R eversible D estruction of D ynam ical Localization

Hans Lignier,¹ Julien Chabe,¹ Dominique Delande,² Jean Claude Garreau,¹ and Pascal Szriffgiser¹

¹Laboratoire de Physique des Lasers, Atom es et Molecules,

UMR CNRS 8523, Centre d'Etudes et de Recherches Laser et Applications,

Universite des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, F-59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France

²Laboratoire Kastler-Brossel, UMR CNRS 8552, Case 74,

Universite Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 Place Jussieu, F-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

(Dated: 17th April 2024)

D ynam ical localization is a localization phenom enon taking place, for example, in the quantum periodically-driven kicked rotor. It is due to subtle quantum destructive interferences and is thus of intrinsic quantum origin. It has been shown that deviation from strict periodicity in the driving rapidly destroys dynam ical localization. We report experimental results showing that this destruction is partially reversible when the determ inistic perturbation that destroyed it is slow ly reversed. We also provide an explanation for the partial character of the reversibility.

PACS num bers: 05.45 M t, 32.80 Lg, 03.65.Y z, 05.60.-k

Dynamical localization (DL) is one of the most dramatic manifestations of how the quantum behavior of a com plex system m ay di er from that of its classical counterpart. It takes place in one-dimensional time-periodic Ham iltonian systems where the determ inistic motion is classically chaotic and, on the average, equivalent to a diusive expansion in momentum space (the so-called chaotic di usion behavior). Because of subtle destructive interference e ects, the quantum dynamics is substantially di erent: while this dynam ics is sim ilar to the classicalone for short times, the diusive behavior stops after som e break-tim e and the quantum m om entum distribution gets frozen to a steady state at long times. Interest in the DL come also from the fact that it can be easily observed experim entally, e.g. by placing laser-cooled atom s in a periodically kicked laser standing wave, the so-called \kicked rotor" [1]. The quantum inhibition of classical transport is a rather generic behavior in onedim ensionaltim e-periodic H am iltonian system s. It relies on the existence of a class of states which are stationary under the one-cycle evolution operator, the so-called F loquet states, forming a basis of the Hilbert space. DL is thus a rather robust feature, which can be observed for a large class of initial states, either pure states or statistical m ixtures.

A nother fascinating feature of DL is its sensitivity to external non-periodic perturbations or deviations from the tem poralperiodicity of the system [2]. Various ways of breaking DL have been studied experimentally and theoretically. One way is to add am plitude noise to the kicks [3]. In such case, it has been observed that DL is destroyed, i.e., that the quantum motion remains di usive at long times, as the classical motion. This destruction has also been observed by adding a second series of kicks at an incommensurate frequency [4], an experiment that has also evidenced a very high sensitivity to frequency di erences, allowing observation of sub-Fourier resonances [5]. A nother qualitatively di erent way of destroying DL is to introduce a small amount of spontaneous emission in the system, thus breaking its quantum coherences [3, 6]. While the rst two examples correspond to a purely H am iltonian evolution, the latter one introduces an irreversible dissipative evolution.

In the case of a purely H am iltonian dynam ics, a fundam ental question remains, concerning the nature of the D L destruction: is this destruction complete and irreversible or is it possible to stop the di usive behavior? Even better, is it possible to reverse the evolution and reconstruct a more localized state? The purpose of this paper is to report experim ental results show ing that such a relocalization is possible (at least partially) when the non-periodic perturbation that destroys D L is slow ly reversed in tim e.

Let us rst consider the standard kicked rotor H am iltonian of a single atom in a pulsed standing wave (SW):

$$H_{0} = \frac{P^{2}}{2} + K \sin^{\frac{N}{2} 1} (t n); \qquad (1)$$

where P is the reduced m on entum along the SW axis in units of M = $(2k_L T_1)$ (k_L is the laser wavenum ber and M the m ass of the atom), = $2k_L z$ the reduced position of the atom along the SW axis, K = ${}^2T_1 \ \sim k_L^2 = (2M_L)$ the kick strength (is the resonant R abi frequency of the SW , $_L$ its detuning from the atom ic resonance). The timet is measured in units of the period T_1 of the kicks. N is the num ber of kicks, and is a D irac-like function;

is the nite duration of the kicks. In the limit ! 0, the dynamics of this H am iltonian system is well known and depends on only two parameters: K and the e ective P lanck constant $k = 4 \sim k_L^2 T_1 = M$. For K 1, the classical dynamics is a chaotic di usion; a localized set of initial conditions will spread in m on entum space like a G aussian of width / $t^{1=2}$: Below the break-time, the classical and the quantum dynamics of an initially localized state are identical. A fler the localization time, the quantum dynamics is frozen, the average kinetic energy ceases

to grow; at the same time, the momentum distribution evolves from a characteristic Gaussian shape in the diffusive regime to an exponential shape $\exp(\frac{p}{j=L})$ (with L being the localization length) characteristic of the localized regime [7, 8].

Consider now an experiment in which a slow ly increasing and then decreasing perturbation is added. This perturbation is added to H am iltonian (1) as a second series of kicks with the same frequency but with a time dependent am plitude (see upper frame in gure 2):

$$H = H_{0} + \frac{K}{2} \sin 1 - \cos \frac{2t}{2}$$

$$\frac{N_{X}^{1}}{t - n - 2}$$
(2)

where 1 is the period of the perturbation, the relative phase between the two kicks series, and " the m odulation am plitude, with " 1. Experim ental values are: = 35, =2 = 1=6, and " = 0:94. At time t = N; the system has been exposed to N kicks of the primary sequence (with xed strength K) and N kicks of the secondary sequence (with time-varying strength), i.e., to a total of 2N pulses.

In order to experimentally realize the Hamiltonian Eq. (2), a sample of cold cesium atom s is produced in a standard m agneto-optical trap and released in the $F_q = 4$ hyper ne ground-state sublevel. A double sequence of N pulses built according to Eq. (2) is applied. The SW is detuned by L = 2 = 20 GHz (3800, where is the natural width of the atom ic transition) with respect to the $6S_{1=2}$; $F_g = 4 ! 6P_{3=2}$; $F_e = 5$ hyper ne transition of the Cesium D 2 line ($_{\rm L}$ = 852 nm). Such largely detuned radiation essentially induces stim ulated transitions responsible for conservative momentum exchanges with the atom s, so that the dynam ics is H am iltonian. How ever, the SW laser line presents a very broad low-level background (several hundreds of GHz) responsible for a signi cant rate of dissipative spontaneous transitions. To get rid of this problem , the SW $\,$ passes through a 10 cm $\,$ cesium cell before interacting with the cold atom s. This Itering reduces the background by m ore than one order ofm agnitude in a bandwidth of about 500 M H z around the cesium transitions. Finally, after being transported by a polarization-maintaining ber, 92 mW of laser light, collim ated to a 1.5 mm waist, is available for the experim ent, and retro-re ected to build the SW . The frequency of each kick series is set to 30 kHz, and the duration = 0:6 s. For these values, the paof each kick to ram eter K is 9, and the localization time 10 periods. The spontaneous em ission rate is estimated to 0.06 per atom for the maximum duration of the experiment. Once the SW sequence is over, the atom ic m om entum distribution is probed with a velocity selective Raman pulse. Thanks to Doppler e ect, and a well-chosen de-



Figure 1: (color online). Experim entally measured velocity distributions as a function of time. The atom ic velocity is measured in units of the recoil velocity $v_r = -k_L = M$ ' 3.5 mm/s. At short times, the di usive broadening (or the reduction of the zero-velocity population) of the velocity distribution is observed. W hen the slow ly changing perturbation is reversed (around t = 17), the velocity distribution starts to shrink. This is highly non-trivial behavior, showing that the destruction of dynam ical localization by a slow ly time-varying kick sequence is reversible. A fiter a second cycle of the perturbation is observed (around t = 70).

tuning, this pulse transfers the atom s in a well de ned velocity class from the hyper ne sublevel $F_g=4$ to the $F_g=3$ sublevel [9, 10]. The atom s remaining in the $F_g=4$ sublevel are pushed away by a resonant laser beam . A resonant pulse brings the selected atom s back in the $F_g=4$ level where their number is measured by a resonant probe. The whole cycle starts again to measure the population in another velocity class, allowing to reconstruct the full atom icm omentum distribution. Such a measurem ent is then perform ed for increasing pulse num – bers N .

A last precaution must how every be taken. A s discussed above, the SW is intense enough to induce { for a few atom s { a real transition from the level $F_g = 4$ to the excited state, followed by spontaneous emission leading possibly to the hyper ne level $F_g = 3$, whatever theirm omentum. Those atom s would be report ped to the $F_g = 4$ sublevel and detected, generating an incoherent, N dependant, background. For each experiment, the R am an detuning is set very far away (at 10 M H z, more than one thousand recoil velocities), where the probability to nd a R am an resonant atom is very low. Except for this modi cation, the experiment is launched in exactly the same conditions. The stray background is corrected by subtracting the resulting signal from the resonant one.

Figure 1 shows the velocity distribution as a function of N . As expected, the early dynam ics is di usive. D L is expected around t = 10. Since the perturbation starts

increasing from t = 0, DL is not visible and one could assume it is destroyed before it could be seen. However, when the perturbation is reversed, the distribution shrinks and takes an exponential shape (see Fig. 2), signing a partial \revival" of the localization. This is clearly visible in gure 2 which displays the zero velocity population 0 as a function of N (red crossed solid line), which is inversely proportional to the width of the distribution and therefore directly proportional to the degree of localization. The insets (a) and (b) display, respectively, the velocity distribution at t = 17=2, where the perturbation reaches its maximum amplitude, and at t = = 35, where it is back to its minimum initial value. In inset (a), the distribution is very well tted by a Gaussian, whereas the distribution in inset (b) is better tted by an exponential. The exponential shape is not the only remarkable fact. The fact that at t =the momentum distribution gets narrower ($_0$ increases) is highly signi cative. Indeed, the classical dynam ics is di usive and irreversible, forbidding, in the general case ¹, a return to a narrow er distribution. Furtherm ore, D L leads to the suppression of the classical di usion, i.e., a freezing of the velocity distribution; but it cannot lead to a narrow ing of the distribution, which is precisely what is experimentally observed in gure 2. This is a key point of the present experim ent: it proves that the exponential shape observed at t = -, where the perturbation is zero, does not simply results from the DL that would be observed in the periodic case, with no perturbation.

It is even possible to go further by proving the coherent nature of the reversibility process. We have perform ed an additional experim ent where a resonant laser pulse irradiates the atom ic cloud at t = 17. The intensity and detuning of this pulse are set such that, in the average, only one or two spontaneous photons are em itted per atom . Its purpose is to destroy the quantum coherences, with minimum of heating and mechanical e ects. The two curves in gure 2 (with and without the resonant pulse) are practically identical before t = 17, which indicates that heating resulting from the resonant pulse is negligible 2 . In the presence of resonant light, the \revival"at t = 35 disappears almost completely (blue dashed line curve in gure 2). Moreover, the velocity distribution (not shown in Fig. 2) is Gaussian around t = 35. This clearly proves that, in the absence of spontaneous em ission, although DL is not observed before t = 17, there

¹ One can indeed conceive carefully prepared initial states that would evolve to a narrow er shape, but this is clearly not the case here.



Figure 2: (color online) Upper fram e: kick sequence. Main fram e: Population in the zero-velocity class as a function of the duration N of the pulse sequence with no resonant light (red crossed solid line) and with a 50 W pulse of resonant light applied at t = 17 (blue dashed line). The absence of revival in presence of resonant light (decoherence) is a clearcut proof of the importance of quantum interference for the reversibility of the DL destruction. The resonant pulse is weak enough to induce no heating of the atom s but kills the phase coherence, thus preventing the relocalization when the perturbation reaches zero am plitude at t = 35 and t = 70. The inset (a) shows the G aussian velocity distribution at t= 17, near the maxim um of the perturbation, in the absence of resonant light, inset (b) shows a exponential shape near the minim um of the perturbation, t = 35.

is a mem ory in the system which is destroyed by spontaneous emission. This reinforces the idea that, when the perturbation is reversed, a dynam ically localized state is recovered, at least partially. In fact, the \revival" of D L is only partial, and a part of it is irrem ediably destroyed. As shown in Figs.1 and 2, a second perturbation cycle from t = to 2 has been perform ed, and a second revival is observed. However, its am plitude is sm aller than the rst one, and the shape of the velocity distribution is also dam aged. This is due to fundam ental reasons, although spontaneous emission or experimental imperfections could also contribute to that.

A detailed discussion of the physical processes at work in our experiment is beyond the scope of the present paper, and will be published elsewhere. We give here a few guidelines to the theoretical interpretation. The robust structure behind DL is the existence of F loquet states for a time-periodic H am iltonian system, which are eigenstates of the evolution operator over one period. By their de nition, such states repeat identically (except for a phase factor) at each kick and thus do not spread in momentum space. Any initial state can be expanded on

 $^{^2}$ Each point in Fig.2 represents a di erent experim ent with a different N . The resonant pulse of light is always applied at t = 17 and the R am an detection then perform ed. Any signi cant heating e ect would enlarge the momentum distribution and would be detected.

the complete set of Floquet states. Chaotic di usion is { in this picture { due to a gradual dephasing of the various Floquet states (of di erent eigenenergies) that contribute to the initial state. However, a non-trivial property of the periodically kicked rotor is that all F loquet states are localized in m om entum space [11]: this is the tem poral analogous of Anderson localization in disordered one-dimensional systems, as put on m grounds in Ref. [12]. Only F loquet states localized close to the initial (zero) momentum signi cantly overlap with the initial state and contribute to the long term dynamics. At su ciently long times, the various F loquet states signi cantly contributing to the dynam ics are completely dephased (in a characteristic time which is but the breaktime), the momentum distribution covers all signi cantly populated F loquet states, but cannot extend further in m om entum space, leading to the freezing of the di usive growth. W hen the dynam ics is no longer exactly periodic, population is transferred am ong the various F loquet states, and F loquet states localized farther from P = 0can be populated. In this situation, DL is thus expected to be destroyed. There is however a situation where such an evolution can be controlled: if, at any time, the Ham iltonian is alm ost periodic with, for example, a kick strength K (t) slow ly changing with time t; an adiabatic approximation can be used. The atom ic state at time t can be expanded in terms of the \instantaneous"F loquet eigenbasis corresponding to the local value of K (t). If the variation of K (t) is slow enough, the evolution is adiabatic in the F loquet basis, m eaning that the populations of the F loquet eigenstates do not change with time, while the eigenstates them selves evolve [13, 14]. This leads to an apparent di usive broadening of the momentum distribution [15], but the robust F loquet structure is still. underlying. To recover the localization, it is su cient to reverse the evolution of K (t) back to its initial value. One then recovers the initial well localized F loquet eigenstates with unchanged populations, i.e., a dynam ically localized m om entum distribution. This is the deep origin of the revival of the localization experim entally observed above. Any phenom enon breaking phase coherence (such as a spontaneous em ission) will redistribute the atom ic wavefunction over other F loquet states, elim inating all possibility of revival. However, the revival is only partial, because the evolution cannot be made 100% adiabatic. Indeed, even for very slow changes of K (t); there are som e avoided crossings between various F loquet states of such size that they will be crossed neither diabatically, nor adiabatically, and will consequently redistribute the population over the F loquet states, partially destroying the reversibility.

To sum m arize, we have observed that the destruction of dynam ical localization in the kicked rotor, induced by a non-periodic driving can be partially reversed. If the external driving evolves su ciently slow ly, som e inform ation is carefully stored in the populations of the various F loquet states. A lthough it is not visible in the momentum distribution { which seems to follow an irreversible di usive broadening { it can be easily restored by reverting the driving back to its initial value, producing a relocalization of the wavefunction. We have also show that this intrinsically quantum behavior is destroyed by decoherence, i.e., by adding spontaneous em ission to the experiment.

Laboratoire de Physique des Lasers, Atom es et Molecules (PhLAM) is Unite Mixte de Recherche UMR 8523 du CNRS et de l'Universite des Sciences et Technologies de Lille. Centre d'Etudes et de Recherches Laser et Applications (CERLA) is supported by Ministere de la Recherche, Region Nord-Pas de Calais and Fonds Europeen de Developpem ent Economique des Regions (FEDER). Laboratoire Kastler-Brossel de l'Universite Pierre et Marie Curie et de l'Ecole Normale Superieure is UMR 8552 du CNRS.CPU tim e on various computers has been provided by IDRIS.

- F.L.Moore, J.C.Robinson, C.F.Bharucha, P.E. W illiam s, and M.G.Raizen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2974 (1994).
- [2] G. Casati, I. Guamieri, M. Lechanz, D. L. Shepelyansky, and C. Sinha, Phys. Lett. A 154, 19 (1991).
- [3] B.G.K lappauf, W.H.Oskay, D.A.Steck, and M.G. Raizen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1203 (1998).
- [4] J.R ingot, P.Szrifigiser, J.C.G arreau, and D.D elande, Phys.Rev.Lett.85, 2741 (2000).
- [5] P.Szrifigiær, J.Ringot, D.Delande, and J.C.Garreau, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 224101 (2002).
- [6] H.Ammann, R.Gray, I.Shvarchuck, and N.Christensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4111 (1998).
- [7] D.L.Shepelyansky, Physica D 28, 103 (1987).
- [8] D.Cohen, Phys. Rev. A 44, 2292 (1991).
- [9] M. Kasevich, D. S. Weiss, E. Riis, K. Moler, S. Kasapi, and S. Chu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2297 (1991).
- [10] J. Ringot, P. Szriftgiær, and J. C. Garreau, Phys. Rev. A 65, 013403 (2002).
- [11] G. Casati, I. Guameri, F. M. Izrailev, and R. Scharf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 5 (1990).
- [12] D. R. G rem pel, R. E. Prange, and S. Fishm an, Phys. Rev. A 29, 1639 (1984).
- [13] J.Zakrzewskiand D.Delande, J.Phys.B:At.Mol.Opt. Phys. 28, L667 (1995).
- [14] D.W. Hone, R.Ketzmerick, and W.Kohn, Phys. Rev. A 56, 4045 (1997).
- [15] H.Lignier, J.C.G arreau, P.Szriffgiær, and D.Delande, Europhys.Lett. 69, 327 (2005).