# B olom etric calibration of a super uid <sup>3</sup>H e detector for D ark M atter search: direct m easurem ent of the scintillated energy fraction for neutron, electron and m uon events

## C.B.W inkelm ann<sup>a</sup>, J.Elbs<sup>a</sup>, Yu.M.Bunkov<sup>a;1</sup>, E.Collin<sup>a</sup>, H.Godfrin<sup>a</sup>, and M.Krusius<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>C entre de Recherches sur les Tres Basses Tem peratures, CNRS, laboratoire associe l'Universite Joseph Fourier et l'Institut Polytechnique de Grenoble, BP166, 38042, Grenoble, France

<sup>b</sup>Low Tem perature Laboratory, Helsinki University of Technology, FIN-02015 HUT, Espoo, Finland

#### A bstract

We report on the calibration of a super uid <sup>3</sup>He bolom eter developed for the search of non-baryonic D ark M atter. P recise them on etry is achieved by the direct m easurem ent of therm al excitations using V ibrating W ire Resonators (VW Rs). The heating pulses for calibration were produced by the direct quantum process of quasiparticle generation by other VW Rs present. The bolom etric calibration factor is analyzed as a function of temperature and excitation level of the sensing VW R. The calibration is compared to bolom etric m easurem ents of the nuclear neutron capture reaction and heat depositions by cosm ic m uons and low energy electrons. The comparison allows a quantitative estim ation of the ultra-violet scintillation rate of irradiated helium, demonstrating the possibility of e cient electron recoil event rejection.

Keywords: Dark Matter, Super uid Helium -3, Bolom eter, Scintillation. PACS: 95.35; 67.57; 07.57 K; 11.30 P

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding author : yuriy bunkov@grenoble.cnrs.fr (phone: + 33 4-76 88 12 52)

#### 1 Introduction

Super uid <sup>3</sup>He-B at ultra-low temperatures is an appealing target material for bolom etric particle detection [1,2,3], with complimentary features to the currently most performing germanium – and silicon-based detectors like E delweiss [4] and CDMS [5]. Among the attractive features of <sup>3</sup>He are the clear signature of neutron background events due to the nuclear capture reaction of neutrons in <sup>3</sup>He. Furthermore, the huge density of unpaired neutrons leads to a signi cantly enhanced axial interaction cross-section within a large class of W IM P models [6,7]. Its single therm all energy reservoir with the possibility of direct therm om etry, the very low experimental base temperature of about 100 K, together with the possibility of electron recoil rejection make <sup>3</sup>He a very promising target material for D ark M atter search.

The nuclear neutron capture reaction  ${}^{3}\text{H} + n$  !  ${}^{3}\text{H} + p$  releases 764 keV kinetic energy to its products, and was detected bolom etrically in the super uid §]. The comparison of the detected neutron peak to bolom etric calibrations of the detector was then used [8] as a test of topological defect creation scenarios in fast second order phase transitions [9]. A bolom etric calibration m ethod of the detector was inst described in [0]. In the following years, the detection threshold of the bolom eter could be lowered, which allowed the identication of a broad peak at about 60 keV, that could be attributed to cosm icm uons, in agreement with numerical predictions. In very recent measurements on a D ark M atter detector prototype, the detection threshold reached the keV level and the low energy electron emission spectrum from a  ${}^{57}\text{C}$  o source could be resolved [11,12]. Since a neutralino interaction with a  ${}^{3}\text{H}$  e nucleus in the detector is expected to deposit a maximum energy of about 5.6 keV, the current detector design therefore already displays the required bolom etric sensitivity.

The recent in provement of the sensitivity was partly made possible by lowering the working temperature of the detector cells from about 150 to 130 K. This temperature decrease of less than 20% represents a decrease in the therm all enthalpy of the cells by an order of magnitude. On the other hand, at lower temperature the weaker coupling of the VW R to the super uid results nevertheless in a greater response time of the therm on eter. Parallely, the non-linear velocity dependence of the friction with the super uid [3], is also of greater importance at the lower temperatures and the higher VW R – response signals currently used. This article hence proposes a generalization of the methods described in [10] for a more profound and comprehensive understanding of the bolom etric detector (sections 2 to 4) and its calibration by mechanical heater pulses (section 5). The comparison of the bolom etric calibration with detection spectra from known energy sources like neutrons (section 6) as well as low energy electrons and muons (section 7) allows then a precise estimation of the ultra-violet (UV) scintillation rate of helium for several types of ionizing radiation.

#### 2 Principle of detection

The current <sup>3</sup>He particle detector [11,12] consists of [10] three adjacent cylindrical copper cells, of volum eV = 0.13 cm<sup>3</sup> each, im m ersed inside a super uid <sup>3</sup>He bath at ultra-low temperature, at about 130 K.A 200 m diam eter ori-

ce in the wall of the cells connects the  ${}^{3}$ H e inside the cellw ith the surrounding heat reservoir of super uid. An energy deposition by an incident particle creates a cloud of quasiparticles in the cell, which comes to internal therm al equilibrium via collisions on the cell walls within 1 m s. The excess ballistic quasiparticles, of momentum p p, then leak out of the cell with a time constant  $_{b}$  of a few seconds, which is determined mainly by the size of the origin ce.



Fig. 1. Schem atic setup of the 3-cell bolom etric detector prototype. Each cell contains a VW R therm om eter. The cells are in weak therm al contact with the outer  ${}^{3}\text{H}$  e bath through the ori ce. The presence of three adjacent cells allow s discrim ination through coincident detection. One of the cells contains the  ${}^{57}\text{C}$  o source (section 7), another one contains an extra VW R for the purpose of bolom etric calibration by heater pulses (section 5).

The V ibrating W ire Resonators (VWRs) used for them on etry are thin (4.5 m diam eter in our setup) superconducting NbTi lam ents bent into an approximately sem i-circular shape of a few mm, with both ends mm ly xed1[4].

The VW R is driven by a Laplace force in posed by an AC current close to its m echanical resonance frequency ( 500 H z), and oscillates perpendicularly to its m ain plane with an rm s velocity v. The m otion is damped by frictional forces, of total amplitude F (v), m ainly due to m om entum transfer to the quasiparticles of the surrounding super uid. Relatively fast therm om etry is then achieved by m easuring continuously the damping coe cient W / F = v of a VW R driven at resonance. In the low oscillation velocity limit, the friction is of a viscous type F / v.W is thus velocity independent and can be written as [15]

$$W_0 = \exp( - k_B T);$$
 (1)

where is the super uid gap at zero tem perature. The value of the prefactor

is determined by both the microscopic properties of the liquid and the geometry of the VW R.At 0 bar and for the VW R s used in the detector, of density = 6.0 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and radius a = 2.3 m, is of the order of 1-2 10<sup>5</sup> Hz, depending on the exact geometrical features. In quasi-static conditions, the low velocity damping coe cient W<sub>0</sub> is measured as the FullW idth at Half-Height f<sub>2</sub> of the mechanical oscillator's lorentzian resonance in frequency space.

At nite oscillation velocities however, e ects beyond the two- uid model discovered in Lancaster, lead to a velocity dependent friction which is actually decreasing at higher velocities (Fig. 2). A model proposed by Fisher et al. [13,15] leads to a velocity dependent dam ping

$$W_{L}(v) = W_{0} \quad L(v=v_{0});$$
(2)

where the function

$$L(u) = (1 e^{u}) = u$$
 (3)

gives a very good approximation of the reduction of the damping of the VWR for v up to a characteristic oscillation velocity  $v_0 = k_B T = p_F = 1-2 \text{ mm/s}$ .

At higher velocities, of about 3-4 m m /s, the local ow eld around sharp edges on the VWR surface starts to get turbulent [16]. At even higher velocities, the critical velocity for pair-breaking is achieved. Both cases correspond to a strong enhancement of the friction, with a strongly non-linear, sometimes even discontinuous, velocity dependence (Fig. 2). While the Lancaster-type non-linear coupling to the super uid can easily be accounted for by using equation (2), the velocity has thus still to be kept sm all enough for both the locally turbulent and the pair-breaking regime to be avoided.



Fig. 2. Isotherm al force-velocity ( (I;V)) curve of a VWR in mersed in superuid <sup>3</sup>He at ultra-low temperatures. For rms oscillation velocities below 2 mm/s, the damping is well described by (2). The decrease of the damping coe cient ( inverse slope) at higher velocities actually leads to an increase of the calibration factor (section 4). At velocities above 2.5 mm/s, the damping increases again. Points 1 and 2 show two discontinuities of the force-velocity curve (see text). The pair-breaking velocity is reached at about 4.5 mm/s.

#### 3 Response of the detector to a heat release

As the quasiparticle density, the tem perature, and thus  $W_0$  as de ned by (1), increase nearly instantaneously ( 1 m s) after a particle impact, the mechanicalVW R only responds to this modil cation over a timescale inversely proportional to the dissipative coupling

$$_{W} = \frac{1}{W_{L}}:$$
(4)

This can therefore result in non-negligible response times (> 1 s) for low dam ping coe cients, i.e. narrow resonances and low tem peratures. While recording transitory heating events, the dynam ically measured dam ping W  $_{mes}$  (t) is thus a non-equilibrium measurement of W (t).

A simple model of a sudden increase of W from its baseline W  $_{\text{base}}$  by an amount A  $W_{\text{base}}$  at t = 0, and the subsequent exponential relaxation of the quasiparticle excess via the orice

$$W (t) = W_{base} + A e^{t = b} (t);$$
(5)

leads to a dynam ic dam ping m easurem ent given by

$$W_{mes}(t) = W_{base} + A \frac{b}{b} \frac{b}{w} e^{t} e^{t} e^{t} e^{t} (t):$$
(6)

(t) is here the H eaviside function accounting for the instantaneous heat input and since A is a small perturbation, w constant =  $1 = W_{\text{base}}$  is assumed. The good agreement of (6) with experimentally detected events can be seen on gure3. The maximum geometrical height H =  $\frac{1}{M}_{\text{mes}} = \frac{1}{M}_{\text{base},\frac{1}{2},\text{max}}$  of the response peak is then related to A by the function

G (W <sub>base</sub>; <sub>b</sub>) = H = A = (<sub>w</sub> = <sub>b</sub>)<sup>$$\frac{w}{b}$$</sup>; (7)

For say W  $_{\rm base}$  = 0.414 Hz (T = 129 K) and  $_{\rm b}$  = 5.0 s, the slowing down of the VW R response is hence responsible for the loss of 29 % of the signal amplitude.



Fig. 3. Bolom etrically recorded event ( 100 keV) (circles). The t by (6) uses the input parameters  $W_{\text{base}} = 414 \text{ m} \text{ Hz}$  and thus  $_{W} = 1 = W_{\text{base}} = 0.77 \text{ s}$ . The cell relaxation time  $_{b} = 5.0 \text{ s}$  is xed for all events of the entire experimental run. The only free parameters are the start time of the event and and A. Here the t elds A = 56.0 m Hz, in good consistency with H G  $^{1}$  (W <sub>base</sub>;  $_{b}$ ) = 55.4 m Hz.

#### 4 Calibration factor

Since both the enthalpy and W  $_0$  are roughly proportional to the quasiparticle density, the bolom etric calibration factor of a given cell, de ned as the conversion factor relating the amplitude A ( $W_0$ ) of the rise in W  $_0$  in (5) to the heat U released

$$= A = U;$$
(8)

is a slow function of temperature. A round 130 K, the temperature dependence of the speci cheat of He-B is given to a good approximation by [10,17]

$$C = C_0 (T_c = T)^{3-2} \exp((-k_B T);$$
 (9)

where  $T_c$  is the super und transition temperature and  $C_0 = 1.7 \text{ mJ/K cm}^3$ . Writing U = CV T allows to express the calibration factor using (1), (8) and (9) in the low velocity limit as

$$_{0} (T) = \frac{1}{k_{\rm B} C_{0} V T_{\rm c}^{3=2}} \frac{p_{\rm T}^{1}}{T}$$
(10)

Taking into account the velocity dependence of the damping (2) leads to an enhancement of the calibration factor at higher velocities over  $_0$  by a factor

$$f(u;t^{0}) = (v) = _{0} = t^{0} \quad 1 + t^{0-1} \quad \frac{\sinh(u=2)}{u=2} \qquad e^{u=2} \quad \frac{\#}{u=2} \frac{\sinh(u=2)}{u=2}; \quad (11)$$

where  $t^0 = k_B T = and u = v = v_0$ . At velocities  $v = v_0$ , this results consequently in an enhancement of the calibration factor by about 12 %. This analysis is valid for velocities up to 1:5 v, before the other dissipative mechanisms discussed set on.

Adding in (7) leads thus to the conclusion that the relation of the geometric height H of a peak following a heat deposition U depends on both the baseline  $W_{\text{base}}$  and the rm s velocity v of the oscillation following

$$H = {}_{0} f(u;t^{U}) G(W_{base}; b) U:$$
 (12)

#### 5 Bolom etric calibration by heater pulses

At ultra-low tem peratures, sin ulation of heating events by Joule heating is ine cient in super uid <sup>3</sup>He because of the diverging them al (K apitza) resistance at the solid-liquid boundaries. Bradley et al. [3] proposed a heating m ethod of the super uid, based on the m echanical friction of the oscillating VW R with the liquid. Energy is injected through a second VW R present (the "heater" VW R, as in the lowest of the 3 cells in F ig. 1), by driving it over a short tim e with an excitation current at its resonance frequency. The energy is then dissipated to the super uid via the velocity dependent frictional coupling. One should bare in m ind that the electrically injected power is rstly transform ed into m echanical energy of the resonator before being transfered to the liquid by friction. Therefore, even for a short excitation pulse of the heater (t 100 m s), the heat release to the liquid nevertheless takes place on a characteristic tim escale given by (4), where the dam ping W is relative to the heater wire.

The energy deposition by a heater pulse is hence not instantaneous, even in the case of extrem ely short pulses. We have estim ated quantitatively this heat release, in order to verify wether a mechanical heater pulse is indeed an acceptable 'simulation' of a real-instantaneous - event. If one assumes velocity independent damping of the heater resonator, its amplitude of oscillation must increase linearly during the pulse and then relax exponentially with timescale

.We have modeled numerically under these assumptions the heat release to the super uid in such a heater pulse, as well as the response of the therm on eter wire in the bolom etric cell. One result and its comparison to the response calculated for an instantaneous energy release as say by an incident particle, are given in Fig. 4. One can see that even though the shape of the therm on eter's response is quite di erent, especially during the rise of the response, the maximum amplitude is still the same within less than 1% for W <sub>base</sub> > 0.3 Hz. A tof the response by 6) is therefore not appropriate in the case of mechanical heater pulses, while the geometrical maximum height H of the response peak is still a good parameter for comparing pulses to instantaneous events.

A more serious issue for the bolom etric calibration by mechanical heater pulses are the intrinsic losses within the heater wire. The intrinsic damping (i.e. in the absence of liquid) of a VW R can be well modelled by a viscous friction term which therefore simply adds as a constant extra term W <sub>int</sub> to the measured damping W in (1). In our experiment, we measure the heater VW R intrinsic width as the limiting value of the damping in the low temperature limit, to be W  $_{int}^{heater} = 77$  6 mHz. As the thermal quasiparticle damping vanishes at low temperatures, the intrinsic losses in the heater represent a fraction W  $_{int}^{heater} = (W _{int}^{heater} + W _{L}^{heater})$  of the injected energy and constitute a non-negligible correction to the energy injection through the heater wire.



Fig. 4. Simulation of the response of the therm on eter VW R to an instantaneous heat deposition at t = 1 s (dotted line) and to a mechanical heater pulse of same total energy but releasing the heat slow ly (continuous line) because of  $_{W}^{heater}$ . W hile the response to the instantaneous event is well described by (6), the response to the slow heat release has a di erent shape during the rise, but is then rather identical exept for a time shift due to the slower release of the energy by the heater. The height H of the two peaks is nevertheless identical within 1 % at 0.3 Hz (128 K) and m uch less at higher temperatures.

Let us now consider the energy and velocity dependence of the detector response. At constant oscillation velocity, the height H of the response is experimentally observed to be linear in the injected energy in the range of a few hundred keV (Fig. 5), which con m s the assumptions leading to ). Furtherm ore, a comparison of the observed slopes versus the VW R's oscillation velocity (Fig. 6) are in good agreement with the enhancement of the response at higher velocities, as given by (11). This analysis allows therefore to extract the velocity independent limit of the calibration factor  $_0$ .

Taking into account intrinsic losses in the heater as well as the features of the therm on eter VW R response deduced in the previous sections, one can t the mechanical pulse calibration data versus baseline (i.e. versus tem perature) as shown in Fig. 7. If W  $_{\rm int}^{\rm heater}$  is left as a free parameter, the tyields a value of W  $_{\rm int}^{\rm heater} = 74$  mHz and a calibration factor of  $_0 = 3.8 \ 10^{-4}$  Hz/keV at a baseline of 1 Hz (7). Im posing W  $_{\rm int}^{\rm heater} = 77$  mHz leaves the overall prefactor of  $_0$  (equivalent to the know ledge of ) as the only free tting parameter, with a value only 0.5% di erent.



Fig. 5. Height H of the response of the therm om eter VW R to mechanical heater pulses of energy E (bullets) and linear t (data taken at W  $_{\rm base} = 1.7$  Hz).



Fig. 6. Dependence of the observed slopes of H (E) as in Fig. 5 as a function of the reduced oscillation velocity of the therm on eter VW R (W  $_{\rm base}$  = 4 Hz). The continuous line represents the expected evolution from (11), the only free parameter being H =E (v = 0).



Fig. 7. Calibration of the observed H/E in the zero velocity limit from mechanical heaterpulses (). The data are then corrected by  $\langle \! \rangle$ ) to account for the slowing down of the therm on eter at low temperatures (). Considering the fact that a fraction W <sup>heater</sup><sub>int</sub> = W <sup>heater</sup><sub>tot</sub> of the injected energy is dissipated inside the heater VW R, the result can be tted by (10) (dashed line). The only free parameter is the overal prefactor of (10) which allows then to express  $_0$  (T) (continuous line).

#### 6 Neutrons

The heat release to the super uid following a nuclear neutron capture reaction  ${}^{3}\text{H} \text{e} + \text{n} ! {}^{3}\text{H} + \text{p}$  was observed in [8] to be at 0 bar about 15 % less than the 764 keV known to be released by the reaction. For a microscopic calculation of the stopping of the neutron capture products in  ${}^{3}\text{H} \text{e}$  see [18]. A substantial part of the energy de cit can be attributed to ultra-violet (UV) scintillation [19]. The UV fraction was estimated in [8] to account for about half of the energy de cit, concluding that the remaining 60 keV were trapped in the form of topological defects.

Neutrons are an extrem ely valuable tool for the characterization of the super-

uid bolom eters because they provide a narrow and cell-geom etry independent peak at rather high energies. Once the bolom etric heat release of neutrons in <sup>3</sup>He inam biguously determ ined, no other calibration, e.g. by pulses, is necessary. The comparison of the evolution of the observed neutron peak with tem perature also allow s to test directly, without the use of mechanical heater pulses, the assumptions leading to (12).



Fig. 8.D etected events in the energy range of a few hunderd keV in presence of the Am Be neutron source, as a function of W <sub>base</sub>. Note that several points do not actually represent single neutron capture events, but the sites of larger concentration of events at a given W <sub>base</sub> (circles) correspond to the neutron peak at that tem perature. The continuous line represents the tem perature dependence of the expected height H corresponding to the neutron peak as given by (12).

The dependence of the neutron peaks' position on the baseline (i.e. on tem – perature) is shown on Fig. 8. The agreement of the baseline dependence as

given by (12) is good except for the very lowest tem peratures where an absolute deviation from (12) of about 6% is observed at  $W_{base} = 100 \text{ m Hz}$ . The analysis yields a bolom etric heat deposition of 652 20 keV at 0 bar, in very good agreem ent with earlier m easurem ents, where 650 keV were found under the same conditions [8]. We ther the observed energy de cit of about 110 keV can be signing cantly accounted for by topological defects is nevertheless still an open question. An extensive study of the pressure dependence of this de cit is in progress and might give some new insight on this question [20].

### 7 Scintillation yield in helium of muons and low energy electrons

The detection of electrons of 7 and 14 keV em itted by a  ${}^{57}$ C o source within one super uid  ${}^{3}$ H e-B bolom eter has been reported recently [12]. The comparison of the detected electron spectrum with the bolom etric calibration factor of that cell, determ ined as described in sections 2-5, yields a de cit of 25 5% in the bolom etrically detected energy. We therefore de ne the scintillation yield in the following as the fraction of the injected energy that is released as radiation.

In helium, the distance between two consecutive collisions of electrons in the keV range is a fraction of a m. This distance is much larger than that in the case of -particles or the neutron capture products. U pon electron irradiation, the energy is hence deposited with much lower density and at no time the density of heat is such that the super uid could heat up to the norm al state. In the case of electrons, the energy de cit is therefore entirely due to UV scintillation. The scintillation yield is very sensitive to the density of the energy deposition. Our results can be compared to measurements by A dam set al. [21] who nd a 35% UV scintillation yield in <sup>4</sup>He upon irradiation by electrons of a few hundred keV. Nevertheless, as later em phasized by McK insey et al. [19,22], this measurement only covered the fast emission pulse of the rst 10-20 nanoseconds. A substantial part of the UV emission, estimated to 50 % of the fast pulse contribution by these authors, takes place at much later times, which brings the UV scintillation yield to a total of about 50% for high energy electrons. At lower energies on the keV level, this fraction is expected to decrease rapidly.Our ndings of the scintillation rate at 10 keV represent thus a very reasonable low energy extension of the measurem ents by M cK in sey et al.

The muon events also display in our detector a clearly resolved spectrum. Figure 9 shows data taken from [23], comparing numerical simulations to measurements taken within the MACHe3 collaboration on the muon peak. A GEANT 4.0 code computer simulation of the muon energy release in our <sup>3</sup>He cell, integrated over a large spectrum of incident muon energies, leads to a broad peak at about 67 keV. The dispersion of the energy distribution is a result of the muons tracks crossing the cell geometry in all space directions with the known angular dependence of radiation intensity [23]. The muon energy release to the target material is rather independent on the energy of the incident muons in the range from 1 to 10 GeV covering the maximum of the energy distribution of muons at ground level.

The width of the experimentally detected muon spectrum corresponds to the intrinsic resolution of our detector (3 %) combined to the geometrical broadening. The muons produce exclusively electron recoils in the cell producing delta rays, i.e. electrons scattered from the atom ic shells, energy-distributed following E<sub>e</sub><sup>2</sup>. For one incident muon, roughly 10 of such electrons have an energy greater than 250 eV and only 5 greater than 1 keV.



Fig. 9. M uon spectra: experimental (points) and simulated (histogram) (data taken from [23]).

In our experiment we have collected data in the muon energy range (Fig. 9) during 19 hours. A broad maximum of events with an average energy of 52 keV is clearly seen. The comparison between the bolom etric measurement and the simulation of the energy input by muons therfore yields again an energy de cit of about 25 %. The missing energy being the result of the scintillation of UV photons as for incident electrons, this observed de cit again allows to quantify the scintillation rate of helium for this type of irradiation.

particle	muons	electrons	p+ <sup>3</sup> H
initial kinetic energy (keV)	2-4 10 <sup>6</sup>	14	571+ 193
energy release to target ${}^{3}\mathrm{He}$ (keV )	67	14	764
energy detected bolom etrically (keV)	52	11	652
scintillated fraction	0.25	0.25	< 0:15

M easured energy release by di erent particles and their scintillation rates

8 Conclusions

Table 1

W e have presented a detailed description of the m ethod of bolom etric calibration of the detector cells based on super uid<sup>3</sup>He, by m echanical pulses. This description provides a general and comprehensive picture of the bolom eter under di erent conditions of use (excitation level, tem perature).

The results of the calibration are compared to measured heat depositions by the nuclear neutron capture reactions as well as muon impacts and low energy electron irradiation. A de cit of about 15% is found in the case of neutrons, in good agreement with previous measurements at 0 bar [8], in which case this de cit is partly associated to vortex creation. In the case of high energy muons, as well as electrons in the 10 keV range, a de cit of about 25% is found which can be entirely attributed to UV scintillation emission. It is not surprising to nd the scintillation rates resulting from these two types of irradiation to be of the same order since the much larger incident energy of cosm ic muons is compensated by their larger mass.

Now that our detector has achieved the required sentitivity for resolving recoils in the keV energy range, we focused on the the feasibility of a electron recoil rejection by parallel scintillation detection. Since we found the UV scintillation yield not to be small for both electrons and muons down to the energy range of interest, this result gives experimental evidence that the parallel use of a scintillation detector would allow to reject e ciently electron-, muon- and most likely -contamination in a bolom etric detector based on super uid <sup>3</sup>H e for the search of non-baryonic D ark M atter. The optimum design of such a parallel scintillation detector will be discussed in a future work.

#### References

 G.R.Pickett, in Proc. of the Second European worshop on neutrinos and dark m atters detectors, ed. by L.G onzales-M estres and D.Perret-G allix, Frontiers, (1988) 377.

- [2] Yu.M.Bunkov, S.N.Fisher, H.Godfrin, A.Guenault, and G.R.Pickett, in Proc. of International Workshop on Superconductivity and Particle Detection, ed.by T.Girard, A.Morales and G.Waysand.World Scientic, (1995) 21-26.
- [3] D. I. Bradley, Yu. M. Bunkov, D. J. Cousins, M. P. Enrico, S. N. Fisher, M. R. Follows, A. M. Guenault, W. M. Hayes, G. R. Pickett, and T. Sloan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 1887.
- [4] V.Sanglard et al, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 122002.
- [5] D.S.Akerib et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 011302.
- [6] F.M ayet et al, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 455 (2000) 554.
- [7] F.Mayet et al, Phys. Lett. B 538 (2002) 257.
- [8] C.Bauerle, Yu.M.Bunkov, S.N.Fisher, H.Godfrin, and G.R.Pickett, Nature 382 (1996) 332.
- [9] W. Zurek, Nature 317, (1985) 505.
- [10] C. Bauerle, Yu. M. Bunkov, S. N. Fisher, and H. Godfrin, Phys. Rev. B 57 (1998) 14381.
- [11] C B. W inkelm ann, E. M oulin, Yu M. Bunkov, J. Genevey, H. Godfrin, J. M acias-Perez, JA. Pinston, and D. Santos. "M ACHE3, a prototype for non-baryonic dark m atter search: KeV event detection and multicell correlation." In "Exporting the Universe, XXX IX Rencontres de Moriond", ed. G iraud-H eraud and Thanh Van, The G ioipbl., (2004) 71.
- [12] E.Moulin, C.W inkelm ann, J.F.M acias-Perez, YuM.Bunkov, H.Godfrin, D. Santos, Nucl. Instr. and Meth.A 548 (2005) 411.
- [13] S.N.Fisher, A.M. Guenault, C.J. Kennedy, and G.R.Pickett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 (1989) 2566.
- [14]C.B.W inkelm ann, E.Collin, Yu.M.Bunkov, and H.Godfrin, J.Low Temp. Phys.135 (2004) 3.
- [15] S. N. Fisher, G. R. Pickett, and R. J. W atts-Tobin, J. Low Temp. Phys. 83 (1991) 225.
- [16] D. I. Bradley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 1252.
- [17]D.Vollhardt and P.W ol e, The Super uid Phases of Helium 3 Taylor & Francis (1990).
- [18] J.S.M eyer and T.Sloan, J.Low Tem p. Phys. 108 (1997) 345.
- [19] D.N.McKinsey et al, Phys. Rev A 67 (2003) 62716.
- [20] J. Elbs, C. B. W inkelm ann, E. Collin, Yu. M. Bunkov, and H. Godfrin, in progress.

- [21] J. S. A dam s, Y. H. K im, R. E. Lanou, H. J. Maris, and G. M. Seidel, J. Low Tem p. Phys. 113 (1998) 1121.
- [22] D.N.McKinsey et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 516 (2004) 475.
- [23] E. Moulin, PhD. Thesis, Universite Joseph Fourier, 2005, unpublished.