Jets from Collapsing Bubbles

J.I.Katz

Department of Physics and M cD onnell Center for the Space Sciences W ashington University, St. Louis, M o. 63130 katz@wuphys.wustledu

Abstract

W hen an asym m etric bubble collapses it generally produces a wellde ned high velocity jet. This is remarkable because one might expect such a collapse to produce a complex or chaotic ow rather than an ordered one. I present a dimensional argument for the ubiquity of jets from collapsing bubbles, and model the aspherical collapse of a bubble with pieces of Rayleigh's solution for spherical collapse and its cylindrical analogue. This model explains the ubiquity of jet formation in aspherical collapse, and predicts the shape and velocity prole of the resulting jet. These predictions may be tested in the laboratory or by numerical calculation. An application to solid spall is suggested.

I. Introduction

The aspherical collapse of a bubble or void in a liquid produces a fast liquid jet^{1-3} . This phenom enon is remarkably robust. It occurs for axially symmetric collapse of a single bubble near a solid wall or free surface. It occurs in at least some of the bubbles produced in turbulent cavitating ow, but it is apparently unknown whether it occurs in all such bubbles, or what initial conditions are required.

Jet production is of great technological importance. Jets are the means by which cavitation dam ages nearby solid surfaces^{2;4}. Fast jets are deliberately produced by shaped charges⁵, and are remarkably insensitive to their geometry. Jets launch droplets from the sea surface⁶, producing marine salt aerosols. Jets are also responsible for the sensitization of explosives by m icroscopic bubbles⁷⁸.

There are a number of elegant analytic theories of jet production 9 ¹¹. Numerical calculations of axisymmetric aspherical collapse¹² ¹⁵ readily show jet formation. How - ever successful these theories and calculations, they do not explain the robustness of the phenomenon and the applicability of these somewhat idealized results to the bubbles encountered in practice: jets form from the collapse of bubbles which cannot be expected to be symmetric, and despite the best e orts of engineers to prevent them. A qualitative model of jet formation might help understand why it is so ubiquitous.

A simple analytic solution is possible for the collapse of a spheroidal bubble. Laplace's equation for the velocity potential separates in spheroidal coordinates¹⁶ (either prolate or oblate) (u;v;). A spheroidal bubble is characterized by = (u). It is readily seen by explicit di erentiation that its aspect ratio does not change during its collapse, so that if it rem ains spheroidal it will not produce a jet. M ore generally, no bubble which possesses inversion symmetry will produce a jet, because any jet would stagnate against its m irror im age jet upon convergence. In fact, inversion symmetry m ay be broken by the presence of a nearby wall or free surface, or by the growth of sm all perturbations, and initially spherical or ellipsoidal bubbles do produce jets, as is seen in the numerical calculations.

2

II.W hy Jets

A dimensional argument can be made for the ubiquity of jet formation. Suppose the collapsing bubble is initially approximately spherical, so that at each point on its surface the two radii of curvature are comparable to each other and have roughly the same magnitude everywhere on the surface. Then only one quantity with the dimensions of length (the approximate initial radius) is dened. The only other independent dimensional quantity is a velocity c $(P =)^{1=2}$, where is the liquid density and P the pressure at in nity. If viscosity and surface tension are neglected and the bubble contains no uncondensable gas there are also no characteristic dimensionless numbers. For some geometries (spheres and spheroids, for example) void collapse will be self-similar, maintaining the shape of the bubble.

At a speci ed elapsed time ta new length scale ct is de ned which is characteristic of that time, but not of the collapse process as a whole. If the bubble shape is to undergo a qualitative change (such as the formation of a jet) its description would require at least one additional characteristic length $r^0(t)$, typically a radius of curvature. If $r^0(t)$ is time-dependent it may be constructed from ct. However, it is not possible to de ne an additional constant r_0^0 which is characteristic of the process as a whole (rather than a specience time), because the initial conditions do not contain enough information; a limiting, nalor time-independent radius of curvature would be an example of such a forbidden parameter.

A spherical vacuum bubble satis es this condition by collapsing to a point, rather than reversing its collapse at a nite radius f. If a collapsing void has an asym metry or a dimple or pimple on its wall and does not preserve its proportions, the asym metry must either decay or sharpen until the ow becomes singular and a cusp form s. For this reason a growing asym metry will generally lead to a jet which develops singular conditions at its tip. This argument for jet formation also applies to bubbles near walls or other bubbles (usually the source of asym metry), if all the initial characteristic lengths are comparable to the collapsing bubble's initial radius.

3

III.M odel

I suggest the follow ing m odel of jet production: If the two principal radii of curvature of a bubble are nearly equal, aspherical collapse is locally approxim ated by spherical collapse, as described by a m odi ed version¹⁷ of R ayleigh's classic theory¹⁸, while if the principal radii of curvature are very di erent it is locally approxim ated by cylindrical collapse. C ollapse of a nite angular range of a cylinder produces a sheet jet (as in a linear shaped charge⁵) rather than the linear jet produced by axially symmetric collapse of an entire cylinder¹³.

In this elementary model the di erence between spherical (or cylindrical) collapse and that of an aspherical bubble is that in the aspherical case di erent portions of the surface converge to the center at di erent times. Instead of meeting an oppositely directed convergent ow from the other side, and stagnating against it in a central pressure peak (as happens in inversion-symmetric collapse), in the asymmetric case the uid which converges

rst form s a uid jet which then penetrates the unconverged uid approaching from the opposite side. Jets are likely to be produced by the collapse of any bubble without inversion symmetry.

This model is applicable not only to bubbles and to voids in explosives, but also to hem ispherical shaped charges. It is not applicable to conical shaped charges, which are not locally spherical, and whose convergence is not even locally cylindrical at their apices; a cone de nes no quantities with the dimensions of length, and contains a geometric singularity in its initial state.

In the frequently encountered case of a bubble near a plane solid boundary or free surface the collapse is azim uthally sym m etric about the surface norm al, and the spherical solution is applicable to the fastest-collapsing portion of the bubble. The Rayleigh solution for the velocity eld surrounding a spherical void, which has collapsed from an initial radius

4

 $R_{\,0}$ to a radius R , is

$$v(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{P}{R_0^3} R_0^3 R^3 R r^2 \frac{C_3}{r^2} (\mathbf{r} R);$$
 (1)

where here P is the di erence between the pressure at in nity and the pressure in the void. In the lim it $R = R_0 ! 0, C_3 ! [2P R_0^3 R = (3)]^{1=2}$. The distribution of m ass in a spherical cap of solid angle with respect to speci c kinetic energy E $\sqrt[2]{2}=2$ is then

$$\frac{dM}{dE} = \frac{1}{2^{11=4}} \frac{7^{-4}C_3^{3=2}}{E^{7=4}} \qquad E \qquad \frac{C_3^2}{2R^4} \qquad (2)$$

Perform ing the integral $\stackrel{R}{=} E(M) dM$ $E^{1=4}$ dem onstrates that the kinetic energy is weakly concentrated in the uid with the greatest speci c kinetic energy; that is, at the tip of the jet.

D uring collapse of a cap spherical convergence is assumed (otherwise (1) and (2) would not be applicable), but after convergence this can no longer be the case. I assume that the

uid then form s a parallel jet, with the distribution of speed and kinetic energy given by (1) and (2). This is not required by any conservation law, even for a perfect uid, but is the sim plest possible assumption. It is plausible for a cap of small because the convergent velocities are nearly parallel and are readily collimated, and because in a narrow jet the zero pressure boundary condition along its sides ensures that any longitudinal pressure gradient and acceleration are small.

It is necessary to introduce an upper cuto E_{hax} on E (or, equivalently, a lower cuto $R_{min} = (P = 3E_{max})^{1=3}R_0$ on R), because otherwise all the kinetic energy would appear in an in nitesimal mass of uid. This cuto may be the consequence of the onset of compressibility (surface tension and viscosity are readily veried to be negligible in the converged ow if they were negligible in the original bubble) or a breakdown in the geometric assumptions. The resulting value

$$C_{3} = \frac{2}{2} \frac{1-2}{3} \frac{P R_{0}^{3}}{3} E_{m ax}^{2=3} E_{m ax}^{1=6}$$
(3)

is fortunately only weakly dependent on $E_{m ax}$; the limiting speed $v_{m ax}$ ($2E_{m ax} =$)¹⁼². For water, plausible values (assuming compressibility is the limiting mechanism) are $E_{m ax}$ 2 10¹⁰ erg/cm³ and $v_{m ax}$ 2 10⁵ cm/sec; $R_{m in}$ 0:026R₀ if P = 1 bar. The high velocity tip of the jet m ay be di cult to observe, because it is eroded by residual gas in the bubble and by m ore slow ly converging uid on the opposite side of the bubble.

As the jet propagates it stretches. If its convergence occurs instantaneously and at one point then its radius s at a distance ' from that point at a tim et after convergence is

$$s = \frac{1}{2} \frac{C_{3}^{3=4} t^{3=4}}{5^{5=4}} \quad (` < v_{m ax} t);$$
(4)

which is obtained by changing variables in (2) from E to v and using ' = vt; the jet term inates at ' $v_{m ax}t$. This form is easier to test against laboratory data than (2) because it is easier to measure the shape of a bounding surface than a uid velocity.

In the case of cylindrical sym m etry the solution analogous to (1) for the velocity eld is

$$v(r) = \frac{P}{\ln (R_1 = R)} \frac{(R_0^2 = R^2)}{\ln (R_1 = R)} r^{-1} \frac{C_2}{r} (r = R);$$
(5)

where R_1 is an upper cuto (set by the system size) on the range of the velocity eld. In the lim it $R = R_0 ! 0, C_2 ! fP R_0^2 = [ln (R_1 = R)]g^{1=2}$. The distribution of m ass with respect to E is

$$\frac{dM}{dE} = \frac{2C_2^2}{4E^2} E \frac{C_2^2}{2R^2} ;$$
 (6)

where is the arc of the collapsing portion of a cylinder. The integral R E (M) dM ln E, so that kinetic energy is evenly distributed per decade across the speci c energy spectrum. An upper cuto $E_{m ax}$ and a low er cuto $R_{m in}$ are again required as R ! 0. The thickness h of a collapsed sheet is found, in analogy to (4),

$$h = \frac{C_2^2 t^2}{3} \quad (` < v_{m ax} t):$$
(7)

Collapsing bubbles whose rate of convergence is interm ediate between cylindrical and spherical in their region of fastest collapse may perhaps be parametrized by solutions of non-integer dimension n. The velocity eld is

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{2(n-2)}{n} \frac{P}{r} (R_0^n - R^n) R^{n-2} r^{1-n} - \frac{C_n}{r^{n-1}} (\mathbf{r} - R); \qquad (8)$$

The resulting mass distribution in the lim it $R = R_0 ! 0$ is

$$\frac{dM}{dE} / \frac{1}{E} = C_n^{n=(n-1)} C_n^{n=(n-1)} = \frac{C_n^2}{2R^{2(n-1)}};$$
(9)

where the constant of proportionality includes the contributing fraction of the n-sphere. The shape of the jet's cross-section depends on the details of convergence, but with the previous assumptions its cross-sectional area A is

A /
$$\frac{t^{n=(n-1)}}{(2n-1)=(n-1)}$$
 (' < v_{max} t): (10)

This may be tted to empirical data or to num erical calculation to determ ine an e ective dimension n of the convergent ow.

IV.Discussion

The models of jets discussed in this paper can be tested by comparison to computed jets and to experiment. The most general form is (10), which introduces the non-integer dimensionality parameter n, but which reduces to the spherical results (1) { (4) for n = 3 and to the cylindrical results (5) { (7) for n = 2.

A related problem is the production of m icroscopic particulate spall upon shock reection from a solid surface, at tensile loads insu cient to disrupt the bulk. This is related to uid jet form ation, because both processes involve concentration of energy. Solid spall is a more complicated phenom enon because it involves materials with nite strength, a variety of heterogeneities in the bulk and at the surface, and (usually) anisotropy. It is unclear whether spall is produced by elastic stress concentration at corners (surface scratches, cracks, grain boundaries, etc.), followed by brittle fracture, or by plastic ow convergence and jetting at surface scratches and cracks. The latter process would resemble jet form ation upon the collapse of a bubble, with the curved solid surface taking the place of the bubble surface. The plastic ow and brittle fracture hypotheses may be distinguished by m icroscopic examination of the surfaces of spall fragments. It m ight also be informative to do experiments on spall from shocked liquid surfaces and am orphous substances, which may be prepared without surface in perfections or heterogeneities in the bulk.

I thank K.Case and F.J.Dyson for discussions and the O $\,$ ce of N aval R esearch, DARPA and NSF AST 94-16904 for support.

References

- 1. M. Van Dyke, A bum of Fluid Motion (Parabolic Press, Stanford, Cal., 1982).
- 2. F.R. Young, Cavitation (M oG raw Hill, London, 1989).
- 3. R. H. Cole, Underwater Explosions (Princeton U. Press, Princeton, 1948).
- 4. J.R.B lake and D.C.G ibson, \Cavitation bubbles near boundaries" Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 19, 99{123 (1987).
- 5. W . P. W alters and J. A. Zukas, Fundam entals of Shaped Charges (W iley, New York, 1989).
- 6. D. C. B lanchard and A. H. W oodcock, \The production, concentration and vertical distribution of the sea-salt aerosol" Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 338, 330{347 (1980).
- 7. C.M atzner, Physics Today 46(4), 90 (1993).
- 8. M. M. Chaudhri, Physics Today 45 (7), 15 (1992); 46 (4), 90 (1993).
- 9. M.S.Longuet-Higgins, \Bubbles, breaking waves and hyperbolic jets at a free surface" J.Fluid Mech. 127, 103{121 (1983).
- 10. M.S.Longuet-Higgins, \Inertialshocks in surface waves and collapsing bubbles" Proc. IUTAM Symp. on Bubble D ynam ics and Interface P henom ena, B im ingham, England (1993).
- 11. M.S.Longuet-Higgins and H.Oguz, \Criticalm icrojets in collapsing cavities" J.Fluid Mech. 290, 183{201 (1995).
- 12. M.S.P lesset and R.B.Chapman, \Collapse of an initially spherical vapour cavity in the neighbourhood of a solid boundary" J.Fluid Mech. 47, 283{290 (1971).
- 13. O.V. Voinov and V.V. Voinov, \On the process of collapse of a cavitation bubble near a wall and the formation of a cumulative jet" Sov. Phys. Dokl. 21, 133{135 (1976).
- 14. J.R. Blake, B.B. Taib and G.D oherty, \Transient cavities near boundaries. Part 1. Rigid boundary" J.Fluid Mech. 170, 479{497 (1987).

- 15. J.R.Blake, B.B.Taib and G.Doherty, \Transient cavities near boundaries. Part 2. Free surface" J.Fluid Mech. 181, 197{212 (1987).
- 16. F.B.Hildebrand, Advanced Calculus for Engineers (Prentice-Hall, New York, 1949).
- 17. W. V. Pinczewski, \The formation and growth of bubbles at a submerged orice" Chem. Eng. Sci. 36, 405{411 (1981).
- 18. H. Lamb, Hydrodynam ics 6th ed. (Dover, New York, 1945).