Local an isotropy and giant enhancem ent of local electrom agnetic elds in fractal aggregates of m etal nanoparticles

Sergei V. Karpov

L.V. K irensky Institute of Physics, Russian Academ y of Sciences, Siberian Branch, Krasnoyarsk 660036, Russia

Valeriy S. Gerasim ov and Ivan L. Isaev

Department of Physics and Engineering, Krasnoyarsk State Technical University, Krasnoyarsk 660028, Russia

Vadim A. Markel

Departments of Radiology and Bioengineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104 (Dated: April 17, 2024)

We have shown within the quasistatic approximation that the giant uctuations of local electrom agnetic eld in random fractal aggregates of silver nanospheres are strongly correlated with a local anisotropy factor S which is de ned in this paper. The latter is a purely geometrical parameter which characterizes the deviation of local environment of a given nanosphere in an aggregate from spherical symmetry. Therefore, it is possible to predict the sites with anomalously large local elds in an aggregate without explicitly solving the electrom agnetic problem . We have also demonstrated that the average (over nanospheres) value of S does not depend noticeably on the fractal dimension D, except when D approaches the trivial lim it D = 3. In this case, as one can expect, the average local environment becomes spherically symmetrical and S approaches zero. This corresponds to the well-known fact that in trivial aggregates uctuations of local electrom agnetic elds are much weaker than in fractal aggregates. Thus, we nd that, within the quasistatics, the large-scale geometry does not have a signi cant impact on local electrom agnetic responses in nanoaggregates in a wide range of fractal dimensions. How ever, this prediction is expected to be not correct in aggregates which are su ciently large for the intermediate—and radiation-zone interaction of individual nanospheres to become e important.

I. IN TRODUCTION

E lectrom agnetic properties of fractal nanostructures have continuously attracted attention since the late 1980ies due to their rather unusual physical properties and the possibility of num erous applications, as described in several reviews of the subject [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Of special interest are aggregates of m etal nanoparticles in hydrosols and percolation clusters (m etal-dielectric com – posites) which have, in particular, exhibited the e ects of giant enhancem ent of nonlinear-optical responses [7, 8, 9, 10], inhom ogeneous localization of electrom agnetic eigenm odes [11, 12], and optical m em ory [13, 14, 15, 16, 17].

Theoretical description of the electrom agnetic responses of disordered fractal aggregates has been closely interconnected with num erical simulations. This is due to the fact that a fully analytic solution to the problem of interaction of an electrom agnetic eld with a large random fractal aggregate has not been devised. Som e approxim ate theoretical approaches were based on the rst Born [18] and mean- eld [19] approximations, approximations based on few-body interaction (binary [20, 21] or binary-ternary [12] approximations), and various phenom enological scaling laws [8, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. The

rst Born and the mean- eld approximations are not, generally, useful in the spectral regions where excitation is resonant. While o -resonant electrom agnetic properties of fractal aggregates are of interest in atm ospheric physics [25, 26, 27], the research in electrom agnetics of m etal fractal aggregates is primarily focused on resonant interactions. The few body approxim ations and the scaling laws proved to be very useful for qualitative theoretical description at the early stages of research. How ever, increasingly more realistic simulations revealed that these approaches do not provide quantitative results. Currently, they are electively obsolete. A brief overview of the progression of num erical models used to simulate electrom agnetic responses of fractal aggregates is given in the next paragraph.

The theoretical and computational description has been primarily based on a model of an aggregate of N touching identical spherical nanoparticles. Each nanoparticle, and som etim es the aggregate as a whole, are assumed to be much smaller in size than the external wavelength. (Polydisperse aggregates built from spheres of di erent size have also been recently addressed [28, 29, 30].) In order for an aggregate to be considered fractal, the number of primary spheres, must be large, typically, $> 10^3$. Initially, simulations were based on the dipole approxim ation. In this approxim ation, each sphere is assigned a dipole m om ent located at its center. The spheres then interact with each other and the external eld via dipole radiation elds as described by 3N coupled-dipole equations [21]. In the late 1980-ies and early 1990-ies, num erical solution of dense linear system s of $> 10^3$ equations was a di cult computational task. Therefore a model of diluted aggregates was adopted and used, for example, in Refs. [8, 21, 22, 31, 32, 33, 34]. According to this model, an aggregate of N touching spheres (where N can be very large) is diluted, i.e.,

spheres were random ly rem oved from the aggregate with the probability 1 p, where p 1. Then the coordinates of the remaining spheres are rescaled according to r! p^{1=D} r, where D is the fractal dimension. This procedure does not change the density-density correlation function of the aggregate in some interm ediate region. However, it does change the local structure of the aggregate substantially. The few body approxim ations and scaling laws were largely validated with the model of diluted aggregates. However, when computations with non-diluted clusters became feasible, it was found that both the few-body approximations and the scaling laws are inaccurate [35]. The deviation from the scaling laws has been explained by the phenom enon of inhom ogeneous localization [3]; how ever, the theoretical relation of this phenom ena to the aggregate geom etry has not been clari ed. Additionally, it has been well known that account of excitation of higher multipole modes is in portant for touching nanoparticles, even when the size of each nanoparticle is much smaller than the external wavelength [36, 37, 38, 39]. In particular, the dipole approximation failed to properly describe experimentally observed red shifts in extinction spectra of colloid aggregates [35, 40]. To rem ediate this problem , a phenom enological model of geom etrical renorm alization have been introduced [35, 41] and, recently, computations beyond the dipole approximation have been performed [42].

The combination of ndings contained in the abovecited references strongly suggest that the local structure of aggregates is of prim ary in portance. How ever, the local structure of random fractal nanoaggregates has not been so far the focus of research. In this paper we, for the rst time, point to a strong correlation between the anisotropy of local environm ent and enhancem ent of local eld in fractal aggregates within the quasistatic approximation. In particular, we nd that the correlation coe cient of the local an isotropy factor S (introduced below) and the value of a local squared dipole m om ent jd j can be as high as 0:75 and tends to grow with the wavelength. W e have found that the average local an isotropy factor is alm ost independent of fractal dimension in the range 1.7 < D < 2.8. Note that this result is expected to change in large aggregates where interm ediate- and far-zone interaction is im portant.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II the local anisotropy factor is introduced. The dependence of the local anisotropy factor on the fractal dimension of aggregates and other parameters for computer-simulated fractals is discussed in Section III. Section IV contains results concerning the correlation of local electrom agnetic

elds and the local anisotropy factor. The electrom agnetic calculations in this section were perform ed with the m ethod of coupled multipoles [39, 42], e.g., without the dipole approximation. Finally, Section V contains a sum m ary of obtained results.

II. DEFINITION OF THE LOCAL ANISOTROPY FACTOR

The de nition of local anisotropy factor introduced in this paper is based on an analogy with ellipsoids. An ellipsoid is a geom etrical object that can exhibit either perfect spherical symm etry, or strong an isotropy, depending on its eccentricity.

C onsider a general ellipsoid excited by a linearly polarized m onochrom atic external wave of am plitude E_0 . In the quasistatic limit, the polarization P inside the ellipsoid is independent of position and can be found from

$$4 \quad \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{3} \quad \hat{Q} \quad P = E_0; \quad (1)$$

where the tensor \hat{Q} is given by

$$\hat{Q} = \int_{V}^{Z} \hat{G}_{0}(0; r^{0}) d^{3}r^{0} ; \qquad (2)$$

Here \hat{G}_0 (r; r⁰) is the regular part of the quasistatic freespace dyadic G reen's function for the electric ekd. The integral is taken over the volum e of the ellipsoid, V, and is independent of position. Therefore, it is evaluated at the center of ellipsoid, r = 0. A unique property of ellipsoids is that \hat{Q} is diagonal in the reference fram e whose axes are collinear to them ain axes of the ellipsoid. C orrespondingly, if E₀; are the C artesian components of the external electric eld in the sam e reference fram e, the solution to (1) is

$$P = \frac{E_{0;}}{4 [1 = (1) +]};$$
(3)

where are the depolarization factors related to the principal values of \hat{Q} by

In the case of spherical symmetry (e = 0), Q = 0 and = 1=3. For an ellipsoid of nonzero eccentricity, the depolarization factors become di erent from 1=3. Thus, for example, if e = 1, we have $_1 = _2 = 0$; $_3 = 1$ for an oblate ellipsoid (in nitely thin circular disk) or $_1 = _2 = 1=2$; $_3 = 0$ for a prolate ellipsoid (in nitely thin needle). The anisotropy factor S can be de ned as dispersion of the depolarization factors:

$$S^{2} = h^{2}i h^{2}i$$
 (5)

O by iously, this parameter is zero for a sphere and positive for any ellipsoid of nonzero eccentricity. In particular, for the in nitely thin needle, S = 1=32 and for an in nitely thin circular disk, S = 2=3. The latter is the maximum possible value for S given the constraint = 1.

Now we extend the de nition of the depolarization tensor to include particles of arbitrary shape. Namely, for an arbitrary system occupying some volum eV, we de ne

$$^{(r)} = \frac{1}{3} \hat{r} \qquad \sum_{v} \hat{G}_{0}(r; r^{0}) d^{3} r^{0}; \qquad (6)$$

where \hat{I} is the unity tensor. If V is of general shape, the result of integration in the right-hand side of (6) is position-dependent. Therefore, the tensor ^ (r) depends on the point r it is evaluated at and is referred to here as local. Sim ilarly to the case of ellipsoids, this tensor can be diagonalized. Then we can use the principal values

(r) to calculate the anisotropy factor according to (5). In this paper we consider aggregates of (possibly, polydisperse) spheres whose centers are located at points r_i and radii are denoted by a_i . In this case, the expression for ^ (r) is simpli ed. We use

Ζ

$$\hat{G}_{0}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r}^{0})d^{3}\mathbf{r}^{0} = \begin{array}{cc} v_{i}\hat{G}_{0}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r}_{i}) ; \text{ if } \dot{\mathbf{r}} & r_{i}j > a_{i} \\ 0; & \text{ if } \dot{\mathbf{r}} & r_{i}j < a_{i} \\ \end{array}$$
(7)

to obtain

$$\hat{}_{i} \qquad \hat{}(\underline{r}) = \frac{1}{3} \hat{I} \qquad \begin{array}{c} X \\ v_{j} \hat{G}_{0} (r_{i}; r_{j}) : \\ \vdots \end{array}$$
(8)

Here $v_i = 4 a_i^3 = 3$ is the volume of the i-th sphere and the components of \hat{G}_0 ($r_i; r_j$) are given by

$$(G_{0}(r_{i};r_{j})) = \frac{3n^{(ij)}n^{(ij)}}{r_{ij}^{3}}; \qquad (9)$$

where $r_{ij} = r_i$ r_j and $n^{(ij)} = r_{ij} = r_{ij}$.

D is gonalization of the tensor \uparrow_i and calculation of the dispersion of its principal values gives the local anisotropy factor S_i . This parameter quanti es the degree of anisotropy of the local environment of the i-th sphere.

A few notes about the introduced de nition must be made. First, the principal value obtained as described above are purely geom etrical characteristics of an object. They are related to the Bergm an-Milton spectral param – eters [43] only in the special case of ellipsoidal (more generally, spheroidal) shape of V. Obtaining the Bergm an-Milton spectral param eters requires diagonalization of the integral operator W with the kernel G₀ (r; r⁰), r; r⁰ 2 V. This is a much more complicated task than diagonalization of the tensor $\hat{Q}(r) = \int_{V} G_0 (r; r^0) d^3 r^0$ at a given point r. In particular, $\hat{Q}(r)$ is three-dimensional, while W is in nite-dimensional. Correspondingly, the number of Bergm an-Milton param eters is in nite (although only three of them have non-zero oscillator strengths in the case of spheroids), while the tensor ^ (r) has only three principal values. Second, the principal values (r) are not constrained, in general, by the conditions 0 1 and = 1. This also distinguishes them from the Bergm an M ilton spectral param eters. Next, the param – eter S_i depends on the coordinates of all nanoparticles in the aggregate with $j \in i$. How ever, due to the fast cubic decay of the near- eld component of the dipole radiation

eld, the neighbors within few coordinate spheres of the i-th site give the largest input to S_i . This justi es the locality of S_i , as it only weakly depends on the large scale structure. This statement needs to be quali ed in aggregates large enough so that interaction in the far-zone becomes important. Even without account of retardation, the locality of S_i can be violated in aggregates with the fractal dimension close to 3 (or in random non-fractal composites), due the logarithm ic divergence of the integral r³ d³r at in nity. We do not expect these e ects to be important in most aggregates of practical interest with the fractal dimension in the range D < 2:7 and do not consider them in this paper.

Finally, the introduced parameter is not sensitive to the wavelength and electrom agnetic properties of the scattering material. Therefore, we do not expect it to be a good indicator of local electrom agnetic response at all wavelengths. It is also independent of the incident polarization. A possible de nition of a polarization-sensitive anisotropy factor is

$$S_{i}(E_{0}) = \frac{E_{0} \quad i^{E_{0}}}{E_{0}f} :$$
 (10)

Another possible de nition is

$$S_{i}^{2}(E_{0}) = \frac{\dot{j}_{i}E_{0}\dot{j}}{\dot{j}_{0}\dot{j}} : \qquad (11)$$

Note that the de nitions (10),(11) are not used in this paper.

III. RESULTS: SIM ULATIONS OF GEOMETRICAL PROPERTIES

Since the unique electrom agnetic properties of colloid aggregates are often attributed to their fractal structure, we have studied computer-generated aggregates with various fractal dimensions. We have generated quasi-random o -lattice aggregates with varying fractal dimension D using the algorithm described in [42]. This algorithm simulates the stochastic dynamics of individual nanoparticles and sub-aggregates in a solution with the account of random (Brownian) forces, as well as deterministic interparticle (the Van-der-W aals and C oulom b) and external potentials. D iscrete N ew tonian mechanics was implemented with a su ciently smalltime step, such that the spatial translation of any particle (sphere) at each step is much smaller than its diameter. R otation of

aggregates was taken into account. We have used both m onodisperse ($a_i = const$) and polydisperse nanospheres (ai were random ly distributed according to the Poisson distribution). The fractal dimension of obtained aggregates was tuned in the interval 1.7 < D < 3.0 by varying the initial density of spheres prior to the aggregation process. The num erical value of D was calculated from the linear regression of the pair density-density correlation function which, in the interm ediate asymptote region, has the scaling form $q(r) / r^{D-3}$.

The aggregation was simulated in a cubic volume with elastically re ecting boundaries. In the limit of low initial concentration of particles and the size of the cubic cell of 200a or more, the obtained aggregates have the typical fractal dim ension D 1:7. W hen the initial concentration increases, D approaches the trivial $\lim it D = 3$. As a graphical illustration of generated fractals, we show in Fig. 1 a large aggregate and values of the local an isotropy factor S at som e selected sites.



Fig. 1. Local anisotropy factor S for selected sites in a large aggregate with N = 5000 and D 1:8.

We start with a discussion of results for monodisperse aggregates, i.e., for aggregates built of identical spheres. In Fig. 2, we illustrate the dependence of the average (over individual particles in an aggregate) value of the S on fractal dimension D. Aggregates with 1:70 < D < 2:25 are characterized by m oderate average values of local anisotropy factor, almost independently of D. We can argue that such aggregates di er only on large scale but have similar local structure. In other words, the local environment of each particle is, on average, the same, independently of D. As D approaches the critical value D = 3, the local anisotropy factor quickly drops. This corresponds to the fact that trivial (non-fractal) aggregates are characterized by alm ost isotropic local environm ent and relatively weak

uctuations of density. We have also calculated the

average S for two types of lattice aggregates traditionally used in electrodynamic calculations. The results are shown by centered symbols in Fig.2.



Fig. 2. Average local anisotropy factor S vs fractal dimension D for 121 random aggregates with N = 800 in each. Numerical value of D was computed separately for each aggregate from linear regression of the density-density correlation function in the interm ediate asymptote region. The open circle shows the average values of S for lattice M eakin aggregates [44] (D 1:8) and the open square shows the same value for a set of W itten-Sander aggregates [45] Ð 2:5).

Real colloid aggregates are strongly polydisperse. Typically, they contain particles of sizes ranging from 5nm to 30nm [1, 46]. W e have investigated the dependence S (D) for several ensembles of polydisperse aggregates with different ratios of the maximum and minimum sphere radiuses, am ax and am in. We have used a discrete Poisson distribution of particle sizes with the number of samples equal to 11 [the discrete step in particle size was $a = (a_{max})$ $a_{n in}$)=10]. The dependence of local anisotropy factor on the fractal dimensions D is shown in Fig. 3. Note that no signifact e ect doe to the polidispersity was found.

It is interesting to note that the average local anisotropy factor does not depend on the distance of a given site from the center of mass of the aggregate. This is illustrated in Fig. 4. Here we plot the value of S averaged over all nanospheres within a spherical shell drawn arond the center of m ass of the aggregate as a function of the shell radius (see qure captions for more detail).

In Fig. 5, we also plot the fraction of particles in an aggregate with local an isotropy factor exceeding 60% of the maximum value for that aggregate as a function of fractal dimension. It can be seen that in typical aggregates with fractal dimensions of practical interest, only sm all fraction of particles is placed in highly an isotropic environm ent. In Fig. 6, an exam ple of an aggregate is



Fig.3. Local anisotropy factor S factor vs fractal dimensions D for polydisperse aggregates with N = 800 and Poisson particle size distribution. The ratio of the maximum and m inimum particle radii is $a_{m ax}=a_{m in} = 2$ (153 random aggregates) for curve (a) and $a_{m ax}=a_{m in} = 3$ (297 random aggregates) for curve (b). Numerical value of D was computed separately for each aggregate from linear regression of the density-density correlation function in the interm ediate asymptote region.



Fig. 6. Sites in a fractal aggregate (D 1.3, N = 800) with relative values of the local anisotropy factor exceeding 80% of the maximum value for the same aggregate, $S_{max} = 2.29$.



Fig. 4. Local anisotropy factor S vs the relative distance to the center of m ass of an aggregate, r=a. The hystogram is built with the step $R_g=10$, where R_g is the gyration radius of the aggregate, and S was averaged over all particles located within 10 spherical shells drawn around the aggregate's center of m ass for N = 10;000 (a) and N = 3;000 (b).



Fig. 5. Dependence of the fraction N = N of sites in an monodisperse aggregate with the value of local anisotropy factor exceeding 60% of its maximum value for the same aggregate; N = 800.



Fig. 7. Local anisotropy factor S for di erent particles in a fractal aggregate with N = 800 and D 1:8 (a) compared to those in random gas of identical hard spheres A verage distance between centers of two nearest neighbor spheres $R_{nn}=a = 2:12$ (b) and $R_{nn}=a = 2:90$ (c).

shown with the sites of relatively high local anisotropy emphasized by di erent color (shade of grey).

Finally, we compare the local anisotropy factors for all particles of monodisperse fractal aggregate and non-fractal random gas of hard spheres (N = 800 in both cases). In Fig. 7a we plot these quantities for a fractal aggregate with D 1:8. All local anisotropy factors S_i are shown for $i = 1; \dots; 800$. In Fig. 7b,c, the same quantities are plotted for a random gas of identical hard spheres of radius a distributed in a volume with the density corresponding to the average distance between the centers of nearest neighbor spheres equal to R_{nn} ; value of the ratio R_{nn} =a are indicated in the gure caption. It can be seen that the fractal aggregate contains sites with much higher values of local anisotropy factor than random gas. A sone could expect, the local anisotropy factors become smaller when the density of random gas decreases. However, a fractal aggregate, although has zero asymptotic density in the lim it N ! 1, always retains approximately constant fraction of sites with relatively high local an isotropy.



Fig. 8. Local anisotropy factor (S_i) (thick line) and local dipole m om ents squared $jl_i j^2$ (thin line) for di erent particles in a m onodisperse aggregate with N = 150 and fractal dimension D = 1:70, D = 1:79, and D = 1:85, computed at the wavelength = 703nm.



Fig. 9. Correlation between S_i and $jt_i j^2$ as a function of wavelength for monodisperse aggregates with N = 150 and fractal dimension D = 1:70, D = 1:79, and D = 1:85.

IV. COM PAR ISON OF STRUCTURAL AND ELECTRODYNAM IC PROPERTIES OF FRACTAL NANOAG GREGATES

The main idea of this paper is that there is certain correlation between local structure and local electrom agnetic elds in fractal nanoaggregates. This assumption is con med by numerical simulations presented in this Section.

W e have computed optical responses of aggregates of nanospheres using the m ethod of coupled multipoles [39, 42]. Calculations were performed for monodisperse aggregates built of N = 150 silver nanospheres of constant radius a = 5nm and placed in vacuum. To facilitate convergence with the maximum order of multipoles included, we have introduced a surface layer of thickness h = 0.05a. The dielectric constant of the layer was chosen to be the same as that of the vacuum, = 1. We have used experimental values of the optical constants of silver [47] with

nite-size corrections according to [35]. The maximum order of the VSHs utilized in the results shown below was L = 8. The convergence was verified by control calculations with L = 16. We note that much larger values of L are required for nanospheres in exact contact (h = 0) and that the number of the coupled-multipole equations (with complex coeccients) which must be solved to com pute the optical responses is equal to N L (L + 2).

In Fig. 8 we plot the quantities S_i and $hjd_i \hat{f} := (a^3 E_0)^2$ for three aggregates with fractal dimensions D 1:70, D 1:79 and D 1:85, computed at = 703nm. Here $hjd_i \hat{f} :$ is the square of the dipole moment of i-th nanosphere averaged over three orthogonal polarizations of the external edd. V isual correlation of the two curves is quite apparent. For a more quantitative estimate, we have computed the correlation coe cient r_c (S; hjd \hat{f} i). The dependence of r_c on the wavelength is shown in Fig. 9 for three values of fractal dimension and dimension is achieved for = 703nm (0:69 < r_c < 0:76). The value of r_c decreases m onotonously for sm aller wavelengths and is in the interval 0:49 < r_c < 0:54 when = 505nm. Note that the correlation coe cient is expected to increase towards unity in the spectral region > 700nm. Also, even stronger correlation is expected is a polarization-dependent de nition of the local anisotropy factor is used, such as (10) or (11). Validating these hypothesis will be the subject of future work.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper we have investigated the statistical correlation between the local geom etrical structure and local electrom agnetic responses in fractal aggregates of nanoparticles. We have used a realistic aggregation m odel which allows computer generation of quasi random aggregates of variable fractal dimension in the interval 1:7 < D < 3.0. Electrom agnetic calculations were carried out using the m ethod of coupled multipoles, i.e., beyond the dipole approximation.

W e have found that the local anisotropy factor S introduced in Section II is strongly correlated with the local electrom agnetic response. For aggregates built of highquality plasm onic m aterials, the degree of such correlation tends to increase with the wavelength. The correlation coe cient between the squared dipole moment of a given nanoparticle in an aggregate and a purely geom etrical parameter (local anisotropy factor) reaches the value of 0:75 for = 700nm. We expect that this correlation can become even larger if a properly-de ned polarizationdependent local anisotropy factor is used and at larger wavelengths.

The introduced parameter S is a universal geometri-

cal characteristic which can be used for analyzing various com plicated aggregates and com posites without explicit solution of the electrom agnetic problem . The discovered strong correlation suggests that, at least in aggregates which are small compared to the wavelength, the large-scale geom etry does not play a signi cant role. Note that in the IR spectral region, subwavelength aggregates can still be built of hundreds or even thousands of nanospheres. The IR spectral region is of special interest because of the very low 0 hm ic losses in silver and other noble metals. Correspondingly, heterogeneous nanostructures are known to exhibit optical resonances of very high quality. This, in turn, results in giant ampli cation of local optical responses. The latter phenom enon is currently being actively researched in ordered nanostructures, including self-sim ilar chains of nanospheres (nanolenses) [48] and long chains of sim ilar nanoparticles [49]. Rigorous num erical simulations in random nanoaggregates are still di cult due to the high computational complexity of the associated electromagnetic problem. The introduced parameter S and the discovered correlation of this parameter with local electrom agnetic eld allows one to make qualitative predictions about the sites where the electrom agnetic energy is localized by very simple means, e.g., without solving the electrom agnetic problem .

A cknow ledgem ents

This research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, G rant 05-03-32642, and by the Presidium of Russian Academy of Sciences under the Grant 8.1 "Basic Problem s of Physics and Chem istry of Nanosize System s and Nanom aterial".

- U.K reibig and M.Vollmer, Optical Properties of M etal C lusters (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995).
- [2] V.M. Shalaev, Phys. Rep. 272 (2 & 3), 61 (1996).
- [3] M. I. Stockman, L. N. Pandey, and T. F. George, \Enhanced nonlinear-optical responses of disordered clusters and composites," in Nonlinear Optical Materials (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998).
- [4] V. M. Shalaev, Nonlinear Optics of Random Media: FractalComposites and MetalDielectric Films (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2000).
- [5] S.V.Karpov and V.V.Slabko, Optical and Photophysical Properties of Fractal-Structured Metal Sols (Russian A cadem y of Sciences, Siberian Branch, Novosibirsk, 2003).
- [6] V. I. Roldugin, Russian Chemical Review 72 (10), 823 (2003), ibid 72 (11), 913 (2003).
- [7] A.V.Butenko, P.A.Chubakov, Y.E.Danilova, S.V. Karpov, A.K.Popov, S.G.Rautian, V.P.Safonov, V.V. Slabko, V.M.Shalaev, and M.I.Stockman, Z.Phys.D 17, 283 (1990).
- [8] M. I. Stockman, V. M. Shalaev, M. Moskovits, R. Botet,

and T.F.George, Phys.Rev.B 46(5), 2821 (1992).

- [9] F.A. Zhuravlev, N.A. O rlova, V.V. Shelkovnikov, A.I. Plekhanov, S.G. Rautian, and V.P. Safonov, JETP Lett. 56 (5), 264 (1992).
- [10] V.M. Shalaev, E.Y. Poliakov, and V.A.Markel, Phys. Rev.B 53 (5), 2437 (1996).
- [11] M .I.Stockm an, L.N.Pandey, and T.F.George, Phys. Rev.B 53(5), 2183 (1996).
- [12] M.I.Stockman, Phys. Rev. E 56(6), 6494 (1997).
- [13] S.V.Kanpov, A.K.Popov, S.G.Rautian, V.P.Safonov, V.V.Slabko, V.M.Shalaev, and M.I.Shtokman, JETP Lett. 48 (10), 571 (1988).
- [14] V. P. Safonov, V. M. Shalaev, V. A. Markel, Y. E. Danilova, N. N. Lepeshkin, W. Kim, S.G. Rautian, and R. L. Arm strong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (5), 1102 (1998).
- [15] V. A. Markel, V. M. Shalaev, P. Zhang, W. Huynh, L. Tay, T. L. Haslett, and M. Moskovits, Phys. Rev. B 59 (16), 10903 (1999).
- [16] W. Kim, V. P. Safonov, V. M. Shalaev, and R. L. Am strong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (24), 4811 (1999).
- [17] W.D.Bragg, V.A.Markel, W.Kim, K.Banerjee, M.R.

Young, J.G. Zhu, R.L. Arm strong, V.M. Shalaev, Z.C. Ying, D.Y.E., and V.P. Safonov, J.Opt. Soc. Arm. B 18(5), 698 (2001).

- [18] J. E. Martin and A. J. Hurd, J. Appl. Cryst. 20, 61 (1987).
- [19] M.V.Berry and I.C.Percival, Optica Acta 33 (5), 577 (1986).
- [20] V.A.Markel, L.S.Muratov, and M.I.Stockman, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 71 (3), 455 (1990).
- [21] V.A.Markel, L.S.Muratov, M.I.Stockman, and T.F. George, Phys. Rev. B 43 (10), 8183 (1991).
- [22] M.I.Stockm an, L.N.Pandey, L.S.M uratov, and T.F. George, Phys. Rev. B 51 (1), 185 (1995).
- [23] M.I.Stockman, T.F.George, and V.M.Shalaev, Phys. Rev.B 44 (1), 115 (1991).
- [24] V.M. Shalaev, M. I. Stockman, and R. Botet, Physica A 185, 181 (1992).
- [25] R.D.M ountain and G.W.Mulholland, Langmuir 4, 1321 (1988).
- [26] S.D.Andreev, L.S. Ivlev, E.F.M ikhailov, and A.A. Kiselev, Atm os. Oceanic Opt. 8 (5), 355 (1995).
- [27] S.D. Andreev and E.F.M ikhailov, Bulletin of the Russian A cad. Sci. 32 (6), 743 (1996).
- [28] S. V. Kanpov, A. L. Bas'ko, A. K. Popov, and V. V. Slabko, Colloid J. 62 (6), 699 (2000).
- [29] S.V. Perm inov, S.G. Rautian, and V.P. Safonov, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 98 (4), 691 (2004).
- [30] S.G.Rautian, Opt. Spectrosc. 97 (3), 416 (2004).
- [31] V.M. Shalaev, R.Botet, and R.Jullien, Phys. Rev. B 44 (22), 12216 (1991).
- [32] V. M. Shalaev, M. Moskovits, A. A. Golubentsev, and S. John, Physica A 191, 352 (1992).

- [33] V.M. Shalaev, R.Botet, and A.V.Butenko, Phys. Rev. B 48 (9), 6662 (1993).
- [34] V.M. Shalaev and R.Botet, Phys. Rev. B 50 (17), 12987 (1994).
- [35] V.A.Markel, V.M.Shalaev, E.B.Stechel, W.Kin, and R.L.Amstrong, Phys. Rev. B 53 (5), 2425 (1996).
- [36] J.E.Sansonettiand J.K.Furdyna, Phys. Rev. B 22 (6), 2866 (1980).
- [37] J. M. Gerardy and M. Ausloos, Phys. Rev. B 22 (10), 4950 (1980).
- [38] F.Claro, Phys. Rev. B 25 (12), R 7875 (1982).
- [39] D.W. Mackowski, Appl. Opt. 34 (18), 3535 (1995).
- [40] Y.E.D anilova, V.A.M arkel, and V.P.Safonov, Atm os. O ceanic Opt. 6 (11), 821 (1993).
- [41] V.A.Markel and V.M. Shalaev, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 18 (5), 1112 (2000).
- [42] V. A. Markel, V. N. Pustovit, S. V. Karpov, A. V. Obuschenko, V. S. Gerasim ov, and I.L. Isaev, Phys. Rev. B 70 (5), 054202 (2004).
- [43] D.J.Bergm an, Phys.Rep. 43, 377 (1978).
- [44] P.Meakin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (13), 1119 (1983).
- [45] T.A.W itten and L.M. Sander, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47 (19), 1400 (1981).
- [46] S.M. Heard, F.G riezer, C.G. Barrachough, and J.V. Sandera, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 93 (1), 545 (1983).
- [47] P.B. Johnson and R.W. Christy, Phys. Rev. B 6(12), 4370 (1972).
- [48] K. Li, M. I. Stockm an, and D. J. Bergm an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (22), 227402 (2003).
- [49] S. Zou and G. C. Schatz, Chem. Phys. Lett. 403, 62 (2005).



