Ultraslow light in inhom ogeneously broadened media

G.S.Agarwal and T.N.Dey

Departm ent of Physics, Oklahom a State University, Stillwater, OK - 74078, USA

(D ated: A pril 17, 2024)

W e calculate the characteristics of ultraslow light in an inhom ogeneously broadened medium. We present analytical and num erical results for the group delay as a function of power of the propagating pulse. We apply these results to explain the recently reported saturation behavior [Baldit et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 143601 (2005)] of ultraslow light in rare earth ion doped crystal.

PACS num bers: 42.65.-k, 42.50.G y

The usage of a coherent eld to control the optical properties of a medium has led to many remarkable results such as enhanced nonlinear optical e ects [1, 2], electrom agnetically induced transparency (EIT) [3], lasing without inversion [4, 5, 6], ultraslow light [7, 8, 9, 10, 11], storage and retrievalofoptical pulses [12] and many others [13, 14, 15, 16]. Most of these e ects rely on quantum interferences which are created by the application of a coherent eld. The coherent eld opens up a new channel for the process under consideration. This interference e ect produces the EIT dip or a hole in the absorption pro le. The ultraslow light em erges as the EIT dip could be very narrow. It has been realized that in principle one could also use two level nonlinearities in presence of a strong pump. For a hom ogeneously broadened medium a hole can emerge if the transverse and longitudinal relaxation tim es are quite di erent. Under these conditions the hole has a width of the order of T_1 and this is being referred to as the e ect of coherent population oscillation [17]. Bigelow et al. did experiments in this regime using ruby as the material medium which can be modelled as a hom ogeneously broadened system [18, 19]. Some studies on slow light in inhom ogeneous broadened medium exist [20, 21]. In an earlier paper the present authors had considered the case of inhom ogeneously broadened gaseous medium where the D oppler e ect is important [21]. We considered the case of saturation absorption spectroscopy. This leads to the well known hole in the Doppler pro le. The width of this hole was of the order of $1=T_1$ which is about two times $1=T_2$. In the inhom ogeneously broadened gaseous medium the group index of the order of 10^3 was obtained. The recent experim ent of Baldit et al. reports group delays of the order of 1.1 s in rare earth ion doped crystal which has strong inhom ogeneous broadening [22]. In this case all the relaxation times are quite di erent $-T_1 = 8 \text{ m s};$ $T_2 = 3$ s; inhomogeneous line width inh = 1:3 GHz. The width of the whole is essentially determ ined by T_1 and hence one gets very large delays. Baldit et al. did present a theoreticalm odelbased on hom ogeneous broadening of the medium where as to obtain agreement with



FIG.1: A schematic diagram of two level atom ic system with ground state jgi and excited state $j_{e_1}i$; The pump (!_c) and probe (!_p) elds are co-propagating.

experiments inhom ogeneous broadening is to be included as alluded by them [23].

In this paper, we consider a system of inhom ogeneously broadened two level atoms interacting with copropagating pump and probe elds. We use the well known susceptibility [24] and average it over the inhom ogeneous distribution to calculate the group index. We derive a number of analytical results and show how these can be used to understand the experimental results of Baldit et al. For example we show that in the limit of very small detuning of the probe from the pump the group delay goes as S for large S. The group delay also peaks at about S = 0.9. The value of group delay increases as the detuning increases. We further present detailed num erical results.

In order to understand the experimental results of Bablit et al, we consider a two level system as shown in gure 1. Here we de ne all elds as

$$\mathbf{E}_{i}(z;t) = \mathbf{E}_{i}(z;t) e^{i(! it kz)} + cc;(i = p;c)$$
 (1)

where \mathbf{E}_i is the slow ly varying envelope of the eld. The pump eld at frequency \mathbf{I}_c and the probe eld at frequency \mathbf{I}_p are co-propagating through the medium. The linear susceptibility (\mathbf{I}_p) is obtained by solving the density matrix equations for the two level system of gure 1, that is by calculating the density matrix element $_{eg}$ to the rst order in the probe eld but to all orders in the co-propagating pump eld. The dynamics of population and polarization of the atoms in the two-level con gura-

On leave of absence from PhysicalResearch Laboratory, Navrangpura, Ahm edabad - 380 009, India.

tion are given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} - & = & \displaystyle \frac{1}{T_{1}} & {}_{ee} + i G + g e^{it} & {}_{ge} & i G + g e^{it} & {}_{eg} \\ \hline & & \\ - & eg & = & i + \displaystyle \frac{1}{T_{2}} & {}_{eg} + i G + g e^{it} & ({}_{gg} & {}_{ee}); \\ & & \\ & ee & + & {}_{gg} = 1 \end{array}$$

where T_1 and T_2 are the longitudinal and transverse relaxation times respectively. The density-matrix elements in the original frame are given by $_{eg}e^{i! \circ t};_{gg}$, and $_{ee}$. The detunings ; and the Rabi frequencies are dened by

$$= !_{eg} !_{c}; = !_{p} !_{c}; 2G = \frac{2\widetilde{d}_{eg} \mathbf{E}_{c}}{\sim}; 2g = \frac{2\widetilde{d}_{eg} \mathbf{E}_{p}}{\sim};$$
(3)

where \tilde{d}_{eg} is the dipole matrix element. The susceptibility can be obtained by considering the steady state solution of Eq.(2) to the solution of g and write the solution as

$$= {}^{0} + g e^{it} + g e^{it} + (4)$$

The eg element of $^+$ will yield the linear susceptibility at the frequency $!_p$ as can be seen by combining Eqs.(2) and (4):

$$= \frac{n j a_{J}^{2} T_{2}}{\sim} \frac{1 + {}^{2} T_{2}^{2}}{(1 + {}^{2} T_{2}^{2} + S)(T_{2} + T_{2} + i)} 1 \frac{S(T_{2} - i)^{1}(T_{2} + 2i)(T_{2} - T_{2} + i)}{2(T_{1} + i)(T_{2} + T_{2} + i)(T_{2} - T_{2} + i)(T_{2} - T_{2} + i)};$$
(5)

where n is the density of the atom s of the medium . The saturation parameter $S=4\,jG\,j^2T_1T_2$ is dened as the ratio of the control eld intensity and the saturation intensity. The average response of the susceptibility is given by

h i =
$$\frac{2^{p} \ln 2}{p}$$
 () e $\frac{4 \ln 2 \left[\frac{(T_{eg} ! c)^{2}}{2} \right]}{\ln h}$ d ; (6)

where T_{eg} is the central frequency of the atom ic transition jei ! jgi. Here we consider the frequency of the control eld $!_c$ is tuned to the line center T_{eg} . We present the behavior of real and in aginary parts of the susceptibility as a function of the detuning of the probe

eld in Fig. (2). The realpart of susceptibility gives norm aldispersion. It is clear from Fig. (2a) that the slope of norm aldispersion attains maximum when S 1 which leads to ultra slow light. The imaginary part of h i exhibits the absorption dip which becomes deeper with the increase in the intensity of the control eld as shown in Fig. (2b). The spectral width of absorption dip depends on the intensity of the control eld. This dip is associated with coherent population oscillation [17].

In order to com pare with experim ental results of B aldit et al. we need to know the group index n_g which is de ned by

$$n_{g} = 1 + 2 !_{p} \frac{\theta}{\theta !_{p}} \text{Reh i}$$
$$= 1 \frac{\sinh CT_{2}}{2} \text{hD i}$$
(7)

where

$$D = \frac{i(+ i) S^{2} + 2(f + i)^{2}(i + f) (1 + i) + S(i + f) (i + f) (i + f) (i + f) (i + f)}{2(1 + S + f) (1 + f) (i + f)}; f = \frac{T_{1}}{T_{2}};$$
(8)

We denote the integration with respect to has been denoted by hi. The unsaturated inhom ogeneous absorption coe cient of the two level atom ic system is de ned as

$$_{inh} = \frac{4 !_{p}}{c} hIm []_{g=0} i = \frac{8 \frac{3}{2} !_{p} n j d_{eg} j^{P} \overline{ln2}}{c \sim _{inh}}$$
(9)



FIG.2: (a) and (b) The real and imaginary parts respec presence of co-propagating control eld G.The common pa: inhom ogeneous absorption coe cient $_{\rm in\,h} = 6.5$ cm⁻¹; inhom T₁= 8 m s; transverse relaxation time T₂=3 s.

In the lim it of very small detuning of the probe from the pump, the analytical expression for the group index for inhom ogeneous case can be expressed as

$$n_g = c_{inh} T_1 \frac{S(4+S)}{16(1+S)^{5=2}}$$
; ! 0 (10)

It is clear from the above expression that the group index varies as S¹⁼² for large value of S. The group index attains the maximum value at S = 0:9. In case of hom o geneously broadened two level system the group index is given by [22]

$$n_g = c_h T_1 \frac{S}{2(1+S)^3}$$
; ! 0; (11)

where $_{h} = 4$ $!_{p}n j d_{eg} f T_{2}=c$ is the hom ogeneous absorption coe cient. For hom ogeneous two level system the group index varies as S² at large S and peaks at S=0.5. At large S, the group index for a two level system falls much slow ly for an inhom ogeneous medium as com pared to the hom ogeneous case as shown in Fig. (3) We thus nd an important di erence between inhom og neously and hom ogeneously broadened two level system s Note that the ratio between inhom ogeneous and hom ogeneous unsaturated absorption coe cient is $_{inh}=h$

 $_{\rm inh}T_2$. The behavior so obtained is consistent with the experimental observation. Figure (4) shows the variation of group index as function of the intensity of the control eld at di erent probe detuning. As the detuning of the probe eld is increased the peak of the group index shifts toward higher S. The maxim a of the group index $n_g = :65 \quad 10^8$ for = 10 Hz occurs at S = 1:1 which corresponds to the group velocity $v_g = c = n_g = 4:61$





FIG. 3: Variation of the term in squared bracket of Eqs. (10) and (11) as a function of intensity of the control eld for inhom ogeneous and hom ogeneous cases of two level system.

m edium. Our num erical and analytical results enable to understand the nature of the experim ental results of Baldit et al. However the results derived here are applicable to any system which can be modelled by inhom ogeneously broadened two level system.

[1] S P. Tew ariand G S. A garw al, P hys. Rev. Lett. 56, 1811 (1986). [2] S.E. Harris, J.E. Field, and A. Im am oglu, Phys. Rev.





]

1

FIG.5: Group index variation with the detuning of the probe eld. The dimensional energy used in the numerical simulation of the Eq.(7) are as follows: $_{in\,h} = 6.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $T_1 = 8 \text{ m s and } T_2 = 3 \text{ s.}$

Lett. 64, 1107 (1990); K.-J. Boller, A. Im am oglu, and S.E. Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2593 (1991); J.E. Field, K.H. Hahn, and S.E. Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3062 (1991).

- [3] S.E. Harris, Phys. Today 50, 36 (1997).
- [4] O.Kocharovskaya and Ya.I.Khanin, JETP Lett. 48,630 (1988); S.E. Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1033 (1989);
 M.O.Scully, S.-Y. Zhu, and A. Gavrielides, Phys. Rev.

Lett. 62, 2813 (1989).

- [5] O. Kocharovskaya, Phys. Rep. 219, 175 (1992); M D. Lukin, P.R. Hemmer, and M.O. Scully, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 42, 347 (2000).
- [6] G S.Agarwal, Phys.Rev.A 44, R 28 (1991).
- [7] L.V. Hau, S.E. Harris, Z. Dutton, and C.H. Behroozi, Nature (London) 397, 594 (1999).
- [8] M M. Kash, V A. Sautenkov, A S. Zibrov, L. Holberg, G R. Welch, M D. Lukin, Y. Rostovtsev, E S. Fry, and M O. Scully, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 5229 (1999).
- [9] D. Budker, D.F. Kimball, S.M. Rochester, and V.V. Yashchuk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1767 (1999).
- [10] A.V. Turukhin, V.S. Sudarshanam, M.S. Shahriar, JA. Musser, B.S. Ham and P.R. Hemmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 023602 (2002).
- [11] P.C.Ku, F.Sedgwick, C.J.Chang-Hasnain, P.Palinginis, T.Li, H.W ang, SW. Chang, and S.L.Chuang, Opt. Lett. 29, 2291 (2004).
- [12] D F.Phillips, A.Fleischhauer, A.Mair, R.L.Walsworth, and M.D.Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 783 (2001).
- [13] A S. Zibrov, M D. Lukin, L. Hollberg, D E. Nikonov, M D. Scully, H G. Robinson, and V L. Velichansky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3935 (1996).
- H.K ang and Y.Zhu, Phys.R ev.Lett. 91, 093601 (2003);
 H.K ang, G.H emandez, and Y.Zhu, Phys.R ev.Lett. 93, 073601 (2004).
- [15] L.Deng and M.G.Payne, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 243902 (2003).
- [16] M D. Stenner, D J. G autheir, and M A. Neifeld, Nature (London) 425, 695 (2003).
- [17] S.E. Schwartz and T.Y. Tan, Appl. Phys. Lett. 10, 4 (1967); M. Sargent III, Phys. Rep. 43, 223 (1978); R W. Boyd, M.G. Raymer, P. Narum, and D.J. Harter, Phys. Rev. A 24, 411 (1981); A.D. W ilson-Gordon, Phys. Rev. A 48, 4639 (1993).
- [18] M S. Bigebw, N N. Lepeshkin, and R W. Boyd, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 113903 (2003).
- [19] M S.Bigelow, N N.Lepeshkin, and R W.Boyd, Science 301, 200 (2003).
- [20] O. Kocharovskaya, Y. Rostovtsev, and M.O. Scully, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 628, (2001).
- [21] G S.Agarwaland T.N.Dey, Phys. Rev.A , 68, 063816 (2003).
- [22] E.Baldit, K.Bencheikh, P.Monnier, JA. Levenson and V.Rouget, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 143601 (2005)
- [23] There are important di erences between the Doppler broadened gaseous medium and solid state medium like rare earth ion doped crystal. These di erences arise from the way the susceptibilities are to be averaged over inhom ogeneous distribution.
- [24] B.R.Mollow, Phys.Rev.A 5, 2217 (1972).