

Phase resonances in obliquely illuminated compound gratings

Ricardo A. Depine¹, Ángela N. Fantino¹, Susana I. Grosz²
and Diana C. Skigin¹

*Grupo de Electromagnetismo Aplicado,
Departamento de Física,
Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales,
Universidad de Buenos Aires,
Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón I,
C1428EHA Buenos Aires, Argentina*

(Dated: July 2005)

Abstract

The existence of phase resonances in obliquely illuminated, perfectly conducting compound gratings is investigated. The diffraction problem of a p -polarized plane wave impinging on the structure is solved using the modal approach. The results show that even under oblique illumination, where no symmetry is imposed by the incident field, there are resonant wavelengths that are clearly associated with a certain degree of symmetry in the phase distribution of the magnetic field inside the cavities. New configurations of this phase distribution take place, that were not allowed under normal incidence conditions. It was found that the interior field is intensified in the resonances, and the specularly reflected efficiency is maximized. In particular, this efficiency is optimized for Littrow mount.

[1] Member of CONICET

[2] Ciclo Básico Común, Universidad de Buenos Aires

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that different kinds of resonances can be found in metallic corrugated structures: surface plasmon polariton excitations (SPP), surface shape resonances (SSR) and phase resonances (PR). SPPs are excited in infinite metallic gratings when illuminated by p -polarized light [1]. This excitation is accompanied by a significant power absorption [2, 3], and consequently it produces a sudden change in the efficiency curves of the reflected orders. For a given period and material of the grating, and for a fixed angle of incidence, the excitation of a SPP is produced for a particular wavelength at which one of the diffracted orders propagates parallel to the surface, and therefore, the electric field near the surface is intensified. This phenomenon is particularly important when the corrugations are shallow. The SSRs, on the other hand, appear when the depth of the grooves is increased: the eigenmodes of each cavity can be excited, producing interesting resonant effects such as field enhancement inside the corrugations [4]-[6]. Contrary to the SPP excitations, these resonances are associated with the particular shape of each groove and can also be excited by s -polarized incident light [7]- [10], but are independent of the period of the grating and the incidence angle. Experimental evidence of the SSR excitations was given by López-Ríos et al. [11], for lamellar gratings.

Another kind of resonances that might appear in structures with embedded cavities are the phase resonances. These resonances have been first reported in connection with structures comprising a finite number of cavities [12]-[16]. These structures can be regarded as passive antennas, which exhibit superdirectivity [17] for particular incidence conditions. When a bunch of cavities is illuminated by a p -polarized plane wave of a certain resonant wavelength, the far field pattern is narrowed while the field inside the cavities is enhanced and the phase difference between the magnetic field at adjacent grooves is 0 or π radians [16]. This particular phase distribution, which is automatically generated by the resonant wavelength, produces a superdirective pattern [13, 14, 16].

Phase resonances are not allowed in infinite periodic simple gratings (gratings with a single groove in the period), since the pseudoperiodicity condition does not permit the

field inside the cavities to have different phases. However, PR in compound gratings under normal illumination have already been reported [18]. In this case, the specular efficiency is maximized, the interior field is intensified, and the phase distribution of the field inside the grooves takes forms analogous to those of finite gratings. The dependence of these resonances on the different parameters of the grating was studied in [19]. The existence of phase resonances in metallic gratings with ohmic losses have also been investigated recently [20]. Other works on resonant excitations due to dual-period gratings include the paper by Hibbins et al. [21], where the authors study the excitation of surface plasmons in metallic structures in the microwave region.

To deal with infinite metallic gratings of particular profiles (rectangular, triangular, semi-circular), several modal approaches have been developed during the last four decades [7],[9], [22]-[27]. More recently, those modal methods have been generalized to arbitrary shapes of the corrugations, and numerical tools have been applied to enhance their performance [28]-[32]. To solve the diffraction problem from a perfectly conducting compound grating with rectangular grooves we applied the formulation proposed by Andrewartha *et al* for simple gratings [7], and extended it to compound gratings [18]. This method is particularly suitable for rectangular profiles, and enables us to analyze the electromagnetic response in terms of eigenmodes, which is convenient for studying resonances.

In this paper we investigate the excitation of phase resonances in compound gratings under oblique illumination. We show that the occurrence of such resonances is intimately connected with the phase distribution of the magnetic field inside the cavities. Particular attention is paid to the symmetry of the phase configurations that are automatically generated by the resonant wavelengths. The diffraction problem and the modal method are briefly described in Sec. 2. Numerical results that evidence the existence of phase resonances for non-normal incidence are given in Sec. 3, where we show efficiency curves as well as the amplitude and phase of the interior field as a function of the wavelength for a fixed angle of incidence, and for the order -1 and -2 Littrow mounts. The most significant conclusions are summarized in Sec. 4.

II. CONFIGURATION AND METHOD OF RESOLUTION

We consider a p -polarized plane wave of wavelength λ that illuminates a perfectly conducting compound diffraction grating comprising several rectangular grooves in each period, as shown in Fig. 1. The structure parameters are the period (d), the number of grooves in each period (N), the width (a) and the depth (h) of each groove, and the distance between grooves (b). The wave vector $\vec{k}$ of the incident plane wave forms an angle θ_0 with the y -axis.

A comment on the validity of the ideal model of a perfectly conducting structure is pertinent here. At a first sight, one should think that for studying resonances it is basic to consider a real metallic surface. This is true if we are interested in surface plasmon excitations, or if we are in the region of the spectrum where these excitations are relevant. However, the purpose of this paper is to study the excitation of phase resonances, which are independent from surface plasmon excitations, and arise from a particular distribution of the field inside the cavities. The resonant wavelength is not mainly related with the refraction index of the metal, but with the particular arrangement of the phase of the electromagnetic field inside the rectangular corrugations. Besides, our study is meant to deal with large wavelengths (of the order and above the microwave region of the spectrum), where the conductivity of metals is very high, and they behave as perfect conductors.

The method of resolution is the modal method, which consists in expanding the fields inside the grooves in their own eigenfunctions satisfying the boundary conditions at the lateral walls and at the bottom of each cavity. A complete and detailed description of the modal method applied to the diffraction problem from compound gratings can be found in Ref. [18]. This method is very suitable for the rectangular profile of the cavities considered here. In this case, it takes a very simple form and it permits us to study the dependence of the phase resonances by varying independently the geometrical parameters of the groove. It has also been shown to be efficient for the calculation of the electromagnetic response of very deep gratings [33].

For the sake of completeness, we summarize in what follows the modal method applied

to the present grating. The essence of the method, is to distribute the space into three regions: above the structure, inside the grooves, and in the perfect conductor. The diffracted magnetic field in the upper region is expressed as Rayleigh expansions, the total magnetic field inside the cavities is expressed by modal expansions, and the field inside the perfect conductor is zero. Then, by enforcing the matching conditions at the horizontal boundaries between regions, one yields a system of equations for the unknown amplitudes of the field inside and above the grooves, which is solved by a standard numerical technique for matrix inversion.

III. RESULTS

In this section we show numerical results that account for the existence of phase resonances in infinite perfectly-conducting compound gratings, when illuminated by an obliquely incident plane wave. Phase resonances under normal illumination have already been investigated for different numbers of grooves in the period [18], and for varying geometrical parameters of the structure [19]. It was found that this kind of resonances appears for certain wavelengths that maximize the specularly reflected efficiency as well as the electromagnetic field inside the cavities, and, at the same time, generates a particular distribution of the phase difference between the magnetic field at adjacent grooves, which is of either π or 0 radians [18].

In what follows we analyze the response of gratings with $N = 3$ and $N = 5$ in three different situations: $\theta_0 = 50^\circ$, order -1 Littrow mount, and order -2 Littrow mount. The existence of phase resonances at oblique illumination is manifested in Fig. 2, where we plot the specular efficiency (2a) and the field amplitude (2b) as a function of the wavelength-to-depth ratio λ/h , for normal incidence and for $\theta_0 = 50^\circ$. The phase difference between the fundamental amplitudes of the magnetic field at the external grooves for $\theta_0 = 50^\circ$ is plot in Fig. 2c. This angle was arbitrarily chosen to illustrate the phenomenon at oblique incidence, since the response of the structure is similar for any $\theta_0 \neq 0^\circ$. The parameters of the grating are $N = 3$, $d/h = 5.5$, $a/h = 0.3$, $b/h = 0.1$. It can be observed in Fig. 2(a)

that for $\theta_0 = 50^\circ$ the specular efficiency has two peaks in the range of λ considered, one at nearly the same wavelength as for normal incidence ($\lambda/h \approx 4.53$), and another one around $\lambda/h \approx 5.05$. This confirms the existence of phase resonances under oblique illumination. Moreover, there is a new resonant wavelength that is not present in the normal incidence case. The amplitude of the fundamental mode of the magnetic field inside the different cavities, which in this case is nearly equivalent to the total field amplitude in each groove, is plot in Fig. 2(b). It can be observed that for normal illumination, the field is maximized at the resonant wavelength, as it was already shown in Ref. [18]. On the other hand, for $\theta_0 = 50^\circ$ the amplitudes of the external grooves are coincident for $\lambda/h = 4.53$, and are very similar in the interval $\lambda/h \in [4.6, 5.05]$ (Fig. 2b, dotted and dashed-dotted curves). It can be observed in Fig. 2c that the phase difference between the fundamental mode amplitudes at the external grooves is zero for $\lambda/h = 4.53$ and it is π radians for $\lambda/h = 4.6$ and 5.08 . The resonance at $\lambda/h = 4.53$ can be associated with the π resonance in the normal incidence case, in which the phase difference between the external grooves is zero, and it is π between each one of these grooves and the central one. The second resonance, however, appears at $\lambda/h = 5.05$, which does not correspond to any of the values where the phase difference is exactly π . At $\lambda/h = 4.6$ the phases of the external cavities are not symmetric with respect to that of the central one, and since the central amplitude is comparable to that of the external grooves, it prevents the generation of an effective π radians difference necessary to have a phase resonance. On the other hand, for $\lambda/h = 5.08$ the phase difference is exactly π , but although the central groove amplitude is low, for this wavelength the amplitudes of the external grooves are no longer equal, and then the symmetry requirement is not fulfilled. In summary, the value at which all three conditions (symmetry in the amplitude distribution, π phase difference between the external cavities and low amplitude of the central one) seem to be better achieved is $\lambda/h = 5.05$. This suggests that the generation of phase resonances is connected with the phase difference between grooves but also with a certain kind of symmetry in the field distribution. It is important to remark that both requirements are concerned with symmetries that are

automatically generated in the structure, even under non-symmetric incidence conditions. Taking into account that the phase is almost constant within each groove, we can represent the amplitude and phase of the magnetic field at the central position ($x_j = a/2 + j*(a+b)$, $j = 0, \dots, N-1$) of the bottom ($y = -h$) of each groove as a phasor, for the resonant wavelengths. The phase distribution for the first peak in Fig. 2a is nearly the same as that of the π -mode at normal incidence [16, 18], i.e., the phases of the external grooves are equal, and differ in $\approx \pi$ radians from that of the central groove. On the other hand, the phase distribution for the second resonance (second peak in Fig. 2a) is different: the phase difference between the fields of the external grooves is almost π radians, and the central groove has an intermediate phase which differs in $\approx \pi/2$ radians from that of the external grooves. Besides, the central field amplitude is negligible. This is a new situation that was forbidden for normal incidence, and is generated by a resonant wavelength in oblique incidence.

In Fig. 3 we study the case of the order -1 Littrow mount, and compare the results obtained for the same grating considered in Figure 2 and for a grating with two grooves per period ($N=2$), with the same width a but separated a distance $b'/h = (2b+a)/h = 0.5$. The numerical results suggest that the new resonant effect which appears under oblique incidence is improved in the -1 Littrow case. The specular efficiency for $N=3$ is optimized for two values of the wavelength (Fig. 3(a)). At these values the field inside the external grooves has nearly the same magnitude (Fig. 3(b)), although this symmetry is not imposed by the incidence conditions. The phase configurations for the first and the second peak are very similar to those corresponding to $\theta_0 = 50^\circ$. Notice that even though for the first peak the central groove has the maximum amplitude, for the second peak the field amplitude at the central groove is significantly lower than that of the other grooves. This seems to be a condition necessary to generate this second resonance: there is a phase difference of nearly π radians between the fundamental modes of the external grooves (see Fig. 3c), and the central one does not play any role. Actually, if we compare the curves for $N=2$ and $N=3$ in Figs. 3a and 3b, we observe that the first resonance is not

present for $N=2$ but the second is at a close wavelength. This behavior can be explained as follows. To generate the first peak, a phase difference between the modal amplitudes at adjacent grooves of π radians is needed. For $N=3$ the central groove has a significant amplitude and its phase is nearly opposite to the phases of the external grooves, thus allowing the existence of the resonance. On the other hand, for $N=2$ the phase difference between the grooves is close to zero, what inhibits the generation of the resonance (see Fig. 3c). For the second peak the situation is different, since at this resonant wavelength the field amplitude in the central groove in the $N=3$ case is too low making this groove irrelevant for the generation of the resonance, and the π phase difference needed is found between the external grooves. Then, replacing the central groove by full metal does not change significantly the field distribution and the second resonance is also present in this case.

The order -2 Littrow mount is analyzed in Figs. 4 and 5. Note that the propagation direction of the diffracted orders is the same here as in normal incidence. Therefore, this case presents particular interest since, kinematically, it looks equivalent to the normal incidence situation: the order -1 propagates normally to the structure and the orders 0 and -2 propagate along symmetric directions with respect to the mean normal. In this case, the first resonant peak coincides with that of normal incidence, and the second maximum is very wide and cannot be regarded as a resonance (see Fig. 4(a)). In fact, there is no intensification of the interior field at this wavelength (Fig. 4(b)). In Fig. 5 we show the dependence on the angle of incidence of the specular efficiency (Fig. 5(a)), magnitude (Fig. 5(b)) and phase difference (Fig. 5(c)) of the magnetic field, for the resonant wavelength corresponding to normal incidence and to order -2 Littrow mount ($\lambda/h \approx 4.5302$). As expected, the specular efficiency has two maxima: one at $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$ and another at $\theta_0 = 55.45^\circ$ which corresponds to -2 Littrow mount, and a minimum at $\theta_0 = 24.32^\circ$, which corresponds to -1 Littrow mount. It can be observed in Fig. 5(c) that the phase difference between the magnetic field at adjacent grooves for $\theta_0 = 55.45^\circ$ and for $\theta_0 = 24.32^\circ$ is equal, although the field magnitudes at the external grooves are

equal only for -2 Littrow mount (Fig. 5(b)). This result suggests that the -2 Littrow situation optimizes the resonance, since it automatically generates a highly symmetric field distribution inside the grooves, resembling the high symmetry previously found for normal incidence.

In Figures 6-7 we investigate a grating with 5 grooves in the period, in the -1 order Littrow mount. It is important to recall that in the normal incidence case, for an increasing number of cavities in the period, the number of resonances is also increased [18]. In the case of oblique incidence, this behavior is also found, as it is shown in Fig. 6 for a grating with the same parameters as those of the previous figures, except for $N = 5$. Comparing the specular efficiency curve with that corresponding to $N = 3$ (Fig. 3(a)), we notice that the resonance at $\lambda/h = 4.539$ is now split into two peaks at $\lambda/h = 4.475$ and $\lambda/h = 4.575$ (Fig. 6). The distribution of the amplitude of the magnetic field in the vicinity of the grooves for the resonant wavelengths, is illustrated as contour plots in Fig. 7. The black zones represent the most intense fields, whereas the white zones represent the weakest fields (the perfectly conducting region is light gray). The grey scale was chosen so as to make it easy to visualize the field structure in each case, and therefore it is not maintained in all three figures. For instance, the maximum value in Fig. 7(a) is about two times that of Fig. 7(b), and about five times that of Fig. 7(c).

For the first peak in Fig. 6 ($\lambda/h = 4.475$) there is a clear intensification of the field inside the grooves, the magnetic field being maximum at the central groove. The phase distribution corresponds to the π -mode [18], i.e., phase differences of π between adjacent grooves (Fig. 7(a)). For the second resonance ($\lambda/h = 4.575$, Fig. 7(b)), there is also an enhancement of the field within the cavities, even though it is not as strong as for the first peak, and the amplitude at the central groove is very low ($\approx 1/25$ of the maximum amplitude inside the cavities). This second resonance is similar to the second peak for $N = 3$ (Fig. 3), which was forbidden for normal incidence. The phase of the central groove forms an angle of $\approx \pi/2$ with the phases of its adjacent grooves, which have opposite phases; the external grooves have opposite phases too, which are also opposite to

their corresponding neighbors. Finally, the intensification of the third peak ($\lambda/h = 4.89$) is not as important as in the previous two cases, and the distribution of phases is also different (Fig. 7(c)). This situation seems to be close to the second resonance of normal incidence (see Fig. 5(b) in Ref. [18]). It is important to remark that for λ different from the resonant values, the interior field is significantly lower. For an increasing number of grooves in the period, new splittings of the resonances were found, which give place to new resonant situations not allowed under normal incidence (not shown).

The results show that phase resonances appear in perfectly conducting compound gratings not only under normal incidence, which is a highly symmetric situation, but also under oblique illumination. It is important to remark that even though the generation of phase resonances is strongly connected with symmetries in the magnetic field distribution inside the cavities (amplitude and phase), these requirements are automatically fulfilled for particular wavelengths, even when the symmetry is not imposed by external conditions.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The existence of phase resonances under oblique illumination has been studied. For this purpose, we investigated the response of a rectangular perfectly-conducting compound grating as a function of the wavelength (for a fixed angle of incidence), of the angle of incidence (for a particular resonant wavelength) and for Littrow mount. New resonant wavelengths, not allowed for normal incidence, were found. The magnitude and phase distributions of the magnetic field at these wavelengths exhibit a high degree of symmetry. The magnetic field is intensified inside the grooves, and the specularly reflected efficiency is optimized in Littrow mount. The physical mechanism that automatically generates these particular field configurations is not fully understood yet, but we are working towards this objective. We are also investigating on the exploitation and optimization of this phenomenon for its use in optical devices.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge partial support from Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Universidad de Buenos Aires (UBA) and Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCYT-BID 802/OC-AR03-04457).

-
- [1] V. M. Agranovich and D. L. Mills, “Surface polaritons” (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982)
 - [2] A. Hessel and A. A. Oliner, Appl. Opt. 4, 1275-1297 (1965).
 - [3] M. C. Hutley, Chapter 6 in *Diffraction gratings* (Academic Press, London, 1982).
 - [4] C. I. Valencia and R. A. Depine, Opt. Commun. 159, 254-265 (1999).
 - [5] D. C. Skigin and R. A. Depine, Phys. Rev. E 59, 3661-3668 (1999).
 - [6] R. A. Depine and D. C. Skigin, Phys. Rev. E 61, 4479-4490 (2000).
 - [7] J. R. Andrewartha, J. R. Fox and I. J. Wilson, Optica Acta 26, 69-89 (1979).
 - [8] J. R. Andrewartha, J. R. Fox and I. J. Wilson, Optica Acta 26, 197-209 (1979).
 - [9] A. Wirgin and A. A. Maradudin, Phys. Rev. B 31, 5573-5576 (1985).
 - [10] A. A. Maradudin, A. V. Shchegrov and T. A. Leskova, Opt. Commun. 135, 352-360 (1997).
 - [11] T. López-Rios, D. Mendoza, F. J. García-Vidal, J. Sánchez-Dehesa and B. Pannetier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 665-668 (1998).
 - [12] G. Fikioris, R. W. P. King and T. Wu, J. Appl. Phys. 68, 431-439 (1990).
 - [13] V. V. Veremey and V. P. Shestopalov, Radio Science 26, 631-636 (1991).
 - [14] V. V. Veremey, IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine 37, 16-27 (1995).
 - [15] V. V. Veremey and R. Mittra, IEEE Trans. on Antennas Propag. 46, 4, 494-501 (1998).
 - [16] D. C. Skigin, V. V. Veremey and R. Mittra, IEEE Trans. on Antennas Propag. 47, (2), 376-383 (1999).
 - [17] A. Bloch, R. G. Medhurst, S. D. Pool and W. E. Knock, Proceedings of the IEE 48, 1164 (1960).
 - [18] A. N. Fantino, S. I. Grosz and D. C. Skigin, Phys. Rev. E 64 (1), 016605 (2001).
 - [19] S. I. Grosz, D. C. Skigin and A. N. Fantino, Phys. Rev. E 65 (5), 056619 (2002).
 - [20] D. C. Skigin, A. N. Fantino and S. I. Grosz, J. Opt. A: Pure Appl. Opt. 5, S129-S135 (2003).
 - [21] A. P. Hibbins, J. R. Sambles and C. R. Lawrence, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80, 2410-2412 (2002).
 - [22] S. Jovicevic and S. Sesnic, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 62, 865-877 (1972).
 - [23] J. A. DeSanto, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 56, 1336-1341 (1974).
 - [24] J. R. Andrewartha, G. H. Derrick and R. C. McPhedran, Opt. Acta 28, 1177-1193 (1981).

- [25] L. C. Botten, M. S. Craig, R. C. McPhedran, J. L. Adams and J. R. Andrewartha, Opt. Acta 28, 1087-1102 (1981)
- [26] L. C. Botten, M. S. Craig and R. C. McPhedran, Opt. Acta 28, 1103-1106 (1981).
- [27] L. Li, J. Mod. Opt. 40, 553-573 (1993).
- [28] J. R. Fox, Opt. Acta 27, 289-305 (1980).
- [29] J. R. Andrewartha, G. H. Derrick and R. C. McPhedran, Opt. Acta 28, 1501-1516 (1981).
- [30] M. G. Moharam, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 3, 1780-1787 (1986).
- [31] L. Li, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 10, 2581-2591 (1993).
- [32] J. Merle Elson and P. Tran, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 12, 1765-1771 (1995).
- [33] D. C. Skigin and R. A. Depine, Optik 94 (3), 114-122 (1993).




FIG. 1: Configuration of the problem.




FIG. 2: (a) Specular efficiency versus λ/h for $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$ and $\theta_0 = 50^\circ$; (b) Amplitude of the fundamental mode of the magnetic field inside the cavities versus λ/h for $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$ and $\theta_0 = 50^\circ$; (c) Phase difference of the fundamental modes inside the cavities versus λ/h , for $\theta_0 = 50^\circ$. The parameters of the grating are $N = 3$, $d/h = 5.5$, $a/h = 0.3$ and $b/h = 0.1$.




FIG. 3: (a) Specular efficiency versus λ/h for the grating of Fig. 2 and for a grating with $N=2$, $a/h = 0.3$ and $b/h = 0.5$, for the order -1 Littrow mount; (b) Amplitude of the fundamental mode of the magnetic field inside the cavities versus λ/h , for the cases considered in (a); (c) Phase difference between the fundamental modes inside the cavities versus λ/h , for the cases considered in (a).




FIG. 4: (a) Specular efficiency versus λ/h for the grating of Fig. 2, for normal incidence and for the order -2 Littrow mount; (b) Amplitude of the fundamental mode of the magnetic field inside the cavities versus λ/h , for the order -2 Littrow mount case.




FIG. 5: (a) Specular efficiency; (b) Amplitude of the fundamental mode; (c) Phase difference between the fundamental mode of the magnetic in adjacent cavities, versus θ_0 , for the resonant wavelength corresponding to normal incidence and to the order -2 Littrow mount ($\lambda/h = 4.5302$). The parameters of the grating are the same as in Fig. 2.




FIG. 6: Specular efficiency versus λ/h for $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$ and for the order -1 Littrow mount, for the same grating of Fig. 2, except for $N = 5$.






FIG. 7: Contour plots of the magnitude of the magnetic field in the vicinity of the grooves, for the resonant peaks of Fig. 6 (-1 Littrow mount): (a) $\theta_0 = 24.006^\circ$, $\lambda/h = 4.475$; (b) $\theta_0 = 25.582^\circ$, $\lambda/h = 4.575$; (c) $\theta_0 = 26.396^\circ$, $\lambda/h = 4.890$.