$

where  $j_{min} = \gamma_{ph} m_{in} > 0$ . In the limit  $\gamma_{ph} \gg 1$ , this energy can be much higher than the required minimum injection energy. If, additionally, the wake field is strongly nonlinear ( $a \gg 1$ ),  $m_{in}$  tends to its lowest value  $1 + 1/\gamma_{ph}$  and we have  $E_{fmax} \approx 2\frac{2}{\gamma_{ph}} + \gamma_{ph} - 1$ .

In the first period of the wake field behind the laser pulse there is also the "connected" separatrix, a segment of the level curve  $h(X; p_x) = 1 = \gamma_{ph}$  (the exact value is discussed below). It encloses a basin of orbits of electrons which are trapped inside the potential well moving along with the laser pulse. Between the "connected" and "runaway" separatrices lies a bunch of reflecting orbits. On such an orbit an electron starts with the longitudinal momentum  $p_x$  in the range  $\gamma_{ph} > p_x > \gamma_{ph} + \frac{2}{\gamma_{ph}} j_{min}$  [ $\frac{2}{\gamma_{ph}} + 2 \frac{1}{\gamma_{ph}} j_{min}^{1=2} > 0$  at  $t = 1$ ]. Then it is accelerated by the first cycle of the wake field, reaching the maximum energy defined by Eq. (6), where one must substitute  $X_0 = +1$ ,  $p_{x0} = p_x$ ;  $a(X_0) = a(X_0) = 0$ . Finally, the electron overtakes the laser pulse. Its longitudinal momentum  $p_x$  and kinetic energy  $E$  increase as

$$p_x^+ = p_x + 2 \frac{2}{\gamma_{ph}} (\gamma_{ph} - \gamma_x); \quad (10)$$

$$E^+ = E + 2 \frac{2}{\gamma_{ph}} (\gamma_{ph} - \gamma_x) < E_f; \quad (11)$$

where  $\gamma_x = [1 + (p_x)^2]^{1=2}$ ,  $E = \gamma_x - 1$ ,  $\gamma_x = \gamma_{ph} < \gamma_{ph}$ . The same equations describe an elastic rebound of a relativistic particle from the wall moving at a speed  $\gamma_{ph}$ .

Yet another, the third, "ponderomotive" separatrix exists in the vicinity of the laser pulse front  $X_f = 1$ , Fig. 1 (c), (e). It joins the second, "connected", separatrix at the point  $(X_p; p_{xs}(X_p))$  defined by equation  $a(X_p)a'(X_p) = \frac{1}{\gamma_{ph}^2} (X_p)^2 + 1 + a^2(X_p)$  and so the exact value of the Hamiltonian for both separatrices is  $h_p = h(X_p; p_{xs}(X_p))$ . The third separatrix encloses a thin basin of orbits with  $1 = \gamma_{ph} < h(X; p_x) < h_p = h(X_p; p_{xs}(X_p))$ , going from  $X = +1$  at



where  $\Theta$  is the Heaviside step function ( $\Theta(x) = 1$  for  $x > 0$  and  $= 0$  for  $x < 0$ ),  $N$  is the number of particles in the bunch. Then the energy spectrum is

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(X; E) dX = \frac{3N_b}{2} \frac{\sqrt{E_m - E} \sqrt{E_m + E}}{(E_m - E)^{3/2} (E_m + E)^{3/2}}; \tag{15}$$

where the square root must be set to zero if its argument is negative. In the limit  $E \rightarrow 0$  this spectrum tends to  $dN/dE = N_b \sqrt{E_m - E} / \sqrt{E_m + E}$ , which is equivalent to Eq. (13) at  $N_b = N(X_t)$ . The sum of the spectrum (13) over the range of  $E_m$  varying from  $E_m - E$  to  $E_m + E$  weighted with  $\sqrt{E_m - E}$  yields Eq. (15). Both the spectra (13) and (15) are shown in Fig. 2.

The above-stated analysis concerns the phase portrait of a negatively charged particle (electron). In this paragraph we make digression considering the case of a positively charged particle, positron. In this case formulae (4)–(6) remain valid with the substitution  $e \rightarrow -e$ . The phase portrait of the positron in the same wake field and laser field as above is shown in Fig. 1 (d). In the wake field, the electron's points of equilibrium correspond to the positron's singular points (for sufficiently short laser pulse). The positron injected from the singular point into the second cycle of the wake field returns back to the same singular point. In the first half-cycle of the wake field, in contrast to the case of the electron, both forces acting on the positron { the wake field electrostatic force and the laser pulse ponderomotive force } pull the positron in the same direction ("forward"). Therefore we see a wide "ponderomotive" basin in which the orbits with initial momentum  $p_{ph} > p_{x, pos} > \frac{2}{p_{ph}} \sqrt{p_{ph}^2 - p_{pos}^2 + \frac{1}{p_{ph}^2} (1 + a_{p, pos}^2)^2 - 2}$  are accelerated up to the energy

$$E_{p, pos}^+ = \frac{2}{p_{ph}} \sqrt{p_{ph}^2 - p_{pos}^2 + \frac{1}{p_{ph}^2} (1 + a_{p, pos}^2)^2 - 2} + \frac{h}{p_{ph}} \sqrt{p_{ph}^2 - p_{pos}^2 + \frac{1}{p_{ph}^2} (1 + a_{p, pos}^2)^2 - 2} \tag{16}$$

in accordance with Eqs. (6), (11). Here the limiting values of the positron momentum and energy in the "ponderomotive" basin are defined via the wake field potential and the laser amplitude  $a$  taken at the singular point  $X_{p, pos}$ , a non-trivial solution to the equation

$$a(X) a^0(X) + \frac{1}{p_{ph}^2} (X) \sqrt{1 + a^2(X)} = 0; \tag{17}$$

The momentum of the lower branch of the "ponderomotive" separatrix is negative at  $p_{ph} > p_{ph} \sqrt{1 + a_{p, pos}^2} > 1$ , then the positron initially at rest is accelerated up to momentum  $2 \frac{2}{p_{ph}}$  and energy  $2 \frac{2}{p_{ph}}$ , in accordance with Eqs. (10), (11). Thus even the "background" positrons, introduced externally or created in the laser-plasma interaction, are substantially accelerated. In the limit of a long laser pulse ( $p_{ph} \rightarrow \infty$ ), the maximum energy (16) becomes  $(1 + \frac{2}{p_{ph}^2} a_0^2)$ , since  $p_{pos} \rightarrow a_0$  in this limit.

In conclusion, in the first cycle of the Langmuir wave in the wake of the short relativistically strong laser pulse electrons have at least three separatrices: on the "runaway" separatrix the electron overtakes the wake field and the laser pulse, on the "connected" separatrix it moves together with the laser pulse and the "ponderomotive" separatrix places quite tight limit for the ponderomotive acceleration. In contrast to electrons, positrons see the wake field and the laser pulse ponderomotive force acting in the same direction. It is shown that the energy spectrum of the initially mono-energetic particle bunch spread about the top of the separatrix has a typical localized shape  $\propto (E_m - E)^{1/2}$  with a sharp cut-off.

We thank the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan and CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency for their support.

Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Institutskij pereulok 9, Dolgoprudnyi, 141700 Russia.

<sup>†</sup> Also at General Physics Institute RAS, Vavilov street 38, Moscow, 119991 Russia.

[1] K. Shimoda, *Applied Optics* 1, 33 (1962).  
 [2] T. Tajima, J.M. Dawson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 43, 267 (1979).  
 [3] S.V. Bulanov, et al., *JETP Lett.* 53, 565 (1991); S.Dalla, M. Lontano, *Phys. Lett. A* 173, 456 (1993); C.D. Decker, et al., *Phys. Rev. E* 50, R3338 (1994); D.Gordon, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80, 2133 (1998); A. Zhidkov, et al., *Phys. Rev. E* 69, 035401 (R) (2004).

- [4] S.V. Bulanov, et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78, 4205 (1997); T.V. Liseikina, et al, *Phys. Rev. E* 60, 5991 (1999); A. Pukhov, J. Meyer-Ter-Vehn, *Appl. Phys. B* 74, 355 (2002).
- [5] A.G. Khachatryan, et al, *Phys. Rev. STAB* 7, 121301 (2004).
- [6] S.P.D. Mangles, et al, *Nature* 431, 535 (2004).
- [7] C.G.R. Geddes, et al, *Nature* 431, 538 (2004); J. Faure, et al, *Nature* 431, 541 (2004).
- [8] A.V. Gaponov, M.A. Miller, *Sov. JETP* 34, 751, (1958);
- [9] E.A. Startsev, C.J. McKinstrie, *Phys. Plasmas* 10, 2552 (2003); Q. Kong, et al, *Phys. Rev. E* 69, 056502 (2004); S. Masuda, et al, *Phys. Plasmas* 12, 013102 (2005).
- [10] C. Du, Z. Xu, *Phys. Plasmas* 7, 1582 (2000).
- [11] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *The classical theory of fields* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1962).
- [12] A.I. Akhiezer and R.V. Polovin, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 3, 696 (1956); S.V. Bulanov, et. al, in: *Reviews of Plasma Physics*. Vol. 22, ed. by V.D. Shafranov (Kluwer Academic / Plenum Publishers, NY, 2001), p. 253.
- [13] S.V. Bulanov, V.I. Kirsanov, A.S. Sakharov, *JETP Lett.* 50, 198 (1989); V.I. Berezhiani, I.G. Murusidze, *Phys. Lett. A* 148, 338 (1990).