Inter-charge forces in relativistic classical electrodynam ics: electrom agnetic induction in di erent reference fram es

JHField

Departem ent de Physique Nucleaire et Corpusculaire, Universite de Geneve 24, quai E mest-Anserm et CH-1211Geneve 4. E-m ail: john. eld@cern.ch

Abstract

The force due to electrom agnetic induction on a test charge is calculated in di erent reference fram es. The Faraday-Lenz Law and di erent form ulae for the elds of a uniform ly moving charge are used. The classical Heaviside form ula for the electric eld of a moving charge predicts that, for the particular spatial con guration considered, the inductive force vanishes in the fram e in which the magnet is in motion and the test charge at rest. In contrast, consistent results, in di erent fram es, are given by the recently derived form ulae of relativistic classical electrodynam ics.

PACS 03.30.+p 03.50De

In the introduction of his 1905 paper on special relativity [1] E instein discussed the phenom enon of electrom agnetic induction, discovered by Faraday, viewed either from a fram e in which the magnet is motion, or from one in which it is at rest. In this paper a careful re-analysis of this problem is performed in terms of the force on a test charge of magnitude q in the vicinity of a magnet. The force on the charge, due to electrom agnetic induction, is calculated in both the inertial fram e, S, in which the magnet is a rest and the test charge is in motion as well as the fram e, S', in which the magnet is in motion and the test charge is at rest.

Three di erent m ethods are used to perform the calculation:

- (i) Application of the Faraday-Lenz Law.
- (ii) Application of the Lorentz Force Law, using standard form use of Classical Electrom agnetism [2, 3] (CEM) for the electric and m agnetic elds of a uniform ly moving charge.
- (iii) The form use of Relativistic Classical Electrodynamics (RCED) [4, 5], a covariant form alism developed recently by the present author, are used to calculate directly inter-charge forces.

The corresponding form ulae are:

Faraday-Lenz Law

$$\mathbf{F} = q\mathbf{E}; \qquad \frac{1}{c}\frac{d}{dt} = \mathbf{E} \quad d\mathbf{s}$$
 (1)

CEM Formulae

$$\mathbf{E} (\mathbf{C} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{M}) = \frac{Q \mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}^{3} \frac{2}{u} (\mathbf{1} - \frac{2}{u} \sin^{2})^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{Q (\{\cos + \sin \})}{\mathbf{r}^{2} \frac{2}{u} (\mathbf{1} - \frac{2}{u} \sin^{2})^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
(2)

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{M}) = \frac{Q_{u}^{2} \mathbb{P}}{r^{3} \frac{2}{u} (1 \frac{2}{u} \sin^{2})^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{Q_{u} \hat{k} \sin}{r^{2} \frac{2}{u} (1 \frac{2}{u} \sin^{2})^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
(3)

<u>RCED</u> Formulae^a

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbb{C} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{D}\right) = \frac{Q}{r^{3}} \left[\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E} \mathbb{C} \mathbb{D}\right)\right] = \frac{Q}{r^{2}} \frac{2}{r^{2}} \frac{2}{r^{2}} \frac{2}{r^{2}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} + \frac{1}{$$

$$B'(RCED) = \frac{Q_{u}u}{r^{3}} = \frac{Q_{u}u\hat{k}sin}{r^{2}}$$
(5)

For the CEM and RCED calculations the force on the test charge is given by the Lorentz Force Law:

$$\mathbf{F}' = \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{E}' + \mathbf{a}'' + \mathbf{B}'') \tag{6}$$

where v=c, v is the speed of the test charge, and c is the speed of light in vacuum. In Eqns(2-5) the 'source' charge of magnitude Q moves with uniform velocity u __uc along the x-axis, __u = 1 = $\frac{1}{1} = \frac{2}{u}$, cos = (v = r)=jv jr j r is the spatial vector connecting the source and test charges, and $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ are unit vectors parallel to the x-, y- and z-axes.

In order to apply the Faraday-Lenz Law an imaginary rectangular current loop ABCD is drawn through the test charge in a plane perpendicular to the x-axis as shown in Fig.1a. If a = AB b = BC, then, because of the symmetrical position of the loop, magnetic ux will, to a good approximation, cross only the short sides AB and DC as the loop attached to the test charge moves through the eld. In consequence, the line integral in (1) reduces to $2E_{z}a$. Since (see Fig.1a) = =2, (3) or (5) give B (CEM) = B (RCED) and the magnetic ux, threading the loop ABCD is:

$$(C E M) = (R C E D) = ab[(B_+)_x + (B_-)_x] = \frac{2abQ_{u-u} cos}{r^2} = \frac{2abQ_{u-u}Y}{r^3}$$
 (7)

^a In RCEM the forces between charges are calculated directly without the introduction of any eld concept [4]. For comparision purposes the terms in the force form ula corresponding to the usual de nitions of electric and m agnetic forces in Eqn (6) are expressed here in terms of corresponding electric and m agnetic elds E (RCED) and B (RCED).

where B_+ and B_- are the magnetic elds due to the charges with velocity u_+ and u_- respectively. D i erentiating (7) w.r.t. x, noting that v = dx=dt, and using (1) gives:

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{d}{dt} = 2aE_z = \frac{6abQ_u xy}{r^5}$$
(8)

So that the force on the test charge is:

$$F_{z} (F L) = qE_{z} = \frac{3bqQ_{u u} \cos \sin}{r^{3}}$$
(9)

where v=c.

The force on the test charge in S is now calculated using the Lorentz Force Law (6). Since both the CEM and RCED electric elds at the test charge lie in the x-y plane, only the magnetic force contributes in the z-direction. This force is given by the y-com ponent of $B_+ + B_-$ at the point (x,b=2,0). From the geometry of the x-y plane, shown in Fig.lb, and (3) or (5) with = = 2:

$$B_{y}(x;\frac{b}{2};0) = (B_{+})_{y} + (B_{-})_{y} = Q_{u} x \frac{1}{r_{+}^{3}} \frac{1}{r^{3}}$$
(10)

A ssum ing then that $b = x; y \pm is$ found that:

$$\frac{1}{r_{+}^{3}} - \frac{1}{r^{3}} = \frac{3b\cos}{r^{4}} + O((b^{2} = r^{5}))$$
(11)

so that from (10) and (11):

$$B_{y}(C \in M) = B_{y}(R C \in D) = \frac{3bQ_{u} \cos \sin}{r^{3}} + O(b^{2} = r^{4}))$$
(12)

Hence, using (6):

$$F_z (C E M) = F_z (R C E D) = \frac{3bqQ_{u u} \cos \sin}{r^3} + O ((b^2 = r^4))$$
 (13)

in agreem ent, to rst order in b, with the Faraday-Lenz Law result (9).

The above calculations are now carried out in the frame S' where the magnet is in motion and the test charge is at rest. Using Eqns(3) and (5) it follows from the geometry of Fig.2 that, the magnetic uxes threading the loop ABCD in the frame S' are:

$${}^{0}(C E M) = \frac{2abQ_{u^{0}} uY}{r^{3}(1 \quad \frac{2}{u^{0}} \sin^{2} \ 0)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{u^{0}}{u(1 \quad \frac{2}{u^{0}} + \frac{2}{3} \sin^{2} \ 0)^{\frac{3}{2}}} (C E M)$$
(14)

$${}^{0}(\mathbb{R} C E D) = \frac{2abQ}{r^{3}} = \frac{u^{0}}{u} (\mathbb{R} C E D)$$
(15)

where, from the geometry of Fig.2b:

$$u^{0} = \frac{p \frac{2}{u^{2} + 2}}{1}; \quad u^{0} = \frac{1}{p \frac{1}{1 \frac{2}{u^{0}}}}; \quad = \frac{1}{p \frac{1}{1 \frac{2}{1}}}$$

Note that each component of the spatial separation of the test and source charges remains invariant under Lorentz transformation [4, 6] so that, as shown in Fig 2, there is no



Figure 1: Geometry for calculation of electrom agnetic induction in the frame S in which the magnet is at rest and the test charge, of magnitude q, moves with velocity v parallel to the + ve x-axis. The magnet' consists of two charges of magnitude Q moving along the z-axis in opposite directions, each with speed u. The imaginary ux-loop ABCD is attached to the test charge. Various distances and angles are de ned. B_+ and B_- are the magnetic elds at (x,0,0) generated by the charges of velocity v_+ and v_- a) shows a perspective view and b) the x-y projection.



Figure 2: Geometry for calculation of electrom agnetic induction in the frame S' in which the test charge is at rest and the magnet moves at velocity v parallel to the -ve x-axis. D istances, angles, velocity vectors and magnetic elds are de ned in a manner similar to those in Fig.1. a) shows a perspective view and b) the x'-z' projection.

distinction between the spatial interval x and x^0 , y and y^0 and r and r^0 , or between the angles and 0 . Since the calculation of the rate of change of the ux threading the loop is the same whether the loop is displaced with velocity v along the + ve x-axis as in S (Fig.1) or the source of the magnetic eld is displaced with velocity v along the -ve x-axis as in S' (Fig.2) the calculation of the z-component of the electric eld using the Faraday-Lenz Law proceeds as above, with the results:

$$F_{z^{0}}(C \in M) = \frac{3bqQ_{u^{0}u}}{r^{3}(1 + \frac{2}{u^{0}}\sin^{2} + 0)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{u^{0}}{u(1 + \frac{2}{u^{0}}u^{0} + 2\sin^{2} + 0)^{\frac{3}{2}}}F_{z}(C \in M)$$
(16)

$$F_{z^{0}}(RCED) = \frac{3bqQ_{u^{0}u}\cos sin}{r^{3}} = \frac{u^{0}}{u}F_{z}(RCED)$$
 (17)

W hen the force calculations are performed in the frame S', by use of the Faraday-Lenz Law, consistent results are therefore, in general, no longer obtained. Only for the particular choice of the angle such that $\sin = u^0 =$ are the CEM and RCED predictions equal.

Since the vectors r_+ , r lie in the x'-y' plane, and the electric eld in the CEM form ula (2) is radial, it follows that the electric eld at the test charge in S' also lies in this plane. Thus Eqn (2) predicts no force, parallel to the z' axis, acts on the test charge in S'. That is, that there is no e ect of electrom agnetic induction in this frame, in contradiction both the requirements of special relativity and the prediction of the Faraday-Lenz Law using either CEM or RCED elds.

F in ally the calculation is performed in the frame S' using the RCED electric eld (4). From the geometries of Fig.1b and Fig.2b:

$$\mathbf{r}_{+} = \mathbf{r}_{+} \left(\{ \sin_{+} \land \uparrow \cos_{+} \} \right)$$
(18)

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \left(\{ \sin_{+} + \uparrow \cos_{-} \} \right) \tag{19}$$

$$_{+}^{\sim 0} = _{u^{0}} (\{ \sin + \hat{k} \cos \})$$
 (20)

$$^{-0} = _{u^0} (\{ \sin \hat{k} \cos \})$$
 (21)

so that:

$$r_{+} = u^{0}r_{+} \sin u^{0}r_{+} \sin u^{0}x \sin u^{0}x \sin u^{0}x \sin u^{0}x^{0}$$

$$r^{-0} = u^{0}r \sin_{+} \sin_{-} u^{0}x \sin_{-}$$
 (23)

Eqns(18), (19), (22), (23) and (4) then give:

$$E_{z^{0}}(\mathbb{R} C E D) = (E_{+})_{z} + (E_{-})_{z} = Q_{u^{0}} \frac{2}{u^{0}} x \sin \cos \frac{1}{r_{+}^{3}} \frac{1}{r^{3}}$$
$$= \frac{3bQ_{u^{0}} \frac{2}{u^{0}} \cos \sin \sin \cos}{r^{3}} + O((b^{2}=r^{4}))$$
(24)

where Eqn (11) has been used. Hence:

$$F_{z^{0}}(RCED) = qE_{z^{0}}(RCED) = \frac{3bqQ_{u^{0}} \frac{2}{u^{0}}\cos \sin \sin \cos}{r^{3}} + O((b^{2}=r^{4}))$$
$$= \frac{3bqQ_{u^{0}} \frac{u}{u}\cos \sin}{r^{3}} + O((b^{2}=r^{4}))$$
$$= -\frac{u^{0}}{u}F_{z}(RCED) + O((b^{2}=r^{4}))$$
(25)

where the relations following from the geometry of Fig 2b:

$$\sin = \frac{u}{u^0}; \cos = \frac{u}{u^0}$$

have been used. This result agrees, at rst order in b, with that, (17), obtained by use of the Faraday-Lenz Law.

The factor relating the inductive forces on the test charge in S and S' is:

$$\frac{u^{0}}{u} = 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + O \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(26)

For

$$\frac{u^{0}}{u} = 1 + {}^{4} + 0 ({}^{6})$$
(27)

while for _u

u :

:

$$\frac{u^{0}}{u} = 1 \qquad \frac{4}{u} + O(\frac{6}{u})$$
(28)

so in these cases the forces in S and S' di er only by corrections of order the fourth power in the ratio of charge velocities to the speed of light. In sum mary, in the fram e S, where the magnet is at rest, so that the magnetic elds are static', and the test charge is in motion, all three methods of calculation yield the same result (9) or (13) to the considered calculational accuracy. However when the Faraday-Lenz Law is used to perform the calculation in the fram e S' where the magnet is in motion and the test charge is at rest, the CEM result (16) is found to di er from the RCED one (17) by term s of O (2). The CEM electric eld form ula (2) predicts the com plete absence of electrom agnetic induction in the fram e S', in contradiction with the Faraday-Lenz Law predictions in this fram e, and with special relativity. The incom patibility of this form ula, rst derived by H eaviside [7], more than a decade before the advent of special relativity, with the requirements of the latter has been previously demonstrated by com paring calculations of Rutherford scattering in di erent inertial fram es [5] as well as by Jackson's Torque Paradox' [8], which is resolved [5] by the use of the RCED force form ula that is the combination of (4),(5) and (6).

All four results (9),(13),(17) and (26) of the calculations of the force, using the RCED form ulae, give consistent results. The forces in the frames S and S' are found to di er only by corrections of order the fourth power in the ratio of velocities to the speed of light. The forces are di erent due to the relativistic time dilatation elect which results in di erent accelerations in di erent inertial frames. That forces are di erent in di erent inertial frames is already evident from inspection of Eqns(4) and (5) by comparing the elds in the frame where the source charge is at rest ($_{\rm u} = 0$, $_{\rm u} = 1$) with those shown for an arbitary value of $_{\rm u}$.

Since the original version of this paper was written some two years ago, convincing experimental evidence has been obtained [9] for the non-retarded nature of electrodynamical force elds, as in RCED. The relation of these results to the basis of RCED in QED has also been discussed [10].

References

[1] A Einstein, Annalen der Physik 17, 891 (1905). English translation by W Perrett and G B Je ery in The Principle of Relativity' (Dover, New York, 1952) P 37, or in Einstein's Miraculous Year' (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1998) P 123.

- [2] JD Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics', 3rd Ed (Wiley, New York, 1998) P 558,560.
- [3] L D Landau and E M Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields', 2nd Edition (Pergam on Press, Oxford, 1962) Section 38, P103.
- [4] J.H. Field, Phys. Scr. 74 702 (2006), http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/physics/0501130.
- [5] JH Field, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A Vol 23 No 2 327 (2008), http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/physics/0507150.
- [6] J.H. Field, Phys. Scr. 73 639 (2006), http://xxx.lanlgov/abs/physics/0409103.
- [7] O Heaviside, The Electrician, 22 1477 (1888), Philos. Mag. 27 2324 (1889).
- [8] J.D. Jackson, Am. J. Phys. 72 1484 (2004).
- [9] A L K holm etskii et al. J. Appl. Phys. 101 023532 (2007).
- [10] JH Field, Quantum electrodynam ics and experiment demonstrate the non-retarded nature of electrodynam ical force elds', http://xxx.lanlgov/abs/0706.1661.