Focusing of laser-generated ion beam s by a plasm a cylinder: sim ilarity theory and the thick lens form ula

S. Gordienko^{1;2}, T. Baeva¹, A. Pukhov¹

¹Institut fur Theoretische Physik I, Heinrich-Heine-Universitat Dusseldorf, D-40225, Germany ²L. D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow, Russia

(Dated: April 17, 2024)

It is shown that plasm a-based optics can be used to guide and focus highly divergent lasergenerated ion beam s. A hollow cylinder is considered, which initially contains a hot electron population. Plasm a stream ing toward the cylinder axis maintains a focusing electrostatic eld due to the positive radial pressure gradient. The cylinder works as thick lens, whose param eters are obtained from similarity theory for freely expanding plasm a in cylindrical geom etry. Because the lens param eters are energy dependent, the lens focuses a selected energy range of ions and works as a m onochrom ator. Because the focusing is due to the quasineutral part of the expanding plasm a, the lens param eters depend on the hot electron tem perature T_e only, and not their density.

PACS num bers:

I. IN TRODUCTION

Laser-driven ion sources [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] are considered to be the hot candidates for various in portant applications in nuclear physics, m edicine, biology, m aterial sciences, plasm a eld tom ography [8, 9, 10]. W hen multiteraw att laser pulses are shot on solid state targets, copious am ounts of multi-M eV ions - both protons and highly charged heavier ions - are generated [11]. These lasergenerated ion beam s have picosecond durations and originate from a few m icrom eter w ide virtual source. How ever, the laser-generated ions are highly divergent and usually are em itted w ithin a cone w ith som e 10-30 degrees opening angle. In addition, they have broad energy spectra. These facts m ay in pede num erous applications for the laser-generated ion beam s unless appropriate optics and m onochrom atizing system s are developed.

B ecause of their high divergence, one needs very strong elds to collim ate the ion beam s. Such elds exist only in plasm a. However, one cannot exploit the standard technique of self-induced magnetic plasm a lensing that is widely used to focus conventionally accelerated ion beam s. The reason is that the laser-produced ion beam s are charge neutral, i.e. they contain electrons that com – pensate the ion charge and current.

II. SIM ILARITY THEORY OF EXPANDING PLASM A

In the present work we consider ion beam focusing by plasm a which already contains a quasistatic electric eld. The experimental conguration is the following, Fig. 1, [12]: a laser produced ion beam originates at the point z = D, x = y = 0 and propagates toward a hollow metal cylinder of the radius R and length L, L > R. The axis of the cylinder coincides with the z-axis. At the same time, the second laser pulse is shot at the cylinder. This second pulse generates a population of hot electrons, which penetrate through the metal and spread



FIG.1: (color online). Geometry of the ion optics element for focusing of laser-generated ion beam s. One laser beam generates an ion beam from the rear side of the irradiated foil. A nother laser beam hits a hollow cylinder, where a hot electron population is generated. The cylinder has the radius R, the length L and is located at the distance D from the foil. The radial electric eld of the plasm a inside the cylinder collim ates the ion beam.

very fast over the inner surface of the cylinder. They exit into vacuum and generate a cloud of space charge at the inner surface. The electric eld of this space charge is large enough to ionize the material and to create plasma. As a result at the initial moment we have a cylindrical plasma layer with high electron temperature T_e and low ion temperature T_i 0. The plasma begins to expand toward the cylinder axis due to the TNSA (target normal sheath acceleration) mechanism [13]. Normally, the cylinder surface is covered by a thin layer of hydrogen-rich substances. Being the lightest ions, protons are accelerated rst and the plasma is usually an electron-proton one regardless of the particular chemical mixture of the cylinder itself.

P lasm a dynam ics is described by the couple of V lasov's equations for electrons and ions and the M axwell equations

where f_e and f_i are the electron and ion distribution functions respectively. We do not include magnetic eld in (1) since the cylindrical symmetry of the expansion prohibits magnetic elds generation.

The initial conditions for Eqs. (1) are

$$f_{e}(t=0;p;r) = f_{0}(cp=T_{e};r=R;r=d);$$

$$f_{i}(t=0;p;r) = F_{0}(r=R;r=d)(p);$$
(2)

where f_0 and F_0 are initial distributions of electrons and ions, d and R are cylinder thickness and radius respectively, R > d. For the initial distributions one reads

$$Z$$

$$f_0 (qp=T_e; r=R; r=d) dp dR = 2 R dL n_e;$$

$$Z$$

$$F_0 (r=R; r=d) (p) dp dR = 2 R dL n_e = Z_i; (3)$$

where the cylinder length L $\,$ R, n_e is the average electron density. The multiplier 1=Z $_1$ is due to the condition of plasm a charge neutrality.

In the following we consider the case of relativistic electron temperatures and non-relativistic ions: $M_{i}c^{2}$ $T_{e} = m_{e}c^{2}$. Thus, we exploit the ultrarelativistic approximation v = cp = jp j for the electron velocities, while for the ions we get $v = p=M_{i}$. Here M_{i} and m_{e} are the ion and electron masses respectively.

W e introduce new dim ensionless variables

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{R}; \ \hat{\mathbf{t}} = \mathbf{t} = ; = \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{G}; \ \hat{\mathbf{E}} = \mathbf{e} \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{T}_{e};$$
(4)

where $c_s = \int_{-\infty}^{P} \overline{T_e = M_i}$ is the ion sound velocity. Since the electrons are ultra-relativistic and ions are nonrelativistic, we are forced to introduce di erent dimensionless normalization for electron and ion components:

$$p_{e}^{*} = q_{e} = T_{e};$$
 $f_{e}^{*} = (T_{e} = c)^{3} f_{e} = n_{e};$ (5)

$$\dot{p}_{i} = p_{i} = M_{i}T_{e}; \quad f_{i} = (M_{i}T_{e})^{3=2} f_{i} = n_{e}:$$
 (6)

W e rew rite the V lasov equations (1) in these variables:

$$c\frac{\hat{ef_{e}}(\hat{f};p_{e};\hat{r})}{\hat{ef_{e}}} + \frac{p_{e}}{\hat{p}_{e}j}\frac{\hat{ef_{e}}(\hat{f};p_{e};\hat{r})}{\hat{ef_{e}}} \quad \hat{E}\frac{\hat{ef_{e}}(\hat{f};p_{e};\hat{r})}{\hat{ep_{e}}} = 0$$

$$\frac{\hat{ef_{i}}(\hat{f};p_{i};\hat{r})}{\hat{ef_{e}}} + p_{i}\frac{\hat{ef_{i}}(\hat{f};p_{i};\hat{r})}{\hat{ef_{z}}} + Z_{i}\hat{E}\frac{\hat{ef_{i}}}{\hat{e}}\frac{\hat{ef_{i}}(\hat{f};p_{i};\hat{r})}{\hat{ep_{i}}} = 0;$$

$$p_{i}\hat{E} = 4 \quad e \quad Z_{i} \quad \hat{f_{i}}d^{3}\hat{p} \quad \hat{f_{e}}d^{3}\hat{p} ; (7)$$

The norm alized V lasov-M axw ellequations (7) revealthat the the system dynamics depend on ve dimensionless parameters. The rst parameter is the ion charge Z_i . The next two parameters are the norm alized sound speed $_{\rm C}^{\rm c}$ = $c_{\rm s}{=}c$ and the norm alized D ebye length $_{\rm D}$ = $_{\rm D}^{2}$ =4 R^2 , where $_{\rm D}^{2}$ =4 $T_{\rm e}{=}e^2n_{\rm e}$. These two parameters de ne plasma dynamic properties. The remaining two parameters d=R and L=R come from the initial system geometry. We are interested in the cylindrical geometry and drop out the parameter L=R ! +1 .

Thus, the param etric dependencies can be written as:

$$\mathbf{f}_{e} = \frac{\mathbf{n}_{e} \mathbf{c}^{3}}{\mathbf{T}_{e}^{3}} \mathbf{f}_{e} \quad \frac{\mathsf{t}}{\mathsf{r}}; \frac{\mathsf{r}}{\mathsf{R}}; \frac{\mathsf{q}_{p}}{\mathsf{T}_{e}}; \frac{\mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{R}}; \mathsf{Z}_{i}; \mathsf{c}; \mathsf{p} \quad ; \tag{8}$$

$$f_{i} = \frac{n_{e}}{(M T_{e})^{3=2}} \hat{f}_{i} - \frac{t}{R}; \frac{p}{(M T_{e})^{1=2}}; \frac{d}{R}; Z_{i}; c; D ;$$

where f_e and f_i are universal functions. Eqs. (8) already can be used to state exact scaling law s. The requirements $_c = const$, $_D = const$ and d=R = const do not xall the dimensional parameters of the problem, and this allow s to scale experimental results.

Yet, the most interesting scalings are obtained in the lim it $_{\rm c}$ 1 and $_{\rm D}$ 1. Assuming $_{\rm c}$! 0 one obtains

$$\frac{\dot{p}_{e}}{\dot{p}_{e}j} \frac{\theta \hat{f}_{e}(\hat{t}; p_{e}'; \hat{r})}{\theta \hat{r}} \quad \hat{E} \quad \frac{\theta \hat{f}_{e}(\hat{t}; p_{e}'; \hat{r})}{\theta \hat{p}_{e}} = 0: \quad (9)$$

This means that the electron distribution function can be written as

$$\hat{f}_{e} = F_{e} \hat{p}_{e} j \hat{j}_{i} \hat{t}_{i} Z_{i} d=R; \quad D \quad (10)$$

where F_e is a universal function. Eq. (10) m eans that the electron und has the same electron temperature at all points.

The form all lim it $_{\rm D}$! 0 coincides with the quasineutrality condition

$$Z_{i} \quad \hat{f}_{i} d^{3} \hat{p} = \quad \hat{f}_{e} d^{3} \hat{p}): \quad (11)$$

Since $_{\rm D}$ is a factor in front of the highest derivative in (7), the quasinetrality condition (11) is violated within the narrow D ebye sheath layer of the width / $_{\rm D}$. Being very important for problem s like ion acceleration this area hardly plays any role in the ion focusing. Because of its narrowness, only the small amount of ion beam on the order of $_{\rm D} = \text{R} / \frac{\text{P}_{\rm D}}{\text{D}} - 1$ would be in uenced by its elds at any particular moment. We neglect this in uence.

III. ION FOCUSING BY HOLLOW PLASMA CYLINDER

In order to describe the focusing, we study properties of the quasineutral part of the expanding plasm a cloud. The quasineutrality $n_e = Z_i n_i$ is guaranteed as long as $_D$! 0. Here n_e and n_i are the electron and ion densities, Z_i is the ion charge state. At the same time, the plasm a density and consequently the electron pressure $P_e = n_e T_e$ vary along the cylinder radius. The pressure gradient is counterbalanced by the radial electric eld

$$E = \frac{1}{en_e} r P_e; \qquad (12)$$

which is developed inside the plasma to satisfy the quasineutrality (11). Because the electron pressure gradient is directed o axis, the developed electric eld is directed toward the cylinder axis. It is this eld that focuses the injected ions.

Because of the cylindrical sym metry, we neglect any dependencies on the azim uthal angle on the longitudinal coordinate z within the plasm a. Then, all distributions depend on the radius = $\frac{1}{x^2 + y^2}$ only. To obtain a closed system of equations we take into account the energy conservation law

$$\frac{3}{2}T_{e}N_{e} + M L v^{2}f_{i}(t;v;) dv d = \frac{3}{2}T_{e}(0)N_{0};$$
(13)

where N_e is the number of hot electrons and $(3=2)T_{\rm e}(0)N_{\rm e}$ is the laser energy absorbed in the cylinder and stored in the hot electrons. Eq. (13) neglects the energy accumulated in the electrom agnetic plasma

elds. This assumption is correct provided that the D ebye length is much smaller than the cylinder radius R, i.e. for $_D$ 1.Eqs. (9) and (10) show that the electron temperature is equal at all points of the plasma. Thus, the energy conservation law (13) is su cient to describe the electron dynam ics.

The initial ion distribution is

$$f_i(t = 0; p;) = 2 \quad i \quad (p) F_0(=R; d=R):$$
 (14)

where $_{i}$ is the initial surface density of ions participating in the plasm a expansion. Because of the quasineutrality condition (11), we have N_e = 2 RLZ_i i.

W e introduce the dimensionless time-dependent electron temperature \hat{T} (t) = $T_{\rm e}$ (t)= $T_{\rm e}$ (0) and the ion velocity ψ = v= $c_{\rm s}$.

The ion V lasov equation and Eqs. (12) (13) rewritten in the dimensionless variables take the form :

$$\hat{E} = \hat{r} \ln n_e; \qquad (15)$$

$$\frac{@\hat{f}_{i}}{@\hat{t}} + \oint \frac{@\hat{f}_{i}}{@^{\wedge}} + \hat{E} \frac{@\hat{f}_{i}}{@^{\diamond}} = 0; \qquad (16)$$

$$\mathbf{\hat{\nabla}}^2 \mathbf{\hat{f}}_i(\mathbf{\hat{f}}; \mathbf{\hat{\nabla}}; \mathbf{\hat{\gamma}}) \, d\mathbf{\hat{\nabla}} d\mathbf{\hat{r}} = 3 (1 \quad \mathbf{\hat{T}}); \quad (17)$$

with the initial condition

$$\hat{f}_{i}(t = 0; \hat{v}; \hat{}) = F_{0}(\hat{}; d=R) \hat{v}):$$
 (18)

Eqs. (15)-(18) contain no dimensional parameters whatsoever. As a consequence, the functions \hat{T} , \hat{f} and \hat{E} are universal, i.e., they are not a ected by speci c values of d, R, L, $_{i}$ and T_{e} (0). This gives us an opportunity to develop a meaningful similarity theory describing the guidance of laser produced ion beam s.

From the norm alizations (4) we conclude that the electric eld E developed in the plasm a is

$$E = \frac{T_{e}(0)}{eR} \hat{E} \quad (t= ; =R; d=R): \quad (19)$$

where \hat{E} is a universal function. It does not depend on the plasm a density, but is determ ined by the hot electron tem perature and the cylinder geom etry only. This result is valid as long as the D ebye length is much sm aller than R. This means that the uncom pensated charge density

$$e n = e(Z_i n_i n_e) = \frac{r E}{4} = \frac{T_e(0)}{4 eR^2} fr (t= ; =R;d=R)$$
(20)

is much sm aller than the electron density.

W hen the laser produced ion beam enters plasm a inside the cylinder, it is de ected by the electric eld (19). W e suppose that the beam has a lower density than the plasm a inside the cylinder and thus the beam own elds can be neglected.

To describe the beam ion guiding in plasm a we consider ions with the charge state $Z_{\rm b}$, mass M_b and the initial energy $E_{\rm b}$ being focused by the potential

$$' = r^2 e n_0; n_0 = n(t = ; = 0; d = R)$$
 (21)

Notice that the charge density n_0 depends on time. However, for the most interesting and important case the beam ions pass the $qy \underline{linder\,p} \, lasm$ a during the time $L=u_b$, where $u_b=2E_b=M_b$ and $=R=q_s$ is the plasm a evolution time. In this case the dependence of n from time t can be neglected.

Now we are able to estimate in uence of the non-neutral Debye sheath with the width $_{\rm D}$ on the beam ions motion. This area propagates with the velocity / $c_{\rm s}$ and carries the electric eld $E_{\rm nq}$ / $\frac{1}{n_{\rm e}T_{\rm e}}$. The radial momentum of a beam ion is changed by the value

$$p_{?}^{nq} / eZ_{i} \xrightarrow{p} \overline{n_{e}T_{e}} \xrightarrow{D} / \xrightarrow{p} \overline{E_{nq}M_{b}}; \qquad (22)$$

where $E_{nq} / \frac{M_i}{M_b} T_e$.

The change of a beam ion radial momentum due to the interaction with the quasineutral part of plasm a is estimated as

$$p_{?}^{q} / \frac{T_{e}}{R} \frac{L}{u_{b}} / \frac{P}{E_{q}M_{b}};$$
 (23)

where $E_q / \frac{L}{u_b}^2 \frac{M_i}{M_b} T_e$.

From Eqs. (22) and (23) one sees that $p_2^{nq} = p_2^q$. Therefore the ions passing through the non-quasineutral edge are stronger deviated than those interacting only with the quasineutral plasm a region. However, because the D ebye sheath is narrow, the relative number of the strongly declined ions is sm alland these ions are deviated to di erent points of space. For these reasons the D ebye sheath at the edge of the expanding plasm a does not contribute to the ion focusing. It scatters the beam ion instead.

IV. ION LENSFORMULA

To investigate focusing properties of the potential' we use the well known analogy between the geometrical optics and the classical mechanics. The optical length corresponds to the action S in the H am ilton-Jacoby equation [14]

$$Q_t S + H (r S; r) = 0:$$
 (24)

If ions in vacuum are injected at the point x = y = 0, z = Z then the S function in vacuum is

$$S = E_{b}t + \frac{p}{2M_{b}E_{b}} (z - Z + \frac{p}{2}) r \frac{p}{2M_{b}E_{b}} (z - Z + \frac{p}{2}) r \frac{p}{2M_{b}E_{b}} (z - Z + \frac{p}{2}) r \frac{M_{b}E_{b}}{2} r \frac{p}{2} r \frac{p}{2}$$

In our geometry, Z = D.

If ions are focused at the point x = $\,y$ = 0, z = $\rm Z\,^0$ in vacuum , then the action S is

$$S = \text{const} \quad \text{E}_{b}t \quad \frac{p}{2M_{b}E_{b}} ((z \quad Z^{0})^{2} + {}^{2})} \text{r} \quad (26)$$

$$\text{const} \quad \text{E}_{b}t + \frac{p}{2M_{b}E_{b}} (z \quad Z^{0}) + \frac{2}{z \quad Z^{0}} \text{r} \quad \frac{M_{b}E_{b}}{2}:$$

The beam ion motion inside the plasma cylinder is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2M_{b}} + eZ_{b'} :$$
 (27)

The solution of the Ham ilton-Jacoby equation inside the plasm a can be expanded as

$$S = const \quad E_b t + \frac{p}{2E_b M_b} z + \frac{1}{2} (z)^2 + ...;$$
 (28)

where the function is

$$(z) = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} p & 0 & s & 1 \\ \hline 2 & Z_{b}M & be^{2} & n_{0} & tan & e^{2} & z & \frac{Z_{b}e^{2} & n_{0}}{E_{b}} + CA \\ \end{array}}$$
(29)

N ote that when the electrons pull the ions behind them – selves (positive pressure gradient) there is an electron excess at the axis z, i.e., $n_0 < 0$. The constant C in (29) is obtained from the continuity conditions of the action S at the front and rear sides of the plasm a cylinder.

Thus, we arrive at the thick lens form ula

$$(Z g)(Z^0 + h) = f^2$$
 (30)

where $g = (L \cos) = (\sin)$, h = g + L, $f = L = (\sin)$ and

$$= \frac{p}{\frac{Z_{b} \hat{n} (t=;0;d=R)}{2}} \frac{L}{u_{b}}$$
(31)

In our derivation of Eq. (30) we neglected the change of plasm a parameters during the time the beam ions need to pass the cylinder. This means that our analysis is valid if 1.

A parallel beam of ions is obtained if Z $^0 = 1$. This condition is satis ed for 1 if

$$D = \frac{L}{2}$$
 (32)

Thus, the plasm a element collimates ions with the energy

$$E_{b} / Z_{b}T_{e}\frac{LD}{R^{2}}: \qquad (33)$$

It is worth m entioning that the energy of the collim ated ions strongly depends on the dimensionless parameter $LD = R^2$ and can be signi cantly larger than the initial electron tem perature.

It is easy to see that for the ion focusing be practical the electron temperature T_e has to be of the order of several M eV s. Such electron temperatures are routinely produced by multi-terawatt lasers.

W ould be the plasm a inside the cylinder stationary, then only ions with the selected energy (33) are collimated. However, the plasm a is non-stationary with the characteristic evolution time = $R = c_s$. The relative change of the plasm a parameters during the ion passage time through the cylinder is of the order of $L = v_b$ 1. This small parameter de ness nally the nite energy spectrum width E_b of the focused ions:

$$\frac{E_{\rm b}}{E_{\rm b}} / \frac{L}{v_{\rm b}} / \frac{L}{D}:$$
(34)

It follows from (34) that the plasm a cylinder works as a good m onochrom ator if D $\,$ L .

To avoid any confusion we emphasize that Eq. (34) describes the quality of a small aperture ion beam only. Of course, di erent parts of the lens collimate ions of di erent energies. For large aperture ion beam s the energy spectrum width of the focused ions will be large, $E_{\rm b}=E_{\rm b}$ / 1.

In the preceding part of the paper we consider the focusing by the area 0. It is important that the theory can easily be generalised for the focusing by the cylindric area near $= _0 < R$. To do so we introduce a new potential

$$'_{0} = \hat{r} e n_{r_{0}}; n_{0} = \frac{T_{e}(0)}{2 e^{2} {}_{0}R} \hat{E} (t=; {}_{0}=R; d=R):$$

(35)

A coording to Eq. (19) the potential $'_{r_0}$ gives the right value of the electric eld at = $_0$. Thus the focusing by = $_0$ is obtained from Eq. (30) with 1 by the substitution $n_0 ! n_{r_0}$.

Until now we have assumed that the density of the ion beam focused is so small that it does not a ect the focusing eld of the lens. To nd the validity condition for this approach we have to consider the propagating of an ion beam with a given density prole n_b () through the lens. U sing the quasuneutrality condition for the system "the lens plasm a + the beam" one can easily nd the the

- [1] S. Hatchett, C. G. Brown, T. E. Cowan, E. A. Henry, J. S. Jonson, M. H. Key, J. A. Koch, A. Bruce, B.F. Lasinski, R.W. Lee, A. J. Mackinnon, D. M. Pennington, M. D. Penry, T.W. Phillips, M. Roth, T.C. Sngster, M. S. Singh, R. A. Snavely, M. A. Stoyer, S.W. illks, K.Yasuike Phys. Plasm as 7, 2076 (2000).
- [2] R.A. Snavely, M.H.Key, S.P.Hatchett, T.E.Cowan, M.Roth, T.W. Phillips, M.A. Stoyer, E.A.Henry, T.C. Sangster, M.S. Singh, S.C.W ilks, A.MacKinnon, A.O enberger, D.M. Pennington, K.Yasuike, A.B.Langdon, B.Lasinski, J.Johnson, M.D.Perry, E.M.CampbellPhys.Rev.Lett. 85, 2945 (2000).
- [3] M. Roth, A. Blazevic, M. Geissel, T. Schlegel, T.E.Cowan, M.Allen, J.C.Gauthier, P.Audebert, J.Fuchs, J. Meyer-ter-Vehn, M. Hegelich, S. Karsch, A.Pukhov Phys. Rev. STAB 5 061301 (2002).
- [4] E. L. Clark, K. Krushelnik, J. R. Davies, M. Zepf, M. Tatarakis, F. N. Beg, A. Machacek, P. A. Norreys, M. I. Santala, I. Watts, A. E. Dangor Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 670 (2000).
- [5] K. Krushelnik, E. L. Clark, M. Zepf, J. R. Davies, F.N. Beg, A. Machecek, M. I.K. Santala, M. Tatarakis, I.W atts, P.A. Norreys, A.E. Dangor Phys. Plasm as 7, 2055 (2000).
- [6] M. Roth, T. E. Cowan, M. H. Key, S. P. Harchett, C. Brown, W. Fountain, J. Johnson, D. M. Pennington, R. A. Snavely, S. C. Willks, K. Yasuke, H. Ruhl, F. Pegoraro, S. V. Bulanov, E. M. Campbell, M. D. Perry,

focusing by the area around $= _0$ is not disturbed by the ion beam if

$$[2 n_{\rm b}(_0)]$$
 $(1 - 1) (t = ;_0)$; (36)

Since the plasm a lens density gradient can be very large this condition can be much weaker than $n_{\rm b}$ $n_{\rm i}$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have developed a closed similarity theory of a hollow cylinder as a plasm a element for ion beam guiding. Signi cantly, the beam ions are focused by the quasinuetral part of expanding plasm a rather than by strong electric elds in the non-quasineutral leading edge of the expanding plasm a cloud. The thick lens form ula has been obtained with explicit scalings for all of the parameters. We show that the plasm a lens collimates only ions with a quite de nite energy and may be used form onochrom atization of the laser-produced ion beam s.

A know ledgem ents

This work has been supported in parts by Transregio-18 and G raduiertenkolleg 1203 (DFG, Germ any).

H.PowellPhys.Rev.Lett. 86, 436 (2000).

- [7] A.Pukhov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3562 (2001).
- [8] K. Nemoto, A. Maksim chuk, S. Banerjee, K. Flippo,
 G. Mourou, D. Um stadter, V. Yu. Bychenkov
 Appl. Phys. Lett. 78, 595-597 (2001).
- [9] S. Fritzler, V. Malka, G. Grillon, J. P. Rousseau, F. Burgy, E. Lefebvre, E. d'Humieres, P. McKenna, K.W. D. Ledingham Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 3039 (2003)
- [10] L.Rom agnani, J.Fuchs, M.Borghesi, P.Antici, P.Audebert, F.Ceccherini, T.Cowan, T.Grismayer, S.Kar, A.Macchi, P.Mora, G.Pretzler, A.Schiavi, T.Toncian, O.W illy Phys. Rev. Lett 95, 195001 (2005)
- [11] M. Hegelich, B. Albright, P. Audebert, A. Blazevic, E.Brambrink, J.Cobble, T.Cowan, J.Fuchs, J.C.Gauthier, C.Gautier, M.Geissel, D. Habs, R. Johnson, S.Karsh, A.Kemp, S.Letzring, M.Roth, U.Schramm, J.Schreiber, K.J.W itte, J.C.Fernandez Phys. Plasm as 12,056314 (2005)
- [12] T. Toncian, M. Borghesi, O. W illi, et al., submitted to Nature (2005).
- [13] S. C. W ilks, A. B. Langdon, T. E. Cowan, M. Roth, M. Singh, S. Hatchett, M. D. Key, D. Pennington, A. MacKinnon, R. A. Snavely Phys. Plasmas 8, 542 (2001).
- [14] V.I.A mold, M athem aticalm ethods of classicalm echanics, New York [u.a.], Springer, 1989.